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#### Abstract

W e use the traveling front approach to derive exact asym ptotic results for the statistics of the num ber of particles in a class of directed di usion lim ited aggregation models on a C ayley tree. W e point out that som e aspects of these m odels are closely connected to two di erent problem s in com puter science, nam ely the digital search tree problem in data structures and the Lem pel-Z iv algorithm for data com pression. The statistics of the num ber of particles studied here is related to the statistics of height in digital search trees which, in tum, is related to the statistics of the length of the longest word form ed by the Lem pel-Z iv algorithm. Im plications of our results to these com puter science problem s are pointed out.


PACS num bers: $02.50 .-1$, $89.75 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{c}, 89.20 \mathrm{Ff}$

## I. IN TRODUCTION

The simple model of di usion lim ited aggregation (D LA ), ever since its introduction by $W$ itten and Sander in 1981 [ $\overline{1} 1]$, has continued to play a centralrole in understanding the fractalgrow th phenom ena. B esides raising a num ber of conceptual issues regarding pattem form ation, this m odelhas also found num erous applications in physical processes ranging_from dielectric breakdown $\left[\begin{array}{l}-1\end{array}\right]$ and Hele-Shaw uid ow ind to electrodeposition? [4] and dendritic grow th ['] $]$. In the sim plest version of this $m$ odel, one considers, for exam ple, a square lattice where the origin is a seed. P articles are released sequentially from the boundary. Each particle perform s a random walk in space and when it com es in contact w ith the grow ing cluster around the central seed, it sticks to the cluster and thus the cluster grow s. This grow ing D LA cluster has a fractal structure $w$ th $m$ any branches that are separated by deep 'fiords'. D espite various advances, characterizing this fractal pattem quantitatively has rem ained a m a jor theoretical challenge for the past two decades [6] clear picture that has em erged out of various studies is that the key e ect responsible for this com plex pattem is the dynam ical screening' : a new ly arriving particle has $m$ ore probability to attach to the 'tip' sites com pared to other boundary sites that are deep inside a 'fjord'. As a result, the faster grow ing parts of the cluster boundary shield or screen other boundary sites from further grow th.

To understand this dynam ical screening e ect more quantitatively, it is desirable to construct a sim plerm odel which incorpoartes the screening e ect and yet is analytically tractable. B radley and Strenski $\overline{[1} \overline{1}]$ introduced such a m odelw here particles undergo a directed di usion lim ited aggregation (D D LA ) on a C ayley tree. Physically this corresponds to the situation when there is a strong extemal eld such as the gravity or an electric eld which forces the particles to choose an overall direction of $m$ o-
tion. In this D D LA m odel, one starts w ith a C ayley tree of height 1 (see Fig. 1) where all the $2^{1} \quad 1$ sites of the lattice are initially em pty. Then a particle is introduced at the top site and it perform $s$ a directed (dow nw ards) random walk (from any site it choooses one of the two daughter sites at random and $m$ oves there) till it reaches one of the bottom leaves and can descend no more. It then occupies that leaf site.


FIG.1. A typicalhistory of the D D LA process till saturation on a C ayley tree w ith height $1=4$. The occupied nodes contain a black lled circle inside them and the num ber next to an occupied site denotes the particle num ber.

Then a second particle is introduced from the top site and it also perform s a directed random walk. This second particle will stop if it happens to reach a site such that atleast one of the daughter nodes of that site is already occupied. It can not descend any $m$ ore and it rests at that site for all subsequent tim es. N ote that in this $m$ odel, each site can contain at $m$ ost one particle. T hen a third particle is released from the top and so on. B asically, after reaching any site, say the $i$-th site, a particle
attem pts to hop dow $n$ to one of the tw o daughter nodes of i and it actually $m$ oves to the target site provided both the daughter nodes of i are em pty. If atleast one of them is occupied, the particle can not descend any further and it rests at site i forever. Then the next particle is added and the process continues until no $m$ ore particles can be put in, i.e. when the top site gets occupied. T he tree is then said to be saturated. O ne such history of the process till its saturation is shown in Fig. 1. A typical snapshot of the saturated tree (see Fig. 1) show s that the cluster has voids of various sizes. A useful quantity to characterize the pattem of the cluster is the totalnum ber of particles $n_{1}$ in the saturated tree. $C$ learly $n_{1}$ is a random variable, varying from one history of the process to another. T he quantities of central im portance in this problem are the average density, $1=h n_{1} i=\left[\begin{array}{ll}2^{1} & 1\end{array}\right]$ and the
uctuations of $n_{1}$ around its average value. H ow do these tw o quantities behave asym ptotically for a large tree, i.e. when l! 1 ?

W hile it was easy to write down the basic recursion relations (see later in Section II) for certain probabilities associated with the DD LA process on a tree, they tumed out to be nonlinear [7] and hence it was di cult to determ ine even the asym ptotic behavior of ${ }_{1}$ for large 1. B radley and Strenski studied the recursion relations num erically and found, som ew hat unexpectedly, that 1 decays slow er than exponentially w ith l for large lbut the precise nature of this decay was not evident from their
 orous probabilistic $m$ ethods a com pletely di erent m odel nam ely a continuous tim e version of the so called digital search tree (DST) problem relevant in com puter science $[9$ two m odels nam ely the DD LA and the DST share the sam e recursion relation, though for very di erent quantities. The rigorous results of A Idous and Shields [[8]1], when translated back in the D D LA language, would indicate a streched exponential decay for the average density, $1 \quad 2^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{21}$ for large 1. Recently a more re ned result on DST was derived by K nessl and Szpankow sky [ $\left.{ }^{-1}{ }^{-1}\right]$. U naw are of the D D LA or the D ST $m$ odel, $H$ astings and H alsey also studied independently a related m odel recently [1]] and used extrem al argum ents to conclude the sam e streched exponential decay for the average density.

T hem ethods used by the $m$ athem aticians, though rigorous, lack physical transparency. On the other hand, the extrem al argum ents used by $H$ astings and $H$ alsey, though physically intuituive, are heuristic. M oreover, it is not easy to derive quantitative results regarding uctuations of the num ber of particles $n_{1}$ via these $m$ ethods. For exam ple, how does the width w $(\mathrm{l})=\overline{\mathrm{hn}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{i} \quad \mathrm{hn}_{1} \mathrm{i}^{2}}$ behave as a function ofl? B esides, none of these $m$ ethods are easily adaptable to extract the leading asym ptotic behaviors in m ore generalm odels such as one that we will
consider in this paper. O ur approach in this paper would be to use the pow erful techniques (suitably adapted for our problem ) of traveling fronts, originally developed in the context of nonlinear reaction-di usion system $s$ and population dynam ics $[171[1]$ d $]$. The techniques of traveling fronts have found a host of very useful applications [201]. R ecently we have pointed out that in $m$ any extrem e value problem sin both physics and com puter science, one can successfiully use the traveling front techniques to derive exact asym ptotic results for the statistics of the ex-
 $T$ he present paper points out yet another usefiul application of the traveling front techniques, nam ely in a generalized D D LA problem with relevance to a class of search tree problem s in com puter science.

The traveling front $m$ ethod, though technically not rigorous in the strict $m$ athem atical sense, has the follow ing advantages over the other m ethods used in the DDLA problem : (i) this $m$ ethod is not $m$ odel speci $c$, is $m$ ore general and is easily adapdable to $m$ ore generalm odels such as the ones that will be studied in this paper, (ii) it is easy to im plem ent and is physically transparent and (iii) it provides a very cheap way to extract the leading asym potic behavior exactly w ithout using too much $m$ athem atics. Besides rederiving the known results in the standard DDLA problem, this m ethod also allows us to derive $m$ any new results in $m$ ore generalized $m$ odels. For exam ple, we show that in the DDLA m odel, the random variable $n_{l}$ approaches to its average value, n ! $\mathrm{hn}_{1} \mathrm{i}$ in the 1 ! 1 lim it. This is the exam ple of the extrem e concentration of $m$ easure, i.e., the distribution of $n_{1}$ tends to a delta function. In particular, we show that the $w$ idth of the distribution decays slow ly as a power law, w (1) $\quad l^{1=2}$ as l! 1 . Furthem ore, we point out the close connection betw een the D D LA problem and the D ST problem widely studied by com puter scientists $[d[1][1]$. The later problem is also related to the well known Lem pel-Ziv algorithm used in data com pression [ [2] $]$. Som e of the results derived in this paper will constitute new results in these com puter science problem s.
$T$ he rest of the paper is organized as follow s. In the next section we introduce a generalized b-D D LA m odel (w here $b$ is a positive integer). The originalD D LA m odel of B radley and Strenski is a special case of this b-D D LA $m$ odelw ith $b=1 . W$ e then derive the asym ptotic statistics of the number of particles in the b-DD LA m odel using the traveling front technique, suitably adapted for this model. In Section III, we generalize these results to the case when the C ayley tree has $m>2$ branches. Section IV considers the DD LA m odelw ith a bias when a particle has m ore probability to go to the left branch com pared to the right one. In Section $V$, we point out the detailed connections betw een the D D LA m odel, the DST problem in com puter science, and the Lem pel-Z iv parsing algorithm and discuss the im plications of our re-
sults for the generalized b-D D LA m odel in the context of com puter science. Finally a brief sum $m$ ary and a conclusion along w ith a list of open problem s are o ered in Section VI.

## II. THE B-DDLA MODELAND ITS TRAVELING FRONT SOLUTION

H ere we introduce a generalized b-D D LA m odelw here the hard' screening of the usual DDLA model is softened' in the follow ing sense. As in the usual DD LA m odel, one starts $w$ ith an em pty C ay ley tree of height land the particles are introduced sequentially at the top site and they go dow $n$ the tree one at a tim e by perform ing a random walk. H ow ever, now each site can contain at $m$ ost $b$ particles $w$ here $b$ is a positive integer. D uring its joumey dow nward, when a new particle arrives at an em pty site, say the $i$-th site, it tries to $m$ ove to one of the daughter nodes of $i$ chosen at random. It actually $m$ oves to the target site provided both the daughter sites contain less than b particles. If either of them contains b particles, i.e. is com pletely fiull, then the incom ing particle can not $m$ ove dow $n$ any further and it then stays at site i forever. Thus, in this m odel, a site can inconporate screening' if and only if it has fill capacity, i.e. when it has b particles. O therw ise it fails to screen. This m odel thus $m$ im ics the physical situation when one single particle is incapable of stopping an incom ing particle to go dow $n$, but the screening com es into play only as a collective e ect when there are b particles in the site. This is like a tunnelling e ect, where a particle can go through a barrier provided the barrier is not too high. H ow ever, the rate oftunnelling goes to zero w hen the barrier height crosses a threshold. In our $m$ odel, the param eter $b$ acts like the threshold value. C learly, for $\mathrm{b}=1$ this m odel reduces to the originalD D LA m odel studied by B radley and Strenski $[\underline{1}, 1]$.

As in the $\mathrm{b}=1$ case, the tree is going to be saturated after a nite num ber of particles have been added to it. This happens when the top site contains b particles. No further particles can then be put in. The num ber of particles $n_{1}$ required to saturate the tree of height $l$ is clearly a random variable, uctuating from one history of the process to another. The $m$ ain question we address is: what is the statistics of $n_{1}$ for large 1? In particular, we would com pute the average density
 distribution, $w(1)=\mathrm{hn}_{1}^{2} i \quad \mathrm{hn}_{1} \mathrm{i}^{2}$ for large $l$.

Follow ing B radley and Strenski $\left[\begin{array}{l}{[7]}\end{array}\right]$ for the $\mathrm{b}=1$ case, we de ne $G_{1}(n)$ to be the probability that the tree of height $l$ is not saturated after the addition ofn particles, i.e. the top site is not yet lled by b particles after n particles have been added to the tree. It is easy to see that $G_{1}(n)$ satis es the follow ing recursion relation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{G}_{1+1}(\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{b})=\frac{1}{2^{\mathrm{n}}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}_{1}=0}^{\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{n}}} \mathrm{n}_{1} \mathrm{G}_{1}\left(\mathrm{n}_{1}\right) \mathrm{G}_{1}\left(\mathrm{n} \quad \mathrm{n}_{1}\right) ; \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for alll 1 and $n \quad 0$. It is usefulto think oflas space' and $n$ as tim $e^{\prime}$. The Eq. ( $\mathbf{1}_{1}^{\prime}$ ) is supplem ented $w$ ith the boundary' condition, $G_{1}(n)=1$ for all $0 \quad n \quad(b 1)$ and $G_{1}(n)=0$ for all $n \quad b$ and the initial condition, $G_{1}(n)=1$ for $0 \quad n \quad(b 1)$ for alll 1 . The recursion relation in Eq. ( $\overline{1}$ I') is easy to understand. Suppose we have added ( $n+\bar{b}$ ) particles to a tree of height ( $1+1$ ) (the left hand side of Eq. (픽)). The condition that the top site is not yet led by b particles indicates that before the addition of the last b particles, the tw o daughter nodes of the top site $m$ ust have both rem ained unsaturated. $T$ his is becuase, if either one or both of them had been saturated after the addition ofn particles, then any further added particle would not be able to go down and would rest at the top site, and hence the top site w ould then get saturated after the addition of $(n+b)$ particles. The two daughter nodes are the roots of two uncorrelated subtrees, each of height l. H ence the probability that both rem ain unsaturated is given by their product. A lso, the num ber of particles $n_{1}$ that enter, for exam ple, to the left subtree (out of a total num ber of $n$ particles that enter both subtrees) m ust have a binom ialdistribution, thus explaining the right hand side of Eq. ( $\left.\overline{11}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$.
$N$ ote that for $x e d n>0$, the probability $G_{1}(n)!0$ as 1 ! 1 and $G_{1}(n)$ ! 1 as l! 1 . For later analysis, it tums out to be convenient to de ne the com plem entary probability, $F_{1}(n)=1 \quad G_{1}(n)$, that has the opposite behavior as a function of 1 , nam ely $F_{1}(n)!1$ as $1!1$ and $F_{1}(n)!0$ as 1 ! 1 for any xed $n>0$. The quantity $F_{1}(n)$ denotes the probability that the tree of height l_gets saturated before n particles are added. From Eq. (11), one nds that $F_{1}(n)$ satis es the recursion,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{F}_{1+1}(\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{b})= & \frac{1}{2^{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{X^{n}}} \begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{n}_{1}=0 \\
& \mathrm{n}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1}\left(\mathrm{n}_{1}\right) \\
& \frac{1}{2^{\mathrm{n}}} \mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{n}_{1}=0} \mathrm{n}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{1}\left(\mathrm{n}_{1}\right) \mathrm{F}_{1}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{n} & \left.\mathrm{n}_{1}\right)
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned},
\end{align*}
$$

w ith the boundary condition, $\mathrm{F}_{1}(\mathrm{n})=0$ for $0 \quad \mathrm{n}$ (b 1) and $F_{1}(n)=1$ for $n \quad b$ and the initial condition, $F_{1}(n)=0$ for $0 \quad n \quad(b \quad 1)$ for alll 1 . It is usefulto think by xing the tim $e^{\prime} n$ while varying the space' 1. $C$ learly $\mathrm{F}_{1}(\mathrm{n})!0$ as $1!1$, since alm ost surely a tree of in nite height will not be saturated before the addition a xed, nite num ber $n$ of particles. O $n$ the other side, for xed $n, F_{1}(n)$ ! 1 as 1 ! 0 . For a given xed $n$, as one increases 1 from 0 to 1 , the function $F_{1}(n)$ starts $O$ at the value 1 at $l=0$ and then drops o to 0 beyond som e characteristic length scale 1 ( n ), as show n schem atically in F ig. (2). A s n increases, this characteristic length scale 1 ( $n$ ) also increases (see $F$ ig. (2)), thus giving rise
to a traveling front structure w ith the front located at $l(n)$. In fact, we w ill see later that in the lim it of large 1 (w hen one can treat l as a contiuous variable) and large $n$, the width $w(n)$ of the front goes to zero, indicating that asym ptotically the function $F_{1}(n)$ becom es a $H$ eaviside theta function, $F_{1}(n)$ ! ( $\left.(n) \quad l\right)$.


FIG . 2. Schem atic behavior of the probability $F_{1}(n)$ as a function of 1 for di erent $x e d$ values of $n$. The three curves correspond to di erent values ofn increasing from left to right. The $y$ axis is dim ensionless while the $x$ axis has arbitrary units.
$N$ ote that Eq. $\overline{\mathbf{R}_{1}^{\prime}}$ ) is nonlinear and hence is diffcult to solve exactly. H ow ever, the exact asym ptotic inform ations regarding the position $l(n)$ of the front and its width can be deduced by adapting the traveling front techniques that were originally devised to deal w th nonlinearpartialdi erentialdi erentialequations in reaction-di fusion system s[ill [ 18,1$\left.]_{1}^{\prime} 1\right]$. T he basic idea behind this approach is very sim ple. If there is a front $l(n)$, then ahead of the front $l>l(n), F_{1}(n)$ is very $s m$ all and hence one can neglect the nonlinear term (the second term) on the right hand side of Eq. $(\bar{Z})$ and one sim ply gets a linear recursion,

Suppose one could solve this linear equation exactly satisfying the required initial condition. Now one expects that the solution of the linear equation $\overline{\overline{3}})$ and the real solution of the nonlinear equation ( $\bar{Z}_{1}$ ) w ill coincide in the regim e beyond the front, i,e. for l> $l(n)$. On the other hand, the two solutions will start di ering from each other as one decreases 1 below $l(n)$, where the solution of the nonlinear equation $\overline{(\overline{3})}$ w ill tend to 1 where as the solution of the linear equation ( $\overline{3} \overline{1})$ w ill grow beyond 1 w th decreasing 1 (as there is no nonlinear term to control the solution). Thus, as one decreases 1 from innity, the front position $l(n)$ is approxim ately the value of lat which the solution of the linear equation becom es

O (1). Thus, according to this approach, one rst solves the linear equation $\overline{\underline{B}})$ to obtain $F_{1}(n)$ linear and then reads 0 the front position $l(n)$ from the condition, $F_{1(n)}(n)$ jinear $O(1)$. By $O(1)$, one $m$ eans that at $l=l(n)$, the solution $F_{1}(n)$ should not diverge or decay exponentially $w$ th increasing $n$. $N$ ote that this is a slightly generalized version of the usual traveling front m ethod $\left[\mathrm{O}_{1}^{\prime}, 12 \overline{1}_{1}^{1}\right]$ w here one usually has a linear operator w th constant coe cients which adm its an exponentially decaying solution of the form $\exp \left[\begin{array}{ll}(x & v t)] w\end{array}\right.$ ith constant $w$ idth. T he present approach is $m$ ore general and works even when the linear operator does not adm it an exponentially decaying solution $w$ ith a constant $w$ idth.

U nder this traveling front approach , our task thus reduces to solving the linear equation ( $\overline{(31})$ ") w hich, how ever, is still nontrivial. To proceed, we de ne a som ew hat unusualgenerating function,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{1}(s)=X_{n=0}^{X_{1}^{1}} F_{1}(n) \frac{1}{(1+s)^{n+1}}: \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

U sing Eq. $[\overline{3})$ one can then show that $\mathrm{F}_{1}(\mathrm{~s})$ satis es a rather sim ple recursion in $l$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{1+1}^{r}(s)=\frac{4}{(1+s)^{b}} F_{1}^{r}(2 s) ; \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all 1. 1. The steps leading to Eq. (5ㅣㄴ), starting from
 H ence we present this derivation in the A ppendix. The recursion in Eq. (F) starts w th the in itial value $F_{1}(s)$ which needs to be calculated separately. N oting that $\mathrm{F}_{1}(\mathrm{n})=0$ for $0 \quad \mathrm{n} \quad(\mathrm{b} \quad 1)$ and $\mathrm{F}_{1}(\mathrm{n})=1$ forn $\quad \mathrm{b}$, we nd from the de nition in Eq. $._{1}^{-}(4) F_{1}^{r}(s)=1=\left[s(1+s)^{b}\right]$. Iterating Eq. (ST) and using the expression for $l=1$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{1}(s) & =\frac{2^{11}}{s\left[(1+s)(1+2 s):::\left(1+2^{11} s\right)\right]^{b}} \\
& =\frac{2^{11}}{s} \exp b_{k=0}^{x^{1}} \ln \left(1+2^{k} s\right) ; \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

for alll 1.
We then write the sum inside the exponential in Eq. (G) in two parts using the Euler $M$ aclaurin sum $m$ ation form ula, $S(1 ; s)={ }_{R_{1}}^{=} \underset{k=0}{11} \ln \left(1+2^{k} s\right)=I(1 ; s)+R(1 ; s)$ where $I(1 ; s)={ }_{0}^{R_{1}} \ln \left(1+2^{\mathrm{x}} s\right) d x$ and $R(1 ; s)=S(1 ; s)$ $I(1 ; s)$ is the residual term. The integral I $(1 ; s)$ can be done and one gets, $I(1 ; s)=\left[Y\left(2^{1} s\right) \quad Y(s)\right]=\ln 2$ where the function $Y(z)$ is given by
$Y(z)=\begin{array}{ll}P_{1}^{1}(1)^{n 1} z^{n 1} n^{2} \\ \frac{n}{2} \ln ^{2} z \quad \ln (1+1=z)+\ln 2+\quad{ }^{2}=12 & \text { for } z \quad 1, \\ \text { for } z>1 .\end{array}$

T he structure ofthese expressions suggests a natural scaling lim it, $s!0,1!1$ but keeping the product $z=s 2^{1}$
xed but anbitrary. W e also treat las a continuous variable in this lim it. Furtherm ore, we focus only near the tail of the scaling regim e, i.e. when $z \gg 1$. In this regin e, it is su cient to keep only the rst term in the second line ofE q. (긱) for the expression ofy (z). Besides, one can also check that the residual term is subleading in this regim $e$. $K$ eeping only the leading term swe get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{1}(\mathrm{~s}) \quad \frac{1}{\mathrm{~s}} \exp \ln 2 \quad 1 \quad \frac{\mathrm{~b}}{2}\left(1+\log _{2}(\mathrm{~s})\right)^{2} \quad: \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e still need to invert the generating function in Eq. (4) to obtain the asym ptotic behavior of $\mathrm{F}_{1}(\mathrm{n})$. T he scaling lim it corresponds to taking $n!1,1!1$ but keeping the ratio $2^{1}=\mathrm{n}$ xed but arbitrary. U sing Eq. ', (\$) and inverting Eq. (4í) (using the B rom w ich inversion form ula and then using the standard steepest descent $m$ ethod), we nd the follow ing leading asym ptotic behavior,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{1}(\mathrm{n}) \quad \exp \ln 2 \quad 1 \quad \frac{\mathrm{~b}}{2}\left(1 \quad \log _{2}(\mathrm{n})\right)^{2} \quad ; \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

valid in the tail $l \gg \log _{2}(\mathrm{n})$.
H aving obtained the asym ptotic solution ( $\bar{q}_{1}$ ) of the linear equation ( $\left.\overline{3} \mathbf{N}^{\prime}\right)$, the location of the front $\bar{l}(n)$ can be read $\circ$ from the equation, $F_{1}(n)$ jinear $O(1)$. U sing this criterion in Eq. ( $l(n)$ is given by the quadratic equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \quad \frac{\mathrm{~b}}{2}\left[\quad \log _{2}(\mathrm{n})\right]^{2}=0: \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

As we decrease 1 from 1 , we will encounter the larger root rst, which w ill correctly locate the front position. From Eq. $\left(\overline{1} \overline{0} \overline{0}_{1}\right)$, we get, for large $n$, the asym ptotic front position

$$
\begin{equation*}
l(n) \quad \log _{2}(n)+\frac{r}{\frac{2}{b} \log _{2}(n):} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furtherm ore, substituing $l=l(n)+y$ in Eq. (9) and expanding $\underset{p}{\text { for }}$ sm all $y$, we nd to leading order, $F_{1}(n) \quad \exp \quad \overline{2 b \ln (2) \ln (n)}(1 \quad l(n))$, indicating that the width of the distribution, characterizing the uctuation of laround its average value 1 ( n ), decreases extrem ely slow ly w ith n as,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{w}(\mathrm{n}) \quad 1=\mathrm{p} \overline{2 \mathrm{~b} \ln (2) \ln (\mathrm{n})} \text {; } \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $n!1 . T$ he fact that the $w$ idth vanishes in the $n!$ $1 \lim$ it show s that the probability $F_{1}(n)!\quad(1(n) \quad 1)$, thus indicating an extrem e concentration ofm easure, i.e. the random variable l! l(n).

In the above analysis, we kept $n$ xed and studied the behavior of $F_{1}(n)$ as a function of l. A ltemately, as is $m$ ore suited for the DD LA problem, one can keep 1 xed
and vary $n$. It follow sfrom Eq. ( $\overline{1}_{1}$ ) that in the scaling lim it $m$ entioned above, the random variablep $\log _{2}(n) a p-$ proaches to its $m$ ean value $h \log _{2}(n) i=1 \quad \overline{2 l=b}$. D ue to the extrem e concentration of $m$ easure, it follow $s$ then that $\mathrm{n}!\mathrm{hn}_{1} \mathrm{i} \quad 2^{1} \overline{2 l=\mathrm{b}}$ in the scaling lim it. This $m$ eans that the average density $1=\mathrm{hni}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}2^{1} & 1\end{array}\right)$ decays as a stretched exponential for large 1 ,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { (1) } 2^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{2 \mathrm{l}=\mathrm{b}} \text { : } \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Besides, substituting $\log _{2}(n)=h \log _{2}(n) i \quad y_{1}$ in Eq. (9) gind $_{1}$ and expanding for $s m$ all $Y_{1}$, we nd, $F_{1}(n)$
exp $\ln (2)^{p} \overline{2 b l} y_{1}$. This indicates that as a function of 1 , the $w$ idth of the random variable $\log _{2}(n)$ around its average value $h \log _{2}(n) i=1 \quad \overline{2 l=b}$ decreases algebraically for large 1

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { w (1) } \frac{1}{2 \mathrm{~b} \ln ^{2}(2) 1} \text { : } \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

N ote that the leading order behaviors of the widths in Eqs. ( $1 \overline{2}^{\prime}$ ) and ( $1 \bar{L}_{1}^{\prime}$ ) are com patible w ith each other w ith
 (1-1 $\left.{ }^{\prime}\right)$ constitute the $m$ ain results of this section.

## III. GENERALIZATION TOA TREE W ITH M BRANCHES

In this section we generalize our results for the b DDLA in the previous section (obtained for a tree w ith $m=2$ branches) to a tree $w$ th $m \quad 2$ branches. In this case, during its dow nw ard joumey from the top, a particle from a given site attem pts to hop to any of the $m$ daughter nodes $w$ th equal probability $1=m$ and can actually hop to the target site provided none of the $m$ daughter nodes is full with b particles. If it fails to hop, the particle stays at its current site for all subsequent tim e. The probability $G_{1}(n)$ that a tree of height $l$ is yet to be saturated after the addition of $n$ particles satis es the generalized recursion relation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{l+1}(n+b)={\frac{n!}{m^{n}}}_{n_{i}=0}^{X^{n}=1} Y^{n} \frac{G_{1}\left(n_{i}\right)}{n_{i}!} ; \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the variables $n_{i}$ 's satisfy the constraint $P_{i=1}^{m} n_{i}=$ $\mathrm{m} . \mathrm{T}$ hus the binom ialcoe cient in Eq. (II, of the previous section gets replaced by a multinom inal. The rest of the analysis is straightforw ard and sim ilar to the previous section. We de ne as usual, the com plem entary probability, $F_{1}(n)=1 \quad G_{1}(n)$, which satis es the recursion,

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{l+1}(n+b)=\frac{1}{m^{n 1}} \sum_{n_{i}=0}^{X^{n}} \underset{i=1}{n!} n_{i}!F_{1}\left(n_{1}\right) \\
& + \text { nonlinear term } s ; \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have used the sym $m$ etry that all branches are sim ilar to each other.

A s before, we solve the equation (1-G) retaining only the linear term $s$ and neglecting the nonlinear term $s . W e$ de ne the generating function as in Eq. ${ }_{1}^{(4)}$ ( 4 . Following the derivation presented in the A ppendix and using the initial condition, we get the solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{1}^{\sim}(s)=\frac{m^{11}}{s\left[(1+s)(1+m s):::\left(1+m^{11} s\right)\right]^{b}}: \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The asym ptotic analysis is exactly sim ilar to the previous section, except that the proper scaling lim it now is $\mathrm{s}!0,1!1$ but keeping the product $\mathrm{sm}^{1}$ xed but arbitrary. W e do not repeat the steps here but present only the nal results. $W$ e nd that as in the $m=2$ case, there is a front whose asym ptotic location $l(n)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
l(n) \quad \log _{m}(n)+\overline{\frac{2}{b} \log _{m}(n)} \text {; } \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and in the $\lim$ it $n!1$, the $w i d t h ~ w(n)$ of the front vanishes slow ly as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{w}(\mathrm{n}) \quad 1=\frac{\mathrm{p}}{2 \mathrm{~b} \ln (\mathrm{~m}) \ln (\mathrm{n})} \text { : } \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Sim ilarly, we nd that for xed but large 1, the average density varies as a strectched exponential,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { (l) } \mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{2 \mathrm{l}=\mathrm{b}} \text {; } \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the width w (l) of the random variable $\log _{m}(n)$ around its average value $h \log _{m}(n) i=1 \quad \overline{2 l=b}$ decreases algebraically for large l,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { w (l) } \quad \frac{1}{2 \mathrm{~b} \ln ^{2}(\mathrm{~m}) 1} \text { : } \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote that, interestingly, the asym ptotic average value $h \log _{m}(n) i=1 \quad \overline{2 l=b}$ is actually independent of $m$.

## 

In this section we consider the $b-D D$ LA model on a $m=2$ tree where the particles perform biased random walk on their way dow $n$ the tree. M ore precisely, when a particle arrives at any given site i on its $w$ ay dow $n$ after being introduced at the top site, it attem pts to hop to the left daughter of the node i w th probability $p$ and to the right daughter $w$ th probability $q=1 \quad p$. As before, it actually m oves to the target site provided both the daughter nodes have less than $b$ particles. If at least one of them is fullw ith b particles, then the particle rests at site $i$ for all subsequent tim es. Then a new particle is added and the process continues till the top site gets
lled w ith b particles. O nce again, we are interested in the statistics of the num ber of particles $n_{1}$ w hen the tree of height 1 gets saturated. We de ne, as before, $G_{1}(n)$ to be the probability that the tree of height 1 rem ains unsaturated, i.e. the top site rem ains un lled upto the addition of $n$ particles. Follow ing the sam e logic as in Section II, one easily nds the recursion relation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{1+1}(n+b)=X_{n_{1}=0}^{X^{n}} n_{1}^{n} p^{n_{1}} q^{n^{n}{ }_{1}} G_{1}\left(n_{1}\right) G_{1}\left(n \quad n_{1}\right) ; \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

for alll 1 and $n \quad 0$.
The com plem entary probability, $F_{1}(n)=1 \quad G_{1}(n)$, then satis es the recursion,

$$
\left.F_{1+1}(n+b)=X_{n_{1}=0}^{n_{1}} \quad \begin{array}{c}
n \\
n_{1}
\end{array} p^{n_{1}} q^{n_{1}} \mathbb{F}_{1}\left(n_{1}\right)+F_{1}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
n & n_{1}
\end{array}\right)\right]
$$

w th the boundary condition $F_{1}(n)=0$ for $0 \quad n$ (b) 1) and $F_{1}(n)=1$ for $n \quad$ b. As before, we solve the equation $\left.\left[\overline{2}_{2}^{1}\right]^{\prime}\right)$ keeping only the linear term $s$ and neglecting the nonlinear term s . This is done via de ning the generating function $\mathrm{F}_{1}^{\sim}(\mathrm{s})$ as in Eq. (4í). Follow ing the sam e line of derivation presented in the A ppendix, we obtain the follow ing recursion relation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{1+1}^{N}(\mathrm{~s})=\frac{1}{\mathrm{p}(1+\mathrm{s})^{\mathrm{b}}} \mathrm{~F}_{1}^{N}(\mathrm{~s}=\mathrm{p})+\frac{1}{\mathrm{q}(1+\mathrm{s})^{\mathrm{b}}} \mathrm{~F}_{1}^{\sim}(\mathrm{s}=\mathrm{q}) ; \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

which starts from the initial fiunction, $\mathrm{F}_{1}^{r}(\mathrm{~s})=1=[\mathrm{s}(1+$ s)]. O ne can, in principle, iterate Eq. (24) starting w ith $l=1$ and obtain the expressions for $F_{1}^{r}(s)$ for all l. Fortunately, in the scaling regim es! 0 , one does not need the fill expression for $\mathrm{F}_{1}(\mathrm{~s})$. N ote that in the unbiased case $p=q=1=2$, the appropriate scaling regim e was $s!0,1!1$ but keeping the product $z=s 2^{1}$ xed but arbitrary. In the biased case, it is clear from Eq. (2"-1) that the appropriate scaling regim e $w$ ill be set by taking $s!0,1!1$ but keeping the product $z=s^{1}$ xed where $=\mathrm{m}$ in $(1=\mathrm{p} ; 1=\mathrm{q})$. T hus in this scaling lim it, one can approxim ate Eq. (24A) by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{l+1}^{r}(s) \quad \overline{(1+s)^{b}} F_{1}^{r}(s): \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

The term s neglected in going to Eq. (255) from Eq. (2 $\overline{1}$ ) only contribute to subleading order. Iterating the reduced Eq. $\left(2 \overline{5}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ starting w ith $\mathrm{F}_{1}^{\sim}(\mathrm{s})=1=[\mathrm{s}(1+\mathrm{s})]$, one obtains
$F_{1}^{r}(s) \frac{1}{s\left[(1+s)(1+s)\left(1+{ }^{2} s\right):::\left(1+1^{11} s\right)\right]^{b}}:$

W e then invert the transform in Eq. (2-1) using the sam e asym ptotic $m$ ethod as in Section II, the details of which we do not repeat. The nal asym ptotic form of the distribution $F_{1}(n)$ is given by,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{1}(n) \quad \exp \quad \frac{\ln ()}{2}(1 \quad \log (n))^{2} ; \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

valid in the scaling regim e, n! 1,1 ! 1 but with the ratio $y=2^{1}=n \quad$ xed at a large value $y \gg 1$. The front position can be read $\circ$ from the condition $F_{1}(n)$ jinear O (1) which gives, to leading order, 1 ( $n$ ) $\log (n)$. N ote the di erence w ith the unbiased case. Unlike the unbiased case in Section II where the w idth vanishes for large $n$, here the width of the distribution pem ains of $O$ (1) in the large $n$ ! 1 lim it, $w(1)!1=\ln$. $T$ his result also indicates that the average density of particles varies as $\mathrm{hn}_{1} \mathrm{i} \quad{ }^{1}$ for large 1. Thus, unlike the unbiased case where the average density decays as a stretched exponential for large 1 , the average density in the biased case decays exponentially for large 1 ,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { (1) } \quad(=2)^{1} \text {; } \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $=\mathrm{m}$ in $(1=\mathrm{p} ; 1=\mathrm{q})$. Besides, it follows from Eq. ( $2 \overline{7}_{1}$ ) that the uctuations of the variable log ( n ) around its average value 1 are characterized by a Gaussian tail w ith w idth of (1).
V.CONNECTION TO D IG ITAL SEARCH TREES AND THE LEMPEL-ZIV PARSING ALGORTHIM

In this section we point out the connection betw een our generalized b-D D LA m odel to the so called digital search tree problem in com puter science $[9] 106]$ which, in tum, is also related [14] to the Lem pel-Z iv data compression algorithm $\left.{ }^{2} \mathbf{2 5}_{2}\right]$. Suppose we have a data string $f x_{1} ; x_{2} ;::: ; x_{n} g$ which needs to be stored on a binary tree. A ccording to the digital search tree (D ST ) algorithm, one proceeds as follow s. In itially all the nodes of the tree are em pty. The rst arriving elem ent $x_{1}$ is put at the root of the tree. Each node can contain at m ost one elem ent. The second elem ent $\mathrm{x}_{2}$ is put at one of the daughter nodes of the root chosen at random. Then for the next elem ent $x_{3}$, one again starts at the root and choses one of the daughter nodes at random. If the chosen node is em pty, $x_{3}$ goes there. B ut if the chosen node, say $i$, happens to be the one that contains $x_{2}$, then one chooses one of the two daughter nodes of $i$ at random and puts $x_{3}$ there. Then one stores the fourth elem ent $\mathrm{x}_{4}$ follow ing the sam e algorithm and so on. Essentially each elem ent $x_{i}$ perform $s$ a directed random walk down the tree till it nds an empty site which it then occupies. The process stops when all the n elem ents have been stored and the resulting tree is called a DST (see Fig. 3). N ote that according to this D ST algorithm, the
actual value of a data elem ent say $x_{i}$ is not im portant. $T$ his is contrast to other search trees, such as the random binary search trees [inild] where the actual value of $x_{i}$ is used in constructing the tree.


FIG.3. A typical digital search tree constructed from a data string $f \mathrm{x}_{1} ; \mathrm{x}_{2} ; \mathrm{x}_{3} ; \mathrm{x}_{4} ; \mathrm{x}_{5} \mathrm{~g}$ of 5 elem ents.

The statistics of various quantities such as the distribution of the num ber of occupied nodes at a given depth (known as the pro le of the D ST ) have been studied in great detail in the com puter science literature [1] [' F lajolet and R ichm ond [12'] introduced a generalized version of the DST where each node can contain at m ost b ele$m$ ents. In this $b-D S T$, an incom ing elem ent $x_{i}$ perform $s$, as in the $\mathrm{b}=1$ case, a directed random walk dow nw ards. H ow ever, $w$ hen $x_{i}$ reaches a new site, say $k$, it $w$ ill stay forever at $k$ provided the num ber of already existing ele$m$ ents at $k$ is less than $b$. If the site $k$ already contains $b$ elem ents, then one chooses one of the daughter nodes of $k$ and the elem ent $x_{i}$ hops there. $T$ his generalized b-D ST problem has $m$ any applications in com puter science, notably in the $m$ aintenance of paged hashing tables [12 $\left.{ }^{1}\right]$. $F$ la jolet and $R$ ichm ond studied, for exam ple, the average num ber of non-em pty nodes in a $b-D S T$ as a function of the data size $n$ and the param eter $b$.

O ne im portant characteristic of $\mathrm{a} b-\mathrm{D} S$ is its height. $T$ he height l of a tree $w$ th $n$ elem ents is de ned as the depth, counted from the root, of the farthest elem ent in the tree. $C$ learly $l$ is a random variable, uctuating from one realization of the tree to another and also it is an extrem e variable (denoting the $m$ axim um depth). A natural question is: what is the probability distribution of the height? Let usde ne $Q_{1}(n)$ to be the probability that the height of tree w ith n elem ents is 1 . It is easy to see that $Q_{1}(n)$ satis es the follow ing recursion relation

$$
Q_{1+1}(n+b)={\frac{1}{2^{n}}}_{n_{1}=0}^{X^{n}} n_{1}^{n} Q_{1}\left(n_{1}\right) Q_{1}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
n & n_{1} \tag{29}
\end{array}\right) ;
$$

for all $1 \quad 1$ and $n \quad 0$, $w$ th the additional condition that $Q_{1}(n)=1$ for all $0 \quad n \quad b$ and $Q_{1}(n)=0$. For the case $b=1$, this recursion relation was recently studied by K nessland Szpankow sky [1] [1] using rigorousm ethods. $T$ he recurrence in Eq. (29) is a generalized version of the $\mathrm{b}=1$ case and can be understood as follow s . C onsider a tree $w$ ith a total num ber of $(n+b)$ elem ents. The rst b elem ents $w$ ill be stored in the root and the rest of the $n$ elem ents w illbe distributed to the left and right daughter subtrees. T he probability that one of the subtrees, say the left one, gets $n_{1}$ elem ents out of a total $n$ elem ents is sim ply given by the binom ial distribution. A lso, since the condition that the height of the full tree is $1+1$ (the left hand side of Eq. (29)) indicates that the height of both of the daughter subtrees $m$ ust be $\quad 1$. Since the two daughter subtrees are com pletely independent, this probability is given by their product.
$N$ ote that the recursion relation in Eq. (2991) for the height distribution $Q_{1}(n)$ in the $b-D S T$ is identical to the recursion in Eq. (11) in Section II for the probabilities $G_{1}(n)$ in the $b-D D$ LA problem, except for the slight difference in the in itial values $Q_{1}(n)$ and $G_{1}(n)$. This slight di erence does not a ect the asym ptotic behaviors. So, one can apply all the results obtained via the traveling front approach in Section II for the b-D D LA m odel directly to the $b-D$ ST problem. In particular, the result in Eq. ( $1 \overline{1}_{1}^{\prime}$ ) indicates that the average height of the $b-D S T$ has the asym ptotic follow ing behavior for large $n$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
l(n) \quad \log _{2}(n)+\frac{r}{\frac{2}{b} \log _{2}(n)}: \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $b=1$, this result coincides $w$ ith that of A ldous and Shields $\left[\begin{array}{l}{[101]}\end{array}\right]$ obtained by probabilistic $m$ ethods. $N$ ote that for $b=1^{-1}$ case, a m ore re ned result including additional subleading term $s$ to Eq. ( $\left.3 \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{d}}^{\mathrm{l}}\right)$ w as recently obtained in Ref. $\left.{ }_{[1]}^{1} \overline{5}_{1}^{\prime}\right]$ using rigorous $m$ ethods. H ow ever, for general b, we are not aw are of any rigorous results in the com puter science literature and our Eq. ( $300_{1}^{\prime}$ ) seem s to be the
rst result for the average height of $\bar{a} \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{D} S T$. Furthermore, Eq. (121) in Section II predicts that the standard deviation of the height around its average value decays extrem ely slow ly w ith largen,w (n) $1=\overline{2 b \ln (2) \ln (n)}$. $T$ his result on the variance of the height in $\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{D}$ ST also seem s not to have been obtained by other $m$ ethods before.

W e now tum to the Lem pel-Ziv algorithm for data com pression $\left.{ }^{[2]}\right]$ ]. The connection between this algorithm and the DST problem was known before [ $\left.[0,1]_{2}^{1}\right]$. $T$ he Lem pel-Ziv algorithm is central to $m$ any universal data com pression schem es and have $m$ any applications such as in the e cient transfer of data [14 $\left.{ }_{2}^{\prime}\right]$. This basic schem e of this algorithm is very simple: it takes a given data string, say a sequence of binary digits such as 11000110111011110, and partitions it into words'. W ords' are subsequences of variable sizes w hich are never
repeated and are constructed by em ploying the rule that a new word' is the shortest subsequence not seen in the past as a word'. This is best understood by an exam ple.


FIG. 4. The gure shows how the partitioning of a sequence 11000110111011110 into words' (1) (10) (0) (01) (101) (11) (011) (110) using the Lem pel-Z iv parsing algorithm can be represented as a digital search tree. The length of a word' is equal to its depth in the tree m easured from the em pty root at the top.

C onsider the binary sequence 11000110111011110 and construct words' starting from the left end using the Lem pel-Ziv algorithm . Starting from the left end, the rst digit encountered is 1 . Since 1 has not occurred before as a word', one can form the rst word' (1). N ow wem ove to the next elem ent which also happens to be 1 . But, now since (1) is already a word', the shortest seg$m$ ent we can use to form a word' is (10). Sim ilarly, the next w ord w ould be (0) since (0) has not occurrred before as a 'word'. O ne keeps repeating the procedure and at the end, the originalsequence is partitioned into the follow ing sequence of Words': (1) (10) (0) (01) (101) (11) (011) (110). $T$ he original data is thus com pressed into these words. Even though the words' are relatively short in the begining, it tums out that they becom ebigger quite rapidly. O ne of the interesting questions of practical im portance in this schem e is the statistics of the longest word' when the originaldata string is random. For concreteness, let us consider a random binary sequence of initialdata and focus on the rst n words'. Let l be the length of the longest word' am ongst these $n$ w ords. $C$ learly $l$ is a random variable since the underlying binary sequence is random. W e are interested in the statistics of las a function ofn.

There is a natural representation of this parsing algorithm in term $s$ of a DST [14 $\left.{ }^{-1}\right]$. C onsider a binary tree whose nodes are initially em pty. In fact, the root of this tree is alw ays going to be em pty (see Fig. 4). N ow we
take the rst of the Lem pel-Ziv parsed words' and exam ine its rst digit. If the rst digit of this word' is 1, we put this word' in the right daughter node of the root. On the other hand, if the rst digit is 0 , we put this word at the left daughter node. This new ly occupied node is now full and can not accom odate any other word'. Then we consider the second word' and look at its rst digit. If the rst digit is 1 ( 0 ), we go to the right (left) daughter node. Let us call this node i. If this node $i$ is em pty, we put the word' there. If $i$ is already occupied by the rst word', then we need to exam ine the second digit of our second word' and depending on its value ( 1 or 0 ), we go respectively to the right or to to the left daughter node of $i$ and put our second word' at this new site. T his process is repeated untilall the w ords are stored and the resulting tree is clearly a D ST, since at each step the decision to go to the left or to the right occurs random ly (due to the random ness of the underlying binary sequence where each digit can be either 0 or 1 w th equalprobability). The construction of this D ST from the parsed words (1) (10) (0) (01) (101) (11) (011) (110) is shown in F ig. 4.

It is clear from the algorithm that the depth of a given word' in the D ST ( $m$ easured from the em pty root) is precisely the equal to the length of the W ord' (see Fig. 4). In particular, the longest Word' w ill also be the farthest from the root. Thus the length 1 of the longest word is precisely the height of the corresponding D ST. T here is a generalized Lem pel-Z iv algorithm where during the partitioning into words', any particular word' is allowed to be repeated at $m$ ost $b$ tim es $\left[1 \underline{2}^{-1}\right]$. Then the corresponding DST is precisely ab-D ST.T hus our results regarding the average height $l(n)$ and its width apply as well to the longest word' in the generalized Lem pel-Ziv algorithm.

## VI. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we have used a suitably adapted version of the traveling front approach to derive exact asym ptotic results for the statistics of the num ber of particles in a generalized directed di usion lim ited aggregation problem. We have pointed out a close connection of this problem to tw o separate problem s in com puter science, nam ely the digital search tree problem and the Lem pelZiv algorithm used for data com pression. Our results for the num ber of particles in the generalized $b-D D L A$ m odel have direct relevance to the statistics of height in the digital search tree problem and to the statistics of the longest w ord in the Lem pel-Ziv algorithm .

The traveling front approach has recently been used successfully ${ }^{[2} 2$ heights in a num ber of grow ing search tree problem $s$ in com puter science. T his paper show sthat the scope ofth is approach can be extended to include yet another di erent class of search trees, nam ely the digital search tree.

The $m$ ain advantage of this $m$ ethod is that it provides an easy way to derive the leading asym ptotic behavior exactly in a variety of extrem e value problem s [211].
$T$ he present study leads to a num ber of interesting, open problem swhich we list below.

U ndirected D LA problem on a tree: In this paper, we have focused on a directed $m$ odel for sim plicity, where the particles undergo di usion but only in the overall downward direction. It would be interesting to extend the traveling front approach to an undirected $m$ odel such as the one studied by $H$ astings and $H$ alsey [ [1] ] ].

Subleading Corrections in the biased DD LA problem: U sing traveling front approach, we m anaged to calculate only the leading behavior of the average density in the biased DDLA m odel (see Section IV). It would be interesting to com pute the subleading corrections to this leading behavior.

D D LA m odelwith stochastic screening: In this paper, we have studied a D D LA m odelw here the screening is determ inistic in the sense that a particle, on its way dow nwards, stops de nitely when it reaches at a site such that at least one of the daughter nodes of that site is occupied. It w ould be interesting to consider a stochastic screening version de ned as follows. For sim plicity, we de ne the m odel for $\mathrm{b}=1$ case, though it can be trivially generalized to $\mathrm{b}>1$ case also. The particles are introduced sequentially from the top as before and a new particle is introduced only when the previous particle has com pletely stopped m oving. On its way down, at each site ia particle perform s the follow ing steps: if both the daughter nodes of i are already occupied, the particle rests at i for allsubsequent tim es and then a new particle is added. If both the daughter nodes are em pty, then the particle chooses one of the daughter nodes at random and m oves there. If, how ever, only one of the daughter nodes (say the left one) is occupied but the other one (the right one) is em pty, then w ith probability $p(0 \quad p \quad 1)$ the particle $m$ oves to the right daughter node and $w$ th probability (1 p) it rests at i for all subsequent tim es. C learly the case $p=0$ corresponds to the determ in istic screening $m$ odel studied in Section II. O $n$ the other hand, for $\mathrm{p}=1$, it is obvious that at the saturation the tree will be com pletely full and the density w ill be exactly 1. It would be interesting to com pute the statistics of density in this stochastic screening $m$ odel.

D isordered b-D D LA m odel: In the present paper, we considered the $b-D D$ LA $m$ odelw hen all the nodes of the tree have the sam e capacity b. In a disordered version of the problem, this node capacity $b_{i}$ of a site im ay vary from one site to another. O ne can consider $b_{i}$ 's to be a set of quenched variables (as in the usualm odels in disorderd system s), each draw $n$ independently from a speci ed distribution $p(b)$. Then, for a given xed set of $b s$, one would rst like to com pute, for exam ple, the average num ber of particles $\mathrm{hn}_{1} i$ at saturation, and then average this quantity over the disorder to obtain $\overline{\mathrm{hn}_{1} \mathrm{i}}$, where $\ldots$ :
denotes the average over the $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{i}}$ 's. It w ould also be interesting to compute the sample to sample uctuations of the average density $\mathrm{hn}_{1} \mathrm{i}$.
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APPENDIX:DERIVATION OF THE GENERATING FUNCTION

In this appendix, we present the derivation of Eq. (5) where $\mathrm{F}_{1}^{\prime}(\mathrm{s})$ is de ned in Eq. ${ }^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{1}\right)$. O ur starting point is the linear equation $(\overline{-3})$. We rst de ne the exponential generating function,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{1}(z)=X_{n=0}^{X_{1}} F_{n}(n) \frac{z^{n}}{n!}: \tag{A1}
\end{equation*}
$$

M ultiplying both sides of Eq. (Ja) by $z^{n}=n$ ! and sum $m$ ing over $n$, it is easy to see that $H_{1}(z)$ satis es the b-th order nonlocaldi erentialequation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{l}+1}(\mathrm{z})}{\mathrm{dz}^{\mathrm{b}}}=2 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{l}}(\mathrm{z}=2) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{z}=2} \text {; } \tag{A2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all 1 1. This recursion in Eq. ( $\bar{A} \overline{2} \overline{2})$ starts from the initial function $\mathrm{H}_{1}(\mathrm{z})$ which needs to be com puted separately. U sing $F_{1}(n)=0$ for $0 \quad P_{P}^{n} \quad(b 1)$ and $F_{1}(n)=1$ for $n \quad b$, we $n d H_{1}(z)={ }_{P}^{P_{k=0}^{b}} \underset{k=0}{ } z^{k}=k!$. The next step is to de ne a new function,

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{1}(z)=H_{1}(z) e^{z}=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}=0}^{\mathrm{X}_{1}}(\mathrm{n}) \frac{\mathrm{z}^{\mathrm{n}}}{\mathrm{n}!} e^{z}: \tag{A3}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Eq. (Ā11), it follow s, after a few steps of algebra, that $U_{1}(z)$ satis es the di erential equation,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{b}} & \mathrm{~b}  \tag{A4}\\
\mathrm{k}=0
\end{array} \quad \begin{gathered}
\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{U}_{1+1}(\mathrm{z}) \\
\mathrm{dz}
\end{gathered}=2 \mathrm{U}_{1}(\mathrm{z}=2) ;
$$

for all 1 starting $w$ ith the initial function, $U_{1}(z)=$ $e^{z} \underset{k=0}{b} z^{k}=k$ !.
$R_{1}{ }^{W}$ e now de ne the Laplace transform, $\tilde{U}_{1}(s)=$ $\mathrm{Q}_{1}(\mathrm{z}) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{sz}} \mathrm{dz}$. Taking the Laplace transform in Eq. ( $\left.\mathrm{A} \overline{3}_{-1}\right)$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{1}(s)=X_{n=0}^{X_{1}} F_{1}(n) \frac{1}{(1+s)^{n+1}}=F_{1}^{r}(s) ; \tag{A5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have used the identity $\begin{gathered}R_{1} \\ 0\end{gathered} e^{z} z^{n} d z=n!$ and the de nition ofF $_{1}(\mathrm{~s})$ in Eq. (S্S). Next we take the Laplace transform on both sides of Eq . (A-4). U sing the intial conditions for $n=0$, one can show easily that $d^{k} U_{1}(z)=d z^{k} \dot{z}=0=0$ for all $1 \quad 1$ and $k \quad(b \quad 1) . U$ sing this condition and doing integration by parts, one nds

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
X^{b} & b  \tag{A6}\\
k=0 & k
\end{array}
$$

Sum $m$ ing the left hand side of Eq. ( $\bar{A} \overline{-} \overline{-})$ and identifying $U_{1}(s)=F_{1}^{r}(s)$ as in Eq. $\left(\bar{A} \bar{S}_{1}^{-}\right)$then ${ }^{-\quad}$ gives the desired recursion relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{1+1}^{r}(\mathrm{~s})=\frac{4}{(1+s)^{\mathrm{b}}} \mathrm{~F}_{1}^{\mathrm{r}}(2 \mathrm{~s}): \tag{A7}
\end{equation*}
$$
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