Quantum virial expansion approach to therm odynam ics of ⁴H e adsorbates in carbon nanotube m aterials: Interacting Bose gas in one dim ension

Antonio Siber

Institute of Physics, P.O. Box 304, 10001 Zagreb, Croatia

I demonstrate that ⁴He adsorbates in carbon nanotube materials can be treated as onedimensional interacting gas of spinless bosons for temperatures below 8 K and for coverages such that all the adsorbates are in the groove positions of the carbon nanotube bundles. The e ects of adsorbate-adsorbate interactions are studied within the scheme of virial expansion approach. The theoretical predictions for the speci c heat of the interacting adsorbed gas are given.

Published in Phys. Rev. B 67, 165426 (2003)

I. INTRODUCT ION

The adsorption of gases in nanotube-based materials has been recently a subject of considerable interest and many theoretical and experimental studies focused on this phenomenon have been reported.¹¹² The interest in the subject stems partially from the possibility to use these materials as e cient gas containers for hydrogen storage.¹³ A nother cause of the interest is that the nanotube materials provide a very speci c potential energy environment for the gas atom s and molecules. In particular, the nature of this environment is such that it reduces the elective dimensionality of adsorbates^{8;9} which at su ciently low temperatures behave as a one-dimensional (1D) gas. This provides an excellent opportunity to study the interactions in the 1D gas. The problem of N particles interacting mutually via binary interaction potentials in one dimension has been thoroughly investigated in the literature and there exist exact quantum and classical solutions for very speci c functional forms of the interaction potential.¹⁴¹⁷ The aim of this article is to investigate a realistic system in which the adsorbate atoms (molecules) interact with a relatively complicated binary potential that is attractive at large and repulsive at short interadsorbate separations.¹⁸

The outline of this article is as follows. In Sec. II, the behavior of single atom adsorbed on the surface of a bundle of single-wall carbon nanotubes (SW CNT) is discussed. The range of temperatures in which the isolated adsorbates exhibit e ective one-dimensional behavior is discussed for ⁴He atoms. In Sec. III the calculation of second virial coe cient for the interacting gas in 1D is brie y outlined. A fully quantal approach is followed as the gas of interest is composed of ⁴He atoms for which quantum e ects are essential. In Sec. IV the speci c heat of adsorbed He gas is predicted and the e ects of He-He interactions are discussed. The results obtained are compared with those for exactly solvable m odels^{15;16} and qualitative agreement is found. The calculation of speci c heat in combination with experiments, some of them quite recently reported^{19;20}, is expected to yield an additional insight in the therm odynam ics of the adsorbed gas. In Sec. V, the in uence of the corrugation of the nanotube (which is neglected in Secs. II, III and IV) and sample inhom ogeneities on the therm odynam ics of adsorbed successed. Sec. V I concludes the article and sum marizes the main results of the present work.

II. BEHAV IOR OF ISOLATED ⁴HeATOM SADSORBED ON THE SURFACE OF A BUNDLE OF SW CNT's

A lthough there is still an ongoing discussion^{1{4;9;10}</sup> concerning the preferable adsorption sites for He atom s in SW CNT's materials, the experimental information^{1{3}</sup> combined with the theoretical considerations^{9{11} suggests that individual He atom s are predominantly adsorbed in the groove positions on the SW CNT's bundle surface. If the number of He atom s adsorbed in the sample is very large, then one can expect that the He atom s will also occupy other positions on the bundle surface. This point will be discussed in Sec. III.

Q uantum states of ${}^{4}He$ atom s adsorbed in the groove positions of an in nitely long bundle made of (10,10) SW CNT's have been discussed in Ref. 9. It was found that the low energy part of the ${}^{4}He$ excitation spectrum exhibits a typical 1D behavior with characteristic $1 = \frac{1}{E}$ singularities present in the density of states, g(E). The density of states does not exhibit gaps which is a consequence of the neglect of the corrugation of the carbon nanotube. In this approximation there are no potential barriers for the adsorbate motion along the groove. The severeness of this

E-m ail: asiber@ ifs.hr

approximation and its in uence on the results to be presented shall be discussed in Sec. V. In Fig. 1, the low-energy part of the ⁴H e density of states per unit length of the groove is presented, which was calculated as described in R ef. 9, i.e. the three-dimensional Schrödinger equation was numerically solved to yield the complete set of bound states. A s can be seen in Fig. 1, all the excitations with energies between -22.7 meV and -18.88 meV pertain to essentially one-dimensional ⁴H e atom s. The excitations in this regime of energies represent the activated, free motion of ⁴H e atom s along the groove characterized by a 1D wave vector K_y (y-axis is oriented along the groove), as discussed in R ef. 9. The transverse probe (in xz plane, perpendicular to the groove direction) of the ⁴H e wave function is the same for all these excitations and can be represented by a narrow, G aussian-like 2D function (see panel A of Fig. 4 in R ef. 9).

At -18.88 m eV, another band of states becomes available to the isolated adsorbates. In this band, the transverse pro le of the ⁴He wave function is discussed from the ground-state pro le (see panel B of Fig. 4 in Ref. 9). As discussed in Ref. 9, the population of higher (excited) bands causes transition from the electively 1D behavior of ⁴He atoms to 2D, and eventually 3D behavior.

For the purposes of this work, it is su cient to note that the separation between the lowest 1D band and the rst excited band is quite large (3.82 meV) which immediately suggest that the higher bands are poorly populated in a signi cant range of tem peratures. The width of this tem perature range can be evaluated from the known density of states. The total density of states can be represented as a sum of the lowest band density of states, $g_0 (E)$ and the density of states representing all other transverse excitations, g_a ,

$$g(E) = g_0(E) + g_a(E)$$
: (1)

The lowest band density of states per unit length of the groove is given by⁹

$$g_0 (E) = \frac{1}{h^2} \frac{2m}{2} \frac{1}{p} \frac{(E - E)}{E - E};$$
(2)

where the mass of the adsorbate is m, E = 22.7 m eV is the ground state energy, and is the Heaviside function. The total number of adsorbates, N, is given by

$$N = L \qquad g(E) f(E;T) dE;$$
(3)

where L is the total length of the groove, and the Bose-E instein distribution function is given by

$$f(E;T) = \frac{1}{\exp \frac{E}{k_{\rm B}T}}$$
(4)

Here, k_B is the Boltzm ann constant, T is the tem perature and is the chemical potential. The num ber of adsorbates in the lowest band, N₀, can be calculated as

$$Z_{1}$$

N₀ = L g₀ (E) f (E; T) dE; (5)

once the chem ical potential has been determ ined from Eq. (3).

In Fig. 2, I plot the ratio N₀=N as a function of tem perature and for three di erent linear densities of adsorbates, n, n = N =L. From this gure one can conclude that the noninteracting ⁴H e gas can be treated as e ectively being 1D for tem peratures sm aller than about 8 K (13 K) since for these tem peratures m ore than 99 % (95 %) of ⁴H e atom s occupy the lowest energy band.

III.VIRIAL EXPANSION APPROACH TO TREAT THE INTERACTING GAS IN 1D

The approach to be presented here assumes that all the adsorbed atom s are in the groove positions on the bundle surface. Thus, the approach can not be applied to the situations where the number of adsorbates is so large that other positions on the bundle surface become occupied by the adsorbates. One could envisage the situation where a very large number of atom s is adsorbed on the bundle surface. From this phase, one could get to the phase where all the adsorbates are exclusively in the groove positions by desorbing all the atom s which are not in groove positions. These atom s are more weakly bound than those in the grooves⁹ and will desorb from the sample at low er tem peratures. This fact enables the experimental realization of the 1D phase of interest to this work. Sim ilar arguments can be applied to 1D heavy adsorbate phases studied in Ref. 12.

The virial expansion approach to treat the imperfect (interacting) quantum gas is well know $n^{21}{23}$ and in this section it will be only brie y outlined with reference to a quantum gas in 1D.

The basic idea of the virial expansion approach is to represent the so-called gas spreading pressure, , as a power series of the gas density, n,

$$= \int_{l=1}^{X^{1}} B_{l}(L;)n^{1}:$$
 (6)

Here, = $1=k_B T$ and coe cients B₁ are the virial coe cients. The virial coe cients can be obtained by comparing the expansion of the gas spreading pressure in the power series of fugacity, z = exp(),

$$= \frac{1}{L} \ln Q (z; ; L) = \int_{l=1}^{X^{1}} b_{l}(L;) z^{l}$$
(7)

with the expansion in Eq. (6). b_1 is the 1-th cluster integral obtained as the coe cient in the power series expansion of the logarithm of the grand partition function, Q, in terms of fugacity. Since the grand partition function is given by

Q (z;L;) =
$$\sum_{l=0}^{k} Z_{l}(L;)z^{l};$$
 (8)

where Z_1 are the quantum partition functions for l particles, the form ulas for cluster integrals can be obtained by comparing the expansions in Eqs. (7) and (8). The general form of b_1 is given in Ref. 21. For the rst two cluster integrals one has

$$b_{1} = \frac{Z_{1}}{L}$$

$$b_{2} = \frac{Z_{2} - \frac{1}{2}Z_{1}^{2}}{L};$$
(9)

E lim inating z from equations (6) and (7) by expressing it in terms of linear density n, yields the relation between the virial coe cients and cluster integrals. Using Eq. (9), one can obtain the relations between virial coe cients and quantum partition functions. Explicitly, for the rst and second virial coe cient one has

$$B_{1} = I$$

$$B_{2} = L \quad \frac{1}{2} \quad \frac{Z_{2}}{Z_{1}^{2}} \quad ; \qquad (10)$$

The quantum expression for the partition function of N particles in 1D is

a –

$$Z_{N} = dy_{1}:::dy_{N} \qquad (y_{1};:::;y_{n}) \exp \left[H(p_{1};:::;p_{N};y_{1};:::;y_{N})\right] \qquad (y_{1};:::;y_{n}); \qquad (11)$$

where p_i and y_i , i = 1;:::;N represent 1D m omenta and coordinates of the N gas particles, respectively. The dynam ics of N gas particles is described by the ham illonian, H (p_1 ;:::; p_N ; y_1 ;:::; y_N). A complete set of quantum states describing N particles is denoted by f g. The wave functions, are assumed to be properly normalized and symmetrized according to the statistics satistics are by the gas particles. It is easy to show 21 and 21 that the partition function for one 1D spinless particle is given by

$$Z_1 = \frac{L}{\cdot}; \tag{12}$$

where is the thermal wavelength, = $2 h^2 = m$. Since I am going to consider ⁴He atoms, the consideration of spinless particles will su ce. As shown by the authors of Ref. 21, the spin degrees of freedom can be considered (if needed) after the spinless problem has been solved. In the problem of spinless particles (anti)symmetrization of the wave function is performed solely in the coordinate space. All expressions which follow do not consider the spin degrees of freedom.

For the calculation of the second virial coe cient, B ₂, given by Eq. (10), one needs to calculate the partition function for two interacting particles. This is an easy task when the corrugation of the nanotubes can be neglected, since in that case the interacting two-body problem can be reduced to free motion of the center of m ass and the relative motion representing a particle of reduced m ass = m = 2 in an external potential^{21 {24}. The motion of the center of m ass and the center of m ass can be represented by a wave function for the free particle of m ass 2m, exp (ik_{cm} Y) = L, where k_{cm} is the wave vector of the center of m ass motion and Y is the center of m ass coordinate, Y = (y₁ + y₂)=2. The relative motion can be described by a wave function of relative coordinate, y = y₁ y, which is denoted by _c (y), and which satis es 1D Schrödinger equation,

$$\frac{h^2}{2}\frac{d^2}{dy^2} + v(\dot{y}) = c(y) = c(y):$$
(13)

The binary potential representing an interaction between the two gas particles is denoted by $v(jy) = v(jy_1 y_j)$. The set of "relative" quantum states is denoted by fog. This set consists of a nite number of bound states denoted by fbg, and the continuum of states which can be numbered according to the wave vector k associated with the motion of the particle with reduced mass in the region where the interaction vanishes (large y). The energy of quantum state jci is denoted by c. Relative wave functions behave in the asymptotic regime (y! 1) as

$$k (y) ! \frac{1}{L} \sin [ky + (k)];$$

$$b (y) ! 0$$
(14)

The 1D phase shifts, which are nonvanishing due to the presence of the interaction potential v, are denoted by (k).

The partition function for the two noninteracting particles [v(jy) = 0] in 1D can be calculated without invoking Y and y coordinates. Its form results solely from the requirement of the (anti) symmetrization of the total wave function. Explicitly,

$$Z_{2}^{(0)} = \frac{Z_{1}^{2}}{2} - \frac{L}{2^{2}\overline{2}};$$
(15)

where the upper (low er) sign is for spinless boson (ferm ion) gas. The second virial coe cient for the noninteracting gas is thus

$$B_2^{(0)} = \frac{p}{2^{\frac{p}{2}}}:$$
 (16)

The superscripts (0) in Eqs. (15) and (16) indicate that the expressions correspond to the noninteracting quantum gas. As offen noted in the literature^{22;23}, the nite value of $B_2^{(0)}$ coe cient for noninteracting quantum gas re ects the so-called statistical attraction for bosons (negative $B_2^{(0)}$) and statistical repulsion for ferm ions (positive $B_2^{(0)}$, see Eq. (6)). The expression for $B_2^{(0)}$ should be compared with Eq. (3.15) of Ref. 21 which pertains to noninteracting gas in two dimensions.

The second virial coe cient can be calculated as

$$B_{2} = B_{2}^{(0)} + \frac{p_{-}X}{2} \exp(\frac{(0)}{c}) \exp(\frac{1}{c});$$
(17)

where $c^{(0)}$ is the set of "relative" energies for two noninteracting particles. Eq. (17) has been obtained by performing integration over the center of mass coordinate in the expression for partition function of the two interacting particles, Z_2 (Eq. (11)).

The form ula for B_2 which is convenient for num erical in plem entation can be obtained by replacing the sum m ation over fog in Eq. (17) with two sum m ations, one going over the bound states, fbg, and the other over the continuum states, fkg. One can pass from the sum over states fkg to the integral over wave vector k by introducing the density of states in k space, which can be obtained from the 1D phase shifts, (k).²¹ I nally obtain

$$B_{2}() = B_{2}^{(0)}() \qquad p = \frac{X}{2} \exp(k_{b}) \qquad p = \frac{Y}{2} \sum_{0}^{Z} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d(k)}{dk} \exp(k_{b}) = \frac{h^{2}k^{2}}{2} dk; \qquad (18)$$

where the dependence of virial coe cient on the tem perature (or) is emphasized. This form ula is very similar to the one obtained for 2D and 3D gas, although the num erical factors (such as $\frac{1}{2}$) and units of B₂ (in 1D, B₂ has

units of length since the linear density has units of inverse length) are di erent, depending on a dimensionality of the problem. It should also be noted that since the problem involves only one dimension, one does not obtain azim uthal quantum numbers which occur in the treatments of interacting gas in 2D and 3D as a consequence of the central symmetry of the binary potential.

The evaluation of Eq. (18) requires the calculation of 1D phase shifts which depend on the binary potential, v. The interaction between the two He atoms in the otherwise empty space is known to a great precision¹⁸. However, the e ective interaction between the two He atom s positioned in the vicinity of a third polarizable body is di erent from the free space He He interaction²⁵. In the case of interest to this work, the two He atom s are surrounded by two SW CNT's and the polarization induced in the SW NCT's will modify the He He interaction. While the polarization induced in the SW NCT's will modify the He He interaction. While the polarization induced e ects on the binary potential can be calculated for atom sphysisorbed on crystalline surface²⁵, the analogous calculation for the very speci c geometry of the nanotube bundle is certainly more di cult. It is interesting to note here, that V idali and C ole²⁶ found that the measurements of speci c heat of He overlayers on graphite²⁷ can be m ore accurately reproduced by the e ective He He potential which is 15 % shallower from the free space He He potential. They attribute this e ect to the screening of He He interaction by the substrate. The treatment of V idali and C ole²⁶ was also based on quantum virial expansion. In another study²⁸, more related to the system considered here, the authors found that the interaction between two He atom s adsorbed in the interstitial channels of SW CN T's has a well depth which is 28 % shallower with respect to the free space interaction. The groove adsorption represents a situation which is "som ewhere in between" the adsorption on planar graphite and in SW CN T's interstitial channels.

In the following calculations, the HeHe interaction will be described by free-space potential suggested recently by Janzen and Aziz¹⁸, but I shall also consider the scaled potential obtained from the free-space interaction by simple multiplication with a factor of 0.785. Thus, the scaled potential has a well depth which is 21.5 % smaller from the well depth of the free-space potential. This number was obtained as a simple arithmetic mean of the well depth reductions found for adsorption on planar graphite²⁶ and in interstitial channels of SW CNT's²⁸. The assumed reduction of the well depth is quite close to the num erical estimate in R ef. 28 (24 %). The exact value of the scaling factor used should not be taken too seriously because the substrate induced contributions to the potential cannot be modelled by a simple scaling of the free-space potential.²⁵ The scaled potential was introduced simply to exam ine the elective potential in 1D, the 3D potential should be averaged over the 2D cross-sections of the adsorbate probability density^{26,29}. How ever, as the cross-section of the low est band states is rather small, and in the light of the uncertainties of the substrate mediated forces, such a procedure has not been performed.

SAPT1 potential supports one weakly bound state in 1D, representing a ⁴H e dim erw ith an energy of $_0 = 0.16 \text{ eV}$. This state, being so weakly bound, is extrem ely extended in relative coordinate.^{30;31}. The bound state energy in 1D is signi cantly sm aller from the one obtained by Siddon and Schick in a 2D treatment²¹, which is in accord with existing literature³⁰. The scaled SAPT1 potential does not support bound states. The 1D phase shifts and their derivatives with respect to relative wave vector were calculated by num erically solving the Schrödinger equation using the algorithm ³² quite sim ilar to the one described in Ref. 33.

In Fig. 3, the calculated values of second virial coe cient are presented. The full line corresponds to calculation with the He-He potential suggested in Ref. 18 (SAPT1), while the dashed line corresponds to calculation using the scaled SAPT1 potential. The behavior of the second virial coe cient with tem perature is qualitatively sim ilar to the one obtained for ⁴He adsorbates on graphite (2D problem) in Ref. 21. There is, however, one in portant di erence. The ideal gas term, B_2^0 , rejecting the purely quantum elect of gas statistics, decays with temperature as $\frac{1}{1-T}$ in 1D, and as 1=T in 2D.²¹. Thus, the approach of second virial coe cient to its classical value as the tem perature increases is slower in 1D than in 2D. In the inset of Fig. 3 the derivatives of the phase-shifts, d (k)=dk are plotted as a function of relative wave vector k. Note that the phase-shift derivatives become negative and nearly constant for large relative energies (wave vectors). This is a consequence of a strongly repulsive potential at short distances (hard core). Note also that the phase shifts of the two potentials are very di erent for smallwave vectors, and thus one could expect that the two potentials produce quite di erent therm odynam ical quantities. However, the very di erent behavior of the phase shifts is a consequence of the fact that the SAPT1 potential supports a weakly bound state whereas the scaled SAPT1 potential does not. Thus, in the evaluation of Eq. (18) one has to properly account for the bound state which exists in the case of SAPT1 potential. This "extra" term for SAPT1 potentialm akes the therm odynam ical quantities derived from the two potentials quite similar, although the derivatives of the phase shifts are very di erent. This fact has been discussed for the interacting gas in 2D^{34;35} in connection with Levinson's theorem ^{24;37} which relates the phase shift at zero mom entum to the number of bound states. It was found³⁴ that a proper account of both the continuum and bound states elim inates discontinuities in therm odynam ic properties whenever an extra bound state appears with the sm all change of the param eters of interaction potential. In the present calculation similar e ect is found in one dimension.

IV.SPECIFIC HEAT OF ADSORBED ⁴HeGAS

The speci c heat of interacting quantum gas can be calculated from the set of virial coe cients $^{22;23}$. I assume that the dom inant contribution to the speci c heat comes from the second virial coe cient. Thus, the results are applicable to a restricted range of adsorbate concentrations and tem peratures. The range of a validity of this approximation can be estimated from a calculation of higher virial coe cients, which is a di cult task, or from direct comparison with experiments, as has been done for ⁴H e adsorbates on graphite in Refs. 21 and 36. Experiments dealing primarily with the speci c heat of adsorbates in carbon nanotube materials have not been reported yet and those which detected the signature of the adsorbed gas in the overall speci c heat of the sample were focused on the speci c heat of clean nanotube materials^{19;20}.

The isosteric speci cheat is given as²¹

$$\frac{C}{N k_{\rm B}} = \frac{1}{2} \qquad n^2 \frac{d^2 B_2}{d^2}; \tag{19}$$

The second term in Eq. (19) can be calculated from Eq. (18) as

$$n^{2} \frac{d^{2}B_{2}}{d^{2}} = n^{2} \frac{1}{16} + \frac{S_{0} + I_{0}}{4} + (S_{1} + I_{1})^{2} (S_{2} + I_{2}) ; \qquad (20)$$

where

$$S_{n} = \sum_{b}^{n} \exp(\sum_{b}); \qquad (21)$$

and

$$I_{n} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{h^{2}}{2} - \frac{h^{2}}{2} - \frac{h^{2}}{2} - \frac{h^{2}}{2} - \frac{h^{2}k^{2}}{2} - \frac{h^{2}k^{2}}$$

The expression for the term in Eq. (20) representing a deviation of the speci c heat from its ideal value (where the interactions are neglected) is di erent from the corresponding expression one would obtain in a 2D treatment. The 2D expression²¹ does not contain a term proportional to and independent of the interactorbate interaction potential (1/16 in Eq. (20)). The reason for this is that in 2D, the noninteracting value of B₂, B₂⁽⁰⁾ is proportional to $(B_2^{(0)} = {}^{2}=4)^{21}$, and therefore, its second derivative with respect to vanishes. In 1D case, $B_2^{(0)} / {}^{P-}$ (see Eq. 16) and $d^2 B_2^{(0)} = d^2 / {}^{3=2}$. This fact alone suggests that the speci c heats of dilute, interacting boson gas in 1D and 2D m ay be qualitatively di erent.

In Fig. 4 I plot the quantity ${}^{2}d^{2}B_{2}=d^{2}$. The full (dashed) line represents the calculation with SAPT1 (scaled SAPT1) potential. Obviously, both potentials produce similar deviations. The calculation with scaled SAPT1 potential yields somewhat smaller e ects of interactions on the speci c heat, which is plausible since the scaled SAPT1 potential is weaker than SAPT1 potential. An important observation is that the deviation of speci c heat from its ideal value is negative for 1D boson gas, i.e. the inclusion of interactions reduces the speci c heat of the adsorbed 1D gas. For 2D spinless boson gas considered in Ref. 21, the deviation was found to be positive. The observed di erence between 1D and 2D results is a consequence of both the nonvanishing second derivative of the ideal term $\left|B_{2}^{(0)}(\cdot)\right|$ with respect to , and nonexistence of azim uthal degrees of freedom in 1D treatment of the problem.

Finally, in Fig. 5, Ipbt the speci c heat of the interacting ${}^{4}\text{H}$ e gas adsorbed in grooves of SW CNT bundles for three di erent linear densities. The full (dashed) lines represent the calculation with SAPT1 (scaled SAPT1) potential. O bviously, for denser gas, the speci c heat is more strongly in uenced by the interactions, and deviates more from the ideal (noninteracting) 1D value (com pare with Fig. 6 of R ef. 9). It can also be inferred from Fig. 5 that the description of them odynam ics of the adsorbed gas in term s of second virial coe cient only breaks down at very low temperatures where such an approach yields negative speci c heat. These temperatures can be considered as the low er lim its for the application of the present approach. For higher densities, the low er temperature lim its obviously increase, in agreem ent with Eq. (19), and the presented virial expansion approach breaks down at higher temperatures. Note that the upper temperature for which the speci c heat as discussed in Sec. II and R ef. 9. A lihough the num ber of particles in higher bands is very sm all at 6 K (see Fig. 1), the derivative of internal energy with respect to temperature (speci c heat) is very sensitive even to very sm all occupations of the higher bands and this causes the increase of the speci c heat observed for a very dilute gas.

It is now of interest to compare the obtained results with those of exactly solvable 1D m any-particle m odels. In particular, for the gas of bosons in one dimension interacting via repulsive -function potential, Lieb and Liniger

have demonstrated that the that the energy spectrum of such a gas is identical with the spectrum of noninteracting Ferm igas.^{15;16} The same was found in the model of G irardeau¹⁷ for 1D bosons interacting with the binary hard-core potentials of nite radius. The Ferm ienergy, E_F of the corresponding 1D Ferm igas is given as

$$E_{F} = \frac{h^{2}k_{F}^{2}}{2m} = \frac{h^{2}}{2m}n^{2}; \qquad (23)$$

where $k_F = N = L = n$ is the Ferm i wave vector. This mapping (interacting Bose gas - noninteracting Ferm i gas) allow s us to easily predict the speci c heat of the impenetrable 1D Bose gas. Thus, at temperatures much sm aller than the Ferm i temperature, $T_F = E_F = k_B$,

$$C_V (T T_F) / T;$$
 (24)

while at tem peratures much larger than the Ferm i tem perature, speci c heat reduces to its classical equipartition value,

$$\frac{C_{V}(T - T_{F})}{N k_{B}} ! \frac{1}{2}:$$
(25)

The linear tem perature dependence of speci cheat [eq. (24)] can be also interpreted as a signature of long-wavelength compression waves of the 1D Bose gas (sound), and is thus also expected in a gas of 1D bosons interacting with m ore complex forces. This is a collective e ect and is obviously outside of the scope of the second order virial expansion, treating only the two-body collisions. On the other hand, the classical equipartition value of speci cheat [eq. (25)]is obtained also by the present approach at high temperatures [eq. (19)] and [eq. (23)]. The temperature separating the two characteristic behaviors in eqs. (24) and (25) is roughly given by the Ferm i temperature of the equivalent noninteracting Ferm igas, which is proportional to the square of linear density [eq. (23)]. Thus, for denser Bose gases, the interval of temperatures in which the speci cheat is signi cantly smaller than 1/2 is larger. This is again in agreem ent with the results presented in Fig. 5.

V.THE EFFECT OF THE CORRUGATION OF CARBON NANOTUBE AND SAMPLE INHOMOGENEITES

The results presented in this article are based on an idealized representation of the SW CNT m aterials. In particular, it is assumed that SW CNT bundles are in nitely long, straight and smooth which is certainly not the case in real m aterials and the bundles wiggle on large length scales. The discreteness of the nanotube results in the corrugation of the potential experienced by adsorbates which is not accounted for in the present approach. The in uence of the corrugation of the potential con ning the He atom to the interstitial channel of the bundle composed of (10,10) carbon nanotubes was studied in Ref. 11. A model potential was used which enabled an easy examination of e ects induced by the potential details. Substantial e ect of the corrugation on the density of states of single He atom was predicted. In particular, for the low est band of states, a mass enhancem ent factor of 2.37 was calculated. Another study⁶ predicted a mass enhancem ent factor of 1.3. A large di erence between these factors predicted by the two studies can be attributed to the larger separation of the tubes (by 0.1 A) adopted in Ref. 6 with respect to Ref. 11. For com parison, the mass enhancem ent factor for ⁴He adsorbed on graphite was found to be only 1.06.²⁶ For an interstitial channel surrounded by three (17,0) nanotubes, the authors of Ref. 6 found that a change in the intertube separation by 0.1 A changes the e ective mass of ⁴He by a factor of two!

It is quite remarkable that a small change in the intertube separation results in a very large change of the band structure of adsorbates. A similar sensitivity of the adsorbate bound states on the interaction potential was found in Ref. 9 for the groove adsorption. The details of the interaction potential are "magni ed" in the spatially restricted regions of the interstitial channel and the groove, and the band structure of adsorbates is much more a ected by the potential details when compared with the adsorption on planar graphite. Thus, in order to assess the e ect of the corrugation on the calculations presented in this article, one would need to know the intertube separation distance to a precision better than at least 0.05 A. Note that the groove region is at the surface of the bundle where the relaxation e ects can be expected and the separation between the tubes surrounding the groove needs not to be the sam e as the separation between the two tubes in the interior of the bundle. A dditionally, one would have to know the H e – single tube potential with a great accuracy. Even then, the calculation of the corrugation of the potential would require know ledge of the alignment of the two tubes surrounding the groove. It is very likely that this arrangement is not the sam e for all the grooves on the bundle surface. Furtherm ore, if the two tubes surrounding a groove are not of the sam e symmetry, the corrugations of the two tubes are not necessarily commensurate and the total potential for the groove adsorption can not be written as a Fourier series.

A llthe m entioned com plications are not present for the adsorption on planar graphite. In that case, a fairly reliable potential can be constructed³⁸ and w ritten as a 2D Fouries series. However, even the inclusion of periodic corrugation

in the form alism of quantum virial expansion is not straightforward. The basic reason for this is that the two body Schrodinger equation in the textured potential background no longer separates into the two equations describing the motion of the center of mass and the relative motion. For the adsorption of He on graphite, G uo and B ruch²⁹ devised a perturbation treatment in which the two-body cluster integral is written as an expansion in powers of the Fourier amplitudes of the atom -substrate potential. They also presented the results for the second virial coe cient in which the two-body order of the perturbation series. A nother study dealing with the problem ³⁹ started from the tight-binding H am illonian and H e atom s localized on particular adsorption sites. A series of approximations and simplications was needed to obtain the formula for the second virial coe cient which is am enable to evaluation.

It is clear from the discussion in this section that a reliable calculation of the second virial coe cient with the e ects of the corrugation included is not possible at present, mainly because the relevant potential is not known with su cient precision. Additionally, aperiodic corrugation has not been treated in the literature in this context. How ever, a simple estimate of the corrugation e ects is possible if we represent the adsorbate as a particle with the e ective mass. Such a representation of the corrugation e ects is adequate for excitations with small velocity i.e. for the states located around the center of the 1D B rillouin zone. The mass enhancement for the groove adsorption can be expected to be somewhere in between 1.06 (planar graphite) and 2.37 (interstitial channel). The quantity

 $^{2}d^{2}B_{2}=d^{2}$ was calculated using the Eqs. (20), (21) and (22), the scaled SAPT1 potential, and the e ective H e m ass equal to M = 1:3M_{H e}= 5.2 am u. At T = 1K (5K), its value was found to be -1.69 A (-0.52 A). This should be compared with the values obtained in Fig. 4. In particular, at T = 1K (5K) the values obtained using the free m ass of ⁴H e are -1.92 A (-0.77 A). Thus, the re ection of the corrugation e ects on the speci c heat can be signi cant, but the overall trends, at least in the m odel of renorm alized m ass, are the same. For strongly corrugated potentials, it m ay be m ore sensible to use the band width for the characterization of the e ects of corrugation rather than the curvature of dispersion curves at sm all wave vectors.⁴⁰

The in uence of other bundles on the atom's adsorbed in a groove of a particular bundle is neglected. This approximation obviously breaks down if two bundles touch each other. At these points, the adsorbates move in a potential very much in uenced by both bundles in question, which may be significantly different from the potential of a single, in nitely long and straight bundle I used in the calculations. For the adsorption of ⁴He on graphite^{27;36}, it was argued⁴¹ that the presence of long-range inhom ogeneities in the graphite may act as a trap and induce the Bose-E instein condensation of the adsorbed gas. The same experiments were later explained in terms of the virial expansion approach²¹ by assuming a perfect graphite substrate. Nevertheless, a possible substantial in uence of the sam ple inhom ogeneities on the therm odynam ics of the adsorbed gas cannot be a priori ruled out. This is expected to be more important at low tem peratures where the adsorbate therm alwavelength is large and the long range order of the sam ple may in uence the adsorbate gas therm odynam ics. It is hoped that the low-tem perature measurements of the speci c heat may give answers to these questions.

VI.SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

 ${}^{4}\text{He}$ gas adsorbed in the grooves of single wall carbon nanotube bundles has been treated as an interacting B ose gas in one dimension. It was found that this approximation should be very accurate for all temperatures below 8 K. The interactions in the adsorbed gas are treated via the quantum virial expansion approach and the second virial coe cient for the interacting gas was calculated. This information was used to calculate the speci c heat of adsorbed ${}^{4}\text{He}$ gas which was shown to be substantially in unceed by interadsorbate interactions already at relatively low adsorbate linear densities (0.033 1/A). A qualitative agreement between the results obtained in this article and those of exactly solvable 1D m odels is demonstrated.

ACKNOW LEDGMENTS

It is a pleasure to thank D. Jurm an for stimulating discussions concerning exactly solvable 1D m any-particle models and for suggesting Ref. 14.

¹W. Teizer, R.B. Hallock, E.D. ujardin, and T.W. Ebbesen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 5305 (1999)

²W. Teizer, R.B. Hallock, E.D. ujardin, and T.W. Ebbesen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 1844 (E) (2000)

³ Y.H.Kahng, R.B.Hallock, E.Dujardin, and T.W. Ebbesen, J.Low Temp. Phys. 126, 223 (2002)

⁴ S. Talapatra, A. Z. Zam bano, S. E. Weber, and A. D. Migone, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 138 (2000)

- ⁵ M M.Calbi, M W.Cole, S M.Gatica, M.J.Bojan, and G.Stan, Rev. Mod. Phys. 73, 857 (2001)
- ⁶ M. Boninsegni, S.-Y. Lee, and V. H. Crespi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 3360 (2001)
- ⁷ M W .Cole, V H.Crespi, G.Stan, C.Ebner, JM.Hartman, S.Moroni, and M.Boninsegni, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 3883 (2000)
- ⁸ S.M. Gatica, M.J. Bojan, G. Stan, and M.W. Cole, J. Chem. Phys. 114, 3765 (2001)
- ⁹ A.Siber, Phys. Rev. B 66, 205406 (2002)
- ¹⁰ G. Stan, M. J. Bojan, S. Curtarolo, S.M. Gatica, and M.W. Cole, Phys. Rev. B 62, 2173 (2000)
- ¹¹ A.Siber and H.Buljan, Phys. Rev. B 66, 075415 (2002)
- ¹² A.Siber, Phys. Rev. B 66, 235414 (2002)
- ¹³ J. Petterson and O. H jortsberg, Hydrogen storage alternatives a technological and econom ic assessment, KFB Kommunikationsforskningsberedningen, Stockholm (1999)
- ¹⁴ M A.O lshanetsky and A M.Perelom ov, Phys.Rep. 71, 313 (1981); M A.O lshanetsky and A M.Perelom ov, Phys.Rep. 94, 313 (1983)
- ¹⁵ E.H. Lieb and W. Liniger, Phys. Rev. 130, 1605 (1963)
- ¹⁶ E.H.Lieb, Phys. Rev. 130, 1616 (1963)
- ¹⁷ M.Girardeau, J.M ath. Phys. 1, 516 (1960)
- ¹⁸ A R. Janzen and R A. Aziz, J. Chem. Phys. 107, 914 (1997)
- ¹⁹ J. Hone, B. Batlogg, Z. Benes, A. T. Johnson, and J.E. Fischer, Science 289, 1730 (2000)
- ²⁰ J.C. Lasjaunias, K. Biljakovic, Z. Benes, J.E. Fischer, and P. Monceau, Phys. Rev. B 65, 113409 (2002)
- ²¹ R L.Siddon and M .Schick, Phys. Rev. A 9, 907 (1974)
- ²² K.Huang, Statistical Mechanics (Wiley & Sons, New York-London, 1963)
- ²³ P.J. Landsberg ed., Problem s in Therm odynam ics and Statistical Physics (Pion, London, 1971)
- ²⁴ L.I.Schi , Quantum Mechanics (McGraw-Hill, 1968)
- ²⁵ LW. Bruch, MW. Cole, and E. Zarem ba, Physical Adsorption: Forces and Phenom ena (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1997)
- ²⁶ G.Vidaliand M W .Cole, Phys. Rev. B 22, 4661 (1980)
- ²⁷ M. Bretz, J.G. Dash, D.C. Hickernell, E.O. McLean, and O.E. Vilches, Phys. Rev. A 8, 1589 (1973)
- ²⁸ M K.Kostov, M W .Cole, J.C. Lew is, P.D iep, and J.K. Johnson, Chem. Phys. Lett. 332, 26 (2000)
- ²⁹ Z.-C. Guo and L.W. Bruch, J. Chem. Phys. 77, 1417 (1982)
- ³⁰ S.Kilic and L.Vranjes, Croat. Chem. Acta 73, 517 (2000)
- ³¹ L. Vran je s and S. Kilic, Phys. Rev. A 65, 2506 (2002)
- 32 FORTRAN 77 code for the calculation of phase shifts can be obtained from the author.
- ³³ R.G.Gordon, J.Chem. Phys. 51, 14 (1969)
- ³⁴ M E.Portnoi and I.Galbraith, Phys. Rev. B 58, 3963 (1998)
- ³⁵ Q.-G.Lin, Phys. Rev. A 56, 1938 (1997)
- ³⁶ R L.E lgin and D L.G oodstein, Phys. Rev. A 9, 2657 (1974)
- ³⁷ N.Levinson, K.Dan.Vidensk.Selsk.Mat.Fys.Medd.25,3 (1949)
- ³⁸ M W .Cole, D R.Frankl, and D L.Goodstein, Rev.M od.Phys.53, 199 (1981)
- ³⁹ J.J.Rehrand M.Tejwani, Phys.Rev.B 20, 345 (1979)
- ⁴⁰ J.G. Dash, J. Low. Tem p. Phys. 1, 173 (1969)
- ⁴¹ C E. Cam pbell, J.G. Dash, and M. Schick, Phys. Rev. Lett. 26, 966 (1971)

FIG.1. Density of states per unit length of the groove of single 4 He atom adsorbed in the groove of a bundle m ade of (10,10) SW CNT's. The low energy portion of the density of states is displayed.

FIG.2. Ratio of the number of ⁴H e atoms in the lowest 1D band and the total number of ⁴H e atoms ($N_0 = N$) as a function of temperature and for three di erent linear densities. Thick full line: N = L = 0.01 1/A. Thick dashed line: N = L = 0.1 1/A. Thick dotted line: N = L = 0.2 1/A. Two thin dotted lines represent the 0.99 and 0.95 values of the ratio.

FIG.3. Second virial coe cient for 1D 4 H e gas as a function of tem perature. Full line: Quantum calculation with SAPT1 potential. D ashed line: Quantum calculation with scaled SAPT1 potential (see text). Inset: Phase shift derivatives, d (k)=dk, corresponding to SAPT1 potential (full line) and scaled SAPT1 potential (dashed line).

FIG.4. Deviation of the speci c heat per unit linear density (${}^{2}d^{2}B_{2}=d{}^{2}$) from its ideal value, Eq. (19). Full line: Calculation with SAPT1 potential. D ashed line: Calculation with scaled SAPT1 potential.

FIG.5. Speci c heat of 4 H e gas adsorbed in the grooves of SW CNT bundles for three di erent linear densities (0.033 1/A, 0.1 1/A, and 0.2 1/A). Full line: Calculation with SAPT1 potential. Dashed line: Calculation with scaled SAPT1 potential.









