Comment on \Relevant Length Scale of Barchan Dunes"

In a recent experimental breakthrough, Hersen et al. [1] demonstrated that by changing the agitating medium from air to water, one can obtain, on laboratory scale, dunes that are downsized copies of desert dunes, thereby overcoming a major obstacle for their systematic study. Here we argue in two steps (i), (ii) that an alternative data analysis leads to some conclusions that are qualitatively and quantitatively di erent from Hersen et al.'s but justify their similarity hypothesis on di erent grounds.

It has been a long{standing debate whether the various shapes of desert dunes share the scale{invariance of the turbulent wind eld that creates them . Careful eld m easurements of barchan dunes have recently provided compelling evidence that scale invariance is borne out only transverse to the wind direction, whereas it is system atically broken along the wind direction [2]. That the responsible characteristic scale is the windward distance 's over which the sand ux adapts to a sudden change in wind speed or sand supply, was already vaguely anticipated by Bagnold. But only recent theoretical developments succeeded in making this notion fully quantitative and established its crucial role for system atic shape variations and shape transitions of aeolian dunes [3].

(i) The analysis of sim ilarities of aeolian and submarine dunes in Ref. [1] su ers from mixing transverse and longitudinal dune dimensions. From what was said above, a genuine test of sim ilarity should address the longitudinal geometry directly, thereby avoiding in uences [2] from uncontrolled parameters a ecting the transverse direction. Recombining the data from Ref. [1], we can infer the (a ne) aeolian and submarine height{length (H {L) relations that suggest a considerably larger scale factor than estimated in [1]. Our best estimate for the latter is how ever obtained from analysing data [1, 4] for the dune migration speed V as follows.

(ii) The (trivial) fact that for a barchan dune, which looses practically no sand over its crest, V is proportional to the ux q_c over the crest divided by its height, is the origin of the popular relation V / H 1 adopted in Ref. [1]. Note how ever that the latter would only hold for size { independent q_c , i.e. in the case of scale { invariant dune shape, inconsistent with the observation of broken scale invariance crucially underlying the sim ilarity hypothesis by Hersen et al. Yet, one can show on quite general grounds [3] that (essentially) V / L 1 , L being the total length of either sm ooth heaps or barchan dunes. Fig.1 dem onstrates that this (but not V / H $^{-1}$) is com patible with both aeolian and submarine data. To superimpose the data, lengths had to be rescaled by 62 10 (in line with our recombined H L relations) instead of the 1:7 10 suggested in [1], and times by 2:3 10.

Assuming $\frac{vair}{s} = 0.8 \text{ m}$ [3] for the ux{weighted average of s [5] this implies $\frac{water}{s} = 0.13 \text{ mm}$ equal to the grain diameter, as naturally expected for direct uid entrain-




FIG.1: Submarine (black [1]) and aeolian (gray [4]; rescaled) m igration speed data compared to H $^{-1}$ (dotted [1]) failing for sm all dunes, and L $^{-1}$ (solid [3]) compatible with the data.

m ent. In conclusion, we agree with Ref. [1] that there is an intriguing similarity between submarine and aeolian dunes, but on som ewhat dierent grounds. Further quantitative con mation of this similarity by data for sm all submarine dunes, backing the highest submarine data point in Fig. 1, would be desirable.

K laus K roy¹ and X lang G uo^2

¹Hahn-Meitner Institut

- G lienicker Str. 100, 14109 Berlin, Germany
- 2 D epartm ent of Building and C on struction,
- City University of HongKong, HongKong
- [1] P.Hersen, S.D ouady, and B.Andreotti, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 264301 (2002).
- [2] G. Sauermann, P. Rognon, A. Poliakov, and H. J. Herrmann, Geomorphology 36, 47 (2000).
- [3] G. Sauermann, K. Kroy, and H. J. Herrmann, Phys.Rev.E 64, 031305 (2001); K.Kroy, G.Sauermann, and H.J.Herrmann, Phys.Rev.Lett. 88, 054301 (2002), and Phys.Rev.E 66, 031302 (2002).
- [4] H.J.Finkel, J.Geol. 67, 614 (1959).
- [5] W hile the average saltation length, the scale l_{drag} introduced in R ef. [1], and 's are all proportional to each other on dimensional grounds, their absolute values are generally stronly diverse due to distinctly di erent dependencies on the wind speed [3], which prohibits their identi – cation even for order{of{m agnitude estimates.}}