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#### Abstract

W e calculate the correlation functions of uniform m agnetization in thin ferrom agnetic m s for sm all deviations from equilibrium, by using a functional form alism. To take account of dissipation and uctuations consistently, the m agnetization is coupled to a bosonic heat bath. The correlation functions show strong dependence on the nature of the coupling betw een the bath and the system. D epending on what coupling we choose, we show how the recent results (J. A ppl. P hys.90;5768 (2001); Phys. Rev. B 65; 172417 (2002)) obtained by $m$ acroscopic $m$ ethods can be related to the $m$ icroscopic treatm ent adopted here.


## 1 Introduction

The problem of m agnetic noise in nano-system $s$ and in particular in giant-m agnetoresistive (G M R ) heads is of considerable im portance to the physics ofm agnetic recording. Form acroscopic system S , the Landau-Lifshitz-G ibert equation (LLG) has been used frequently to study therm al uctuations in $m$ agnetic system $s m$ ainly through a stochastic approach '(1) $M$ agnetic noise in thin anisotropic m s has been recently treated by at least a couple of

 $m$ ate and based on analogies $w$ th the harm onic oscillator. ( $\overline{-1})$ ) B oth calculations are classical, how ever they give di erent answ ers. Further, in both works it is assum ed that the system is close to equilibrium . To better understand this discrepancy, we study this particular problem ofm agnetic noise in thin $\mathrm{m} s$ from a m icroscopic point of view. Since we are dealing w ith a m agnetization slightly disturbed from equilibrium, we use bosonic degrees of freedom to describe the $m$ agnetization. (i, i, M oreover, we use the language of coherent states (CS) to describe the states of the $m$ agnetization. ( $(\overline{9})$ The dissipation is sim ulated by coupling the m agnetization to a bosonic environm ent. (1] $\overline{1})$ ) The noise spectrum is found by calculating the correlation functions of the $m$ agnetization. W e use a functionalm ethod borrow ed from
 because they are equally applicable to highly non-equilibrium situations and very suitable for the $m$ any-body problem. Two of us have already used these $m$ ethods in a recent paper that addressed the conditions under which a LLG equation can be recovered from a sim ple quantum $m$ odel. ( $1 \overline{3} \overline{3}$ ) $T$ he results presented here com plem ent those presented in $R$ ef. ( $1 \overline{3} \overline{-1}$ ). H ow ever this paper can be read independently of our previous work. The m ajor result of this work is a general expression for the correlation functions from which we can recover both LLG -type correlation functions and oscillator-like correlation functions. C urrently experim ents do seem to favor the LLG result how ever we will not address these questions here.
 for a large spin. H ow ever, it $m$ ust be kept in $m$ ind that the results obtained are based on a very simple coupling between the $m$ agnetization and the bath. A $m$ ore realistic coupling such as that of conduction electrons interacting $w$ ith localized $m$ agnetic $m$ om ents is treated elsew here. (19) In this latter case the use of functionalm ethods is well justi ed.

The paper is organized as follow s. In Sec. II, we rst introduce the H am ittonian for the system considered here. Then we write this $H$ am iltonian in an approxim ate form valid for near-equilibrium cases. It is show $n$ that in this case the spin $H$ am iltonian is exactly that of an oscillator. Since correlation functions of the dam ped harm onic oscillator in a them al
bath are available in the literature, we deduce from them the corresponding correlation functions for the $m$ agnetization. This result does not apply to LLG type correlation functions, how ever, which are deduced from a di erent coupling betw een the spin and the bath. In Sec. III, we review the harm onic oscillator CS and their relation to those of spin CS. We also w rite the C S bosonic propagator at nite tem perature to be used in subsequent sections and introduce a generating functional for these propagators in real-tim e. In Sec. IV, we derive the CS generating functional for the system considered here. In Sec. V , a norm alm ode analysis of the noise is carried out. Here we recover the SafonovBertam ( $\mathbf{K}_{3}^{\prime}$ ) result in the lim it when the range of frequencies are around the resonance (FMR) frequency. In Sec. VI, we derive the general correlation functions for the $m$ agnetization. The LLG result is shown to follow from the general result by assum ing a bath for which the product of the density of states and the coupling constants is linear with frequency. This is the sam e condition recovered in Ref. ( $\overline{1} \overline{3} \overline{-1})$ and is independent of the H am iltonian of the spin system alone. In Sec. V II, we sum m arize our results. F inally in an appendix we show how these results can also be obtained form $m$ ethods of equilibrium statisticalm echanics.

## 2 Q uantum M odel

In this section, we introduce the quantum $m$ odel and approxim ate the $m$ agnetization operator by a Bosonic operator stressing the analogies w th the harm onic oscillator. This picture is in general true for close to equilibrium states, irrespective of the equation ofm otion we are using, ie., LLG or others.
$W$ e consider the follow ing system : A thin $m$ agnetic slab $w$ th easy axis along the $z$-axis and a hard axis along the $x$-axis which are in-plane. W e assum e that there is a large extemal $m$ agnetic eld $H$ along the easy axis that keeps the average $m$ agnetization in-plane. W ew ill be interested only in uctuations around the equilibrium position of the m agnetization, i.e.,
uctuations in the $x$ and $y$ com ponents of the $m$ agnetization. The $H$ am iltonian for such a system has the general form $(\sim=1)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{H} \oiint_{\mathrm{z}} \quad \mathrm{~K}_{1} \oiint_{\mathrm{z}}^{2}+\mathrm{K}_{2} \oiint_{\mathrm{x}}^{2}+{ }_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{X}}!_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{k}}^{+} \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{k}}+\mathrm{V} \quad \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{k}} ; \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{y}} ; \mathrm{S}^{2} ; \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ are the anisotropy constants. $!_{k}$ is the energy of the $k$-th bath's oscillator and $k$ 's are the coupling constants, which can be tim e-dependent. The spin-bath interaction V w ill.be taken linear in 8 and the bath variables $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{k}}$. A possible form for V is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V} \quad \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{k}} ; \mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{y}} ; \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{X}}={ }_{\mathrm{k}} \oiint_{+} \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{k}}+{ }_{k} \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{k}}^{+} \oiint: \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The B osonic-type operators for the bath oscillators, $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{k}}$ satisfy the usual com $m$ utation relations,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{k}} ; \mathrm{o}_{\mathrm{k}}^{+}={ }_{\mathrm{kk}}{ }^{0} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the $m$ agnetization, 3 , we have the follow ing com $m$ utation relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{h}_{\Phi_{+} ; \wp^{i}}=2 \Phi_{z} ; \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Phi_{+}=\oint_{\mathrm{x}}+i \Phi_{\mathrm{y}} ;  \tag{5}\\
& \Phi_{0}=\oint_{\mathrm{x}} \quad i \Phi_{\mathrm{y}}: \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

$K_{1}$ and $K_{2}$ are the anisotropy constants. $!_{k}$ is the energy of the $k$-th oscillator and ${ }_{k}$ 's are the coupling constants, which can be tim e-dependent. The H am iltonian is therefore com prised of three term $s$ : the m agnetization (spin term), the spin-reservoir interaction and the reservoir,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{PP}=\mathrm{HP}_{\mathrm{S}}+\mathrm{HP}_{\mathrm{SR}}+\mathrm{H} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{R}}: \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $S$ is the $m$ agnitude of the $m$ agnetization, we are interested in the case in which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\oint^{\infty} \oiint_{z}}{2 S} \ll 1 ; \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is deviations from the $z$-axis are $s m$ all. For precession around the equilibrium position, i.e., the $z$-axis, $S_{z}$ can be assum ed constant and the $H$ am iltonian expression for the spin part can be simpli ed to be of the general form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{S}}=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~A} \oint_{\mathrm{x}}^{2}+\mathrm{B} \oint_{\mathrm{y}}^{2} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{K}_{1}+\mathrm{K}_{2} ; \quad \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{K}_{1}: \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

On average, we have $h S_{x}(t) i=h S_{y}(t) i=0 . T o$ account for therm al uctuations, we need to calculate the two-point correlation functions of the com ponents of the $m$ agnetization, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{D} \oiint_{x}(t) \oiint_{x}(0) \quad{ }^{E} \oiint_{y}(t) \oiint_{x}(0) \quad \text { E }:: \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

These are the principalquantities that are needed for a fullaccount of the noise or dissipation of the $m$ agnetization problem for the $m$ odel considered here. Since the $H$ am iltonian is quadratic, all higher correlation functions are zero.

It is now $m$ ore appropriate to de ne the follow ing operators, '! (i, )

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=\frac{1}{(2 S)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \oiint_{+} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{+}=\frac{1}{(2 S)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \S ; \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

then, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\oiint_{z} \quad S \quad a^{+} a \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we norm alize by 2 S ; we sim ply have

$$
\begin{align*}
& a=\oint_{+} ;  \tag{15}\\
& a^{+}=\oint \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \oiint_{\mathrm{x}}=\frac{1}{2} a+\mathrm{a}^{+} ;  \tag{17}\\
& \oiint_{\mathrm{y}}=\frac{1}{2 i} a \quad a^{+}: \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \quad S_{z} \ll 1: \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The operators a and $\mathrm{a}^{+}$then behave as bosonic degrees of freedom, i.e., them agnetization behaves, in this approxim ation, like a harm onic oscillator, (īَ-1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
a ; a^{+}=\frac{\oiint_{z}}{S} \quad 1: \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we rew rite the H am iltonian in term s of these operators, we nd

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{H}= & \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{X}}^{+} \mathrm{a}+\mathrm{V} \quad \mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{a}^{+} \mathrm{X}  \tag{21}\\
& +{ }_{\mathrm{k}}!_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{k}}^{+} \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{k}}{ }_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{k}} \quad \mathrm{a}^{+} \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{k}}+\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{k}}^{+} \mathrm{a} \text {; }
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{K}_{1}+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~K}_{2} \text {; } \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the potential $V$ is in this case equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V} \quad \mathrm{a}^{+} ; \mathrm{a}=\frac{1}{4} \mathrm{~K}_{2} \text { aa }+\mathrm{a}^{+} \mathrm{a}^{+} \text {: } \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

In general, the spin part has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{IP}_{\mathrm{s}}=\mathrm{a}^{+} a+\mathrm{V} \mathrm{a}^{+} ; \mathrm{a}: \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

The calculation presented below can be tailored to dealw ith any polynom ial V which will give rise to som e type of Feynm an rules. (İ- $\overline{1}$ )

Before we end this section, we would like to point out the analogy between the spin problem and the harm onic oscillator problem when they are coupled to a bosonic bath. For a quantum oscillator, the H am iltonian is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}_{0}=\frac{\mathrm{p}^{2}}{2 \mathrm{M}}+\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{q})=\frac{\mathrm{p}^{2}}{2 \mathrm{M}}+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{M}!{ }_{0}^{2} \mathrm{q}^{2} ; \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

while for the spin $H$ am iltonian, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{S}} & =\frac{\mathrm{A}}{2} \Phi_{\mathrm{x}}^{2}+\frac{B}{2} \Phi_{y}^{2}  \tag{26}\\
& =\frac{\Phi_{y}^{2}}{2 M}+\frac{1}{2} M!{ }_{0}^{2} \Phi_{x}^{2} \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

which means

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=M!_{0}^{2} ; \quad B=\frac{1}{M}: \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

The com $m$ utation relation for the harm onic oscillator

$$
\begin{equation*}
[q ; p]=i ; \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for a spin, we sim ilarly have

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{\Phi_{x}} ; \Phi_{y}^{i}=i \Phi_{z}: \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\oint_{z}$ is a constant of $m$ otion, we can replace the operator $\oint_{z}$ by its average value and norm alize the rem aining com ponents by it. Both system $s$, the ham onic oscillator and the spin, are equivalent if they are coupled the same way to the bath. M ost works on the ham onic oscillator case involved linear coupling to the bath. Reference ( $\overline{2} \bar{O})$ gives an exhaustive treatm ent of this problem. If for each $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{k}} ; \mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{y}}$, we de ne two new real operators $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}}$ and $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{k}}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{p}_{\overline{2}}^{1} \mathrm{p}_{\overline{\Gamma_{k}} x_{k}}+i p_{\mathrm{p}} \frac{p_{k}}{\Gamma_{k}} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{k}}^{+}=\mathrm{p}_{\overline{2}}^{1} \mathrm{p} \overline{!_{\mathrm{k}} x_{\mathrm{k}}} \quad i_{p_{\mathrm{k}}}^{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{k}}}: \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the coupling is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=q_{k=1}^{X^{N}}{ }_{k} x_{k} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equations ofm otion are given by the H eisenberg equation. For the harm onic oscillator, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
i q=\frac{h}{\mathrm{~h}} ; \mathrm{i}^{\mathrm{i}} ; \quad \mathrm{ip}=\mathrm{h} ; \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{h}} \text {; } \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ ith sim ilar equations for the $m$ agnetization $w$ ith $q!\oiint_{x}$ and $p!\oiint_{y}$. For the linear


$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{q q}(t)=\frac{1}{2} h q(t) q+q q(t) i ; \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

is equal to $(\overline{2} \overline{0} \overline{0})$

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{\mathrm{qq}}(\mathrm{t})=\frac{1}{\mathrm{M}}^{\mathrm{Z}} \frac{\mathrm{~d}!}{2} \frac{!(\mathrm{i}!)}{\left(!!_{0}^{2}!^{2}\right)^{2}+!^{2}(i!)^{2}} \operatorname{coth} \frac{!}{2} \cos (!\mathrm{t}) ; \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
(z)=\frac{1}{M}_{k=1}^{X^{N}} \frac{{ }_{k}^{2}}{2!_{k}} \frac{2 z}{!_{k}^{2}+z^{2}} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

and is inverse tem perature $1=\mathrm{kT}$ w ith k the Boltzm ann constant. For Ohm ic dissipation, the dam ping kemel is w thout $m$ em ory and hence $(z)$ is a constant. This is achieved by having a bath $w$ ith spectral density linear in frequency. In this case the $m$ agnetization correlation function for the x com ponent in the high tem perature lim it is

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{x x}(!)=2 \mathrm{kT} \frac{\mathrm{~B}}{\left(!{ }_{0}^{2}!^{2}\right)^{2}+(!)^{2}}: \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Eq. ( $\overline{2} \bar{q} \bar{q})$, the (FMR) frequency ! 0 is, as expected, equal to ${ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{\mathrm{AB}}$. This result $m$ ay not how ever be observed for a spin variable, since the interaction term has the unusual form of having only one com ponent coupled to the bath,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{SR}}=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{k}=1}^{\mathrm{N}} \frac{\mathrm{p}}{2^{\mathrm{k}}} \overline{2!_{k}} \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{k}}+\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{k}}^{+} \oiint_{\mathrm{x}}: \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here it is the x com ponent of the m agnetization which is coupled to the bath. H ence the coupling in Eq. (드) seem $s \mathrm{~m}$ ore reasonable for a spin variable, in general. The question of counter-term swill not be treated here and any shift in the frequency will be absorbed. Reference ( $\bar{q}$ ) gives a detailed treatm ent of these term $s$ w ithin the m odels treated here.

## 3 The C oherent State $R$ epresentation : Equilibrium and $N$ on $E q u i l i b r i u m ~ D y n a m ~ i c s ~$

H ere we rst review the Bosonic coherent state representation and then show how it can be used w ithin the path-integral form ulation of quantum mechanics. Som e typical form ulas are presented here for transition rates betw een two states. A ll the results are based on the standard $G$ aussian form ula for path integrals.

### 3.1 C oherent States

C oherent states are the natural representation for sem i-classical calculations. A G aussian wave-packet for a harm onic oscillator $w$ th $m$ inim um uncertainty is a coherent state. They are form ally de ned as eigenstates of the annihilation operator ! (9)

$$
\begin{equation*}
a j i=j i \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is a com plex number. U sually the ground state is de ned as the state w ith zero quanta,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { a } j 0 i=0: \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

For spin coherent states, the ground state is taken to be the state $w$ ith the highest weight $J$, or zero deviation from highest weight

$$
\begin{equation*}
j 0 i_{S}=j J ; J i ; \quad S^{2}=J(J+1): \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

For B osons, the H ibert space ( or space of all possible states) is a linear com bination of all state vectors, finig, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{jni}=p_{\overline{n!}}^{1} a^{+n}{ }^{n} \mathrm{j} i: \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

These states form an orthonorm albasis. C oherent states $m$ ay then be written in term $s$ of these states. W e have

$$
\begin{equation*}
j i=e^{a^{+}}-j 0 i: \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Spin coherent states are de ned in a sim ilar way. W e have

$$
\begin{equation*}
j i=\frac{1}{1+j^{2} j} e^{a^{+}} j 0 i_{s} \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the extra factor in front is due to the constraint that $S^{2}$ is constant. An im portant operator relation for a path-integral representation is the decom position of the unit operator in term s of coherent projection operators

$$
\frac{\mathrm{Z}}{\mathrm{~d} d} \mathrm{~d} e \quad j \text { ih } j=\Phi
$$

which is used in the discretization of the path integral. ( $\left.\overline{\mathbf{1}} \overline{1}_{-1}\right)$ The coherent states form an over-com plete basis.

In all the calculations carried out below, we keep repeatedly using the fiundam ental result for the G aussian integral,


### 3.2 Propagators

A typical propagator that show s up often in the calculations of the correlation functions is associated w ith a H am iltonian that has the follow ing general form,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\operatorname{Ip}[J ; J]=a^{+} a \quad J(t) a^{+} \quad J \text { ( } t\right) a \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $J(t)$ is a tim e-dependent extemal source. The kemel of the evolution operator from an initial state $z_{i}$ to a nal state $\frac{8}{4}$ is given by (117)

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{J}\left(z_{f} ; t_{f} ; z_{i} ; t_{i}\right)=h_{z_{f}} ; t_{f} \quad j \quad z_{i} ; t_{i} i=e^{i S[J ; J]}: \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

A pplying the G aussian form ula, Eq. ( $\overline{4} \overline{-} \overline{-})$, w ith the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{z}\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) & =\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{i}} ;  \tag{50}\\
\overline{\mathrm{z}}\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{f}}\right) & =\overline{\mathrm{z}}_{\mathrm{f}} ; \tag{51}
\end{align*}
$$

gives the phase $S$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
S[J ; J]= & \overline{1}_{f} e^{i}\left(t_{f} t_{i}\right)+{ }_{t_{f}}^{t_{f}} d t \bar{z}_{f} e^{i}\left(t_{f} t\right) J(t)+J(t) e^{i\left(t t_{i}\right)} z_{i} \\
& Z^{Z_{i}}{ }^{t_{f}} d t{ }_{t_{f}}^{t_{f}} d t^{0} J \quad(t) e^{i\left(t t^{0}\right)} J\left(t^{0}\right) \quad\left(t \quad t^{0}\right):
\end{aligned}
$$

( $t$ ) is the unit step function. In using coherent states, the variables $z$ and $\bar{z}$ are not necessarily related by com plex conjugation. T hey have to be treated as independent.

### 3.3 P artition Function: Euclidean form ulation

This form ulation is useful to calculate therm odynam ic equilibrium properties of system. In this case, the partition function is obtained through a calculation of diagonalpropagators in im aginary tim e,

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\text { it; } 0 \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the coherent state representation, the partition function is w ritten

Z

$$
\mathrm{Z} \quad[\mathrm{~J} ; \mathrm{J}]=\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{z}) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{z} \quad \mathrm{z} T \exp
$$

Z
$\mathrm{d} \mathrm{IP}[\mathrm{J} ; \mathrm{J}] \mathrm{z}$;
0
where $T$ is the im aginary tim e ordering operator. The integrals in this partition function are all G aussian and hence can be easily calculated w ith the aid of Eq. ( $\left.\overline{4} \bar{T}_{1}\right)$. W e get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z} \quad[\mathrm{~J} ; \mathrm{J}]=\mathrm{n}(!) \exp f!+\mathrm{S}[\mathrm{~J} ; \mathrm{J}] g \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
S[J ; J]=\int_{0}^{Z} d_{0}^{Z} d^{0} J(\quad) D\left(;^{0}\right) J\left({ }^{0}\right) ; \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

D ( ${ }^{0}$ ) is the Feynm an propagator of this model

$$
\begin{equation*}
D\left(;{ }^{0}\right)=h T \quad a^{+}() a\left({ }^{0}\right) i: \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is not di cult to nd that it is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
D\left(;^{0}\right)=n(!) e^{!\left(0^{0}\right)} e^{\frac{!}{2}}\left(0^{0}\right)+e^{\frac{!}{2}}(0) ; \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
n(!)=\frac{1}{e^{!} 1} \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the B ose E instein distribution. Its Fourier transform coincides $w$ ith the well known M atsubara propagator

$$
D\left(!_{\mathrm{n}} ;!\right)=\frac{1}{i!_{\mathrm{n}}+!} ; \quad!_{\mathrm{n}}=2 \mathrm{nT} ; \quad \mathrm{n}=0 ; 1 ; 2 ;::::
$$

This last propagator is essential for any calculations that involve calculating expectation values of any observable. (1]-1) In the appendix we show how to use this m ethod to calculate correlation functions.

### 3.4 R eal-T im e Form ulation: D ynam ics

The real-time form ulation deals $w$ ith non-equilibrium questions. In this case we can derive equations of $m$ otion for any observables. (1]ī) $T$ his is the $m$ ethod we adopt in the calculations of the correlation functions of the $m$ agnetization. For a general operator $O$; its average value at any tim $e t$ is given in term $s$ of the density $m$ atrix,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { ho (t)i= } \operatorname{Trh} O(t) i: \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

The operator $O$ is in the $H$ eisenberg picture,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{iPh} \mathrm{t}} \mathrm{O} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \mathfrak{i P t}}: \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ herefore the average of the observable $O$ at $t$ im $e t$ can be written in term $s$ of that at $t=0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{ho}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{i}=\operatorname{Tr} \quad e^{\mathrm{inPt}} 0 e^{\mathrm{iHt}}: \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his latter average can be witten in term sofpath integrals as in the equilibrium case. First we de ne the operators $K$ and $\bar{K}$. The operator $K$ is a forward propagator and is de ned as follow S,

$$
\begin{align*}
& K\left[J_{1} ; J_{1}\right]=T \exp i_{Z^{t_{i}}}^{\mathrm{t}_{f}} \text { Ip } J_{1} a \quad J_{1} a^{+} d t  \tag{63}\\
& =T \exp \quad i \quad \mathrm{H} \quad \mathrm{~F}_{1}^{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{x}} \quad \mathrm{~F}_{1}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{y}} d t
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ is a tim e ordering operator. $\bar{K}$ is a backw ard operator and is therefore de ned in term $S$ of anti-ordered tim e operator $\bar{T}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \overline{\mathrm{K}}\left[\mathrm{~J}_{2} ; \mathrm{J}_{2}\right]=\overline{\mathrm{T}} \exp {\underset{\mathrm{Z}}{ } \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{f}}}^{\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}}} \mathrm{Hp} \quad \mathrm{~J}_{2} \mathrm{a} \quad \mathrm{~J}_{2} \mathrm{a}^{+} \quad \mathrm{dt}}^{\text {) }}  \tag{64}\\
& =\bar{T} \exp \quad \mathrm{i} \quad \mathrm{~F}_{2}^{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{x}} \quad \mathrm{~F}_{2}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{dt} \text {; }
\end{align*}
$$

where $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ are real extemal elds which are coupled to the transverse com ponents of the $m$ agnetization. Sim ilar to the equilibrium case, we de ne a generating functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}[\mathrm{~J} ; \mathrm{J}]=\operatorname{Tr} \quad\left[\mathrm{J}_{3} ; \mathrm{J}_{3}\right] \overline{\mathrm{K}}\left[\mathrm{~J}_{2} ; \mathrm{J}_{2}\right] \mathrm{K}\left[\mathrm{~J}_{1} ; \mathrm{J}_{1}\right]: \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

$J$ is now the three-vector $\left(J_{1} ; J_{2} ; J_{3}\right)$. The density $m$ atrix is assum ed of the form
Z

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\mathrm{J}_{3} ; \mathrm{J}_{3}\right] }=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{I}} \exp  \tag{66}\\
&=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{I}} \exp \quad \mathrm{Z}^{0} \quad \mathrm{Ip} \quad \mathrm{~J}_{3} \mathrm{a} \quad \mathrm{~J}_{3} \mathrm{a}^{+} \quad \mathrm{d} \\
& \mathrm{Ip} \quad \mathrm{~F}_{3}^{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{x}} \quad \mathrm{~F}_{3}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{y}} \quad \mathrm{~d} \quad ;
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{I}}$ is now a tim e-ordering operator along the im aginary tim e axis. H ence, all correlation functions can be obtained from the coe cients of the Taylor expansion of the functional $Z\left[J ; J\right.$ ] around $J=J=0\left(\right.$ or $\left.F_{1}=F_{2}=F_{3}=0\right)$. For exam ple, the average value of the x com ponent of the $m$ agnetization at tim $\mathrm{e} t$ can be found by di erentiating Z w th respect to $F_{1}^{x}$ at the same timet,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{Z}{\frac{Z[J ; J]}{F_{1}^{x}(t)}}_{F=0}=h S_{x}(t) i: \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next we de ne another functional $W$ which at equilibrium becom es the them odynam ic potential of the system,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\mathrm{Z} \mathbb{F}_{\mathrm{i}=1 ; 2 ; 3}\right]=\exp \mathrm{fi} \mathbb{N} \mathbb{F}\right] g: \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

The functional W, as will be seen below, is the m ore appropriate functional to calculate and expand in powers of $J$ and $J$ (or F ). Therefore, we have for averages and two-point correlation functions,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{W}{F_{1}^{x}(t)}=h S_{x=0}(t) i \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{{ }^{2} W}{F_{1}^{x}(t) F_{1}^{x}\left(t^{0}\right)}=\quad i h T\left(S_{x}(t) S_{x}\left(t^{0}\right)\right) i: \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

Sim ilar expressions hold when we di erentiate $W$ w ith respect to the sources $J$ and $J$. They are related to each other by chain rule, e.g., we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{F_{1}^{\mathrm{x}}}=\frac{}{J_{1}}+\overline{J_{1}}: \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

D epending on how we couple the bath to the spin, we use either sources to nd the corresponding correlation functions. In the norm alm ode coupling schem e, we assum e that the
norm alm odes of the spin are coupled to the norm alm odes of the bath. In this case, it is $m$ ore advantageous to express everything in term s of creation and anninilation operators and hence use the $J$ sources to get the correlation functions. This is what we do in section 5. In section 6 , the spin is coupled directly to the bath oscillator. H ence in this case we use the $F$ sources to get the correlation functions of the spin. In the next section, we give an explicit expression for the functionalW in term s of coherent states.

## 4 C oherent State G enerating Functional

In this section, we continue working within the real-tim e form ulation. W e give the full expression for the generating functional in the coherent state representation and calculate all the associated propagators in this case.

The generating functional $Z$ is de ned above, Eq'( $\bar{\prime} \overline{5})$. U sing coherent states, for both the bath and spin, this trace formula can be written in term sof path integrals over spin variables and bath variables,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Z }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \exp j_{1} j^{2} j_{2} j^{2} \quad j_{3} j^{2} \exp \quad \dot{j} 1 ; k j^{2}+\dot{j} 2 ; k j^{2}+\dot{j} 3 ; k j^{2}:  \tag{72}\\
& \text { k }
\end{align*}
$$

The $i=1 ; 2 ; 3$ represent states of the spin system, while the ${ }^{\prime}{ }_{i=1 ; 2 ; 3}$ represent the bath states. This integral can be form ally w ritten as a path integral along the path in F ig'긴 w ith periodic boundary conditions sim ilar to the equilibrium partition function calculations. This functional can be calculated exactly only in few cases in particular if the H am iltonian is quadratic. H igher order term s can be accounted for only approxim ately. This is best done through a graphical procedure such as the Feynm an diagram technique. H ere we have a quadratic $H$ am iltonian and hence we can solve for $Z$, how ever we willm ention brie $y$ what happens in the general case.

In our case, the bath degrees of freedom can be integrated out exactly and we can derive an exact e ective action for the spin degrees of freedom. In the general case, the e ective action can be derived perturbatively. From it, we w ill calculate the correlation functions of S.We nd
where $F \quad Z ; \bar{Z}$ is the Feynm an-Vemon functional for the spin-bath system. It is given by
where the three-vector $Z$ is related to the three branches of the curve C, F ig. 㐾,

$$
z=@ \begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1  \tag{75}\\
z_{1} & 1 \\
z_{2} A \\
z_{3}
\end{array}
$$

The tim e integrations are de ned based on the path C :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t_{i}<t_{i} t^{0}<t_{f} ; \quad t_{;} t^{0} 2 \mathrm{C}^{(+)} ; C^{(1)} \\
& t_{i}<t_{i} t^{0}<t_{i} \quad i ; \quad t ; t^{0} 2 \mathrm{C}^{(0)}:
\end{aligned}
$$

The Feynm an-Vemon term is the only term which is dependent on the bath param eters. The functions $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{ij}}^{\mathrm{k}}\left(\mathrm{t} ; \mathrm{t}^{0}\right)$, nine in total, are propagators associated with the bath oscillators. H ence they can easily be calculated using Eq.(5̄2̄) since the oscillator part of the $H$ am iltonian is quadratic and the spin can be considered as the extemal eld. The indices $i ; j=1 ; 2 ; 3$ relate to the branches $\mathrm{C}^{(+)}$;C ${ }^{(1)}$;C ${ }^{(0)}$ of C. They are ( $\left.\overline{1} \overline{-1} \overline{-1}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \text { (t e) } G_{21}^{k}+\left(e^{0} \quad t\right) G_{12}^{k} \quad n\left(!{ }_{k}\right) e^{i!k_{k}\left(t t^{0}\right)} \\
& \left.G_{i j}^{k}\left(t \quad t^{0}\right)=4 \quad n\left(!_{k}\right) e^{!_{k}} e^{i!k_{k}\left(t t^{0}\right)} \quad\left(t \quad t^{0}\right) G_{12}^{k}+\quad(t) t\right) G_{21}^{k} \\
& \left.n\left(!_{k}\right) e^{!{ }_{k}} e^{i!!_{k}(t+i}\right) \quad G{ }_{31}^{k}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

These \path-coupling" functions show that if the initialtim e $t_{i}$ is taken to be in the in nite past, $t_{i}!1$, the branch $C{ }^{(0)}$ decouples from the other two branches. ( $\overline{1} \overline{-} \overline{-1}_{)}$This is the case where transient e ects have died out. In the rest of this paper, these transient e ects will be neglected and we will concentrate only on the real-tim e paths $C^{(+)}$and $C^{(1)}$. W e take account of the third branch through the assum ption that initially the system is in equilibrium. For a general potential $V$, the generating functional can be w ritten in term $s$ of that of a free system, $\mathrm{IP}_{0}=a^{y} a$, interacting $w$ ith the bath,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z \quad J ; \bar{J}=\exp \quad i_{c}^{Z} d t V \frac{@}{@ J_{i}(t)} ; \frac{@}{@ \bar{J}_{i}(t)} \quad Z_{S B} \quad J ; \bar{J}: \tag{77}
\end{equation*}
$$

$Z_{S B}$ is therefore the generating functional of a particle interacting $w$ ith the bath and there is no extemal potential. This latter form ula is valid in the general case and is the start of any perturbative calculations. The action along the real-tim e tra jectories is given by

$$
i_{1}^{0}=i^{Z} d t \frac{" \bar{z}_{1} z_{1}}{\bar{z}_{1} z_{1}} \bar{z}_{1} \bar{z}_{1} z_{1}
$$

along the path $\mathrm{C}^{(+)}$and by

$$
\begin{equation*}
i I_{2}^{0}=\mathrm{i}^{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{dt} \frac{\overline{\mathrm{z}}_{2} \mathrm{z}_{2} \quad \overline{\mathrm{z}}_{2} \mathrm{z}_{2}}{2 i} \quad \overline{\mathrm{z}}_{2} \mathrm{z}_{2} \tag{79}
\end{equation*}
$$

 that the initial density $m$ atrix is them $a l, w$ ith $J_{3}\left(t_{i}\right)=0$. T herefore we write that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(t_{i}\right)=\frac{1}{Z\left(t_{i}\right)} e^{H\left(t_{i}\right)} ; t_{i}!\quad 1 \tag{80}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, we observe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{1} j(1) j_{2} i=Z_{1}^{Z-r_{2}} d\left(z_{3}\right) e^{i I_{3}^{0}\left[z_{3} ; \bar{z}_{3}\right]} F\left(z_{3} ; \bar{z}_{3}\right) ; \tag{81}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I_{3}^{0}$ has the sam e expression as $I_{1}^{0}$ but with $t$ ! it. $H$ ence in this case, the initial
density $m$ atrix elem ent is just another overall factor in the generating functional $Z$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Z Z } \tag{82}
\end{align*}
$$

with $Z=\left(z_{1} ; \quad z_{2}\right)$ and the $m$ easure is de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma()=d \quad() e^{j j^{2}}: \tag{83}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore we de ne a new generating functional 18

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{lll}
\text { Z Z Z }
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

W e can now adopt a di erent notation that takes into account the path $C$ im plicitly by de ning a scalar product and combine the di erent com ponents into a single vector. The generating function becom es
where now the vector $Z$ is de ned

$$
\mathrm{z}=\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{z}_{1}  \tag{85}\\
& \mathrm{z}_{2}
\end{align*} ;
$$

and the free action is

$$
\begin{equation*}
I^{0}={ }_{i=i ; j}^{X}{ }^{i j} I_{j}^{0} ; \tag{86}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

$$
{ }^{\mathrm{ij}}=\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0  \tag{87}\\
0 & 1
\end{array} \quad:
$$

The com plex scalar product is now de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{Z} \quad J={ }^{i j} \overline{Z_{j}} J_{j}: \tag{88}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his notation $m$ akes it possible to take into account the closedness of the real-tim e path by just taking one branch of the curve $C$ and doubling the com ponents of the dynam ical variables. The matrix ij plays the role of a $m$ etric.
$N$ ow we tum to som eproperties satis ed by the functions $G_{i j}^{k}$. These properties are better displayed in Fourier space. The Fourier space representation of the Feynm an propagator is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& G_{11}^{k}(!)={ }^{Z} d t e^{i!t}\left(1+n\left(!_{k}\right)\right) \quad(t) e^{i!{ }_{k} t}+d t e^{Z!t_{n}\left(!_{k}\right) \quad(t) e^{i!{ }_{k} t}} \\
&=\left(1+n\left(!_{k}\right)\right) \frac{i}{!}!_{k}+i  \tag{89}\\
& n\left(!_{k}\right) \frac{i}{!}!_{k} \quad i
\end{align*}
$$

where ! $\sigma$. P stands for the principal part of the integral. For the anti-tim e ordered propagator, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
G_{22}^{k}(!) & ={ }^{Z} d t e^{i!t_{n}\left(!!_{k}\right)}(t) e^{\mathrm{i}!{ }_{k} t}+d t e^{\mathrm{Z}!t}\left(1+n\left(!_{k}\right)\right) \quad(t) e^{i!{ }_{k} t} \\
& =n\left(!_{k}\right) \frac{i}{!\quad!_{k}+i}\left(1+n\left(!_{k}\right)\right) \frac{i}{!!_{k} \quad i}:
\end{align*}
$$

For the other rem aining $G$ reen functions, we have for positive !

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{G}_{12}^{\mathrm{k}}(!)=2 \mathrm{n}\left(!_{\mathrm{k}}\right) \quad\left(!\quad!_{\mathrm{k}}\right) ; \tag{93}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{G}_{21}^{\mathrm{k}}(!)=2\left(1+\mathrm{n}\left(!_{\mathrm{k}}\right)\right) \quad\left(!\quad!_{\mathrm{k}}\right): \tag{94}
\end{equation*}
$$

These $G$ reen functions are not all independent. We rst observe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{11}^{\mathrm{k}}(!)+\mathrm{G}_{22}^{\mathrm{k}}(!)=\mathrm{G}_{12}^{\mathrm{k}}(!)+\mathrm{G}_{21}^{\mathrm{k}}(!): \tag{95}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is an im mediate result of their de nition. M oreover, the term on the lh.s. is easily seen to be a sym $m$ etric sum of the product of two operators. N ow it is not di cult to show from the above expressions of the $G$ reen functions that we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{G}_{11}^{\mathrm{k}}(!)+\mathrm{G}_{22}^{\mathrm{k}}(!)=(1+2 \mathrm{n}(!)) \mathrm{G}_{21}^{\mathrm{k}}(!) \quad \mathrm{G}_{12}^{\mathrm{k}}(!): \tag{96}
\end{equation*}
$$

The last factor on the r.h.s. is an anti-sym m etric sum of two operators. Equation ( $(\overline{9} \overline{\bar{G}})$ is a statem ent of som e form of the uctuation dissipation theorem. be used in subsequent sections to calculate the correlation functions. In equilibrium, when the distribution functions are the B ose Enstein functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+2 n(!)=\operatorname{coth} \frac{!}{2} \tag{97}
\end{equation*}
$$

and equation ( $\overline{9} \overline{-1}$ ) gives the usual from of the uctuation-dissipation theorem.

## 5 N orm alM ode A nalysis

In this section we follow Lyberatos, Berkov and Chantrell ( ( $\overline{\mathbf{Q}})$ and couple the norm al modes of the spin system (also called collective eld) to the harm onic oscillators of the bath. This method has also been recently used by Safonov and Bertram to calculate correlation functions of the $m$ agnetization in thin $\mathrm{m} . \mathrm{s}_{\text {. }}^{(1 /(1)}$ ) In this section, we show how their correlation functions for the collective eld follow from the $m$ icroscopic $m$ odel treated here. The results in this section will be used in the next section to nd the correlation functions of the $m$ agnetization in the general case.
$F$ irst we nd the collective degrees of freedom $c$ and $\begin{gathered}\text { e from the } m \text { agnetization: }\end{gathered}$

$$
\begin{align*}
a & =u c+\mathrm{vc}^{y}  \tag{98}\\
a^{y} & =\mathrm{vc}+\mathrm{uc}^{y}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ here $u$ and $v$ are real. W e require that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{c}^{y}=1: \tag{99}
\end{equation*}
$$

This im plies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{2} \quad v^{2}=1: \tag{100}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, we can w rite for some,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{u}=\cosh  \tag{101}\\
& \mathrm{v}=\sinh :
\end{align*}
$$

If we set,

$$
\begin{equation*}
!_{0}^{2}=\quad 2 \quad \frac{K^{2}}{4} \tag{102}
\end{equation*}
$$

we nd that the coe cients of the transform ation Eq.'(9-8),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{u}=\frac{\mathrm{r}}{\frac{\mathrm{t}!_{0}}{\mathrm{r}!_{0}}} \mathrm{v}=\frac{!_{0}}{2!_{0}}: \tag{103}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this collective coordinates, the spin $H$ am iltonian becom es diagonal,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{S}=!{ }_{0} C^{y} C: \tag{104}
\end{equation*}
$$

N ow the interaction term between the bath and the spin is taken of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{SR}}=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{k}} \quad{ }_{k} \mathrm{C}^{y} \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{k}}+{ }_{k} \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{C}: \tag{105}
\end{equation*}
$$

The generating functional for this system is then calculated in Fourier space w th the help of the $G$ reen functions stated in the last section. We nd that

$$
\begin{align*}
\text { 巴 } \bar{J} ; J & =\frac{Z}{} \quad d\left(Z_{1} ; Z_{1}\right) \quad d \quad\left(Z_{2} ; z_{2}\right) \exp \quad \frac{Z}{2} \quad \bar{Z} A \quad Z \mp J \quad Z+\bar{J} \quad Z \\
& =\frac{1}{\operatorname{det} A} \exp \quad \frac{d!}{2} \bar{J} A^{1} \quad J \tag{106}
\end{align*}
$$

where $Z=\left(z_{1} ; z_{2}\right)$ and $J=\left(J_{1} ; J_{2}\right)$ are two-com ponent vectors. $T$ he $m$ atrix $A$ is

The ij term s are due to the interaction of the system with the bath. They depend on the density of states of the bath (!) and the coupling constants. For a generalbath, the $P i_{11}$ elem ent is given

$$
\begin{align*}
11(!) & =X j_{k} J^{2} G_{11}^{k}(!)  \tag{108}\\
& =i \frac{d!_{k}}{k}\left(!_{k}\right) j\left(!_{k}\right) J^{2} \frac{1+n\left(!_{k}\right)}{!!_{k}+i} \frac{n\left(!_{k}\right)}{!!_{k} i}:
\end{align*}
$$

The rem aining m atrix elem ents are calculated sim ilarly. If now, we assum e that the bath param eters satisfy the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left(!_{k}\right) j\left(!_{k}\right)\right)^{2}=\quad\left(!_{k}>0\right) ; \tag{110}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is a constant, we nd that the interaction with the bath induces the follow ing coe cients,

$$
\begin{align*}
& 11(!)=(1+2 \mathrm{n}(!))  \tag{111}\\
& 22(!)=11(!)  \tag{112}\\
& 12(!)=2 \mathrm{n}(!)  \tag{113}\\
& 21(!)=2(1+\mathrm{n}(!)): \tag{114}
\end{align*}
$$

The correlation functions of the $m$ agnetization are related to the inverse elem ents of the $m$ atrix A. A calculation of the inverse $m$ atrix gives
where $D$ is the determ inant

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=\operatorname{det} A=\left(!_{0} \quad!\right)^{2}+{ }^{2}: \tag{116}
\end{equation*}
$$

A fter solving for the generating functional in term sof the extemalsouroes, we can expand it around the point $J=J=0$ to get all the required correlation functions. In this case, we can solve for $\$ \bar{J} ; J$ exactly since the full $H$ am iltonian is quadratic. The correlation functions are now found by di erentiations w ith respect to $\bar{J}$ and/or $J$. For $t>l$, we have for the correlation function of the collective operator c

$$
\begin{equation*}
c^{y}(t) c\left(t^{0}\right)=i \frac{@}{@ J_{2}(t)} \frac{@}{@ \bar{J}_{1}\left(t^{0}\right)} W \quad \bar{J} ; J \quad J=\bar{J}=0 \tag{117}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
W \quad \bar{J} ; J=i^{Z} \frac{d!}{2} \bar{J}_{i}(!) A_{i j}^{1}(!) J_{j}(!) \tag{118}
\end{equation*}
$$

A llcorrelation functions of three operators orm ore are zero since the H am iltonian is quadratic. $T$ he above correlation fiunction is therefore related to the $m$ atrix elem ent, $A_{12}^{1}$. At high tem perature, ie., ! $0(!\ll k T)$

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{A}_{12}^{12}(!) & =\frac{2 \mathrm{n}(!)}{(!0!)^{2}+{ }^{2}}  \tag{119}\\
& =\frac{2 \mathrm{kT}}{!} \frac{1}{(!0 \quad!)^{2}+{ }^{2}} \tag{120}
\end{align*}
$$

In real tim e, we have

$$
W \quad \bar{J} ; J=i^{Z} \quad d t \quad d t^{0} \bar{J}_{i}(t) A_{i j}^{1}\left(t \quad t^{0}\right) J_{j}\left(t^{0}\right) \text {; }
$$

hence, the tw o-point correlation function of the eld cassociated w th the 12 com ponent of the path $C$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
c^{y}(t) c\left(t^{0}\right)=2^{z} \frac{d!}{2} e^{i!\left(t t^{0}\right)} \frac{n(!)}{(!0 \quad!)^{2}+2^{2}}: \tag{122}
\end{equation*}
$$

Sim ilarly, we can nd the corresponding 21 com ponent of the correlation function,

$$
\begin{equation*}
c\left(t^{0}\right) c^{y}(t)=2^{Z} \frac{d!}{2} e^{i!\left(t t^{0}\right)} \frac{1+n(!)}{(!0 \quad!)^{2}+2^{2}}: \tag{123}
\end{equation*}
$$

From these last tw o correlation function, we get the classical correlation function of $c$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} c^{y}(t) c\left(t^{0}\right)+c\left(t^{0}\right) c^{y}(t)={ }^{z} \frac{d!}{2} e^{i!\left(t t^{0}\right)} \frac{1+2 n(!)}{(!0!)^{2}+2^{2}}: \tag{124}
\end{equation*}
$$

N ow we observe that if we take the lim its ! 0 and $t^{0}$ ! $t$, we recover the expectation value of the occupation num ber $b=\alpha^{y}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { hb }(!) i=1+n(!): \tag{125}
\end{equation*}
$$

To get this lim it, we have used the fact that

$$
\frac{1}{!^{2}+2^{2}} \quad!\quad \text { (!) as } \quad!\quad 0:
$$

W e also note that in this lim 五, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
h c^{y} c+a c^{y} i=1+2 n(!) ; \tag{126}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
h\left[c(t) ; c^{y}(t)\right] i=1: \tag{127}
\end{equation*}
$$

This show sthat the com mutations relations and the FD T are satis ed at all tim est．
Since we are close to equilibrium and is sm all，the power spectrum w ill be picked near $!=!0$ ．Therefore，this is also equivalent to having a Langevin equation $w$ ith random foroes F such that（ $\overline{1} \overline{9}$ ）

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\dot{C}}=\quad\left(i!_{0}+\right) C+F(t) \tag{128}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{y}(t) ; F \quad\left(t^{0}\right)=2 \mathrm{kT} \quad\left(t \quad t^{0}\right): \tag{129}
\end{equation*}
$$

W ithin this approxim ation，we recover the correlation functions ofSafonov Bertram，Eq．（2：14） in Ref．（3，

$$
\begin{equation*}
c^{y}(t) c(0)=\frac{\mathrm{d}!}{2} \frac{2 \mathrm{kT}}{!_{0}} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}!\mathrm{t}}}{\left(!_{0}!^{2}+{ }^{2}\right.}: \tag{130}
\end{equation*}
$$

To get the correlation functions of the $m$ agnetization $S$ ，we rst use the linear transfor－ $m$ ation，Eq．（ $9 \bar{\beta} \overline{-}$ ），to write $S_{x}$ and $S_{y}$ in term $s$ of the collective operators $c$ and $c^{y}$ ．This result $w$ ill．be deduced in the next section from the exact treatm ent of the general asym $m$ etric case and w ithout recourse to the rotating wave approxim ation as was done in Ref．（⿳一巛工二灬）．

## 6 W hite and C olored N oise：LLG and O ther Solutions

O ne could com pute the correlation functions for the originalvariables from the $c^{y}$ correlation functions，by inverting the B ogoliubov transform ation（ $(\overline{9} \overline{-})$ ）．H ow ever，in this section，we w ill repeat the com putation directly in term s of originalm agnetization com ponent variables，

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Phi_{\mathrm{x}}=\frac{1}{2} a+a^{\mathrm{y}} ;  \tag{131}\\
& \oiint_{\mathrm{y}}=\frac{1}{2 \mathrm{i}} a a^{\mathrm{y}}: \tag{132}
\end{align*}
$$

W riting the generating functional $\bar{Z}$ in term sof them is trivial，but perform ing the $G$ aussian integration is m ore com plicated，since we will have to invert a $4 \quad 4 \mathrm{~m}$ atrix．W e couple the $m$ agnetization to an extemaltim e dependent $m$ agnetic eld $F$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{HP}_{\mathrm{e}}=\mathrm{F}: \tag{133}
\end{equation*}
$$

A swe have seen in the previous section, in the generating finctional approach, we double the com ponents of and that im plies doubling of the extemal eld F. Therefore the interaction term becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{e}=F_{1} S+F_{2} S: \tag{134}
\end{equation*}
$$

The results for this $H$ am iltonian can be derived from those already found in the previous section. T he bath contributes a term of the follow ing form to the e ective action

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{\text {eff }}\left(S_{1} ; S_{2}\right)=\frac{Z}{Z^{2}} j_{k} j^{2} G_{11}^{k}(!) S_{1 ; \mathrm{X}}^{2}+S_{1 ; Y}^{2}+\bar{S}_{1 ; \mathrm{X}} S_{1 ; \mathrm{Y}} \quad \bar{S}_{1 ; \mathrm{Y}} S_{1 ; \mathrm{X}}  \tag{135}\\
& Z^{\frac{d}{2}} j_{k} J^{2} G_{22}^{k}(!) S_{2 ; x}^{2}+S_{2 ; y}^{2}+\bar{S}_{2 ; \mathrm{x}} S_{2 ; y} \quad \bar{S}_{2 ; \mathrm{y}} S_{2 ; \mathrm{x}} \\
& +{ }_{Z} \frac{d!}{2} j_{k} J^{2} G_{21}^{k}(!) \bar{S}_{2 ; x} S_{1 ; x}+\bar{S}_{2 ; y} S_{1 ; y}+\bar{S}_{2 ; \mathrm{X}} S_{1 ; y} \quad \bar{S}_{2 ; y} S_{1 ; x} \\
& +\frac{\mathrm{d}!}{2} \mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{k}} j^{2} G_{12}^{k}(!) \bar{S}_{1 ; \mathrm{X}} \mathrm{~S}_{2 ; \mathrm{X}}+\overline{\mathrm{S}}_{1 ; \mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{~S}_{2 ; \mathrm{Y}}+\bar{i}_{1 ; \mathrm{X}} \mathrm{~S}_{2 ; \mathrm{Y}} \quad \overline{\mathrm{~S}}_{1 ; \mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{~S}_{2 ; \mathrm{X}}
\end{align*}
$$

where the bar denotes the com plex conjugate integration variables and $S_{1}\left(S_{2}\right)$ is the com ponent along the path $C^{(+)} C^{()}, F$ ig. . ${ }^{(1.1} . N$ ext, we de ne two new vectors $S$ and $D$,

$$
\begin{align*}
S & =\frac{1}{2}\left(S_{1}+S_{2}\right) ;  \tag{136}\\
D & =S_{1} S_{2}: \tag{137}
\end{align*}
$$

Sim ilarly, we de ne

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{d}}=\mathrm{F}_{1} \mathrm{~F}_{2} ;  \tag{138}\\
& \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{a}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{~F}_{1}+\mathrm{F}_{2}\right): \tag{139}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, we make another de nition. We de ne four-vectors $U$ and $F$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{U}=\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{x}} ; \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{y}} ; \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{x}} ; \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{y}}\right) ;  \tag{140}\\
& \mathrm{F}=\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{d}} ; \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{a}}\right) ; \tag{141}
\end{align*}
$$

and write the generating functional in term sof these four-vectors along the path $C^{(+)}$only. Since $U(t)$ is real, then we have

$$
\bar{U}(!)=U(!) ;
$$

and hence we should constrain the fourier integration to positive frequencies only. The bath-independent part of the H am iltonian then gives the follow ing contribution to the phase of 电,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{iI}_{1} \quad \mathrm{II}_{2}=\mathrm{Z}_{1}^{\mathrm{d}!} \bar{U}_{i}(!) A_{i j}^{(0)}(!) U_{j}(!) ; \tag{142}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the m atrix $A^{(0)}$ is, in Fourier space,

$$
A_{i j}^{(0)}=\begin{array}{cccccc}
2 & 0 & 0 & i A & ! & 3  \tag{143}\\
6 & 0 & 0 & ! & i B & 7 \\
4 & i A & ! & 0 & 0 & 5 \\
& ! & i B & 0 & 0
\end{array}
$$

A gain, it is the inverse of the full matrix $A=A^{(0)}+A^{\text {int }}$, that is needed to determ ine the correlation functions of the $m$ agnetization where $A^{\text {int }}$ is the part that is due to the interaction w ith the bath. The determ inant of A determ ines the natural frequency of the system and any broadening due to interactions. The determ inant of the free part is

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{0}=!_{0}^{2} \quad!^{22} ; \tag{144}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
!_{0}^{2}=A B ; \tag{145}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the ferro-m agnetic resonance ( $F M R$ ) frequency of the system. The calculation of the $m$ atrix $A$ is done along the sam e lines as in the norm alm ode solution.

To recover dissipative behavior in the spin sub-system, we take the continuum lim it in the num ber of oscillatorm odes. This lim it guarantees that the probability of acquiring badk any energy lost to the bath is zero. Because of the interaction with the bath, we expect that there will be a shift in the energy of the spin system accom panied by dissipation.

An explicit com putation show sthat in the continuum lim it, i.e. converting the sum over k in an integral over the frequencies involving the density of states (! ${ }_{k}$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(!_{k}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{dk}}{\mathrm{~d}!_{\mathrm{k}}} \text {; } \tag{146}
\end{equation*}
$$

the correlation functions can be expressed in term $s$ of the functions $L_{r}$ and $L_{i}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& L_{r}(!)=i^{Z_{1}^{Z}} \underline{d!_{k}} \quad\left(!_{k}\right) j\left(!_{k}\right) J^{2}\left(G_{11}^{k} \quad G_{22}^{k}\right)\left(!_{k}\right) ;  \tag{147}\\
& L_{i}(!)=2_{0}^{\underline{d}!_{k}} \quad\left(!_{k}\right) j\left(!_{k}\right) J^{2}\left(G_{12}^{k} \quad G_{21}^{k}\right)\left(!_{k}\right): \tag{148}
\end{align*}
$$

U sing the de nitions of the G reen functions, we nd

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.L_{r}(!)=2 P^{Z_{1}} \frac{d!_{k}}{0}\left(!_{k}\right) j\left(!_{k}\right)\right)^{2} \frac{1}{!!_{k}} ;  \tag{149}\\
& L_{i}(!)=2^{0}(!) j(!)^{2}(!): \tag{150}
\end{align*}
$$

C ounter-term s are needed to cancel ultraviolet divergences in $L_{r}$. For sim plicity, we will assum e that this is done via suitable subtractions. The e ect of $I_{r}$ is a rede nition of the given coe cients $A$ and $B$. This rede nition in principle changes the oscillation frequency. H ow ever, for a passive path, one neglects $L_{r}(!)$ and thus the frequency shift. In this approxim ation the coe cients $A$ and $B$ are kept unnom alized and all the physics is contained in $L_{i}(!)$. There is a subtlety here, since the expression ( $\left.\overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{\overline{0}} \overline{0}\right)$ is not antisym $m$ etric, whereas it has to be antisym $m$ etric due to general properties of correlation functions (see appendix for a discussion). Therefore $L_{i}$ (! ) has to be antisym etrized. By noticing that $\left.j(!)\right\}$ is even in ! and extending (!) to negative ! < 0 w ith a negative sign, the nal result can be w ritten in the form

where (!) is the odd function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.(!)=\frac{L_{i}(!)+L_{i}(!)}{2}=(!) j(!)\right\}: \tag{152}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he determ inant of this $m$ atrix is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det} \mathrm{A}=\mathrm{D}(!)=!_{0}^{2}!^{2}(!)^{2}+[(!)(\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B})]^{2} ; \tag{153}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e also observe that for the fiunctional integral to converge, we m ust have

$$
\text { (! }) j(!)^{2}(1+2 n(!))>0 \text { : }
$$

$T$ his requires that the function $L_{i}(!)$ when extended to negative frequencies be an odd function which is consistent w ith the statem ent before Eq. (19 $\left.\left.{ }_{1}^{1}\right]_{1}^{\prime}\right)$.

To calculate the correlation functions, we need rst to calculate the inverse $m$ atrix of A. The cofactors needed for the correlation functions of the di erent com ponents of the m agnetization are for sm all couplings to the bath

$$
\begin{align*}
& c_{11}=(!) j(!)^{2}(1+2 n(!)) B^{2}+!^{2}+(!)^{2} ;  \tag{154}\\
& \left.c_{12}=i \quad(!) j(!)\right]^{2}(1+2 n(!))[(A+B)!] ;  \tag{155}\\
& c_{22}=  \tag{156}\\
& c_{12}=\quad(!) j(!)^{2}(1+2 n(!)) A^{2}+!^{2}+(!)^{2} ; \tag{157}
\end{align*}
$$

As will be seen below, the $c_{11}\left(c_{22}\right)$ co-factor of the $m$ atrix $A$ is associated $w$ th the $x x$ ( $y y$ ) com ponent of the $m$ agnetization while $c_{12}$ is related to the $x y$ com ponent.

## C orrelation Functions

$N$ ext, we use these cofactors to calculate the correlation functions of the m agnetization. For a general operator $O$, the average of the anti-com mutator $f O(t) ; O\left(t^{0}\right) g$ is found by di erentiation of $\left.W \mathbb{F}_{a} ; F_{d}\right]$ w th respect to $F_{d}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} h o(t) O\left(t^{0}\right)+O\left(t^{0}\right) O(t) i=\frac{i}{\left.{ }^{2} W \mathbb{F}_{a} ; F_{d}\right]} F_{d}(t) F_{d}\left(t^{0}\right) \tag{158}
\end{equation*}
$$

A pplying this procedure to the com ponents of the magnetization, we nd that for the x com ponent

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} h S_{x}(t) S_{x}(0)+S_{x}(0) S_{x}(t) i={ }^{Z} \frac{d!}{2} \cos !t \frac{C_{11}(!)}{D(!)}: \tag{159}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Eq. (1̄ $\overline{1} \overline{5} \overline{4})$, we then obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{x x}(t)=\frac{Z}{2} \cos (!t)[1+2 n(!)] \quad(!) j(!)^{2} \frac{\left(!^{2}+B^{2}\right)+2^{2}}{D(!)}: \tag{160}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ow, we show how for di erent choiges of the function $L_{i}(!)$, we can recover the LLG result and other oscillator-type correlation functions.
6.0.1 C ase 1 : LLG

If we assum e that the bath is de ned such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { (! ) j }(!)^{2}=!; \tag{161}
\end{equation*}
$$

ie., $L_{i}(!)$ is odd. Then in the lim it of high tem perature, ! 0 , the correlation function for the $x x$-com ponent takes the sim ple form

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{x x}(t)=2 k T \quad \frac{\mathrm{~d}!}{2} \cos (!t) \frac{\left(1+{ }^{2}\right)!^{2}+B^{2}}{\left[\left(1+{ }^{2}\right)!^{2}!_{0}^{2}\right]^{2}+[!(A+B)]^{2}}: \tag{162}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his is the result that coincides w ith that derived from LLG . ( $\overline{2} ;$; $1 \overline{1} \overline{3})$ This case also corresponds to a white noise solution. (1ָ that gives LLG is sim ilar to the one that gave the harm onic oscillator solution, Eq. ( $\overline{\mathrm{O}} \overline{\mathrm{O}})$. In both cases the spectral density is linear in frequency. (2 201 )
6.0.2 C ase 2 : C oherent $O$ scillator

This case is sim ilar to the nom alm ode result. W e call it coherent oscillator because this case gives correlation functions sim ilar to those of the collective operator c in the norm al m ode analysis. H ere we choose $L_{i}$ (!) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { (! }) j(!)^{2}=; \quad!>0 \text { : } \tag{163}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his is the sam e choioe as in the previous section. For ! 0, we get the follow ing expression for the $x x$-com ponent of the correlation functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{x x}(t)=2 \mathrm{kT} \quad \frac{\mathrm{~d}!}{2} \cos (!\mathrm{t}) \quad \frac{1}{!} \frac{\mathrm{B}^{2}+!^{2}+{ }^{2}}{\left[!^{2}!_{0}^{2}\right]^{2}+2^{2}\left(!^{2}+!_{0}^{2}\right)+{ }^{4}}: \tag{164}
\end{equation*}
$$

The nom alm ode result is easily seen to follow by setting $A=B$ in the correlation functions and ( $1=!$ ) by ( $1=!!_{0}$ ). This result has been obtained before by Safonov and Bertram. . $\overline{-1}$ ) H ow ever w thout this latter approxim ation, this model corresponds to a case of colored noise. (ī]) As! ! 0, the integral diverges. Therefore at sm all ! , the approxim ation in
 antisym $m$ etry and analyticity, $m$ ust vanish with! at! ! 0 .

## 7 C onclusion

Starting from sim ple quantum models which only di er in how the spin couples to the bath, we have been $a b l e$ to derive variant correlation functions for the $m$ agnetization close
to equilibrium . W e have lim ited ourselves only to linear-type couplings. D epending on the coupling and the density of states of the bath, we showed how to obtain di erent types of correlation functions including the classical LLG result. First, we showed that the typical harm onic oscillator correlation functions are recovered only if the $S_{x}$ com ponent of the $m$ agnetization is coupled to the bath oscillators. Next, we coupled the norm alm odes of the spin to those of the bath and this allowed us to get the correlation functions of the collective eld which is the starting point of the work of Safonov and Bertram. We were also able to use this special coupling to derive a $m$ ore general type of correlation functions without recourse to any approxim ations such as the rotating w ave approxim ation. These correlation functions are for a general linear coupling between the bath and the $m$ agnetization depend on the coupling constant and the density of states of the bath system, (!). For the $S_{x} S_{x}$-correlation function we nd,

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{x x}(t)=\frac{\mathrm{d}!}{2}[1+2 n(!)] \quad(!) \cos (!t) \frac{!^{2}+B^{2}+(!)^{2}}{!_{0}^{2}!^{2}(!)^{2}+[(!)(A+B)]^{2}} \text { : } \tag{165}
\end{equation*}
$$

Sim ilarly for the $S_{y} S_{y}$-correlation function, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{y y}(t)=\frac{\mathrm{Z}!}{2}[1+2 n(!)] \quad(!) \cos (!t) \frac{!^{2}+A^{2}+(!)^{2}}{!_{0}^{2}!^{2}(!)^{2}+[(!)(A+B)]^{2}} ;(1) \tag{166}
\end{equation*}
$$

and nally for the $S_{x} S_{y}$-correlation function, the correlation function is

$$
C_{X Y}(t)=Z^{Z} \frac{d!}{2}[1+2 n(!)] \quad(!) \sin (!t) \frac{!(A+B)}{!_{0}^{2}!^{2}(!)^{2}+[(!)(A+B)]^{2}}(1)
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
(!)=\quad(!) j(!)^{\frac{2}{j}}: \tag{168}
\end{equation*}
$$

The LLG solution was obtained for a special type of density of states and coupling to the
 to show that this choioe gives the white noise character in the stochastic form ulation . The norm alm ode solutions are how ever in generalw ith $m$ em ory. The assum ption that dam ping is constant close to the FM R frequency $m$ akes the equations ofm otion $M$ arkovian. (2̄2̄) $T$ he dam ping in the cases treated here is independent of the sym $m$ etries of the $H$ am iltonian spin system, the reason being that the dissipation kemel only depends on the coupling $w$ ith the
bath and the bath properties, but not on the spin $H$ am iltonian. For couplings other than linear, the dam ping is expected to depend on the sym $m$ etries of the full H am iltonian, but, again, not at the leading order in perturbation theory. The point is that for non-linear coupling, the e ective $H$ am iltonian and therefore the correlation functions have to be com puted perturbatively in term s ofFeynm an diagram $s$; in particular the spin propagatorw illenter in higher order com putations and since the spin propagator depends on the sym $m$ etry of the spin $H$ am iltonian, which could be isotropic $(A=B)$ or not $(A \in B)$, we will have di erent results for $A=B$ and $A \in B$. This does not happen at leading order in the non-linear case, and does not happen at all orders in the linear case, where the exact result is available.

O ne last word about the non-equilibrium $m$ achinery used here to derive the above correlation functions: this choice ofm ethod allow s us to go beyond the equilibrium form ulation, and in particular to show that a generalized uctuation-dissipation theorem '( $\overline{9}(\overline{9} \overline{6})$ holds true even if the distribution functions are not exactly the Bose E instein ones. In principle, an analysis of this system when the distribution functions present strong di erences from the them al one is also possible in the general form alism we discussed here. H ow ever, such a strongly out of equilibrium analysis would require further study and it is outside the soope of the present paper.
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## A ppendix

Here we brie $y$ show how the correlation functions derived in the $m$ ain text can be derived using the equilibrium im aginary tim eform alism (īī) and without going to the coherent state representation. This is an equilibrium consistency check for our non-equilibrium com putation.

The basic idea in the equilibrium com putation is to invoke the uctuation-dissipation theorem (which is not assum ed in the non-equilibrium com putation) in order to derive the uctuations from the dissipation, i.e. from the spectral density. The uctuation-dissipation theorem says that in equilibrium the sym $m$ etric correlation functions can be written in term $s$
of the spectral densities ij (!) as

$$
\left\langle f S^{i}(\mathrm{t}) ; \mathrm{S}^{j}(0) g\right\rangle=\mathrm{Z} \quad \mathrm{~d}!\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}!\mathrm{t}} \operatorname{coth} \frac{!}{2}{ }^{\mathrm{ij}}(!) ;
$$

where $i$ and $j$ denote the indiges $x$ and $y$ respectively. From this de nition it is im $m$ ediate to see that the spectral densities $m$ ust satisfy the relationships

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{\mathrm{ij}}(!)={ }^{\mathrm{ji}}(!) ; \quad{ }^{\mathrm{ij}}(!)={ }^{j \mathrm{i}}(!): \tag{170}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, ${ }^{x x}$ and $y y$ are realand antisym $m$ etric:

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{\mathrm{ii}}(!)={ }^{\mathrm{i}}(!) ; \quad{ }^{\mathrm{ii}}(!)=\quad \text { ii }(!): \tag{171}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, one can extract the spectral densities ${ }^{\text {ii (! ) from the spectral representation of the }}$ retarded self-energy,

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{R}^{i j}(!)=Z^{Z} d!^{0} \frac{i^{i j}\left(!{ }^{0}\right)}{!0 \quad!+i^{\prime \prime}} \tag{172}
\end{equation*}
$$

by taking the im aginary part:

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{\mathrm{ii}}(!)=\frac{1}{-} \operatorname{Im} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{R}}^{\mathrm{ii}}(!): \tag{173}
\end{equation*}
$$

$M$ oreover, due to the behavior of the theory under tim e re ections $t$ ! $t$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle f S^{x}(t) ; S^{y}(0) g\right\rangle=\left\langle f S^{x}(t) ; S^{x}(0) g\right\rangle \tag{174}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have that ${ }^{x y}(!)$ and ${ }^{y x}(!)$ are im aginary and sym $m$ etric:

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{i j}(!)={ }^{i j}(!) ; \quad{ }^{i j}(!)={ }^{i j}(!) ; \quad i \notin j \tag{175}
\end{equation*}
$$

A s a consequence, the ${ }^{i j}(!)$; i $j$ spectral densities can be extracted from the real part of the retarded self-energy:

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{i j}(!)=\frac{i}{-} \operatorname{ReD}{ }_{R}^{i j}(!) ; i \not j \tag{176}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to com pute the retarded propagators, one has to com pute the Euclidean e ective action obtained by integrating out the bath degrees of freedom in the Euclidean functional integral

$$
e^{S_{\text {eff }}^{E}\left(S^{i}\right)}={ }^{Z}\left[\mathrm{db}_{k} \mathrm{db}_{k}\right] \exp \int_{0}^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{~d} \mathrm{~L}^{\mathrm{E}}\left(\mathrm{~S}^{\mathrm{i}} ; \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{k}} ; \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{E}\left(S^{i} ; \mathrm{b}_{k} ; \mathrm{b}_{k}\right)=\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{S}}^{\mathrm{E}}\left(\mathrm{~S}^{i}\right)+\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{R}}^{\mathrm{E}}\left(\mathrm{~b}_{k} ; \mathrm{b}_{k}\right)+\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{SR}}^{\mathrm{E}}\left(\mathrm{~S}^{i} ; \mathrm{l}_{k} ; \mathrm{b}_{k}\right) ; \tag{178}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{align*}
& L_{S}^{E}\left(S^{i}\right)=S_{X}^{X} \text { id } S^{y}+\frac{1}{2} A\left(S^{X}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2} B\left(S^{y}\right)^{2} ;  \tag{179}\\
& \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{R}}^{\mathrm{E}}\left(\mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{k}} ; \mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)=\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{k}}\left(@ \quad!_{\mathrm{k}}\right) \mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{k}} \text {; }  \tag{180}\\
& L_{S R}^{E}\left(S^{i} ; \mathrm{b}_{k} ; \mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{k} k} \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{S}_{+}{ }_{k} \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{k}}: \tag{181}
\end{align*}
$$

Since the integration on $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{k}}$ and $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{k}}$ is G aussian, $\mathrm{S}_{\text {eff }}$ can be com puted exactly and is quadratic in the spin elds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{e f f}^{E}\left(S^{i}\right)=\int_{0}^{Z} d_{0}^{Z} \frac{1}{2} S^{i}() D_{i j}^{E}\left(\quad{ }^{0}\right) S^{j}\left({ }^{0}\right): \tag{182}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he Euclidean propagator is easily obtained in the $M$ atsubara form ulation by inverting the 2 x 2 m atrix

$$
D_{E}^{1}\left(!_{n}\right)=\begin{array}{ccc}
A & !_{n}  \tag{183}\\
!_{n} & B
\end{array} \quad{ }_{E}\left(!_{n}\right) \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad E_{E}\left(!_{n}\right)
$$

$w$ here the rst $m$ atrix is the inverse free propagator and the second $m$ atrix is the selfenergy $m$ atrix (to be com pared w ith the real tim e result Eq. (īoisi))

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left(!_{n}\right)=2_{k}^{X} \quad j_{k} J_{E}^{2} G_{n}^{k}\left(!_{n}\right) \tag{184}
\end{equation*}
$$

and where $!_{n}=2 n T ; n=0 ; 1 ; 2 ;::$ : are the $M$ atsubara frequencies. The inversion is trivial. The retarted propagator can be obtained with an analytic continuation $!_{n}!$ i! :

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{R}(!)=\frac{1}{D(!)} B+{ }_{i!}^{R(!)} A+{ }_{R}(!) \tag{185}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D(!)$ is the determ inant

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(!)=!_{0}^{2} \quad!^{2}+(A+B) R(!)+{ }_{R}^{2}(!) \tag{186}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to com pute real and im aginary parts, it is convenient to split

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{R}(!)=\operatorname{Re}(!)+i \mathrm{Im} \text { (!) } \tag{187}
\end{equation*}
$$

and to introduce the realquantities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\AA^{\sim}(!)=A+\operatorname{Re}(!) ; \quad B^{\check{ }}(!)=B+\operatorname{Re} \quad(!) \tag{188}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the inverse determ inant reads
w ith

$$
\left.D(!))^{2}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}
0_{0}^{2} & !^{2} & j_{R}(!) J+\operatorname{Re} \quad(!) A  \tag{190}\\
A
\end{array} B^{\sim}\right)\right]^{2}+\left(\mathbb{A}+B^{\sim}\right)^{2}(\operatorname{Im} \quad(!))^{2}
$$

The function $\operatorname{Re}$ (!) and Im (!) are related to the previously de ned functions $I_{4}(!)$, $L_{i}(!)$ and (!). In particular

$$
\operatorname{Im}(!)=2{\underset{k}{k}}^{j} j^{\imath}\left(!\quad!!_{k}\right)=(!) j(!) \jmath^{2}=\quad(!):
$$

$N$ otice that the continuum lim it has been taken by ensuring the antisym $m$ etry of Im (!). The ii (!) spectral densities are obtained by taking the in aginary part of the full retarded propagators $D_{R}{ }_{R}^{\text {ii }}(!)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& x x=\frac{1}{D \mathcal{J}^{2}}!_{0}^{2} \quad!^{2} \quad j_{R} J^{2} \quad B(\mathbb{A}+B)^{i}  \tag{192}\\
& y y=\frac{1}{D \mathcal{J}^{2}}!_{0}^{2} \quad!^{2} \quad j_{R} J^{j} \quad A\left(\mathbb{A}+B^{\Upsilon}\right)^{i} \tag{193}
\end{align*}
$$

whereas the spectral densities, ${ }^{\text {ij }}(!)$ (i j), are obtained by taking the realpart ofD $\frac{{ }_{R}}{\mathrm{ii}}(!)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{x y}(!)={\frac{i}{D J^{g}}}^{h}!\left(\mathbb{A}^{\tilde{M}}+B^{i}\right) \tag{194}
\end{equation*}
$$

 between the real tim e and the im aginary tim e form alism.

The LLG lim it for sm all dam ping is recovered when Re ! const; Im ! !, and the coherent oscillator is recovered in the region $j!j \quad$ ! owhen Re ! const; Im ! sgn!. The tem Re is usually set to zero after being absorbed in the de nition of the FM R frequency.
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