Field {induced structural aging in glasses at ultra low tem peratures

S. Ludwig and D. D. Oshero

Department of Physics, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305-4060, USA

(D ated: M arch 22, 2024)

In non {equilibrium experim ents on the glasses M ylar and BK 7, we measured the excess dielectric response after the tem porary application of a strong electric bias eld at m K {tem peratures. A model recently developed describes the observed long time decays qualitatively for M ylar [1], but fails for BK 7. In contrast, our results on both sam ples can be described by including an additional mechanism to the mentioned model with tem perature independent decay times of the excess dielectric response. A s the origin of this novel process beyond the "tunneling model" we suggest bias eld induced structural rearrangements of "tunneling states" that decay by quantum mechanical tunneling.

PACS num bers: 61.43 Fs, 77.22.-d, 66.35.+a, 05.70 Ln

M ost low tem perature properties of glasses are well described by the phenom enological "tunneling model" [2, 3, 4, 5]. It starts from the assumption that the potentialm in in a ofgroups of atom sare not "wellde ned", but can be described as particles moving in "double well" potentials. At low enough tem peratures, only the ground states of the two wells are occupied, and the "tunneling states" (TSs) m ove by quantum m echanical tunneling between them . The energy splitting of these two levels is $_{0}^{2}$ + $_{2}^{2}$, where the asymmetry, , is given by E = the energy di erence between the two localm inim a. The tunneling splitting can be estimated using the WKB { approximation as $_0$ ' h exp(), with the attempt frequency, . The tunnel parameter = d 2m V = 2h contains the e ective mass, m, the potential barrier height, V, and the distance between the two wells, d. Recent experiments revealed deviations from the tunneling model and show that interactions between TSs gain importance with decreasing temperature [1, 6, 7]. Our new results will be discussed within a picture that goes beyond "double well" potentials.

Long time non{equilibrium dynamics of TSs in the polyester glass M ylar after the tem porary application of a large electric bias eld were previously presented [1]. These data were analyzed using the "dipole gap" theory [8] by extending its predictions to the case of a tem porary applied bias eld. A central assumption of the "dipole gap" theory is that mutually strongly coupled TSs do not contribute to the dielectric response in thermal equilibrium. However, out of equilibrium these TSs contribute to the dielectric constant as if isolated [8]. The ndings in [1] were explained in term s of strongly coupled pairs of TSs that are driven out of equilibrium during a eld sweep, not only by relaxation, but also by non{ adiabatic driving of one m em ber of two strongly coupled TS { pair by the applied eld. Our new results indicate the existence of an additional process leading to non{ equilibrium pairs of TSs, while the sum of all processes rem ains constant. This novel process yields an excess dielectric response with a decay time independent of the bias eld history and temperature. For the polyester

glass M ylar, the new process becomes signi cant only at a su ciently high electric bias eld. In our experiments, we measured the dielectric constant of a 15 m thick M ylar lm and a 300 m thick BK 7 wafer, both contained in a ³He immersion cell. All measurements were performed in the linear response region and at the frequency f = 10 kHz.

Figs: 1 and 2 show the excess dielectric response of M ylar after the tem porary application of an electric bias



FIG.1: Dielectric response of M ylar to a tem porary applied bias eld with maximal value F for a waiting time $t_w = 30$ s and di erent sweep times at a tem perature T = 10 mK. Solid lines are model curves explained in the text. The schematic illustrates the bias eld sweep and the denition of t = 0.

eld for the waiting tim e $t_w = 30$ s and at tem peratures of T = 10 m K and T = 20 m K. The sketch in Fig:1 illustrates the evolution of the bias eld sweep. Note that the zero point of tim e is de ned to be at the com pletion of the sweep and, here, the tim e axes are logarithm ic. In Fig:1 the sweep tim est_s vary between 0.3 s t_s 300 satelectric bias elds of m axim alstrength F = 6:7 M V/m, while in Fig: 2 t_s = 10 s for all curves at di erent m axim um



FIG.2: D ielectric response of M ylar as in Fig:1, but at constant sweep times for several maxim albias eklsF. The data are taken at T = 10 mK (main gure) and T = 20 mK for the inset. Solid lines are model curves as explained in the text.

bias elds 0:3MV = m F 8:7MV/m.

A tem porary applied bias eld causes relaxational processes that bring TSs out of equilibrium. In [1], strong deviations from the expectations due to relaxation were observed in the dependence of the waiting time (Fig: 1 in [1]). These results were qualitatively explained by gonsidering the evolution of energy splittings E(t) =

 $\frac{2}{0}$ + (+ pF (t))² while sweeping TSs through zero asymmetry + pF(t) = 0 (assembling an avoided level crossing situation). If the combination of electric ac{ and bias{ eld changes fast, system s tunneling slow ly cannot adjust to their m om entary equilibrium while being sym metric, i.e. + pF (t) $< _0$. Such TSs are driven non { adiabatically out of equilibrium and, if part of a strongly coupled pair, suddenly contribute to the dielectric response. Due to the range of relaxation times, the excess response decays in time as log($_0$ =t), as long as the ac{ eld dom inates the driving e ect, i.e. for sm all sweep rates F =ts. At faster sweep rates the bias{ eld drives T Ss non {adiabatically. This situation causes deviations from logarithm ic decays [1, 10]. In Figs: 1 and 2, the transition between both non {adiabatic lim its can be seen [10]. Here, relaxational processes can be om itted, as com parison to the waiting time dependence reported in [1] shows. The slopes of the solid lines in both gures, representing logarithm ic decays as expected for non {adiabatic ac{ eld driving, are calculated from the results in [1]. They depend on tem perature and maxim albias eld but not on sweep rate and waiting time [1]. The decay times $_0$, dened as the tim es when the model curves extrapolate to "=" = 0, depend on the m any param eters of the m odel,

but we take them here as t parameters.



FIG.3: Decay times for M ylar obtained from model curves of the previous Figures due to a model including dom inant pair breaking by non {adiabatic driving of TSs [1]. The solid lines are model curves without (and the dashed lines with) an additional contribution to the dielectric response that decays independent of tem perature and bias eld history.

Fig: 3 shows double logarithm ic plots of decay times as a function of the sweep rate $F = t_s$. Solid points represent the decay tim es extracted from measurem ents with a maximal bias eld of F = 6.7 MV/m and dierent sweep times, for T = 10 m K (Fig: 1) and T = 20 m K in the upper and lower plot, respectively. Non{adiabatic driving causes 0 to be a function of the sweep rate $F = t_s$ [1, 10]. If bias eld driving is dom inant (fast sweeps) the model introduced in [1] proposes a linear dependence $_0 = (T)t_s = F$. For dom inant ac{ eld driving (slow sweeps) the exact form of the functional dependence is unknown. All data sets presented in Fig: 3 contain the transition between these two limits (fast and slow sweeps). The decay times extracted from our sweep time dependent data (solid points) show the same power law behavior over 4 orders of m agnitude in $F = t_s$, clearly indicating equal functional behavior in both lim its. How ever, we observe the relation $_0$ / (t_s=F)^{0:4}, in striking contrast to the expected linear dependence.

The open circles in Fig: 3 present the decay times obtained from the bias eld dependent measurements shown in Fig: 2. For bias elds greater than a crossover eld F_c ' 1.5 MV/m we observe identical behavior as for the sweep time dependent measurements, that were taken at F = 6.7 MV/m, much greater than F_c . Strikingly, for $F < F_c$ the behavior suddenly changes to the expected linear relation $_0 / t_s = F$ (solid lines in Fig: 3). The tem perature dependence mirrors this situation: For $F < F_c$ we nd $_0$ (T = 20m K)= $_0$ (T = 10m K) ' 1.8

(solid lines), in agreement with the observed temperature dependence of the decay times in the limit of dominant relaxational processes [1]. For $F > F_{\rm c}$ we observe $_0$ (T = 20m K)= $_0$ (T = 10m K) ' $1.8^{0.4}$ (dashed lines), with the identical exponent as for the sweep rate dependence in this limit. Both the non{linear relation $_0$ / $(t_{\rm s}{=}F)^{0.4}$ for $F > F_{\rm c}$ as well as the temperature dependence cannot be explained by our model so far.

O urBK 7{sam ple is twenty times thicker than the M ylar lm resulting in an accordingly weaker maximal bias eld of F = 0:4 M V/m for our maximum applied voltage. However, the electric coupling between T Ss is about 6 times stronger than in M ylar [9] yielding similar excess responses in both sam ples for otherwise similar parameters. The sweep time dependence for BK 7 is displayed in the inset of Fig: 4 for t_s 10 s and in the main gure for t_s 10 s. Due to the stronger coupling between T Ss in



FIG. 4: Sweep time dependence of the relative dielectric constant of BK7 after transient application of a bias eld F = 0.4 MV/m at the temperature T = 20 mK for long sweep times $t_s = 10 \text{ s in the main gure and short sweep times } to s in the inset.$

BK 7, for $t_s > t_w$ a sizable decay of the excess dielectric response already happens while the bias eld is still decreased back to zero. In a sim plifying approximation we assume an electrice increase of the waiting time proportional to the sweep time. For the data shown in Fig: 4, the linear time transformation t ! t + 0.4t_s produces a set of alm ost identical curves. This ability to compensate for the unwanted elect described above, is gratifying but not essential for our analysis of the decay times, which are alm ost unal ected by the above time transformation (due to the logarithm ic time scale). The absence of any sweep time dependence in BK 7 { in striking contrast to the observations in M ylar { can be understood in term s of very dilerent lower cuto s of the density of states for TSs, E_{min} , in the two sam ples. Only TSs with

slow tunneling frequencies are driven non {adiabatically. Hence, we expect to observe sweep rate independent decays, if E_{m in} is larger than a crossover splitting, _{0c}, below which non{adiabatic driving of TSs is present. Taking into account all of our measurements [10], we estimate E_{min} =h > 1:6 mK for BK7 and E_{min} =h < 40 K for Mylar, in agreement with conclusions drawn from a saturation of the dielectric constant at low temperatures [9]. For relaxational processes bringing pairs out of equilibrium, an upper lim it for the decay time can be estim ated. By taking only one phonon processes of TSs with E $k_{\!B}\,T$ at zero $\,$ eld and EрF $k_{\!B}T$ at applied eld into account and assum ing a typical dipole 1 D we nd $_0 < t_w pF = k_B T$ moment of p 100 s. In contrast, we observe decay times scattered around $_0 = 7100$ 500 s in our experiments (Fig: 4). The excess dielectric response of BK7 is therefore prim arily caused by a novel process, for which the decay time exhibits at most a very weak dependence on tem perature [10].

W e will now show that the decay time dependence on the sweep rate $_0$ (F=t_s) in our M ylar sample (Fig: 3) can as well be described by including such an additional process with constant decay time. We assume that T Ss contributing to the novel process do not contribute to non{adiabatic driving and therefore "=" / [1 (F)]ln[(T)t_s=(Ft)]+ (F)ln[e_0=t]. Here (T)t_s=F and e₀ are the decay times due to non{adiabatic driving, containing the temperature dependent pre{factor (T), and the novel process, respectively, and (F) is the am plitude of the novel process. The decay times obtained from the logarithm ic theory curves are then given by

$$\log(0) = [1 \quad (F)] \log[(T)t_s=F] + (F) \log[e_0]: (1)$$

All lines in Fig: 3 are obtained from (1), where we assum ed $(F > F_c) = _0$ and $(F < F_c) = 0$, i.e. that the novel process disappears for $F < F_{c}$, in accordance with experiments. The tparameters are e_0 ' 4:7 for the transformation of transformation of the transformation of transformation of the transformation of transf $_{\rm 0}$ ' 0:6 for both tem peratures, (10m K) ' 620 M V /m and (20m K) = (10m K) ' 1:8. By including the novel process we can now recalculate the model curves for Figs: 1 and 2 as well as for the data in a previous publication [1]. Hereby, the slopes of all model curves only depend on two commen t parameters, the dipole moment of p = 1:2 0:1 D and the interaction constant, P_0U_0 ' 6:3 10^4 , where P_0 is the density of states of TSs and U₀ the m ean interaction energy tim es volum e. N ote, that due to the in uence of the novel process, our value of P_0U_0 , is slightly higher than previously reported [1]. U sing logarithm ic decay curves for the novel process we nd good agreem ent with our data by assuming the same dependence of the slopes of the decay curves on tem perature and maximal bias eld as already proposed in the "dipole gap" theory [8] and observed in our previous experim ents for relaxational pair breaking and non { adiabatic driving processes [1]. Thus, the slope of the decay curves (in their logarithm ic region) is governed by

the general dependence on tem perature of the dielectric response as well as how far the bias eld drives the TSs out of equilibrium. In order to reach good agreement with all our measurements on M ylar [10], we necessarily must make the assumption in (1) that the novel process excludes additional contributions to the excess dielectric response due to other processes, is: non{adiabatic driving. Undoubtedly, if the dynamics of strongly coupled pairs of TSs governs the other contributions, the novel process in uences clusters as well.

The tem perature independence of e points to a process involving quantum mechanical tunneling, wherein therm al transitions are unimportant. The general assumption of a at distribution of tunneling parameters () = 0 in a disordered solid yields the distribution of tunneling times () = $_0$ = , with / exp(). Assum ing that strongly coupled pairs stop contributing to the dielectric response once they are in them al equilibrium, as in the "dipole gap" theory, we nd a logarithm ic $m_{m in}^{m ax} d$ () (t) = $_{0} \ln (e_{0}=t)$, with decay "=" / e and the Heavyside step function (t).A m ax strong electric bias eld couples to dipole m om ents, generally present in am orphous solids due to displacem ents of atom s, and leads to structural rearrangem ents of the atom s changing the potential energy distribution. This situation yields a di erent set of low energy states than the TSs provided at zero eld. Nevertheless, in them al equilibrium, experim ental observations are identical because of the glassy distribution of param eters. Prim arily, we are interested in the e ect of a bias eld sweep on TSs contributing at zero eld to the dielectric constant.

Simulations suggest that the additional low energy internal degrees of freedom observed in glasses include coherentm ovem ents of the order of 20 {100 atom s [11]. The interaction of that many atom s yields a multiple level scheme, wherein a TS can contribute to the excess dielectric response, if the two low est levels are close enough in energy, exp: $_0 < k_B T$ and E k_BT.A strong bias eld pF k_BT couples to dipole moments and reorganizes the energy eigenstates present in the glass. It thereby brings TSs, including strongly coupled pairs, out of therm al equilibrium . Moreover, energy states that are therm ally not accessible at zero bias eld will be occupied during the eld sweep by quantum mechanical tunneling. It is conceivable that successive tunneling processes while the bias eld is swept tend to bring TSs into metastable states that are lower in energy than their neighbor potential wells (in con guration space) during the entire eld sweep, in: tunneling processes tend to be unidirectional in a non (equilibrium situation. Once back at zero bias eld, these TSs are generally still trapped in their m etastable states and separated from the lowest energy states (at zero bias eld) by a large potential barrier. Interm ediate states tem porarily occupied during the eld sweep are now statistically higher in energy and thermally not accessible (E $k_{\rm B}$ T). W hile m etastable

states in single wells are occupied that cannot be counted as T Ss, additional metastable two level states exist. Because of the at distribution of asymmetry energies, the overall number of T Ss contributing is the same at any time. However, T Ss out of equilibrium that belong to strongly coupled clusters contribute to the excess dielectric constant as being isolated. Our interpretation in terms of structural rearrangements should be considered as a rough outline of a possible scenario. It calls for a detailed examination, including the in uence of metastable conditions on the dielectric response dependent on the broadly distributed time constants of the di erent involved processes.

W e observe the novel process in BK 7 at relatively sm all bias elds. However, a minimum bias eld is required in the polyester glass M ylar possibly due to a potential barrier necessary to rearrange hydrocarbon chains.

In conclusion, after the tem porary application of a sufciently large electric bias eld to a glass sam ple at ultra low tem peratures, we nd a long{lasting contribution to the excess dielectric response that decays logarithm ically in time with a decay time independent of tem perature and bias eld history. We assign this novel process to eld{induced structural rearrangements in the glass that decay slow ly by quantum mechanical tunneling through large potential barriers. Strongly coupled pairs involved cause an excess dielectric response as long as they are not in them al equilibrium.

We thank P:Nalbach, J:Baum gardner, J:R:Jameson, W:Harrison and S.Hunklinger for valuable discussions. This work was supported by US:Dept:ofEnergy grant $D \in FG 03-90 \in R45435-M 012$ and the Deutsche Forschungsgem einschaft.

- S.Ludwig, P.Nalbach, D.Rosenberg, D.Oshero, Phys. Rev.Lett. 90 105501 (2003)
- [2] R.Zeller and R.Pohl, Phys. Rev. B 4, 2029 (1971)
- [3] W A. Phillips, J. Low Tem p. Phys. 7, 351 (1972)
- [4] P.W. Anderson and B.J.Halperin, and C.M. Varma, Philos. M ag. 25, 1 (1972)
- [5] W A. Phillips, Rep. Prog. Phys. 50, 1657 (1987)
- [6] C.Enss, Physica B 316-317, 12 (2002)
- [7] D. J. Salvino, S. Rogge, B. Tigner, D. D. O. Shero, Phys. Rev. Lett. 73 (1994) 268; S. Rogge, D. Natelson, D. D.
 O. Shero, ibid. 76 (1996) 3136; D. Natelson, D. Rosenberg, D. D. O. Shero, ibid. 80 (1998) 4689
- [8] A.L.Burin, J.Low Temp. Phys. 100, 309 (1995)
- [9] S. Rogge, D. Natelson, B. Tigner, D. D. O. Shero, Phys. Rev. B 55, 11256 (1997)
- [10] S.Ludwig, P.Nalbach, D.O shero, to be published
- [11] H.R.Schober, C.Gaukel, C.Olischleger, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. 126, 67 (1997)
- [12] D.Rosenberg, P.Nalbach, D.O shero , accepted at Phys. Rev.Lett; C ondM att/0301180 (2002)