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#### Abstract

In the spinless ferm ion $m$ odel on a square lattice $w i t h$ in nite nearest-neighbor repulsion, holes doped into the half- lled ordered state form stripes which, at low doping, are stable against phase separation into an ordered state and a hole-rich m etal. Here we consider transport of additional holes along these stripes. The m otion of a single hole on a stripe is $m$ apped to a one-dim ensionalproblem, a variationalw avefunction is constructed and the energy spectrum is calculated and com pared to energies obtained by exact diagonalization.


Introduction. \{ A spinless square lattice ferm ion $m$ odel $w$ ith nearest-neighbor repulsion, we suggest, $m$ ay be a fruitful and com paratively tractable analog of the $H$ ubbard $m$ odel that retains $m$ any of the its properties. In particular, in the lim it of in nite repulsion, near halflling, the equilibrium state appears to be an array of charged, antiphase dom ain wall stripes that are stabilized by kinetic energy [1] [1] . This is rem in iscent of a state of charged stripes that has been observed in cuprates [3/] and has been discussed in the spinfull H ubbard and $t-J$ $m$ odels $\left[\begin{array}{l}{[4]}\end{array}\right]$. Stripes in the spinless ferm ion $m$ odel have been studied by exact diagonalization (ED) in ref. $\overline{[1]}$. H ere we are interested in nding to what extent a hole, moving along a stripe, is decoupled from the state of the stripe, and whether or not the hole tends to bind to a $\backslash k i n k "$ on the stripe. To this end we extend an exact m apping of a stripe to one dim ension, introduced in refs. [in, U calculate the energy spectrum .

W e do not know of an existing experim ental system that realizes our m_odel, but recent progress in them anipulation of ultracold bosonic atom son an optical lattioe [1] $]$, and in cooling ferm ionic atom s below degeneracy tem perature [G] leads us to expect that such a m odelm ay be realized experim entally in the near future. A corresponding $H$ ard-core boson $m$ odel w ith large nearest neighbor repulsion can be realized, on a triangular lattice, in adsorption of ${ }^{4} \mathrm{He}$ to graphite sheets [ $[\underline{1}]$. The phase diagram of a boson $m$ odel $w$ th nite nearest neighbor and next nearest neighbor repulsion was studied in ref. $\overline{[q]}]$.

[^0]M odel. \{ W e consider spinless ferm ions on a square lattioe with H am iltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=t_{h i j i}^{X}\left(c_{i}^{y} c_{j}+c_{j}^{y} c_{i}\right)+V_{h i j i}^{X} c_{i}^{y} c_{i} c_{j}^{y} c_{j} ; \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $c_{i}^{y}$ and $C_{i}$ are creation and annihilation operators at site $i$, respectively, and hiji $m$ eans nearest neighbors. In th is paper, we consider only the lim it of zero tem perature and $\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{j} j=1$, so that neighboring ferm ions are forbidden, and $t \quad 1$ is the only energy scale. The $m$ axim um allowed lling fraction is $n=1=2$, where there are tw o possible \checkerboard" states [9] $\left[\frac{1}{9}\right]$. To $m$ ake a well-de ned situation, we assum e a nite system with periodic boundary conditions having dim ensions $L_{x} \quad L_{y}$ where $L_{x}$ is even and $L_{y}$ is odd. $T$ his foroes an odd num ber of dom ain walls (which $m$ ust be stripes) running in the $x$ direction. These dom ain walls are com posed of $1=2$ of a hole per colum $n$ and we call them stripes. This m odel has been studied by ED for spinless ferm ions and hard-core bosons, and the stripes were show $n$ to be stable against phase separation, for ferm ions [1] [1, only one stripe is allow ed. If we rem ove a few m ore particles (less than $L_{x}$ ), it is energetically favorable for the holes to attach to the stripe, since holes o the stripe can only form con ned droplets [d] $]$. From here on, we reserve the term \hole" for additional holes beyond those needed to create a stripe. In the case of a single undoped stripe, the boson and ferm ion m odels possess the sam e energy spectrum [/ָ].

On an undoped stripe, hops of particles are equivalent to stripe uctuations (see g. ${ }_{1}^{11}$ ). If $w e$ de ne the stripe height $y(x)$ to be the $m$ ean of the $y$ coordinates of the rst particles above and below the stripe, in colum $n x$, we can $m$ ap the up and down steps of the stripe height to \spins" in one dim ension by de ning $s(x)=\frac{1}{2}[y(x) \quad y(x \quad 1)]$, taking values $1=2$. The corresponding $H$ am iltonian is the one-dim ensionalspin-1=2 XY H am iltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{\text {ex }}=\mathrm{X}_{i}^{H_{e x}^{i} ;} \text { where } \quad H_{e x}^{i}=S_{i}^{+} S_{i+1}+S_{i} S_{i+1}^{+} \text {; } \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S_{i}^{+}, S_{i}$ are spin raising and lowering operators. This m odel can be solved by the Jordan W igner transform ation, in which we replace each up spin by a spinless ferm ion, and each down spin by an em pty space. The Ham iltonian is replaced by a non-interacting hopping $H$ am iltonian for the ferm ions. Thus, the ground state of a horizontal, undoped stripe is equivalent to that of a (one-dim ensional) half- lled sea of free (spinless) ferm ions w th dispersion $(k)=2$ cosk. From this, the ground state energy, for large $L_{x}$, is $\int_{0}^{\left(L_{x}\right)}=2 L_{x}=$. T his implies the chem ical potential of the stripe is stripe $=4=$, since half of a particle is rem oved per unit length of a stripe. In a m ore general case, we $m$ ay force a stripe $w$ ith som e overall tilt by replacing our rectangular boundary conditions $w$ ith ( $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{x}}$; b) ( 0 ; $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{y}}$ ), for $L_{x}+b$ even. In that case the spin chain has total spin $b, m$ apping to $1 D$ ferm ions results in
 $N$ ote that a diagonal stripe ( $b=L_{x}$ ) has zero energy, as there are no allow ed hops.

O ne hole on a stripe. \{ If an additionalhole is added to an a stripe, the energy is low ered due to the hopping energy of the hole along the stripe. $W$ e de ne the ground state energy di erence $\quad E_{\text {hole }} E_{\text {stripe }}$, where $E_{\text {hole }}, E_{\text {stripe }}=0_{0}^{\left(L_{x}\right)}$ are ground state energies of a stripe $w$ ith a hole and an undoped stripe, respectively. For stability of a stripe array state, we m ust have > stripe; otherw ise, doping would add holes to existing stripes, probably form ing a phase-separated droplet. To obtain a variationalbound for , we willm ake (below) an approxim ation by using a subset of the $H$ ilbert space.

O nce a single hole is added to colum n x of the stripe, the stripe height di erence in the tw o colum $n s$ adjacent to the hole can take values of 0 or 2 rather than 1 , i.e. $s(x) ; s(x+1) 2$
 of the hole, at positions $x$ and $x+1$ on the spin chain. This looks like a $K$ ondo $m$ odel w ith tw o m obile im purities, that are bound together. Sim ilar system s, with tJ H am iltonian and $m$ obile spin-1=2 im purities in a spin-1 chain, have been the sub ject of theoretical study, as a m odel for the charge transfer insulator $\mathrm{Y}_{2} \times \mathrm{Ca}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{BaN}$ io $\mathrm{D}_{5}\left[\mathrm{In}_{1}^{1}\right]$. H ow ever, our m odel is quite di erent from those, because the hopping $m$ atrix is quite elaborate, re ecting the allowed $m$ oves in the original stripe.

In order for our variational schem e to work, we need to separate the \hole degrees of freedom " from the \stripe degrees of freedom ". To make this separation apparent, we nd it $m$ ore convenient to introduce a di erent, equivalent notation, in which the stripe and the hole is represented by a one dim ensional spin $-1=2$ chain of length $L_{x}^{0} \quad L_{x} \quad 2$, with three additional \particles": a hole (represented by a dot), that $m$ arks the position of the hole in between two spins, and two \brackets" (right and left), each taking the place of a spin. If $s(x+1)=1(1)$, then the right bracket takes the places of the rst down (up) spin to the right of the hole, and $s(x+1)$ is set to $1=2(1=2)$. If $s(x+1)=0$, the right bracket is placed in position $x+1$. Sim ilarly for the left bracket and $s(x)$. N ote that the total spin is preserved by this $m$ apping. For exam ple, if we use a double arrow to denote $s(x)=1$,


If stripe uctuations occur in the vicinity of the hole, it $m$ ight becom e stranded, i.e. isolated from the stripe, as in $g \cdot \overline{1}$. H ow ever, we observe that in the ED ground state of ref. $\bar{Z}]$, the probability for this to occur is negligible (about 0:03 for an untilted stripe and less than 0:01 for a tilted one). Thus, in the follow ing discussion we suppress hops that lead to the stranded state. U nder this assum ption, the spin chain is free to uctuate only outside the brackets. Each of the three additional \particles" can hop by one step freely, as long as all of the spins betw een the hole and each of the brackets are in the sam e direction, and as long as their order is preserved (i.e. they do not hop across each other). This mapping can be show $n$ to be exact.
$T$ he $H$ am iltonian for the hole on the stripe in this $m$ odel can now be broken $u p H_{\text {hole }}=$ $H_{h}+H_{r}+H_{l}+H_{s p}^{0}$, where $H_{h}, H_{r}, H_{l}$ are the hopping term $s$ for the hole, right bracket and left bracket, respectively and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{sp}}^{0}$ is the spin exchange H am iltonian acting only outside the brackets. The H am iltonian $\mathrm{H}_{\text {hole }}$ acts on the H ilbert space of $\backslash a l l o w e d$ states", i.e., all states in which the spins betw een each of the brackets and the hole are in the sam e direction. W e denote the state of the hole and two brackets by $\dot{x} ; l_{i} r i$, where the hole is between the spins at positions $x$ and $x+1$, there are 1 spins (sites $[x \quad 1+1 ; x]$ ) betw een the hole and left bracket, and r spins betw een the hole and the right bracket (sites $[x+1 ; x+r]$ ). For exam ple, in the three exam ples in ( $\overline{3} 1 \mathbf{1})$ above, if we num ber the spins starting from 1 at the left, the hole+ bracket states are $\ddagger ; 4 ; 1 i, \ddagger ; 0 ; 2 i, \rrbracket ; 4 ; 3 i$, respectively. U sing this notation

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { X r>0 } P_{x}^{S S}+{ }_{1 ; 0}\left(1 \quad P_{x}^{S S}\right) \quad j x ; 1 ; r i h x+1 ; 1+1 ; r \quad 1 j+h: c: ; \tag{4a}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{X}}{ }^{1, r}  \tag{4b}\\
& H_{1}=\quad\left(P_{x}^{S S}+1 ; 0\left(1 \quad P_{x}^{S S}\right)\right) j ; i l+1 ; r i h x ; l ; r j+h: c: ;  \tag{4c}\\
& x ; 1 \text { r }
\end{align*}
$$
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Fig. 1 \{ Particle hops (stripe uctuations) in an undoped stripe are equivalent to spin exchange.
Fig. 2 \{ H ole stranding: Stripe uctuation across the hole can leave the hole aw ay from the stripe, w ith no possible allow ed hops. W e ignore these $m$ oves our variationalm odel.

Fig. 3 \{ $T$ hree successive $m$ oves that shift the hole by one position to the right. The sequence show $n$ here is a $m$ ain path sequence that can occur regardless of the con guration of the stripe.
where $P_{x}^{S S} \quad 2 S_{x}^{z} S_{x+1}^{z}+1=2$ is 1 if $S_{x}^{z}=S_{x+1}^{z}$ and zero otherw ise, and ${ }^{P} 0$ denotes a sum only on sites that are outside of the brackets ( $i z[x \quad l ; x+r]$ ). W e have thus obtained that, using the mapping, the coupling betw een the hole and spin degrees of freedom seem $s$ to be lim ited to the intervalbetw een the brackets. T herefore, it is naturalto construct a variational w avefunction by starting w ith a com plete decoupling betw een the hole and the stripe, and pro ject out the \illegal" states, in the spirit of the G utzw iller pro jection.

M otion of a hole on a stripe. \{ In order to exam ine the m otion of a hole on a stripe we nd it instructive to represent the various states of the stripe by a state graph [ $\overline{2}]$, which is de ned such that each node represents a state and each line represents an allowed hop betw een states. In order for the hole and brackets to shift by one position, in this model, three individual hops are required (see, e.g., g. 'in) . Generally, it is clear that the allowed hole and bracket $m$ oves depend on the background stripe con guration. The sim plest possible $m$ otion is when each of the brackets does not stray $m$ ore than one position aw ay from the hole, thereby elim inating any dependence on the stripe. T hism otion can be represented in the state space by the solid lines in $g$. $\frac{1,4}{4}$, and we refer to it as the $\backslash m$ ain path". D epending on the localtilt in the stripe (runs of spins in the sam e direction), the available states are increased. In the extrem e case, if the stripe is a 45 diagonal, then the hole and brackets can be treated as three non-interacting ferm ions and their energy is low er than in the untilted case. This im plies that the hole prefers the stripe to be locally tilted around it. H ow ever, the spin chain loses energy by having a region where all of the spins are the sam e, since no spin exchange is possible there. W e would expect the interplay betw een these two com peting e ects to result in a sm all tilted region ( $\backslash$ kink") around the hole. This has indeed been inferred from ED of ref. [2] $]$, and is one of the phenom ena that we look for in our solution for this $m$ odel.

Exam ining them otion of a single hole on a stripe, we nd that any sequence ofm oves that retums the system to its original con guration involves an even perm utation, except for hole hopping around odd boundary conditions [1] $\overline{2}]$. This im plies that for even or in nite $L_{x}$, the boson and ferm ion spectra are identical.


Fig. 4 \{ State space representation of hole $m$ otion for a particular background stripe/spin con guration. The 1 symbol represents an unspeci ed spin (" or \#). The solid lines represent the $\backslash \mathrm{m}$ ain path", in which the hole m otion is decoupled from its environm ent. The dashed and dotted lines represent the additional available hops when there is a run of tw o or three spins in the sam e direction, respectively. If we read this from left to right, a horizontal line represents one hop of the hole to the right, a dow nw ard (upw ard) diagonal line represents hopping of the right (left) bracket.

Variational wavefunction. \{ In order to calculate the hole spectrum variationally, we introduce a projection operator $P_{l r}(x)$ acting on states of a spin chain of length $L_{x}^{0}$, to the sub-space where allof the 1 spins at sites $[x \quad 1+1$; $]$ are in direction , and $r$ spins at positions $[x+1 ; x+r]$ are in direction $(; 2 f \# ; " g) . P_{1 r}(x)$ annihilates states that are not in this subspace. W e de ne an orthonom albasis set:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{lr}(x)=\frac{1}{N_{l r}} \dot{x} ; \operatorname{liriP}_{l r}(x) j_{F} i ; \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $j_{F} i$ is ground state of an unrestricted spin $-1=2$ ring of length $L_{x}^{0} ; N_{l r}=\frac{q}{h P_{l r} \text { ir }}$ is


Now we want to calculate $h_{l r}(x) H_{\text {hole }} j_{l_{1} r^{0}}(x) i$. Them atrix elem ents for $H_{h}, H_{r}, H_{1}$ are straightforw ard, but those of $H_{s p}^{0}$ are a little harder to calculate. Since $H_{s p}^{0}$ does not change the hole+ brackets state $\dot{x} ; 1 ; r i$, we only need $m$ atrix elem ents betw een pairs of states w ith the sam $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{l}, \mathrm{r}$, i.e. $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{lr}} \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{sp}}^{0} \mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{lr}}^{0}(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{i}$. These can be calculated using the fact that $H_{s p}^{0}$ com $m$ utes $w$ th $P_{l r}(x)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\sim^{\sim 1} ; 0^{\sim r} ; 00^{\left(L_{x}^{0}\right)} \frac{h P_{l r}(0)\left(H_{e x}^{x}{ }^{1}+H_{e x}^{x}+H_{e x}^{x+r}\right) i_{F}}{h P_{l r} i_{F}} ; \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

 (ii) $P_{l r}(x) H \underset{e x}{i}=0$ fori2 ( $x \quad l ; x$ ) ori2 $(x ; x+r)$. N ote that when $l=0$, is unde ned, so in order to generalize the notations, we arbitrarily set $=\#$ when $l=0\left(\mathbb{P}_{l=0 ; r}^{1}=0\right)$, and sim ilarly for ri. We de ned ${ }^{\sim 1}$; ; if $l>0$ and ${ }^{\sim 1} ;=0$ otherw ise. In order to calculate the


Fig. 5
Fig. 5 \{ C ircles: G round state energy di erence $\quad \mathrm{E}_{\text {hole }} \mathrm{E}_{\text {stripe }}$ as a function of overall stripe tilt, for in nite $L_{x}$. The dashed line represents the chem icalpotential stripe. ( stripe $<$ is required for stripe stability). Squares: $M$ ain path probability $P_{m}$ ain .

Fig. 6 \{ Energy di erence obtained in ED and variational calculations, for nite system sizes. Results are show $n$ for horizontal stripes $(b=0)$, as well as tilted boundary conditions ( $b=1 ; 2$ ). $T$ he solid lines are linear intempolations of the ED data. N ote that although decreases as the tilt increases, $\mathrm{E}_{\text {hole }}$ generally increases w ith tilt.
energy spectnum using the variational wavefunction, we Fourier transform $\quad \operatorname{lr}(\mathrm{x})$ and nd $h_{\text {lr }}(k) H_{\text {hole }} j_{\text {lr }}^{0}(k) i$ in a straightforw ard $m$ anner.

Results. \{ $T$ he results presented here were obtained for a subset of the variationalbasis set $w$ ith $l+r \quad 10$ for our calculations. If one does not take advantage of $m$ irror sym $m$ etries betw een states, the H am iltonian is a 221221 m atrix. We nd the ground state and ground state energy of this $H$ am iltonian as a function of $L_{x}, b$, and $k$. Increasing the variationalbasis set to up to $l+r \quad 13$ results in a relative change of less than $0: 1 \%$ in for the $m$ axim um overall tilt presented here,and less than $10^{14}$ for zero tilt. In our calculation, we take into account the ferm ion statistics only by adding a phase to hole hops in (nite) odd boundary conditions. This is justi ed because these are the only $m$ oves that can induce an odd cyclic perm utation of the particles [12].

Figure', W e obtain $=0: 29$ for an (in nite) untilted stripe. As the stripe tilt is increased, both the energy of the undoped stripe, $\mathrm{E}_{\text {stripe }}$, and $\mathrm{E}_{\text {hole }}$ increase, because stripe uctuations are reduced. H ow ever, the di erence decreases, because the hole's kinetic energy is enhanced by the additional tilt. Figure ${ }_{1}$ Ghows for nite system sizes, com pared to respective ED results of ref. [द/ㄱㄱㄱ, and their extrapolation to $L_{x}!1$. The variational energy is, of course, an upper bound to the true energy of the system. In the ED of ref. [2], E hole wasminim al for stripes $w$ th slightly tilted boundary conditions ( $b=2$ for even $L_{x}, b=3$ for odd $L_{x}$ ). $T$ his suggested that the hole tends to bind to a kink in the stripe in order to increase its kinetic energy, at the expense of stripe uctuations, form ing a polaron. In our variational calculation, we observed the sam e e ect for sm all system sizes (up to $L_{x} 10$ ), how ever, for larger system $s$, the $m$ in im um energy is for $\mathrm{b}=0(1)$ for even (odd) $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{x}}$. This indicates that the preference for tilted boundary conditions, as observed in ED, m ay be only a nite size e ect. W e also calculated the hole dispersion. O $n$ an untilted stripe, we nd an e ective $m$ ass

Table I \{ Variational and ED ground state probabilities for (10;0) (0;7). All other states, exœept those related by sym $m$ etry to the ones presented have probability of less than 1\% .

| B asis State | \#\# 00 | \#" | \#" 11 | \#\# | \#\# 02 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| variational | 23.4\% | 13.7\% | 4.3\% | 2.9\% | 1.4\% |
| ED | 192\% | 12.3\% | 4.7\% | 3.6\% | 1.8\% |

$m_{h}=6: 43 m$, where $m=0: 5$ is the $m$ ass of a non-interacting particle hopping on the lattice. This agrees rem arkably well w th ED, which gives $m_{h} \quad 6: 7 \mathrm{~m} \quad \overline{\mathrm{R}}$ ]. If a hole would be forced to $m$ ove only on the $\backslash \mathrm{m}$ ain path", its e ective m ass would be $7: 35 \mathrm{~m}$. W hen the stripe has an overalltill, the hole $m$ ass is reduced signi cantly, e.g. at $d y=d x=0: 5, m_{h}=4: 57 \mathrm{~m}$.

A good $m$ easure of the coupling betw een the hole and the stripe is $p_{m}$ a in , the ground state probability of being in one of the $\backslash \mathrm{m}$ ain path" basis states. In the variational ground state for an untilted stripe, we nd $p_{m}$ ain $=0: 91$, i.e. the hole tends to be decoupled from the stripe con guration. This probability is reduced as the stripe tilt increases and it is easier for the brackets to m ove from the hole (see g. 'riti). This trend is supported by ED calculations, but the variationalvalues for $p_{m}$ a in are higher by up to $0: 1$. C om parison of the variationaland ED ground states, reveals that the our calculation does a good job of qualitatively capturing the com position of the exact ground state from the basis set (e.g. table ${ }_{\text {In }}^{4}$ ), but it overestim ates the weight of states w ith low l+ r.

In sum $m$ ary, we calculated the energy and the ground state for a single hole on a stripe, using a $m$ apping to one dim ension and a variationalw avefunction constructed to decouple the hole and stripe degrees of freedom. W e did not nd evidence that the hole binds to a kink in the stripe, in the untilted case, for $L_{x} \& 10$.

W e thank N.G.Zhang for use ofhis ED com puter program.C.L.H.thanks S.Petrosyan for discussions. Support for this w ork was provided by N SF grant D M R -9981744.
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