

Hole Doping Dependence of the Coherence Length in $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$ Thin Films

H. H. Wen, H. P. Yang, S. L. Li

National Laboratory for Superconductivity, Institute of Physics and Center for Condensed Matter Physics,
Chinese Academy of Sciences, P.O. Box 603, Beijing 100080

X. H. Zeng, A. A. Soukiassian, W. D. Si and X. X. Xi

Department of Physics, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, Pennsylvania 16802

By measuring the field and temperature dependence of magnetization on systematically doped $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$ thin films, the critical current density $j_c(0)$ and the collective pinning energy $U_p(0)$ are determined in single vortex creep regime. Together with the published data of superfluid density, condensation energy and anisotropy, for the first time we derive the doping dependence of the coherence length or vortex core size in wide doping regime directly from the low temperature data. It is found that the coherence length drops in the underdoped region and increases in the overdoped side with the increase of hole concentration. The result in underdoped region clearly deviates from what expected by the pre-formed pairing model if one simply associates the pseudogap with the upper-critical field.

PACS numbers: 74.25.Dw, 74.25.Ha, 74.20.Fg, 74.72.Dn

It is well established that the superconductivity in the cuprates is originated from the insulating anti-ferromagnetic parent compound by doping holes into the system. The superconducting transition temperature T_c increases in the underdoped region and decreases in the overdoped side, showing a parabolic shape of T_c vs. doped hole concentration p , i.e., $T_c = T_c^{\text{max}} = 1.82\delta(p - p_c)^2$ with $p_c = 0.15 \pm 0.016$, T_c^{max} being the maximum T_c at the optimal doping point $p = p_c$. At the underdoped side, an incomplete gap, namely the pseudogap¹, has been observed near the Fermi surface at a temperature T^* , which is much higher than T_c . It has been a core and longstanding issue to know the correlation between the pseudogap and the superconductivity. Based on the Uemura plot² and other experimental data, Emery and Kivelson³ put forward a picture to attribute the superconducting transition in the underdoped region to a Bose-Einstein condensation, while that in the overdoped side a BCS-type. This model suggests that the pseudogap corresponds to the energy scale of pre-formed pairing (perhaps in the sense of resonating-valence-bond singlet pairing⁴) and the superconducting condensation occurs at T_c when the long range phase coherence is established. This picture has been cited to explain the anomaly strong Nernst effect in normal state^{5,6}, the time-domain optical conductivity⁸, the Andreev reflection experiment⁹, etc. although the upper temperature for these effects are still far below T^* .

In the conventional BCS scenario, the coherence length ξ , or the upper critical field $H_{c2} = \phi_0/2\xi^2$, represent the pairing strength. Meanwhile, ξ measures the size of Cooper pairs and vortex core, and near H_{c2} the normal vortex core with diameter of 2ξ overlap with each other. In the BEC-BCS picture mentioned above³, the pairing and the condensation occur as two steps leading to a non-trivial issue of defining the superconducting coherence length. However, the vortex core size can be determined from experiment directly. In order to get a

deeper insight to the underlying mechanism of cuprate superconductors, it is necessary to determine the doping dependence of the vortex core size or the superconducting coherence length. This has turned out to be a very difficult task due to the very high $H_{c2}(0)$ in cuprates. In early 90s, by measuring the temperature dependence of diamagnetization or excess conductivity under different magnetic fields, many groups^{10,11} determined the slope of H_{c2} near T_c based on the mean-field fluctuation theory¹². Then according to the theory of Werthamer-Helfand-Hohenberg (WHH)¹³, the value $H_{c2}(0)$ is derived by $H_{c2}(0) = 0.69\phi_0/H_{c2} = dT_c/dp$. It was found that the coherence length obtained in this way decreases with the increase of p in the underdoped region¹⁰. Because the true $H_{c2}(0)$ is very high, the method based on the WHH theory is indirect, one naturally argues whether the $H_{c2}(0)$ determined in this way is meaningful. In this Letter we present a new approach to derive the zero-temperature coherence length or vortex core size directly by analyzing the vortex collective pinning energy on systematically doped $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$ thin films.

Seven $\text{La}_{2-x}\text{Sr}_x\text{CuO}_4$ films made by pulsed laser ablation technique, with (001) orientation and nominal composition $x = 0.06, 0.10, 0.125, 0.15, 0.175, 0.20$ and 0.25 have been measured. It is found that $p \approx 0.07$ for the sample $x = 0.06$ due to easy oxygen absorption, and $p \approx x$ for other samples. The thickness of the films are typically 100nm and all samples have rectangular shape with the same size. The films are smooth and uniform without any traces of screw dislocations as shown by the atomic force microscope. It is understood that the vortex pinning in these films are from dense point-like disorders¹⁴. Details about the fabrication were published previously¹⁵. A vibrating sample magnetometer (VSM 8T, Oxford 3001) is used to measure the magnetization hysteresis loop (M(H)) of the films with the magnetic field perpendicular to the surface. In Fig.1 we show the T_c vs. p of these films, which can be roughly described




FIG. 1: Correlation between T_c and p (squares). The solid line represents the empirical relation $T_c = 38 - 182.6(p - 0.155)^2$. The circles stand for the $j_c(0)$ determined below.




FIG. 2: Temperature dependence of the critical current density j_c determined from the MHLs at 0.03 T. The dashed linear lines represent the linear part for determining the slope $d\text{Log} j_c = dT$ and the intercept $j_c(0)$ (see text).

by $T_c = T_c^{\text{max}} = 182.6(p - p_c)^2$ except for a small plateau near $p = 1/8$ as widely known. Fig 2 shows the temperature dependence of the magnetic critical current density j_c at 0.03 T determined from the MHLs via $j_c = A M$, where M is the width of the MHL, and A is a T and H independent pre-factor being the same for all films since they have the same shape and sizes. We intentionally choose the low field data for the present work because the single vortex creep condition is satisfied here¹⁶. In this semi-logarithmic plot, it is clear that j_c drops slowly with increasing temperature in the low temperature region showing a linear-like behavior $\text{Log} j_c = \text{Log} j_c(0) - T$ with $j_c(0)$ clearly depending on the hole concentration p .

In addition to slight quantum tunnelling behavior below about 5 K, the flux motion in HTS is dominated by the thermally activated flux creep (TAFC) with the dissipative electric field $E = v_0 B \exp(-U/k_B T)$, where v_0 is the attempting speed for flux creep, U is the effective activation energy, B the local magnetic inductance. A gen-

eral relation $U(T; j_c(T)) = (U_p(T) - j_c(T))$ is usually assumed, where $j_c(T)$ is the collective pinning energy, $j_c^0(T)$ and $j_c(T)$ are the unrelaxed and the measured (relaxed) critical current density, respectively. In the low temperature region, since $d\text{Log} j_c^0(T) = dT$ and $U_p(T) = U_p(0)$, combining the TAFC equation leads to

$$U_p(0) = \ln(v_0 B = E) = \left[\frac{d \ln j_c(T)}{dT} \right]_{T=0} \quad (1)$$

Here $\ln(v_0 B = E)$ depends on p very weakly and can be taken as constant $(10)^{18}$. In this case $U_p(0)$ can be determined by taking the slope of $\text{Log} j_c(T)$ vs. T in low temperature region¹⁹. A linear fit to the low temperature data gives rise to $U_p(0)$ (the slope) and $j_c(0)$ (the intercept). The pinning energy $U_p(0)$ (normalized at $p = 0.175$) is presented in Fig 3 and the zero-temperature critical current density $j_c^0(0) = j_c(0)$ is shown in Fig 1. A clear peak of $U_p(0)$ is observed at $p = 0.175$ rather than at $p = 0.15$, as illustrated by a smaller slope of $d\text{Log} j_c(T) = dT$ for the sample $p = 0.175$ than for 0.15.

In the single vortex pinning regime, if the pinning is induced by point-like disorders, like in our present thin films, the low temperature collective pinning energy $U_p(0)$ is¹⁶

$$U_p(0) / j_c^2(0) = \frac{2}{3} \frac{H_c^2}{H_c^2} \frac{p}{j_c(0) = j_0} \quad (2)$$

where γ is the anisotropy ratio $\gamma = m_{ab}/m_c$, and the depairing current $j_0 / H_c = \sqrt{2} / E_c \xi_s$ with H_c being the thermodynamic field, ξ_s the penetration depth, E_c the condensation energy, ξ_s the super fluid density, and E_c / H_c^2 . All quantities in eq.(2) and the following discussions are valued at $T = 0$ K, and for clarity we neglect the notes "0" for E_c and ξ_s , i.e., $E_c(0) = E_c$, $\xi_s = \xi_s(0)$. It is important to note that eq.(2) is valid for both T_c -pinning and ℓ -pinning^{14,16}, pinning induced by the spatial fluctuation of T_c and mean free path respectively. Thus the coherence length can be obtained via

$$\xi_s = \frac{U_p(0)^{1/3} \gamma^{1/2}}{E_c^{1/4} j_c(0)^{1/6}} \quad (3)$$

In above equation, $j_c(0)$ and $U_p(0)$ are determined in our experiment and shown in Fig 1 and Fig 3. The super fluid density ξ_s and the condensation energy E_c for many systems have been determined by Bernhard et al.²⁰ and the normalized values show roughly similar doping dependence in different systems, thus we adopt these values in the following analysis. For $p = 0.07$ no data of E_c is available, and we follow the trend between $p = 0.1$ and $p = 0.14$, and extrapolate the data down to zero at $p = 0.05$ where T_c vanishes (shown by the dotted line). The doping dependence of the anisotropy ratio γ is




FIG. 3: Doping dependence of the normalized collective pinning energy (full circles), the superfluid density ρ_s (up-triangles) and the condensation energy E_c (open circles) from ref.²⁰. The inset shows the doping dependence of the anisotropy from ref.^{21,22}

taken from the published values by Nakamura et al.²¹ and Kao et al.²². The computed results of ξ are shown in Fig.4 together with the experimental data for YBCO-123 system¹⁰ determined by analyzing the diamagnetization data based on the critical fluctuation theory². Here the calculated value of ξ has been normalized to 20Å at $p_c = 0.15$ according to the STM measurement²³. Clearly the coherence length drops down with the increase of p in underdoped region. It is important to note that our approach is from the low temperature data, but what turns out is very close to that from the critical fluctuation analysis near T_c . The doping dependence of ξ does not seem to be very influential to the final result as shown by the full circles with $\xi(p)$ considered, and open circles with ξ as a constant. Similar result is obtained by Ando et al.²⁴ in analyzing the high field magnetoresistivity in YBCO-123 system. In Fig.4 we also plot the doping dependence of ξ based on the preformed pairing model, i.e., by assuming a simple linear relation $H_{c2}(p) = H_{c2}(0)(1 - p/0.28)$ and $\xi = \xi_0 / (2H_{c2})$, with $\xi_0 H_{c2}(0) = 360T$. It is clear that our result deviates from the expectation of the preformed pairing model when simply relating the pseudogap as the upper critical field. In the following we try to understand the data within the BEC-BCS picture.

If the $H_{c2}(0)$ is defined as the critical field that destroys the superconducting state at zero K, it should have very different meanings within different schemes for the superconductivity. In the conventional BCS picture, the energy scale for pairing, i.e. the superconducting gap Δ_s , is much smaller than the energy corresponding to the phase stiffness $E_{ph} = \hbar^2 n_s / 2m$, therefore the condensation occurs as soon as the pairs are formed. The H_{c2} in this case is understood as the critical field for pair breaking. The condensation energy $E_c = 1/2 N_F \Delta_s^2$, thus one can have a simple estimation that $E_c / N_F \Delta_s^2 \sim \Delta_s / \epsilon_F$, where N_F is the electronic density of states near Fermi surface at




FIG. 4: Computed coherence length (full circles: without the anisotropy ratio considered, open circles: with the anisotropy ratio considered). The up-triangles represent the case of preformed pairing when simply associating the upper critical field with the pseudogap. The inset shows the upper critical field derived in this work (full circles) and that expected by equating the H_{c2} with the pseudogap which is assumed to decrease linearly with p .

T_c . Since $\Delta_s / T_c \sim 1$, $H_{c2} / T_c \sim 1$, $E_c / H_{c2}^2 / T_c \sim 1$, one has then $H_{c2} \sim \Delta_s$. Near T_c , the superconducting gap vanishes, thus H_{c2} becomes zero and the coherence length diverges.

In the underdoped region, it is conjectured that the condensation is BEC type with the Cooper pairs formed above T_c . If we assume that $E_c = \Delta_s$, since now the energy scale Δ_s , the pair breaking energy is much larger than E_{ph} , H_{c2} is now controlled by Δ_s . From the known correlation² Δ_s / T_c , we can expect that $H_{c2} \sim \Delta_s / T_c$. This is very close to what we obtained in the underdoped region (inset of Fig.4). It is interesting to note that this simple relation H_{c2} / T_c has also been obtained by Muthukumar and Weng²⁵ within the bosonic resonating-valence-bond theory. Following the BEC picture for underdoped region, the vortex structure is also very different from that expected from the BCS picture. Firstly, the core state may be still gapped or partly gapped, reflecting the pseudogap feature. This may explain why the zero bias conductance peak (ZBCP) has not been observed within the vortex core by STM^{23,26}, NMR²⁷ and specific heat²⁸. Secondly, the pairing amplitude keeps almost constant across the vortex core, while the superfluid density ρ_s now drops to zero in the core center and gradually recovers its full value over a distance (now the vortex core size ξ). In this sense the vortex core size, or the newly defined coherence length may be proportional to the London penetration depth λ_L although ξ is much smaller than λ_L . Thirdly, when T_c is approached from below, both the vortex core size or the coherence length and the penetration depth will diverge due to the vanishing static superfluid density. At low temperatures when the magnetic field is increased, the vortex core touch each

other at H_{c2} in the conventional sense and the extremely diluted superfluid density will not be strong enough to sustain the long-life vortices. However the short-life vortices can still be generated above $T_c(H)$ which gives rise to the dynamic phase stiffness as observed by the ultrafast optical conductivity measurement⁸. The anomalous strong Nernst signal in the normal state can also be interpreted in this way^{5,6,7} although the upper magnetic field for observing the Nernst signal may be more close to the pair breaking field, which is proportional to the pseudogap. This interpretation is consistent with the result of high field measurement by Shibauchi et al.²⁹ who found that the pseudogap can be closed by the Zeeman splitting under a high magnetic field, while the field corresponding to the superconducting peak on the c-axis magneto-resistance H_{sc} scales with T_c in the entire doping regime. From our present data and analysis, we cannot evaluate whether there is a quantum critical transition of ground states²⁰, or the transition concerning only the pairing symmetry³⁰ near $p=0.19$. However in any case, in the overdoped side the pairing gap drops with p and the BCS picture is restored. Our results on the doping dependence of the vortex core size call for future work on observing the vortex structure directly by scanning tunnelling microscope.

In conclusion, the hole doping dependence of the coherence length or vortex core size has been determined directly from the low temperature data in wide doping regime. It is found that the coherence length drops in the underdoped region and increases in the overdoped side with the increase of hole concentration. This behavior clearly prevents the idea of relating the pseudogap with the upper-critical field in underdoped region. The BEC-BCS picture can be applied to interpret the data leading to consequences about a new structure and many novel features of the vortex line.

Acknowledgments

This work is supported by the National Science Foundation of China (NSFC), the Ministry of Science and Technology of China, and the Knowledge Innovation Project of Chinese Academy of Sciences. The work at Penn State is supported in part by NSF under Grant No. 9623889 and ONR under Grant No. N00014-00-1-0294. We thank J.L. Tallon for kind permission of using their published data (Ref20). We are grateful for fruitful discussions with Z.Y. Wang, T.X.iang and Q.H. Wang.

-
- ¹ For a review, please see T. Timusk, B. Statt, Rep. Prog. Phys. 62, 61 (1999).
 - ² Y.J. Uemura, et al., Nature 364, 605 (1993). Y.J. Uemura, Physica C 282, 194 (1997).
 - ³ V.J. Emery and S.A. Kivelson, Nature 374, 434 (1995).
 - ⁴ P.W. Anderson, Science 235, 1196 (1987). G.Baskaran, Z.Zou, and P.W. Anderson, Solid State Commun. 63, 973 (1987).
 - ⁵ Z.A. Xu, et al. Nature 406, 486 (2000).
 - ⁶ Yayu Wang, et al. Science 299, 86 (2003).
 - ⁷ Y.Y. Wang et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 257003 (2002); Y.Y. Wang et al., Phys. Rev. B 64, 224519 (2001).
 - ⁸ J.C. Orson, et al. Nature 398, 221 (1999). A.J.M. Illis, Nature 398, 193 (1999).
 - ⁹ Guy Deutscher, Nature 397, 410 (1999).
 - ¹⁰ K.E.G. Ray, et al. Phys. Rev. B 45, 10071 (1992).
 - ¹¹ S.L. Cooper, K.E.G. Ray, Chapter 3 of Physical Properties of high temperature superconductors IV, edited by D.M. Ginsberg, Page 61, 1994.
 - ¹² S. Ullah and T. Dorsey, Phys. Rev. B 44, 262 (1991). Z. Tesanovic et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 69, 3563 (1992).
 - ¹³ N.R. Werthamer, E. Helfand, and P.C. Hohenberg, Phys. Rev. 147, 295 (1966).
 - ¹⁴ R.G. Riessen, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 72, 1910 (1994).
 - ¹⁵ X.H. Zeng, et al. IEEE Trans. On Appl. Supercond. 11, 3213 (2001).
 - ¹⁶ G. Blatter, M.V. Feigel'man, V.B. Geshkenbein, A.I. Larkin, and V.M. Vinokur, Rev. Mod. Phys. 66, 1125 (1994).
 - ¹⁷ M.P.A. Fisher, Phys. Rev. Lett. 62, 1415 (1989). D.S. Fisher, M.P.A. Fisher and D.A. Huse, Phys. Rev. B 43, 130 (1991). M.V. Feigel'man, et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 63, 2303 (1989).
 - ¹⁸ H.H. Wen et al., Physica (Amsterdam) C 241, 353 (1995).
 - ¹⁹ Due to the slight quantum tunneling effect below 5 K, we determine $U_p(0)$ by taking a linear fit to the data from 5 K to 15 K for $p=0.10$ to 0.20. The slight bending down of $\log j_c$ below 5 K is just due to the quantum tunneling effect. For the doping $p=0.07$ and 0.25, the thermally activated flux creep is dominant even down to the lowest temperature (2 K) here due to very low T_c , therefore we use the linear fit of low temperature data, as shown by the dashed lines. The slope gives rise to the $U_p(0)$ according to eq.1, and the intercept at $T=0$ K gives directly $j_c(0)$.
 - ²⁰ C. Bernhard, et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 1614 (2001).
 - ²¹ Y. Nakamura, S. Uchida, Phys. Rev. B 47, 8369 (1993).
 - ²² H.L. Kao, J.K.wo, H. Takagi, and B. Batlogg, Phys. Rev. B 48, 9925 (1993).
 - ²³ S.H. Pan, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 1536 (2000).
 - ²⁴ Y. Ando, and K. Segawa, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 167005 (2002).
 - ²⁵ V.N.M. Uthukumar, Z.Y. Wang, Phys. Rev. B 65, 174511 (2002).
 - ²⁶ I. Maggio-Aprile, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 2754 (1995); Ch. Renner, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 3606 (1998); B.W. Hoogenboom, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 267001 (2001).
 - ²⁷ V.F. Mitrovic, et al., Nature 413, 501 (2001).
 - ²⁸ Z.Y. Liu, et al., unpublished.
 - ²⁹ T. Shibauchi, L.K. Musun-Eibaum, M. Li, M.P. Maley, P.H. Kes, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 5763 (2001).
 - ³⁰ Y. Dagan, G. Deutscher, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 177004 (2001).