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#### Abstract

W e consider a lattice gas w ith quenched im purities or quenched-annealed binary mixture' on the B ethe lattice. The quenched part represents a porous $m$ atrix in which the (annealed) lattice gas resides. This m odel features the 3 m ain factors of $u$ ids in random porous m edia: wetting, random ness and con nem ent. The recursive character of the B ethe lattice enables an exact treatm ent, whose key ingredient is an integralequation yielding the one-particle e ective eld distribution. O ur analysis show $s$ that this distribution consists of two essentially di erent parts. The rst one is a continuous spectrum and corresponds to the $m$ acroscopic volum e accessible to the uid, the second is discrete and com es from nite closed cavities in the porous m edium. Those closed cavities are in equilibrium w ith the bulk uid w ithin the grand canonical ensem ble we use, but are inaccessible in real experim ental situations. Fortunately, we are able to isolate their contributions. Separation of the discrete spectrum facilitates also the num erical solution of the $m$ ain equation. The num erical calculations show that the continuous spectrum becom es $m$ ore and $m$ ore rough as the tem perature decreases, and this lim its the accuracy of the solution at low tem peratures.


PACS num bers: $05.50 .+\mathrm{q}, 64.70 \mathrm{Fx}, 75.10 \mathrm{Nr}$

## I. IN TRODUCTION

W hen uids are adsorbed in porousm aterials they behave very di erently from what we know in the bulk. $T$ his happens in both high-porosity $m$ aterials, such as silica aerogels, and low-porosity $m$ aterials, such as $V y^{-}$ cor glass. Even an aerogel that occupies a few percent of the total volum e signi cantly deform s and reduces the gas-liquid binodal of the uid. At least three factors affect phase equilibrium of uids in porousm edia: wetting, random ness, and con nem ent by the $m$ atrix. A num ber of $m$ odels exist that em phasize one or two of those factors, and they greatly facilitate understanding ofpossible behaviors of the uid in the porousm edia (see review in Ref. []$\left.\left._{1}^{1}\right]\right)$. B ut theoreticalm odels that take into account all three factors appear to be extrem ely hard to deal w ith. All existing m icroscopic theories are inconclusive even conceming the qualitative behavior of the gas-liquid binodalin the porousm edium . C onventionalapproxim ation schem es yield very di erent results depending on the
 C arlo sim ulations su er from long relaxation tim es and
 $T$ his $m$ akes any exactly treatable $m$ odelespecially desirable. W e shall consider such a m odel, which features all the three factors. It derives from the well known lattice gas m odel of a uid. W e shall consider here only the sim plest representation of a random porous $m$ edium (quenched im purities of equal size). The $m$ odel is exactly solvable on the Bethe lattioe, in the sense that we can derive a closed integral equation for the local

[^0]eld distribution. Related approaches, leading to sim ilar equations, were previously known in the context of
 [8], 1,1$]$. T he resulting integral equation has an interesting structure, which $m$ eans that although num erical solution is relatively straightforw ard at high tem perature, as tem perature is low ered the eld distribution (and hence also the num erics) becom es $m$ ore and m ore involved. This problem is also w orse close to the percolation threshold of the porous $m$ edium .

The Bethe lattioe is de ned on a large uniform ly branching tree of which the B ethe lattioe is the part far from any perim eter site. (T his is distinct from a C ayley tree which includes all parts.) T he B ethe lattice should not be confused w th the Bethe approxim ation, which usually denotes a set of $m$ ean- eld-like self-consistency equations for order param eters. T he B ethe approxim ation is closely related to the cluster variation $m$ ethod or cluster approxim ation which derive these equations from truncated cluster expansion of either the free energy or entropy functional em ploying several variational param eters. For $m$ any $m$ odels these approxim ations becom e exact on the Bethe lattice, but not in the case studied here (exœept for special choioes of param eters). $T$ his is a part ofthem otivation for the exact analysis presented below. A nother im portant goal is to rectify a shortcom ing of the grand canonicalensemble when dealing w ith uids in porous media. This ensemble allows uid to equilibrate throughout the pore spaœ, including closed pores that are inaccessible to uid in reality. For the B ethe lattice we are able to isolate and rem ove the e ects of these closed pores.

W e shall form ulate the m odel and present the basic equations in Section II. T he analysis of Section !IIIT w ill bring forw ard the notion of nite clusters and their im portance for a correct description of the uid. D etails of the num erical algorithm and com puter-aided results
 conclusions.

## II. THE M ODEL

In lattice models of a uid, the particles are allow ed to occupy only those spatial positions which belong to sites of a chosen lattice. The con gurationalintegral of a sim ple uid is thereby replaced by the partition function

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z=\operatorname{Trexp}(H) ;=1=\left(k_{B} T\right) ; \\
& H=H \quad N=\frac{1}{2}_{i j}^{X} I_{i j} n_{i} n_{j} \quad{ }_{i} n_{i} ; \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ here $n_{i}$, which equals 0 or 1 , is the num ber of particles at site $i=1 \quad \mathrm{~V}$, where V is the num ber of the lattice sites [1d]). Tr m eans a sum $m$ ation over all occupation pattems. The total num ber N of particles is allow ed to uctuate; is a chem ical potential, which should be determ ined from the relation

Since particles cannot approach closer than the lattioe spacing allows, lattice models autom atically preserve one essential feature of the $m$ olecular interaction: nonoverlapping of particles. The lattice uid with nearestneighbor attraction is known to dem onstrate the gasliquid transition only. $N$ evertheless, the lattioe gas $w$ ith interacting further neighbors possesses a realistic (w hich $m$ eans argon-like) phase diagram $w$ ith all the transitions between the gaseous, liquid, and solid phases being present []$\left._{1}^{1}\right]$. In this paper we dealonly $w$ ith uid phases and restrict ourselves to the nearest neighbor interaction.
$T$ he uid adsorbed in the porous solid can be thought of as residing on a lattioe, of which a fraction of sites are excluded or pre-occupied by particles of another sort. A lthough in practioe these blocked sites, representing the solid, $m$ ust form a connected netw ork (if the solid is to rem ain static) we ignore this here and allow sites to be blocked at random. Thus, we have to consider the lattioe gas with quenched im purities, whose H am iltonian is given by $\left.\overline{\underline{R}}{ }^{1}\right]$

$$
\begin{align*}
& H=I^{X} \quad n_{i} n_{j} \quad K^{X} \quad n_{i} x_{j} \quad \begin{array}{lll} 
& X \\
n_{i} ;
\end{array}  \tag{3}\\
& \text { hiji hiji i }
\end{align*}
$$

P
where ${ }^{P}{ }_{\text {hiji }} m$ eans sum $m$ ation over all nearest neighbor sites, and quenched variables $x_{i}$ describe the presence ( $x_{i}=1$ ) or absence ( $x_{i}=0$ ) of a solid particle at site i. E ach site of the lattice can be either em pty ( $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}=0$, $n_{i}=0$ ), occupied by a uid particle ( $x_{i}=0, n_{i}=1$ ), or led w ith a particle belonging to the porous solid and therefore inaccessible to the uid ( $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}=1, \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}}=0$ ). The rst term of Eq. (3ㅣㄴ) describes the nearest neighbor attraction between the uid particles ( $I>0$ ), the second
one corresponds to the uid-solid attraction ( $K>0$ ) or repulsion ( $K<0$ ) on the nearest neighbor sites. T he hard-core repulsion is taken into account by m utual exclusiveness of particles: $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}+\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}} \quad 1$.

It is quite custom ary to establish the connection betw een lattice gases and the Ising $m$ odel. Indeed, a sim ple substitution $S_{i}=2 n_{i} \quad 1$ transform $s$ [1] into the Ising $H$ am iltonian. In the Ising $m$ odellanguage $S_{i}=1 \mathrm{~m}$ eans an em pty site, and $S_{i}=1$ corresponds to a site occupied by a uid particle. The current $m$ odel ( $\underline{1}_{1}$ ) is equivalent to the diluted Ising $m$ odelw ith random surface eld :
j

$$
\begin{equation*}
J=I=4 ; H==2+z I=4 ; H=K=2 \quad I=4: \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$H$ ere $y_{i}=1 \quad x_{i}$ describes the accessibility of site $i$ to the uid particles; the constant term in (4) em braces several pieces that depend only on quenched variables and therefore do not contribute to the them odynam ics;
z is the coordination num ber of the lattioe; the sum on $j$ in (SG) spans nearest neighbor sites to site i. W hen deriving (4) we used the equality $n_{i}=n_{i} y_{i}$. $\quad i$ is a random eld correlated to surface sites: i $\in 0$ at the sites that are accessible to the uid ( $y_{i}=1$ ), and are in contact w ith the solid (at least one of the neighbor sites has $x_{j}(0)$. W hen $H=0$, Eq. ( $(\underline{4})$ is a $H$ am ittonian of the dihuted Ising ferrom agnet, and we shall frequently refer to this lim iting case throughout the paper.

The grand them odynam ic potential of the $m$ odel is

where the distribution function ( $f x_{i} g$ ) is a quenched one that describes the distribution of solid particles in space.

W e shall study this $m$ odel on the B ethe lattice, which perm its us to calculate an exact them odynam ic potential. It is know $n$ that $m$ any problem $s$ on tree-like structures, such as that depicted in Fig. ${ }_{1}^{1} 11$, can be solved iteratively. The term Bethe lattioe' refers to an in nitely deep part of such a tree.

Each site on the nth level has $k=z \quad 1$ neighbors at the $(n+1)$ th leveland one neighbor at the ( $n \quad 1$ ) th level. The partition function of the $m$ odel can be calculated recursively, from upper levels to the bottom. For exam ple, let us consider the cluster of sites fram ed by the dashed box in F ig. ${ }_{1}^{11}, \mathbf{1}$, w here $\mathrm{k}=3$. T he follow ing relation (w hich holds for all $k$ ) show $s$ how tracing out spins at the upper level form $s$ an $e$ ective eld' on the low er level:

| $X$ | $X$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $S_{1}$ | $S_{k}$ | $\exp \left(S_{0}{ }_{l=1}^{k} J_{01} S_{1}+\quad{ }_{l=0}^{X^{k}} h_{1} S_{1}\right)=$ |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H=\begin{array}{llll} 
& X & J_{i j} S_{i} S_{j}
\end{array} \begin{array}{l}
X \\
h_{i} S_{i}+\text { const } ;
\end{array} \\
& \text { hiji i } \\
& J_{i j}=J y_{i} Y_{j} ; h_{i}=y_{i} H+\quad i ; \quad i=y_{i} H \quad x_{j} ;(5)
\end{aligned}
$$



FIG.1: A schem atic picture of the Bethe lattice with coordination num ber $z=4$. The arrow heads do not $m$ ean that the links are asym $m$ etric, they just illustrate the way the partition function calculation proceeds (see text).

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.=\int_{l=1}^{Y^{k}} C\left(J_{01} ; h_{1}\right)\right] \exp \left(\check{K}_{0} S_{0}\right) ;  \tag{9}\\
& \check{h}_{0}=h_{0}+{ }_{l=1}^{X^{k}} U\left(J_{01} ; h_{1}\right) ; \\
& U(J ; h)={ }^{1} \operatorname{atanh}(\tanh (J) \tanh (h)] ;  \tag{10}\\
& C(J ; h)=2 \frac{\cosh (J) \cosh (h)}{\cosh [U(J ; h)]}:
\end{align*}
$$

W e have thus obtained an e ective eld $\widetilde{K}_{0}$ which is a sum of the original eld $h_{0}$ and $k$ contributions from the upper branches. W e can proceed this way recursively dow nw ard, giving

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{h}_{i}=h_{i}+{ }_{j=1}^{X^{k}} U\left(J_{i j} ; \widetilde{h}_{j}\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

In writing this equation, and throughout the follow ing, we now specialize to the case w here there is no correlation of the $f y_{i} g$ variables (w hich specify the sites occupied by the solid $m$ atrix) beyond nearest neighbor correlations, which are perm itted. In this case, taking into account that $k$ upper branches do not interact until they $m$ eet the chosen site, the elds $\mathrm{fh}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{g}$ are uncorrelated. (In $m$ ore general cases, the $y_{j}$ at di erent branches are correlated; the joint distribution $Q\left(y_{1} ; \mathrm{h}_{1} ; \quad{ }_{k} \boldsymbol{H}_{\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{k}}}\right)$ cannot be decoupled as a product of $Q\left(\mathrm{y}_{j} ; \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{j}}\right)$; and (18) is invalid.)
at each level. In the non-random case, when $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{h}$ and $J_{i j}=J$, the e ective eld deep inside the tree satis es the stable point relation that follow s from Eq. (13):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\mathrm{h}}=\mathrm{h}+\mathrm{kU}(\mathrm{~J} ; \check{\mathrm{n}}): \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

For ourm odel ( $\overline{4} \mathbf{4})$, we can expect that a properly de ned e ective eld distribution tends to a stable lim iting form at deep levels of the tree.

Let us consider the probability $Q_{i}(y ; h) d h$ that $y_{i}=y$ and $\widetilde{\mathrm{h}}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{h}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{i}(\mathrm{y} ; \mathrm{h})={ }_{\mathrm{yy} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}}^{\mathrm{D}} \quad\left(\mathrm{~h} \quad \widetilde{\mathrm{~K}}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)_{\mathrm{fxg}}^{\mathrm{E}} ; \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ( $h$ ) stands for the D irac distribution, and $a b$ is a K ronecker's delta ( ab equals 1 if $\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{b}$, and equals zero otherw ise). $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{i}}(0 ; \mathrm{h})$ is the e ective eld distribution at a $m$ atrix site, and $Q_{i}(1 ; h)$ is the eld distribution for a site accessible to uid. It is easy to see from (1131) and ( $\underline{5}_{1}^{\prime}$ ) that when $y_{i}=0, J_{i j}=0$ and $h_{i}=0$, and the e ective
eld $\check{\mathrm{K}}_{\mathrm{i}}$ equals zero, thus $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{i}}(0 ; \mathrm{h})$ does not depend on $i$ and has a sim ple form

$$
Q_{i}(0 ; h)=Q(0 ; h)=c_{0} \quad(h)
$$

where we de ne

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{y}} \quad \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{yyi}} i_{\mathrm{fxg}} ; \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that $c_{0}$ is a fraction of the sites occupied by the $m$ atrix. W hen we m ove recursively from the surface of the tree deeper into its interior, $Q_{i}(1 ; h)$ changes, but should tend to a xed point at in nitely deep levels of the tree: $Q_{i}(1 ; h)!Q(1 ; h)$. It follows from (13') that the resulting distribution obeys

U sing the integral representation of the delta function

$$
\begin{equation*}
(h)=\frac{d}{2} \exp (i h) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

we can factorize the delta function in (18) and get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q(1 ; h)={ }^{D Z} \frac{d}{2} y_{i} \exp \left(i\left(h \quad h_{i}\right)\right) \\
& Y_{j=1}^{Y^{k} Z} \mathrm{dh}_{j} Q\left(y_{j} ; h_{j}\right) \exp \left(i U\left(J_{i j} ; h_{j}\right)\right)_{f \times g}^{E} \text { : }
\end{aligned}
$$

C on gurational averaging $w$ th respect to the quenched variablesh $f_{x 9}$ in (2d) can now be perform ed explicitly, and it yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& Q(h)=\frac{\mathrm{Z}}{2} \exp (\mathrm{i}(\mathrm{~h} \quad \mathrm{H})) Q^{\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{O}) ;  \tag{21}\\
& \widetilde{Z}(\mathrm{O})=p_{0} \exp (\text { i } H)+p_{1} \quad \mathrm{dh}^{0} Q\left(h^{0}\right) \exp \left(\text { i } u\left(h^{0}\right)\right) ;
\end{align*}
$$

where we introduced the notations $Q(h)=Q(1 ; h)=C_{1}$; $u(h)=U(J ; h) ; p_{a}=w_{a 1}=C_{1}$; and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{ab}} \quad \quad \mathrm{ay} \mathrm{y}_{i} \mathrm{by}_{j} \quad \mathrm{fxg} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the probability to nd $y_{i}=a$ and $y_{j}=b$ at $a$ random ly chosen pair of nearest neighbor sites $i$ and $j$. For exam ple, $w_{11}=h y_{i} Y_{j} i_{f x g}$ is a probability that both sites in the pair are accessible to uid. For each site accessible to the uid, $p_{1}$ speci es the probability that a given nearest neighbor site is accessible as well; $p_{0}=1 \quad p_{1}$ is, correspondingly, the probability that this neighbor site is occupied by the solid.
$N$ ote that $Q(h)$ speci es the distribution of the e ective eld created by $k$ lupper branches'. The com plete one-site eld deep inside the tree consists of contributions com ing from all $z$ nearest neighbors, and its distribution takes into account $z$ equivalent branches

$$
Q_{z}(1 ; h)=Y_{i}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
h_{i} & h_{i} \\
X^{z} \\
U & \left.\left(J_{i j} ; \tilde{h}_{j}\right)\right)
\end{array}{ }_{\text {fxg }}^{+}\right.
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =c_{1} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{2} \exp \left(i\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{h} & \mathrm{H})
\end{array}\right) Q^{z}() ;\right.  \tag{23}\\
Q_{z}(0 ; \mathrm{h}) & =c_{0}(\mathrm{~h}): \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ his distribution determ ines the one-site average values. For exam ple, in the $m$ agnetic intenpretation of the $m$ odel $\left(\overline{3}_{-1}\right)$, this total one-site eld perm its to calculate the average $m$ agnetization (per $m$ agnetic site)

$$
m=\operatorname{hhn}_{i} S_{i} i_{H} i_{x}=C_{1}=\quad \mathrm{dh} Q_{z}(h) \tanh (h):
$$

Calculation of other them odynam ic properties is less straitforw ard. The therm odynam ic potential of the tree


$$
F(f x g)=k^{X} \quad \ln T r_{i} \exp \left(H_{i}\right)^{X} \quad \ln T r_{i j} \exp \left(H_{i j}\right) ;
$$

(26)
where $H_{i}$ and $H_{i j}$ are $H$ am iltonians of one site and a pair of sites, respectively, and the traces act only on the corresponding local degrees of freedom. The con gurationally averaged therm odynam ic potential of the B ethe latioe $m$ ust take into account only deep levels of the tree. W e do this by assigning the stable point eld distribution to all the sites when doing con gurationalaveraging. $T$ he Bethe lattice therm odynam ic potential $F$ is, therefore, given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
F=N & =h F(f x g) i_{f x g}=N \\
& =k_{y_{i}=0}^{X^{1} Z} \operatorname{dh}_{i} Q_{z}\left(y_{i} ; h_{i}\right) \ln T r_{i} \exp \left(H_{i}\right) \quad \frac{z}{2}_{y_{i}=0 y_{j}=0}^{X^{1}} X_{y_{i} C_{y_{j}}}^{w_{y_{i} y_{j}}} \quad d h_{i} Q\left(y_{i} ; h_{i}\right) \quad d h_{j} Q\left(y_{j} ; h_{j}\right) \ln T r_{i j} \exp \left(\quad H_{i j}\right) ;
\end{aligned}
$$

where $H_{i}$ and $H_{i j}$ depend on both uid ( S ) and $m$ atrix ( y or, equivalently, x ) variables:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{i}=h_{i} S_{i} ; H_{i j}=h_{i} S_{i}+h_{j} S_{j}+J_{i j} S_{i} S_{j}: \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

III. THE SOLUT IO N

The result of the previous section is that them odynam ics of the $m$ odel is determ ined by the one-site eld distribution $Q(h)$, and this distribution satis es integral equation ( $211_{1}^{1}$ ). Equations of this type have been known
 $R$ andom $F$ ield Ising $m$ odel $\left[\begin{array}{c}-1,1 \\ \hline\end{array}\right]$. W e did not encounter this kind of equation for the current $m$ odel in the literature, even for the lim iting case of diluted ferrom agnet ( $\mathrm{H}=0$ ). In this section we investigate the ways of solving this equation.

For the diluted Ising ferrom agnet in zero extemal eld, when $H=H=0, Q(h)=(h)$ is always a solution of Eq. (2 $\left.\overline{1}_{1}^{1}\right)$, and it yields zero $m$ agnetization $\left(h S_{i} i=0\right)$ or, in the uid model language, the occupancy num ber equals one half $\left(\mathrm{hn}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{i}=1=2\right)$ at all sites. T his is know n as the trivial solution in all calculations leading to an analytical result, and this is the only solution at the tem peratures above critical. W hat are the nontrivial solutions?
$W$ hen there is no solid medium ( $c_{1}=1$ ) or when the solid sites are arranged in a nonporousblock or slab w ith a sm ooth surface ( $p_{1}=1$ ), the solution is $Q(h)=(h$ $\widetilde{\mathrm{K}})$, and $\check{\mathrm{K}}$ is given by Eq. (1-14). This equation has a nontrivialsolution ( $\mathfrak{n} \in 0$ ) at low tem peratures: $T<T_{C}$, $T_{C}=J=k_{B}$ atanh $\left.(1=k)\right]$. In this case the results of the cluster (or B ethe) approxim ation becom e exact.

W hen quenched chaos is present $\left(\mathrm{p}_{1} \in 1\right)$, and $H=$ $H=0$, the trivial solution becom es unstable at tem per-
atures below

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}=\mathrm{J}=\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \operatorname{atanh}\left(1=\left(\mathrm{kp}_{1}\right)\right)\right] \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

(this is an accurate result), signifying the appearance of the spontaneous $m$ agnetic order $\left(\mathrm{hS}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{i} \neq 0\right)$. Im portantly, though, the cluster approxim ation is not accurate $w$ hen both $m$ agnetic ordering and quenched disorder are present. In the general case ( $\mathrm{H} \in 0, \mathrm{H} \in 0$ ) we do not have any sim ple form ula for the critical tem perature or the eld distribution. In what follow $s$, we study the structure of this distribution $m$ ore closely.
$F$ irst we shall consider the sim ple lim iting case of diluted $m$ agnet $w$ thout surface eld ( $H=0$ ) [ $[$ 2l]. In this case $m$ atrix sites break the links betw een spins, but do not introduce any eld that breaks the spin ip sym $m$ etry. It follow s from (291) that when $p_{1}<1=k, T_{c}$ does not exist, and the spontaneous $m$ agnetic order does not appear. (T he reason is that in this case the system is not percolated: there is no in nite cluster of linked spins, only nite clusters, and in nite system s the spin ip sym $m$ etry cannot be spontaneously broken.) Let us realize that in this system for any $m$ atrix (at any value of $p_{1}$, exœept 0 or 1) there is a nite fraction [1] 1 links are all broken, because all their neighboring sites are occupied by the $m$ atrix. The e ective eld at those sites equals just an extemal eld $H$. Thus it is likely that the solution $Q(h)$ contains a term in (h) H). In the case of zero extemal eld ( $\mathrm{H}=0$ ) one easily nds that a solution of the form $Q(h)=A_{0}(h)+q(h)$ does satisfy Eq. ( $2 \overline{2}_{1}^{1}$ ), and one gets

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{0}= & \left(p_{0}+p_{1} A_{0}\right)^{k} ;  \tag{30}\\
q(h)= & \frac{d}{2} \exp (i h) \\
& \left.\frac{\left[f p_{0}\right.}{Z}+p_{1} A_{0}+q() g^{k} A_{0}\right] ; \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
q()=p_{1} \quad d h^{0} q\left(h^{0}\right) \exp \left(\text { i } u\left(h^{0}\right)\right) ;
$$

where we expect $q(h)$ to be a nonsingular function, because a delta function positioned in any other place ( $A_{x}$ ( $\left.h a\right), a \in 0$ ) or a set of such delta functions does not lead to selfconsistent equations of the required form.

Let us show that $A_{0}$ has a simple physical meaning, nam ely, it equals the probability that all $k$ upper branches are nite. C onsider rst the probability $P_{f}$ that a branch is nite. It consists of two possibilities: either the rst link is broken (w ith probability $p_{0}$ ), or it is unbroken, but all farther branches connected to it are nite (w th probability $p_{1} P_{f}^{k}$ ). This yields $P_{f}=p_{0}+p_{1} P_{f}^{k}$. $T$ hen, the probability that $k$ given branches are nite equals $A_{0}=P_{f}^{k}$ and satis es Eq. ( $3 \mathbf{U}_{1}^{\prime}$ ). O bviously, Eq. (3-1) always has the solution $A_{0}=1$, which leads to the trivial sphution for $Q(h)$ because of the nom alization condition $Q(h) d h=1 . A_{0}=1$ is the only solution when $\mathrm{p}_{1}<1=\mathrm{k}$, as discussed above. At the percolation point ( $p_{1}=1=k$ ) it is a tw o-fold solution, which signi es a bifurcation. W hen $p_{1}>1=k$, a solution for $A_{0}$ in the interval $(0,1)$ alw ays exists.

W hen $H \in 0$, the previous ansatz that $Q(h)=A \quad(h$ H ) + $\underset{( }{(h)}$ ) does not work: ( $\mathrm{h} \quad \mathrm{H}$ ) placed into the rhs of Eq. (211) generates, under iteration of the equation, a set of $k$ di erent delta functions, as does any delta function positioned in som e other place. This seem ingly rules out the idea of nding the discrete levels in this case, but a fully solvable case $z=2$ (the linear chain: see A ppendix (A.) resurrects the hope. In that case solution contains an in nite series ofdelta functions. Therefore, in the general case we shall seek the solution in a form of a sum of an in nite set of delta functions and a nonsingular function:

$$
Q(h)=x_{l=1}^{X^{A}} a_{l}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
h & h_{1} \tag{32}
\end{array}\right)+q(h):
$$

W e now show that such a solution can really be obtained. $T$ he point is that, sim ilarly to the zero eld case ( $H=0$ ), the equation for the discrete spectrum separates from the equation for the non-singular part $q(h)$. P lacing ( $3 z^{\prime}$ ) into Eq. (211), we get the equation for the discrete spectrum

T he num ber of delta functions is in nite, but their cu$m$ ulative weight rem ains nite, and

$$
\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{l}=1}^{\mathrm{X}_{1}} \mathrm{a}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{0}:
$$

The latter relation can be obtained by integrating Eq. (33) w ith respect to $h$ and noting that the total weight of delta functions satis es Eq. ( 3 ( $\overline{0} \bar{O}_{1}^{\prime}$ ). Eq. ( ${ }^{3} \bar{L}_{1}^{\prime}$ ) $m$ eans that the obtained discrete spectrum is just the single $h=0$ levelthat we had in the case $H=0$ split by the extemal eld. Each ( $a_{1} ; h_{1}$ ) pair corresponds to a certain nite tree grow ing' up from the given site. For exam ple, $\left(\mathrm{a}_{1} ; \mathrm{h}_{1}\right)=\left(\mathrm{p}_{0}^{\mathrm{k}} ; \mathrm{H}\right)$ corresponds to the zero' tree, when all $k$ links to the upper sites are broken; naturally $h_{1}=H$, and $a_{1}$ equals the probability that all the neighboring $k$ sites at the upper level are inaccessible.

N ow let us tum back to the m ost general case, when both the surface and the extemal elds are present ( $H \in$ $0, H \in 0)$. Inserting into $\left(\underline{2} \overline{1}_{1}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{l=1}^{x^{A}} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
Q(h)=s(h)+q(h) ; s(h) \quad{ }^{\wedge} \quad a_{1} \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}
(h & h_{1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

one can obtain explicit expressions for the discrete $s(h)$ and continuous $q(h)$ parts of the spectrum :

$$
\begin{align*}
& s(h)=\frac{Z}{Z} \frac{d}{2} \exp (i(h \quad H)) s^{k}() ;  \tag{36}\\
& q(h)=\frac{d}{2} \exp \left(i\left(\begin{array}{ll}
( & H
\end{array}\right)\right)  \tag{37}\\
& {\left[f q()+s() g^{k} \quad s^{k}()\right] ;}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X_{l=1}^{\lambda} a_{1}\left(h \quad h_{1}\right)=\frac{d}{2} \exp (i(h \quad H)) \\
& x^{2} \\
& {\left[p_{0}+p_{1} \quad a_{1} \exp \left(\text { i u }\left(h_{1}\right)\right)\right]^{k}:} \\
& \mathrm{l}=1
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& s()=p_{0} \exp (i H)+p_{1} a_{l=1}^{1} a_{1} \exp \left(i u\left(h_{1}\right)\right) ; \text { (38) } \\
& Z \\
& q()=p_{1} d h^{0} q\left(h^{0}\right) \exp \left(\text { i } u\left(h^{0}\right)\right):
\end{align*}
$$

$N$ ote that Eq. (3") for the discrete spectrum has a closed form and does not depend on $q(h)$, whereas the equation for $q(h)$ does include $s(h)$. $T$ his is because the discrete spectrum com es from nite trees, whereas the continuous spectrum is an attribute of the in nite volume. Finite branches know nothing about the in nite volum e, therefore $s(h)$ does not depend on $q(h)$. At the sam e tim e, at any given site nite branches $m$ ay connect onto the in nite tree; therefore the equation for $q(h)$ does depend on the discrete spectrum $s(h)$.

Let us consider the structure of Eq. ( $\mathrm{B}_{\mathbf{2}} \bar{T}_{1}$ ). It can be rew ritten in the form

$$
q(h)=\frac{\mathrm{Z}}{2} \exp \left(\begin{array}{lll}
i & \left.\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{h} & \mathrm{H}
\end{array}\right)\right)_{l=1}^{\mathrm{X}^{k}} & \mathrm{k}  \tag{40}\\
l
\end{array} \quad \mathrm{q}^{1}() s^{k^{l}}():\right.
$$

Let us recall that by construction of equation (2-121) for Q (h) if we insert some eld distribution as $Q(h)$ in the rhs, we obtain in the $\mathbb{l n s}$ the eld distribution one level deeper the tree. The sam e property holds for Eq. (401). Then Eq. (4đ̆) perm its a clear intenpretation: each term of ${ }_{1} \mathrm{~m}$ ixes into the next-leveldistribution the possibility that lbranches are in nite, w ith the other k l nite; $l$ runs from 1 to $k$, the $l=0$ term was rightly elim inated by the last term in Eq. $\left.{ }^{3} \bar{Z}_{1}\right)$, because this term would give rise to the possibility of a nite tree and would give a discrete spectrum contribution. This intenpretation $m$ akes $s()$ and $q()$ responsible for nite and in nite branches respectively. The surface eld $H$ does not enter Eq. (3Z1) explicitly; its in uence on $q(h)$ is $m$ ediated by the discrete spectrum $s(h)$. In a way, $s(h)$ (or, equivalently, $s()$ ) [14]) encapsulates the surface in uence. The only explicit rem inder of a porousm edium in the equation for the continuous spectrum is the $\mathrm{p}_{1} \mathrm{~m}$ ultiplier in Eq. ( $3 \bar{g}_{1}$ ), indicating that links betw een sites are not alw ays present.

The com plete e ective eld acting on an accessible site $Q_{z}(1 ; h)$ (de ned by (23 ${ }_{2}^{\prime}$ ) ) likew ise consists of a discrete spectrum $S_{z}(h)$, and a continuous one $G_{z}(h)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& Q_{z}(1 ; h)={\underset{Z}{1}}_{C_{z}} S_{z}(h)+C_{1} q_{z}(h)  \tag{41}\\
& S_{z}(h)=\frac{d}{2} \exp \left(i \left(\begin{array}{l}
\text { H })) s^{z}() ; ~ ; ~
\end{array}\right.\right.  \tag{42}\\
& q_{Z}(\mathrm{~h})=\frac{\mathrm{d}}{2} \exp (\mathrm{i}(\mathrm{~h} \quad \mathrm{H})) \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\left[f q()+s() g^{2} \quad s^{2}()\right]:
$$

T he total w eight of the discrete part

$$
x=S_{z}(h) d h=A_{0}^{z=k}
$$

equals the probability that all $z$ branches connected to this site are nite. $W$ hen this happens, the site belongs to a nite closed volum e.

W hen considering the uid in the grand canonicalensemble (as we have done so far) all sites are in equilibrium with an im plicit bulk uid, whose tem perature and chem ical potential are param eters that describe this equilibrium. But in real experim ents uid particles cannot acoess any disconnected pore volum es (described by $\left.s_{z}(h)\right)$, and those parts of the system do not respond to changes of the chem icalpotential. $W$ ere we considering a $m$ agnetic system, spins in those nite separated clusters would be able to react to changes ofextemal eld $H$, and them odynam ic potential (2]-1) would be valid. In contrast, in order to describe the experim ental situation for a uid in porous $m$ edium, we have to exclude the contribution of nite volum es to the them odynam ic potential. Fortunately, we are now able to separate this contribution because nite branches always generate a discrete spectrum, in contrast to the in nite cluster, which yields the continuous distribution.

Inserting $\left(\overline{3} \overline{5}_{1}^{-1}\right)$ and $\left(4 \overline{1}^{1}\right)$ into the expression for the therm odynam ic potential (22) , we can identify three distinct contributions of nite volum es

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{kCl}_{1} \quad \underset{\mathrm{Z}}{\mathrm{dh}} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{Z}}\left(\mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \ln \operatorname{Tr} \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{i}} \exp \left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)_{\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}=1} ; \\
& \frac{Z^{2}}{W_{11}} \quad d h_{i} S\left(h_{i}\right) \quad d h_{j} S\left(h_{j}\right) \ln T r_{i j} \exp \left(\quad H_{i j}\right)_{y_{i}=1_{i j} y_{j}=1} ; \\
& \mathrm{zw}_{10} \quad \mathrm{dh}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{~S}\left(\mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \ln \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{ij}} \exp \left(\quad \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{ij}}\right)_{\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}=1 ; \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{j}}=0}:
\end{aligned}
$$

The rst term above corresponds to an accessible site whose branches are all nite, the second one describes a linked pair of accessible sites w ith outer branches nite, and in the third term one site of the pair is accessible to the uid, but all its branches are nite. N ote that the division into these three term $s$ does not re ect the presence of di erent types of site but re ects the subdivision into site and link contributions in (2G).

D ropping these ' nite volum e' contributions (and constant term s corresponding to the sites occupied by the $m$ atrix) we obtain the them odynam ic potential of the uid in the accessible volum e as:

$$
F=N=k C_{1} \quad \mathrm{dh}_{i} q_{z}\left(h_{i}\right) \ln \operatorname{Tr} r_{i} \exp \left(H_{i}\right)_{y_{i}=1}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Z Z } \\
& \frac{z^{2}}{2} W_{11} \quad d h_{i} q\left(h_{i}\right) \quad d h_{j}\left[q\left(h_{j}\right)+2 s\left(h_{j}\right)\right] \ln T r_{i j} \exp \left(\quad H_{i j}\right)_{y_{i}=1 ; Y_{j}=1} \\
& \mathrm{zw}_{10} \quad \mathrm{dh}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{q}\left(\mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \ln \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{ij}} \exp \left(\quad \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{ij}}\right)_{\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{i}}=1_{i \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{j}}=0}}: \tag{45}
\end{align*}
$$

The procedure of contribution classi cation' is especially sim ple when we consider the expression for the density (per free volum e) $n=h h_{i} i_{H} i_{f x g}=C_{1}$. The grand canonicalensemble (G CE) predicts

$$
\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{Z} \quad \mathrm{dh}\left[\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{z}}(\mathrm{~h})+\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{z}}(\mathrm{~h})\right] \frac{1}{2}[1+\tanh (\mathrm{h})]=\frac{\mathrm{m}+1}{2} ;
$$

whereas when we take into account that closed nite pores are inaccessible to the uid the microscopic uid density at those sites has to be set to zero, and we obtain the corrected, in nite cluster' (IC ) expression

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{n} & =\quad \mathrm{dhfq}_{z}(\mathrm{~h}) \frac{1}{2}[1+\tanh (\mathrm{h})]+\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{z}}(\mathrm{~h}) \quad 0 \mathrm{~g} \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \left.\mathrm{x}+\quad \mathrm{dhq}_{z}(\mathrm{~h}) \tanh (\mathrm{h})\right]=2 \\
& =\frac{\mathrm{m}+1}{2} \mathrm{n} ;
\end{array}\right. \text { (4)}
\end{align*}
$$

where $x$ is a fraction of free sites belonging to the nite cavities, de ned by Eq. (4'), and the di erence betw een the GCE and IC densities

$$
\mathrm{n}=\quad \mathrm{Z} \mathrm{dh}_{\mathrm{z}}(\mathrm{~h}) \frac{1}{2}[1+\tanh (\mathrm{h})]
$$

is sim ply the number of uid particles in nite cavities per num ber of the free sites.

## IV. NUMERICALCALCULATIONS

In order to solve the m ain equation ( $\overline{2} \overline{1} \mathbf{1})$ we have to calculate the discrete spectrum (3-1) and use it when solving for the continuous part of the eld distribition via (371). The procedure of the discrete spectrum calculation is iterative. W e place the zeroth approxim ation $s(h)=p_{0}^{k} \quad(\mathrm{~h} \quad \mathrm{H} \quad \mathrm{kH})$ into the rhs of Eq. (3-]) and obtain in the lhs a sum of $k+1$ delta functions, one of which coincides $w$ ith the initial one and has the correct weight $p_{0}^{k}$. P lacing the new approxim ation into the ms of E q. (3G) recursively, we can obtain asm any term $s$ as we need. T he unpleasant feature is that the num ber of levels in the spectrum grow sexponentially during the iterations, and $m$ any levels have negligible weight. Fortunately, one can drop the low w eight levels at each step, because they produce only low er-w eight levels during the follow ing iterations.

Let us note that the w eights of delta functions depend only on param eter $p_{1}$, which is determ ined by the structure of the solid $m$ atrix. The positions of the delta functions depend also on other param eters ( $\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}$, and


F IG. 2: The coordinates and weights of delta peaks in the dicrete spectrum $\mathrm{s}(\mathrm{h})$ for $\mathrm{k}=2, \mathrm{H}=0, \mathrm{p}_{1}=0: 9, \mathrm{~T}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}=0: 8$; $A_{0}=1=81$; the 132 heaviest levels are show $n$ for $H=J=0: 1$, $H=J=0: 4$, and $H=J=1$. The weights for all the 3 cases are the sam e, only the positions of the delta peaks di er.
$J$ ). A s we discussed in the previous section, the discrete spectrum corresponds to nite trees grow ing from a site. Each levelin the spectrum corresponds to a tree of a certain size and form, and its weight is a probability to nd such a tree in the system. Fig. discrete spectrum for the case of a relatively sparse solid that blocks only a sm all fraction of sites. In that case the 20 heaviest' levels carry more than $99.96 \%$ of the total weight. A s $p_{1}$ approaches the percolation threshold, the convergence of the series becom es progressively poorer. For exam ple, the 200 heaviest levels in the case $k=2, p_{1}=0: 6$, contain only $87 \%$ of the discrete spectrum 's weight. T his naturally agrees w th the fact that there appear a lot of large nite trees near the percolation point, and the number of form s of a tree quidkly (com binatorially) grow s w ith its size. O ne other interesting feature of the discrete spectrum is its bandlike structure, $w$ th $a_{1}$ densely grouped around certain values, and $h_{1}$ subdividing into severalbranches as H increases.

O nœe $s(h)$ is calpulated to a given high accuracy (dened by $\left.=\left(A_{0} \quad{ }_{1} a_{1}\right)=A_{0}\right)$, we can proceed by solving equation (37). A num ericalalgorithm m ust represent the function $q(h)$ as an $M$ vector $q\left(h_{i}\right), h_{i}=h_{m}$ in $+i h$, $h=\left(h_{m a x} \quad h_{m}\right.$ in $)=M, i=0 \quad M \quad 1$, with $M$ su ciently large. It is helpful to note that $q(h)$ is non-zero only on a nite interval $h_{m \text { in }} ; \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{m}}$ ax $]$ : this perm its use of Fourier series instead ofFourier integrals. The low er and upper lim its of this intervalm ay be estim ated as

$$
\left.h_{m \text { in }}=H+m \text { in }\left(\begin{array}{lll}
k J ; ~(k & 1
\end{array}\right) H \quad J\right) ;
$$



FIG.3: The continuous part $q(h)$ of the e ective eld distribution for a weakly dilute Ising ferrom agnet ( $c_{0}=0: 1$ ) w ith $H=0$, at di erent tem peratures below the critical point.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{m} \text { ax }}=\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{max}(\mathrm{~kJ} ;(\mathrm{k} \quad 1) \mathrm{H}+\mathrm{J}): \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

These bounds are far from exact at high tem peratures, but this does not $m$ ake a signi cant im pact on the $e-$ ciency of the calculations [151]

The num erical solution of Eq. $\left(3 \bar{T}_{1}\right)$ is an iterative process. $W$ e start from som $e$ initial distribution (this $m$ ay be a uniform distribution) and insert it into the rhs of Eq. ( $3 \bar{z}_{1}$ ). The resulting $\mathbb{I} s$ is a new approxim ation to the solution. Each iteration produces the eld distribution $q(h)$ one level deeper the tree. Therefore such iterations $m$ ust converge provided the stable point dis-


FIG . 4: The continuous part $q(h)$ of the e ective eld distribution for a strongly dilute (but still percolated) Ising ferro$m$ agnet ( $c_{0}=0: 4$ ) in zero extemal eld at di erent tem peratures below the criticalpoint. Som e pictures contain a dashed line, which show $s$ the $h>1$ part of $q(h)$ at an en larged scale (plotted against the right y axes).
tribution de ned by Eq. (211) exists and num ericalerrors introduced by the discretization are sm allenough. N aive quadratures for Eq. (211) w ould result in a form ula

$$
\begin{align*}
& q\left(h_{i}\right)={ }^{M X^{1}} \exp \left(i_{j}\left(h_{i} \quad H\right)\right) \\
& \text { j= } 1 \text { M } \\
& {\left[f q\left({ }_{j}\right)+s\left({ }_{j}\right) g^{k} \quad s^{k}(j)\right] ;}  \tag{50}\\
& \text { M } 1 \\
& q\left({ }_{j}\right)=p_{1} h \quad q\left(h_{1}\right) \exp \left(i_{j} u\left(h_{1}\right)\right) \text {; }  \tag{51}\\
& j=2 \mathrm{j}=\left(\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{max}} \quad \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{m} \text { in }}\right) \text {; }
\end{align*}
$$

which takes of order $M^{3}$ calculations at each iteration, because each of the indices $i, j$, and 1 in ( 5 d d) and ( $51 I^{\prime}$ ) take $M$ essentially distinct values. This quadrature is both ine cient and incorrect. First, to im plem ent the correct num ericalalgorythm, it is im portant to note that $\exp \left(i \mathrm{u}\left(\mathrm{h}^{0}\right)\right.$ ) is a quickly oscillating function ofh ${ }^{0}$ when
is large, and th is requires a special quadrature w hen calculating the $h^{0}$ integral in $\left(37_{1}\right)$. Second, the Fast Fourier Transform algorithm takes only $O(M \ln M)$ steps to per-


FIG . 5: The high-density branch of the G CE binodal ( $\mathrm{n}_{+}$) for the $m$ odel $w$ ith $H=0$ at di erent $m$ atrix densities $C_{0}$. The low density branch is symm etric: $n=1 \quad n_{+}$. The percolation concentration for the lattice used $(k=2)$ is $c_{0}=1=2$. The inset shows the dependence of $n_{+}$at zero tem perature on the $m$ atrix density. T he dotted lines represent the corresponding results of the cluster variation $m$ ethod $\left[1 T_{1}\right]$.
form the sum $m$ ation over $j$ in ( 5 d) for all $i$. A lso, P ress et al [16] describe an algorithm that perm_its sim ultaneous calculation of $M$ integrals over $h^{0}$ in ( $3 \bar{F}_{1}$ ) using again only $O(M \ln M)$ operations. Therefore, w th advanced algorithm S , each iteration costs $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{M} \ln \mathrm{M})$ arithm etic operations. $T$ his is a dram atic gain $w$ ith respect to the quadratures estim ate of $O\left(M^{3}\right)$, because as seen below, $M$ is typically $m$ any thousand.

The num erical results we report here are lim ited to coordination num ber $3(k=2)$, and a com pletely random $m$ atrix, when there is no correlation betw een quenched variables even at the nearest neighbor sites: hence $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{ab}}=$ $c_{a} b_{b}$ and $p_{a}=c_{a}$. Since we are studying the behavior of a uid in a porous $m$ edia, we can set aside very dense $m$ atrices $w$ ith $p_{1}<1=k$; these have no in nite connected volum e accessible to the uid, so that $q(h)=0$, and them odynam ic potential (45) equals zero.
$F$ irst we shall consider the sim pler case of a dihuted Ising ferrom agnet ( $\mathrm{H}=0$ ), which corresponds to uid in the porous $m$ atrix $w$ ith a $m$ oderate uid $m$ atrix attraction ( $K=I=2$ ). In this case the exact critical tem perature is known from Eq. (291); when $H=0$ and $T>T_{c}$, $Q(h)=(h)$ and $m=0(n=1=2)$, while at lower tem peratures there are two sym m etric non-trivial solutions $Q_{+}(h)=Q \quad(h)$, with $Q+(h)=A_{0} \quad(h)+q_{+}(h)$, $A_{0}=\left(C_{0}=C_{1}\right)^{2}$. The tem perature dependence of $q_{+}(h)$ is shown in $F$ ig. $\overline{\mathrm{K}} 1$. . O ne can see that the $q_{+}(\mathrm{h})$ line repeats itself, but not in a trivial fashion. The continuous eld distribution acquires even $m$ ore structure for denser $m$ atrices $\left(F\right.$ ig. $\left.\cdot{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{A}^{\prime}\right)$. At low tem peratures $q_{+}(h)$ becom es a set of increasingly sharp peaks, and this greatly increases the errors introduced by the discretization in the num erical algorithm . Therefore this algorithm does not work at very low tem peratures. $N$ evertheless, using extrem ely
ne discretization (we used $M$ up to $2^{17}=131072$ ), we covered an interesting range of tem peratures. $W$ ith the algorithm just described we were able to reach $T=T_{C}=$ $0: 4$. In the $m$ agnetic interpretation of the $m$ odel $(\underset{\sim}{3})$ the solutions $Q_{+}(h)$ and $Q(h)$ correspond to exactly opposite $m$ agnetizations $\left(m_{+}=m\right)$, which re ects the fact that direction of the spontaneous (zero-eld) m agnetization is not predeterm ined. In the uid intenpretation these two solutions form the tw o branches of the binodal (GCE: $\left.n_{+}=\left(m_{+}+1\right)=2, n=1 \quad n_{+}\right)$. Fig. resulting G C E binodals at di erent densities of the $m$ atrix. A ccording to Eq. (481), the in nite cluster' binodals for this particular case ( $\overline{\mathrm{H}}=0$ ) have the sam e size and shape as GCE binodals, they are only shifted to lower densities by $n=x=2, n=6: 8 \quad 10^{4}, 7: 8 \quad 10^{3}, 0.039$, 0.15 for $c_{0}=0: 1,0.2,0.3$, and 0.4 , respectively. In the general case ( $\mathrm{H} \in 0$ ), the density shift betw een the G CE and IC binodals depends on tem perature.
$T$ hebinodaldensity (or zero eld $m$ agnetization, in the Ising language) at zero tem perature can be found from the fact that in this case all the spins in the in nite cluster are aligned, whereas nite clusters do not contribute to the spontaneous $m$ agnetization, and the $m$ agnetization (per $m$ agnetic site) equals plus or $m$ inus the fraction of $m$ agnetic sites belonging to the in nite cluster: $m_{+}(T=0)=1 \quad x$. This gives the resulting half-w idth of the binodal, which is depicted in the inset ofF ig. I' as a function ofm atrix density $c_{0}$. O ne can see that the cluster approxim ation produces the binodals that are atter at the top and have an incorrect w idth. For a lattige w ith only sparsely distributed solid sites, the cluster approxi$m$ ation results are quite accurate.

N ow, let us consider instead the situation where there is no $m$ atrix- uid attraction (hard core $m$ atrix) : $K=0$, $\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{J} . \mathrm{Fig}$. $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{G}}$ shows how the eld distribution at constant chem icalpotential ( xed H) now changes with tem perature. In the case depicted there is a com petition betw een the surface eld $H=J<0$ and the bulk eld $H=0: 21 \mathrm{~J}>0$. At high tem peratures the e ective eld prefers the sign of the bulk eld and is m ainly positive. At $T=T_{c}<0: 82$, an altemative solution for $q(h)$, which favors negative elds, corresponds to the globalm in im um of the them odynam ic potential and becom es preferable. At $\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}=0: 82$ the two solutions yield the sam e value of them odynam ic potential at di erent uid densities, and correspond to the two branches of the binodal. It should be noted that $T_{c}$ is de ned as given by Eq. ( $2 \bar{q}_{1}$ ) and is not at (but is close to) the critical tem perature for th is m atrix. $F$ ig. $\overline{1}$, show s the tem perature dependence of the uid density at di erent values of H. The jum ps correspond to coexistent densities at the binodal. O ne can see that in this case the binodal is not sym $m$ etric. The results depicted in Fig. $\overline{1}_{1}$ correspond to the grand canonical uid' described by Eqs. $\left(2 \overline{2} \overline{7}_{1}\right),\left(\overline{4}_{4}^{-} \bar{q}_{1}\right)$. T he fraction of the free sites that belong to nite closed cavities ( $\mathrm{x}=$ $\left.\left(c_{0}=C_{1}\right)^{3}\right)$ is as sm all as $0: 14 \%$ for this relatively sparse $m$ atrix, therefore the binodalofthe in nite cluster uid', which excludes their contribution, is indistinguishable on


FIG.6: The e ective eld distribution $Q(h)$ for a uid in a sparse hard-core $m$ atrix ( $H=\mathrm{J}, \mathrm{c}_{0}=0: 1$ ) at $\mathrm{H}=0: 21 \mathrm{~J}$ at di erent tem peratures. The crosses show weights $a_{1}$ and locations $h_{1}$ of leading delta functions in the discrete part of spectrum $s(h)={ }_{1} a_{1}\binom{h}{1}$, plotted against the left $y$ axes. The lines depict the continuous part of the distribution $q(h)$ (against the right $y$ axes). $T$ he $x$ axis is $h \quad H$.


F IG .7: The tem perature dependence of the equilibrium uid density at di erent values of $H$ for the $m$ odel with $H=J$ at $m$ atrix density $c_{0}=0: 1$. The num bers at the dashed lines are the values of the extemal eld $H$. The lines jump over the phase separation region, delim ited by the solid line. The binodalw ith in the cluster approxim ation [18] is depicted w ith a dotted line.


FIG. 8: The eld distribution for the $m$ odelwith $H=J$ at $m$ atrix density $C_{0}=0: 3, \mathrm{H}=0: 61$, and $T=T_{c}=0: 64$ calculated w ith truncated discrete spectrum $s(h)$. O ne of the cases depicted (a) corresponds to 48 leading delta-fiunctions taken into account in $s(h)$ (all the term $s w$ ith weights $a_{1}>$ $10{ }^{4}$ ). In the other case (b) there are 804 term $s$ in $s(h)$ (the term $s$ w ith weights $\mathrm{a}_{1}>10{ }^{6}$ )
the plot from that depicted by the whole line in F ig. $\mathrm{I}_{1} \overline{7}_{1}$. Let us also note that due to a special sym $m$ etry of the m odel, ${ }_{1}^{1}$ [19], the binodal of the sym m etrical case $\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{J}$ ( $K=2 \mathrm{~J}$, attractive $m$ atrix) coinsides $w$ ith that in $F$ ig. ${ }_{1} \overline{1}$, ipped $w$ ith respect to $n=1=2$ line.
Next, we would like to consider denser $m$ atrioes, and here the poor convergence ofs $(h)$ leads to a problem. W e did not have this problem in the case of dihute $m$ agnet ( $\mathrm{H}=0$ ), because its entire binodal, in view of spin- ip sym $m$ etry of that special case, corresponded to $H=0$, when the discrete spectrum consisted of only one term : $s(h)=A_{0}(h)$, which could be exactly calculated for the $m$ atrix of any density (Eq. ( $\left.30_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ ). For the hard core $m$ atrix ( $\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{J}$ ) this no longer takesplace. For $\mathrm{C}_{0}=0: 3$ the 48 leading delta-fiunctions ofs $(\mathrm{h})$ contain $95.5 \%$ of its weight, 804 term scontain $98.6 \%$. If we sim ply ignore the residual weight and solve Eq. ( $\left.3 \bar{T}_{1} \bar{T}_{1}\right)$ w ith an incom plete $s(h)$, the resulting $q(h)$ strongly depends on the num ber of term $s$ taken into account in $s(h)$ (see Fig. 'ig').

To overcom e the problem, we use the follow ing trick. $F$ irst we calculate the leading 21 term $s$ of $s(h)$. They correspond to 21 relatively sm all nite trees, because the larger trees have sm aller w eight. T hen we detepm ine the 1 lightest term $s$ am ong them and add $=\left(\begin{array}{ll}A & A_{i=1}^{21} \\ i\end{array}\right)=1$ to their w eights. T he resulting discrete distribution

$$
s(h))^{X^{1}} a_{i}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
h & h_{i}
\end{array}\right)+{ }^{X^{21}}\left(a_{i}+\right) \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}
h & h_{i} \tag{52}
\end{array}\right) ;
$$

has the correct total weight. This w ay we distribute the $m$ issing weight of large trees am ong the largest of those taken into account. From the physical point of view this $m$ eans that we replace the very large' branches by the Iarge' ones and in this w ay forbid very wide' pores. The


FIG . 9: T he sam e as Fig. ${ }^{1}$, but calculated $w$ ith an adjusted discrete spectrum $s(h), E q$. (521). The arrows point to the groups of $a_{1}$ 's raised by . The resulting continuous spectra corresponding to (a) 48 and (b) 804 term $s$ in $s(h)$ are indistinguishable.
latter im plies a certain correlation in the $m$ atrix and is alw ays an approxim ation, since when deriving equation ( $37_{1}$ ) we perm 歫ed only the nearest neighbor $m$ atrix correlations. A nyw ay, the results $m$ ust becom e accurate in the $\lim$ it 1 ! 1 , and we nd that the $m$ ethod converges already at small l ( F ig. . $\mathrm{g}_{1}^{\prime}$ ). A rem arkable new feature of $q(h)$ in $F$ ig. 1 by shanp peaks, especially visible at $h \quad H=1: 85$ or

1:75. It is possible that these peaks are not accurate (see A ppendix ${ }^{(13}$ !), they could be num erical artefacts arising in places where $q(h)$ in reality has only a step $\left[{ }^{[20} 0^{\prime}\right]$. $N$ ote anyw ay that these peaks are not delta functions: their heights rem ain alm ost unchanged w hen we increase resolution from $M=2^{14}$ to $M=2^{15}$, whereas if they were delta peaks, their heights would then double $\left.{ }_{2} \overline{11}_{1}^{1}\right]$.

H aving overcom $e$ th is convergence problem $w$ ith $S(h)$, we can calculate phase diagram $s$ for denser $m$ atrices
 $c_{0}=0: 1$, the di erence betw een the grand canonicalensemble binodals and the in nite cluster ones becom es clearly visible, although the fraction of nite closed cavities is still not large $\left(x\left(c_{0}=0: 2\right)=1: 6 \%, x\left(c_{0}=0: 3\right)=\right.$ $7: 9 \%$ ). This fraction grows to $100 \%$ at the percolation point (which happens at $c_{0}=0: 5$ for this lattioe), thus $m$ aking a big di erence near percolation.

O ne can see that GCE and IC binodals for $H=J$ are indiscemable, which indicates that for this case closed pores in GCE are alm ost em pty, and their contribution is negligible [22]. On the contrary, for $H=J$, they are al$m$ ost saturated $w$ ith uid, and $n$ is close to the fraction $x$ of closed pores in the $m$ atrix. This situation should also hold for strongly attractive or repulsive $m$ atrices: jH $j>1$. For dense enough $m$ atrices $w$ ith sm aller $j ;$ n w illbe nonzero forboth negative and positive H and w ill depend on tem perature.

In the above results for our num erical treatm ent of


FIG. 10: The binodals for the models with $H=J$ and $H=J$ at $m$ atrix density $c_{0}=0: 2$. The bold lines (c) represent the in nite cluster' binodals. The thin dashed lines (b) are the grand canonical ensemble binodals (in the $H=J$ case this line is hidden by the overlapping_(c) line). The binodals $w$ thin the cluster approxim ation [18] are depicted $w$ ith a dotted line (a). The pairs of (a) and (b) binodals are sym $m$ etric $w$ ith respect to $n=1=2$. The pair of (c) lines is sym $m$ etric $w$ ith respect to $n=(1 \quad x)=2=0: 492$. The sm all squares on (b) and (c) lines are the actualdata points through which the interpolating lines w ere drawn.


FIG. 11: The same as Fig. $1 \mathbf{1 1}$ á, at $m$ atrix density $c_{0}=0: 3$. T he pair of (c) binodals is sym m etric w ith respect to $\mathrm{n}=$ $(1 \quad x)=2=0: 461$.
the exact integral equations, deviations from the cluster approxim ation are clearly visible in the phase diagram $S_{n}\left(\mathbb{F}\right.$ igs. $\left.\bar{N}_{1}, \overline{1}_{1} \overline{7}_{1}\right)$, and becom e very large in som e cases (F igs. '1 ${ }^{\prime},^{\prime}, 111_{1}^{\prime}$ ). N ote that the cluster approxim ation per$m$ its no sim ple w ay round the failure of the grand canonicalensemble to prevent uid from entering closed pores.

It is interesting to note that som e other related approaches $\left[\begin{array}{c}1 \\ {[10]}\end{array}\right]$ predict an unusual double-hum $p$ binodal of the uid in moderately dense strongly attractive or repulsive $m$ atriges (in term $s$ of the current $m$ odel, th is
 lattice $m$ olecularm odel of uid in a quenched disordered con guration of spheres on the basis of a replica sym $m$ etric $O$ mstein-Zemike equation. T hey studied a sequence of approxim ations, the rst of which corresponded to the $m$ ean spherical approxim ation (MSA), and predicted a binodal of the usual form. The higher approxim ation yielded a binodal w th two critical points or even two disconnected binodals. Their highest order approxim ation again gave only one critical point, but predicted a shoulder on one of the binodal's sides. The M SA applied to the $m$ odel ( $\hat{3}_{1}^{-1}$ ) on the ve-dim ensional fec orbcc lattioe $[\overline{[ }]$ again predicted a double-hum ped binodal. A problem identi ed for M SA was that for the 3-dim ensional lattioes it predicted a double-hum ped binodal even for the bulk uid $w$ thout any porous $m$ atrix. Therefore the authors of $\left.{ }_{2}^{1} 1,1\right][]$ could not conclude de nitely about the existence of two critical points for these $m$ odels, despite the sim ilarity of their results to the double-hum ped binodals seen in $M$ onte-C arlo sim ulations on sim ilarm odels [4] , theory does not give any double-hum ped binodāl, at least in the range of param eters we have studied.

## V. CONCLUSIONS

W e considered the Bethe lattice model of uid in a porous $m$ edium. The recursive character of the B ethe lattioe perm its an exact treatm ent, whose key ingredient is an integral equation for the e ective eld distribution. Solutions of this equation consist of a sum of discrete and continuous spectra, and these spectra have distinct physical interpretations. The discrete spectrum com es from disconnected nite pore spaces, whereas the continuous spectrum is a contribution of the in nite pore space which in reality is the only one accessible to uid. $T$ he continuous spectrum develops $m$ ore and $m$ ore structure at low tem perature, which $m$ eans that a num erical solution for it becom es im practicalbelow a certain tem perature. H ow ever, the physical results found for tem -
peratures above this threshold are both consistent and reasonable. D espite use of a Bethe lattioe they di er signi cantly from results calculated using the cluster (or B ethe) approxim ation, which cannot handle the com plexIty of the eld distributions that we nd.

The dichotom y between the two types of pore space $m$ entioned above is not exclusive to the B ethe lattice, but universal. A ny microscopic $m$ odel of uid in a random porousm edia that uses the grand canonicalensem ble w ill inchude contributions of the nite cavities, unless this is carefiully subtracted 0 , as we $m$ anaged to do for the B ethe lattice. In the grand canonical ensemble, these cavities are in equilibrium with the extemalbulk uid, but in real-w orld experim ents they are inacoessible and do not respond to changes of chem ical potential. This $m$ arks an im portant distinction betw een $m$ odels of uids in porous $m$ edia and disordered $m$ agnetic $m$ odel to which they are equivalent in the grand canonical ensem ble; for $m$ agnetism, nite clusters do contribute to the free energy and it is right to include them.
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## APPENDIX A: SOLUTION OF THE INTEGRAL EQUATION FOR THEFIELD DISTRIBUTION FOR THEONE-DIMENSIONALCHAIN

In the one-dim ensional chain $k=1$, and Eq. (211) can be solved analytically. Perform ing _ rst the integration w ith respect to in the rhs of Eq. (211), one gets

$$
\begin{align*}
Q(h) & =p_{0} \quad\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{h} & H & H
\end{array}\right)+p_{1} \quad d h^{0} Q\left(h^{0}\right) \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}
h & H
\end{array} \quad u\left(h^{0}\right)\right) \\
& =p_{0} \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}
h & H
\end{array}\right)+p_{1} \underline{V}(h \quad H) Q(v(h \quad H)) ; \tag{A1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $v(h)$ is the function inverse to $u(h)$, that is $\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{u}(\mathrm{h})) \quad \mathrm{h}$, and $\underline{\mathrm{v}}(\mathrm{h})$ is its derivative. The above equation says that $Q(h)$ equals $p_{0}(h \quad H \quad H)$ for $h$ in the close vicinity of $H+H$, otherw ise one has to look at the value of $Q$ at $v\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { H }) \text { and multiply it by }\end{array}\right.$ $\mathrm{p}_{1} \underline{v}(\mathrm{~h} \quad \mathrm{H})$. This observation leads to a solution of the form $Q(h)={ }_{1} a_{1}\left(\begin{array}{ll}h & \left.h_{1}\right) \text {. The leading delta function }\end{array}\right.$ is given explicitly in the rhs of Eq. (A_1-1), and positions
of the further delta functions are given by relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{v}\left(\mathrm{~h}_{2} \quad \mathrm{H}\right)=\mathrm{h}_{1} ; \mathrm{v}\left(\mathrm{~h}_{3} \quad \mathrm{H}\right)=\mathrm{h}_{2} ; \tag{A2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his $m$ eans, in particular, that in the vicinity of $h_{2}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& Q(h)=p_{1} \underline{V}\left(\begin{array}{l}
h
\end{array}\right) Q\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\left.\left(\begin{array}{ll}
( & H
\end{array}\right)\right)
\end{array}\right. \\
& \left.=p_{1} \underline{v}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
h & H
\end{array}\right) p_{0}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
(\mathrm{V} & \mathrm{h}
\end{array} \mathrm{H}\right) \quad \mathrm{h}_{1}\right) \\
& =p_{1} p_{0}\binom{h}{h_{2}} \text {; } \tag{A3}
\end{align*}
$$



FIG. 12: A function with discontinuities (periodic on [ $0: 5 ; 0: 5$ ]) and its Fourier expansion, truncated at $M=16$ and $M=32$.
and therefore $a_{2}=p_{1} p_{0}$. In general, one can nd that $a_{1}=p_{1} a_{11}$. Finally, we can write the solution in the form

$$
Q(h)=p_{0}^{X^{1}} p_{1=1}^{l_{1}^{1}} \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll} 
& \left.h_{1}\right) \tag{A4}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\underline{h}_{1}$ are given by the recursion that follow from Eq. (Ā-̄),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{h}_{1}=\mathrm{u}\left(\mathrm{~h}_{11}\right)+\mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{l} \quad 2 ; \mathrm{h}_{1}=\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{H}: \tag{A5}
\end{equation*}
$$

APPEND IX B: ON THENATUREOFSPIKES IN THECONTINUOUS D ISTRIBUTION $q(h)$

In our num erical calculation we represent $q(h)$ as an $M$-vector and use truncated Fourier series. This is guarantied to work wellonly forsm ooth functions. For exam ple, truncated F ourier series result in peaks near discontinuities ( $F$ ig. 11 Zin). . T hese are called $G$ ibbs ears'. $N$ ote that $G$ ibbs ears have a height that rem ains xed, while their $w$ idth narrow s to zero as the resolution im proves. There is accordingly no area beneath a G ibbs ear, un like a delta function. The spikes in Fig. 'g do not look exactly like G ibbs ears: $G$ ibbs ears appear in pairs, and the positive ear has a negative countenpart, whereas spikes in $F$ ig. are asym $m$ etric, $w$ th negative spikes $m$ uch sm aller and alm ost absent at the ne discretization $\left(\mathbb{M}=2^{16}\right.$ ) that we used to produce the plot. It is still possible that equation/recursion ( $3 Z_{1} Z_{1}$ ) som ehow creates a type of positiveonly $G$ ibbs ear e ect, but this does not seem likely.
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