# Solution of the local eld equations for self-generated glasses

Sangwook W u<sup>(a)</sup>, Jorg Schmalian<sup>(a)</sup>, Gabriel K otliar<sup>(b)</sup>, and Peter G W olynes<sup>(c)</sup>.

(a) Departm ent of Physics and Astronom y and Ames Laboratory, Iowa State University, Ames, IA 50011

<sup>(b)</sup> Serin Physics Laboratory, Rutgers University,

136 Freylinghuysen Road, Piscataway, NY 08854

<sup>(c)</sup> Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of California, Dan Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093

(Dated: March 22, 2024)

W e present a self-consistent local approach to self generated glassiness which is based on the concept of the dynamical mean eld theory to many body systems. Using a replica approach to self generated glassiness, we map the problem onto an elective local problem which can be solved exactly. A pplying the approach to the B razovskii-model, relevant to a large class of systems s with frustrated micro-phase separation, we are able to solve the self-consistent local theory without using additional approximations. W e demonstrate that a glassy state found earlier in this model is generic and does not arise from the use of perturbative approximations. In addition we demonstrate that the glassy state depends strongly on the strength of the frustrated phase separation in that model.

PACS num bers:

# I. IN TRODUCTION

The familiar example of vitri cation upon supercooling molecular uids provides proof that an e ectively non-ergodic disordered state can be generated in a system without pre-existing quenched disorder. Such selfgenerated glassiness m ay be a m ore w idespread possibility in the material world than is currently acknow ledged. Candidate solid state systems with extremely slow dynam ics often have in purities remaining from their synthesis so there is always a suspicion that non-ergodicity com es from disorder.<sup>1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11</sup> W hile a rather successful m icroscopic theory of m olecular glasses now exists based on the idea of an underlying random st order transition<sup>15,16,17,18</sup>, this theory, like all theories of liquid state phenom ena, contains approxim ations that can only be checked a posteriori through their agreem ent with simulations or experiments. To deepen and widen our understanding of glassy dynam ics beyond the molecular uid example, it would be desirable to have a model which can be analyzed in a form ally exact fashion using fam iliar tools of continuum eld theory. Such a theory would also help clarifying which features of the current theory of structural glasses are robust and "protected"<sup>19</sup> and which are fortuitously correct.

Both, the recent treatment of the therm odynamics of fragile glasses developed within a replica form ulation<sup>13</sup> and the closely related approach using density functional theory for super-cooled liquids<sup>14</sup> suggest that the major aspects of glass formation stem from the very strong local correlations of a dense super-cooled uid. This is very di erent from systems with critical dynamics close to second order phase transitions, where long wavelength uctuations are param ount. One nds experimentally that dynamics on essentially all wavelengths slow s to nearly the same extent as the glass transition is approached. This suggests that the search for an solvable limit of the glass problem should focus on the strong but local nonlinearities.

M a jor progress has recently been made in the theoretical understanding of strongly interacting systems with predom inantly local correlations. Strongly correlated electron systems like M ott insulators or systems with strong electron-phonon interactions have been investigated with the dynam icm ean eld theory<sup>36,37</sup> (D M FT). Originally, this approach was based on the assumption that all non-trivial correlations of a given system are strictly local (for the precise de nition of a local theory, see below) and then allowed for a solution of such system s w ithout further approxim ations. M ore recently several generalizations of the approach have been developed, which allow the inclusion of collective excitations<sup>21,22</sup> as well as short range correlations in so called cluster DM FT theories<sup>23,24,25</sup>.

In this paper we will follow the main strategy of the dynamic mean eld approach and apply it to the replica theory of self generated glassiness. This allows us to solve completely for the long time correlation function (Edwards-Anderson parameter) as well as the con gurational entropy of a glass within a local theory. Even though the origin of glassiness is not quenched disorder, there is a similarity between our theory and the mean eld theory of spin glasses which is also exact in the lim it of a purely local approach. Solvable local lim its like the Sherrington-K irkpatrick m odel, turned out to be extrem ely fruitful for the investigation of more realistic models. To identify and solve such a local problem is therefore of general interest. A nother appealing aspect of the approach developed here is that the recent generalizations of the DMFT to cluster system so era feasible way to develop a controlled series of approximations for candidate glassy system s that successively extend the range of local correlations taken into account.<sup>23,24,25</sup>. C learly, before this becomes possible we have to set up and analyze the strictly local (i.e. mean eld) theory. The solutions of the local eld theories discussed in this paper rely on a self consistency condition that is uniform ly applied to the replicated statistical mechanics problem .

The droplet e ects that give rise to the activated transitions in molecular uids cannot be described by this uniform replica symmetry breaking ansatz. While the self consistent replica eld theory is applicable to account for some geometric e ects in nite dimensions the latter highly nonperturbative e ects are absent, but are suppressed if the coordination number is high. We should also mention that related interesting approach to selfgenerated glassiness in a model in in nite dimensions (where the locality of the problem is exactly guaranteed) that was recently presented by Lopatin and Io e.<sup>26</sup>

We demonstrate that a uniform ly fustrated system with competition of interactions on di erent length scales undergoes a glass transition once inhom ogeneous uctuations becom e strong enough if no ordered phase form s via nucleation. Speci c calculations are carried out for the case of the B razovskiim odel<sup>44</sup>, which describes system s with tendency towards m icro-phase separation. G lassiness was shown to exist in thism odel in R efs.28,31. How ever, it rem ained unclear to what extent this is m erely a consequence of an approxim ate solution using perturbation theory or is indeed the correct m ean eld behavior of thism odel.

In the next section we brie y sum marize the replica approach to self generated glassiness, present the main idea of the dynamic mean eld theory and solve the local theory for self generated glassiness in case of the Brazovskiim odel. We sum marize the results of this paper in Section III.

#### II. THEORY

#### A. The replica approach

In this paper we consider physical system s consisting of L-components (types of atom s orm olecules) and with short ranged  $3^{rd}$ ,  $4^{th}$  and higher order virial coe cients. No speci c assumption is made with respect to the (possibly non local)  $2^{nd}_{p}$  virial coe cient. In terms of a density eld  $_{1}(x) = _{i}(x X_{i;1})$  of particles of type l = 1; :::;L (L = 1 in case of a one component system) we then have an elective energy:

$$H [] = H_0 [] + d^d x V (_1 (x))$$
(1)

with  

$$Z = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{1} (x) G_{011^{0}}^{1} (x; x^{0}) = \frac{1}{10} (x^{0}) : (2)$$

$$\frac{1}{10^{0}} = \frac{1}{10} (x^{0}) = \frac{1}{10$$

where  $_{1}(x) = _{1}(x)$   $_{1}$  is the deviation of the density from its average value. The key assumption made in Eqs.1 and 2 is the local character of V () which includes the higher order virial coe cients. For example, Eq.1, m ight be a representation of the density functional used by Ramakrishnan and Yousso with G  $^{1}_{011^{0}}$  (x;x<sup>0</sup>) =  $c_{11^{0}}$  (x x<sup>0</sup>) the direct correlation function of the uid<sup>35</sup> and

$$V(x) = \int_{1}^{X} (T_{1} + T_{1}(x)) [\log (T_{1} + T_{1}(x)) - 1]$$
(3)

the ideal gas free energy.

The partition function of the system is given by

$$Z_{eq} = D \exp(H[]=T)$$
(4)

and determ ines the equilibrium properties of the system. In a system with complex energy landscape where we expect that the system might undergo vitri cation, the knowledge of the equilibrium partition function is not su cient anymore.

A widely accepted view 15,16 is that a glassy system may be considered to be trapped in local metastable states for very long time and can therefore not realize a considerable part of the entropy of the system, called the con gurational entropy  $S_c = \log N_{ms}$ , where  $N_{ms}$ is the number of metastable states. If N  $_{\rm m\,s}$  is exponentially large with respect to the size of the system,  $S_c$ becomes extensive and ordinary equilibrium therm odynam ics fails. There are several theoretical approaches which o er a solution to this breakdown of equilibrium m any body theory. On the one hand one can solve for the time evolution of correlation and response functions, an approach which explicitly re ects the dynam ic character of the glassy state. Mostly because of its technical sim plicity, an alternative (but equivalent) approach is based on a replica theory<sup>12,13</sup>. Even though this approach does not allow one to calculate the complete time evolution, long tim e correlations as well as stationary response functions can be determ ined which are in agreem ent with the explicit dynam ic theory. We will use the replica approach because of its relative sim plicity.

The central quantity of the replica theory to self generated glassiness is the replicated partition function<sup>12,13</sup>

$$Z (m) = D^{m} e^{P_{m} H[] + g \frac{R_{dx}}{2m}}, (x) (x) (x)$$
(5)

Z (m) must be analyzed in the limit m ! 1 and g ! 0 which is taken at the end of the calculation. One can interpret Z (m) as the result of a quenched average over random eld con gurations with in nitesim al disorder strength g and a distribution function which is determined by the partition function of the system itself. A similar approach (how ever for nite g) was proposed by D eam and E dwards<sup>38</sup> in the theory of the vulcanization transition where the distribution of random cross-links of a polymermelt is also determined by the actual partition function of the polymer system itself<sup>39</sup>. Then, just as in the present approach, the number of replicas, m, is taken to the limit m ! 1, as opposed to the usual m ! 0 limit used for systems with averaging over "white" quenched disorder distributions. In the vulcanization problem, g

3

is related to the cross link density and, in distinction to the theory presented here, remains nite. Physically, the analysis of Z (m) addresses whether the system drives itself (m ! 1) into a glassy state if exposed to an in nitesimally weak random ness (g ! 0). It can be show  $n^{32}$  that this approach is equivalent to the solution of the dynam ic equations for the correlation and response function<sup>33</sup> of the system that takes aging and long term memory e ects into account.

F (m) =  $\frac{T}{m} \log Z$  (m) determines the con gurational entropy,  $S_c$ , and the averaged free energy of systems trapped in m etastable states,  $\mathbf{F}$ , via<sup>12,13,31</sup>

$$\mathbf{F}^{\mathbf{e}} = \lim_{\substack{g! \ 0}} \frac{(\underline{e} (\underline{m} \mathbf{F} (\underline{m})))}{(\underline{e}m)} \prod_{\substack{m \mid 1}} \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{c}} = \lim_{\substack{g! \ 0}} \frac{\underline{m}^{2}}{\mathbf{T}} \frac{(\underline{e}\mathbf{F} (\underline{m}))}{(\underline{e}m)} \prod_{\substack{m \mid 1}} \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{m}} \mathbf{s}$$

The equilibrium free energy,  $F = T \log Z_{eq}$  can be shown to be  $F = F^{e}$   $T S_{c}$ . This makes evident that  $T S_{c}$  is indeed a part of the free energy which cannot be realized if the system is trapped in m etastable states.

The lim it m ! 1 is only appropriate above the K auzm ann temperature,  $T_{\rm K}$ , at which  $S_{\rm c}$  vanishes. Below  $T_{\rm K}$  one has to perform the lim it m !  $T=T_{\rm e}$  with e ective temperature  $T_{\rm e}$  > T characterizing the spectrum of m etastable states. How to determ ine  $T_{\rm e}$  in case of a rapid quench is discussed in Ref. 48, whereas an interesting alternative to determ ine  $T_{\rm e}$  for slow ly quenched system s was proposed in Ref.26. In the present paper we restrict ourselves to the behavior T >  $T_{\rm K}$  even though our approach can be generalized to the case with arbitrary m < 1 easily.

#### B. M apping onto a local problem

The major problem is the determ ination of the partition function Z (m). Even for the liquid state (i.e. m = 1and g = 0 at the outset) this is a very hard problem without known exact solution and we are forced to use computer simulations or to develop approximate analytical theories. In developing such an approximate theory we take advantage of the fact that glass forming systems are often driven by strong local correlations, as opposed to the pronounced long ranged correlations at a second order phase transition or the critical point of the liquidvapor coexistence curve. This is transparent both, in the mode coupling theory of under-cooled liquids<sup>34</sup> and in the self-consistent phonon approaches<sup>14</sup>, where a given m olecule is locally caged by its environm ent built of other m olecules.

W hen we call a physical system local this does not necessarily in ply that all its correlation functions in nitely rapidly decay in space. In the language of many body theory it only implies that the irreducible self energy  $_{11^{\circ}}$  (k) '  $_{11^{\circ}}$  is independent of momentum for the important range of parameters. Here,  $_{11^{\circ}}$  is related to the

correlation function  $G_{11^0}$  (k) = h  $_{1;k} _{1^0}$ ; k i via D yson equation

$$G(k)^{1} = G_{0}(k)^{1}$$
 (7)

which is an L L matrix in case of the equilibrium liquid state theory. If we study the emergence of glassy states we have to use the replica theory and Eq.7 begomes an  $\mathop{\mathrm{fm}}_{1;k}$  L m L) matrix equation with G  $_{11^0}$  (k) =  $^{a}_{1;k}$   $_{1^0}$ ; k , G  $_{011^0}$  (k) = G  $_{011^0}$  (k) a as well as self energy matrix  $_{11^0}$ .

Traditionally, the self energy is introduced because it has a comparatively simple structure within perturbation theory. However, in the theory of strongly correlated Ferm isystem sit has been recognized that the existence of a momentum independent selfenergy allows conceptually new insight into the dynam ics of many body system s, describing states far from the strict perturbative lim it.<sup>36,37</sup> W e will adopt the main strategy of this dynam ic mean eld theory for our problem.

W e use the fact that the free energy F  $\,$  (m ) determ ined by Eq.5 can be written  $as^{27}$ 

$$F (m) = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} \log G_0^{-1} + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} (G) + \frac{1}{2} [G]; \quad (8)$$

where the trace goes for each k-point over the  $(m \ L \ m \ L)$ -matrix components together with a sum over k. The latter can also be written as a matrix trace of real space functions G  $(x;x^0)$  etc. The functional [G] is well de ned in term s of Feynm an diagram s as the sum of skeleton diagram s of the free energy. In what follows we will not try to calculate but merely use the fact that such a functional exists. From the de nition of it follows that it determ ines the self energy via a functional derivative:

$$\underline{1}^{0} (\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}^{0}) = \frac{[G]}{G_{1^{0}1} (\mathbf{x}^{0}; \mathbf{x})} :$$
(9)

Since we made the assumption that is momentum dependent, Fourier transformation yields  $_{11^0}$  (x;x<sup>0</sup>) =  $_{11^0}$  (x x<sup>0</sup>). Thus, the functional derivative, Eq.9, vanishes if x  $\epsilon$  x<sup>0</sup>, which implies that for a local theory, solely depends on the local, momentum averaged, correlation function,

$$\overline{G}_{11^{0}} = \frac{Z}{(2)^{d}} G_{11^{0}} (k) .$$
 (10)

Since allour interactions, V  $[\ ]$  are (by assumption) local as well, we conclude that there exists a local problem with H am iltonian

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} X & & X \\ & & J & J_{11^0} & J_0 + a_0^d & V [ ]_1^a ]; \\ & & & J_{11^0}; \end{bmatrix}$$
(11)

which has an identical functional [G] of its own correlation function G, which is also a (m L m L)-m atrix

but does not depend on position or momentum .  $a_0$  is a typical microscopic length scale, for example a hard core diameter and needs to be specified for each system . It results from the fact that a sensible local theory can only be formed after some appropriate discretization

$$Z = \begin{cases} X \\ d^{d}xV(_{1}(x)) & a_{0}^{d} \\ i \end{cases} V(_{1}(x_{i})) : (12)$$

Even though, H has no spatial structure anymore, the perturbation theory up to arbitrary order is the same for both system s. This holds for an arbitrary choice of the so called W eiss eld, J.

Following Ref.37 we use the freedom to chose J in order to guarantee that  $G = \overline{G}$ . This implies that not only the functional but also its argument are the same for the actual physical system and the auxiliary local one. It then follows that the self energy of the original system  $_{11^0}$  is, up to a trivial prefactor which results from the above discretization, equal to the self energy of the auxiliary system,  $a_0^d_{11^0}$ . The prefactor  $a_0$  can for example be determined by comparing the leading order in perturbation theory of the two problems, Eq.1 and Eq.11. Then we solely need to solve the much simpler problem, H, and determine for an assumed W eiss eld J the local self energy as well as the local propagator related by

$$\overline{G} = J \quad a_0^d \quad {}^1 . \tag{13}$$

W em ade the right choice for J if sim ultaneously to Eq.13 it is true that self energy and averaged correlation function are related by:

$$\overline{G} = \frac{2}{(2)^{d}} \frac{d^{d}k}{G_{0}(k)} = \frac{1}{(14)}$$

If this second equation is not fullled we need to improve the W eiss eld J until Eq.13 and Eq.14 hold simultaneously, posing a self consistency problem. This is the m ost consistent way to determ ine the physical correlation functions under the assumption of a momentum independent self energy. This approach has been applied to a large class of problem s in the eld of strongly correlated Ferm i system s, and promises, as we argue here, to be very useful in rather di erent contexts. The major technical task of the DM FT is to solve H for given J. This will be done for the speci c choice of a replica symmetric correlation function

$$G_{11^0}(q) = K_{11^0}(q) + F_{11^0}(q);$$
 (15)

which implies a similar form for the self energy

$$= {}^{\mathrm{K}}_{\mathrm{ll}^0} + {}^{\mathrm{F}}_{\mathrm{ll}^0} : \qquad (16)$$

Below we will present a general approach to study the stability of this choice and analyze it for a speci c example.

# C. Example: the Brazovskiim odel of m icro-phase separation

W e are now in the position to apply our approach to a speci c physical system. W e consider a one com ponent system (L = 1) governed by the three dimensional B razovskiim  $del^{44}$ ,

$$H = \frac{1}{2}^{Z} d^{3}x \quad "_{0}^{2}q_{0}^{2} \quad ^{2} + \frac{u}{2} \quad ^{4} + \frac{q_{0}^{2}}{4} \quad r^{2} + q_{0}^{2} \qquad (17)$$

which has a broad range of applicability in systems with micro-phase separation like the theory of microemulsions<sup>42,43,48</sup>, block copolymers<sup>45,46</sup> or even doped transition metal oxides<sup>28,31,47</sup>. In Refs.40,41 it was argued that it might be used as a simple continuum model for glass forming liquids. The bare correlation function follows from Eq.17

$$G_{0}(q) = \frac{1}{\left| \frac{m_{0}^{2}q_{0}^{2} + \frac{1}{4q_{0}^{2}}(q^{2} - q_{0}^{2})^{2} \right|} / \frac{1}{\left| \frac{m_{0}^{2}q_{0}^{2} + (q - q_{0})^{2} \right|}$$
(18)

The interesting behavior of the Brazovskiim odel arises from the large phase space of low energy uctuations which is evident from the gradient term,  $r^2 + q_0^2$ , in the Ham iltonian. All uctuations with momenta  $jqj = q_0$ can, independent of the direction of q, be excited m ost easily. The wave number  $q_0$  is related to various physical quantities in all these di erent systems. In microemulsions,  $q_0$  is determined by the volume fraction of am phiphilic molecules whereas it is inversely proportional to the radius of gyration in block copolymers and to the strength of the Coulomb interaction in doped transition metal oxides. Clearly, the role played by the m icroscopic length scale  $a_0$  of the previous section is q<sup>1</sup>. As discussed in Appendix A, an explicit calculation within the self consistent screening approximation gives  $a_0 \prime 1:7q_0^{-1}$ . For simplicity we use  $a_0 = q_0^{-1}$ , which corresponds to a rescaling of the coupling constant.

If the self energy is m om entum independent the correlation function has the form

G (q) = 
$$\frac{1}{(q - q_0)^2 + n^2 q_0^2}$$
; (19)

with  $\mathbf{u}^2 = \mathbf{u}_0^2 - \mathbf{q}_0^2 > 0$ , which yields

$$\overline{G} = \frac{Z}{(2)^3} \frac{d^3q}{(2)^3} G (q) = \frac{q_0}{2}$$
 (20)

In Refs.28,31 it was shown that a self generated glass transition can occur and the con gurational entropy was calculated. A glassy state occurs for all nite  $q_0$  and leads to  $S_c / V q_0^3$ , with volume V. However, these results were obtained by using perturbation theory and in particular the discussion of Ref.29 suggests that the self

consistent screening approximation might strongly over estimate the tendency towards glassiness. An important question is therefore whether the glassy state obtained in Refs28,31 is generic or arises solely as consequence of a poor approximation. This issue was also raised in the recent numerical simulations in Ref.30. The approach developed in this paper is able to answer this question. In order to facilitate the comparison with the perturbative results we summarize the results of Refs. 28,31 in Appendix A how ever using an argumentation more adapted to the dynamic mean eld approach.

### 1. DMFT for the liquid state

Ignoring glassiness for the m om ent, the local H am iltonian is given by

$$H = \frac{1}{2}J^{2} + \frac{uq_{0}^{3}}{4}^{4} : \qquad (21)$$

which leads to the partition sum  $Z = \frac{R_1}{1} d e^{H}$  and correlation function

$$\overline{G} = \frac{\prod_{1}^{R_{1}} d^{2} e^{H}}{Z}$$
: (22)

Z and  $\overline{G}$  are elementary integrals that can be expressed in terms of elliptic functions. The Weiss eld which leads to the correct propagator can be obtained as  $J = \frac{n_0^2 + 2}{q_0}$  which, together with Eqs 20 and 22, leads to a single nonlinear algebraic equation for ". The solution can be obtained easily. Results for  $n_0^2 = 4$  (strong segregation limit) as well as  $n_0^2 = 1$  (weaker segregation limit) are shown in Fig1 and are compared with the corresponding behavior as obtained using a H artree theory which gives  $n_{H \, artree} = \frac{u=q_0}{n_0^2}$ . Leading order perturbation theory (i.e. H artree theory) does give the proper sm allu behavior, how ever strong deviations occur in case of the weak segregation limit (sm all  $n_0^2$ ).

In our treatm ent of the equilibrium behavior we have m ade the assumption that no phase transition to a state with long range order of h (x) i takes place. This is consistent with the H artree theory which excludes a diverging correlation length for nite temperatures, and thus, excludes the possibility of a second order phase transition. However this assertion contrasts with the result found by B razovskii<sup>44</sup> who showed that them odel, Eq.17, undergoes a uctuation induced rst order transition to a m odulated state with order parameter

h  $(x)i = _{0} \cos (q_{0} x);$  (23)

where  $jq_0 j = q_0$  and arbitrary but xed direction. For small coupling constant this transition occurs if  $"_0^2 > \frac{3}{(8-)^{2-3}} \frac{u}{q_0}^{2-3}$ . The criterion for the glass transition discussed in this paper is very similar to the parameter values leading to the uctuation induced rst order



FIG.1: D in ensionless inverse correlation length of the equilibrium liquid state as function of the coupling constant (scaled by the modulation wave number q<sub>0</sub> for dierent segregation strength  $_0^2$ . The DMFT results (solid lines) are compared with the leading order Hartree approximation (dashed lines). Strong deviations for small dimensionless coupling constants u=q<sub>0</sub> occur in the limit of weak segregation strength. Note, the results are obtained by ignoring the possibility of a uctuation induced rst order transition which will become relevant if  $j_0^2 j > c(u=q_0)^{(2=3)}$  with can constant of order unity.

transition. We have not analyzed both transitions within an identical theoretical approach but nd in Appendix A that perturbation theory yields for the occurrence of the glass transition  $\binom{n^2}{q_0} / \frac{u}{q_0}^{3-5}$ . In what follows we will always assume that the glass transition occurs instead of the uctuation induced rst order transition. In the laboratory this will likely be a kinetic issue, that needs a nucleation theory for quantitative predictions. The results of Ref.49 where a nucleation theory of the Brazovskii transition was developed demonstrate that indeed the nucleation kinetics of the order parameter, Eq.23 is very com plex, i.e. under-cooling should be possible.

#### 2. DMFT in the glassy state

To use the replica theory of the glassy state we have to specify the replica structure of the correlation function. We rst choose a given structure and discuss its stability later. In replica space we start from the following structure of the propagators and self energies:

$$G (q) = K (q) + F (q) = K + F (q)$$
(24)

Inverting the matrix Dyson equation in replica space leads to:

$$K (q) = \frac{1}{(q - q_0)^2 + {}^2q_0^2}$$
(25)  
$$F (q) = \frac{1}{(q - q_0)^2 + {}^2q_0^2}$$

m F (q) =  $\frac{(q q_0)^2 + m^2 q_0^2}{(q q_0)^2 + ^2 q_0^2}$   $(q q_0)^2 + ^2 q_0^2$ 

with the convenient de nitions:

$${}^{2}q_{0}^{2} = {}^{m_{0}^{2}}q_{0}^{2} {}_{K} (q)$$
(26)  
$${}^{m_{2}^{2}}q_{0}^{2} = {}^{m_{0}^{2}}q_{0}^{2} {}_{K} (q) {}_{m_{F}} (q) :$$

The diagonal elements K(q) + F(q) can be interpreted as the equilibrium, liquid state correlation function and is only determ ined by "which can be related to the liquid state correlation length  $'\frac{1}{"q_0}$ . On the other hand  $F(q) = \lim_{t \ge 1} \lim_{t^0 \ge 1} h_q(t) = q(t + t^0)i$  characterizes long time correlations in analogy to the Edwards-And erson parameter. Clearly, if  $_{\rm F}$  6 0 then > " and F(q) > 0. Depending of whether one considers q values close to or away from  $q_0$ , F (q) is governed by the correlation length or the Lindem ann length of the glass  $_0 = q_0^{1} ^{2} ^{1}$ , respectively. The physical significance of  $_0$  is the length scale over which defects and imperfections of an crystalline state can wander after long time was discussed in Ref.<sup>31</sup>. Finally, the correlation function K (q) (which is solely determined by the short length  $\frac{1}{q_0}$  <  $\ )$  is the response function of a local perturbation. O by iously, any response of the glassy system is con ned to very small length scales even though the instantaneous correlation length can be considerable. This is a clear relection of the violation of the uctuation dissipation relation within the replica approach. A veraging these functions over m om enta gives:

$$\overline{K} = \frac{Z}{(2 )^{3}} \frac{d^{3}q}{(2 )^{3}} K \quad (q) = \frac{q_{0}}{2};$$

$$\overline{F} = \frac{Z}{(2 )^{3}} \frac{d^{3}q}{(2 )^{3}} F \quad (q) = \frac{q_{0}}{2 m} \quad \frac{1}{"} \quad \frac{1}{-1} \quad (27)$$

The auxiliary local Ham iltonian is

$$H = \frac{1}{2} X_{ab \ a \ b} + \frac{uq_0^3}{4} X_{a}^4 : \qquad (28)$$

W ithin DMFT we then nd for its correlation function

$$G = \overline{K}_{ab} + \overline{F} :$$
 (29)

In addition, the W eiss eld is given by:

$$J = J \qquad C: \qquad (30)$$

These relations together with Eq 27 can be used to express the W eiss elds in term s of the " and :

$$J = \frac{2 + n_0^2}{q_0}^2$$

$$C = \frac{2 ( n_0 + n_2^2)^2}{m q_0} : (31)$$

Thus, we have to determ ine  $\overline{K}$  and  $\overline{F}$  for given J and C and m ake sure that the latter are chosen such that Eqs 27 and 31 are fullled.

The partition sum of the local problem is given by

$$Z (m) = d^{m} e^{\prod_{m=1}^{m} H_{0}[] + \frac{1}{2}C(P)^{2}}; \quad (32)$$

where  $H_0[] = \frac{1}{2}J_a^2 + \frac{uq_0^3}{4} \frac{4}{a}$ . d<sup>m</sup> refers to the fact that is an m-component vector and the integral goes over an m-dimensional space with arbitrary m. The coupling between di erent replicas can be eliminated by performing a Hubbard-Stratonovich transformation, which leads to

$$Z(m) = \frac{d}{p \frac{d}{2 C}} e^{\frac{2}{2C}} ()^{m}$$
 (33)

where

() = 
$$d e^{(H_0[]+)}$$
 (34)

is the equilibrium partition function however in an external eld , with Gaussian distribution function.

In order to determ ine the propagators of the local problem, we consider the sum of the diagonal elements

$$\begin{array}{c} X \\ \overline{G}_{aa} = m \quad \overline{K} + \overline{F} \end{array} \tag{35}$$

which is equal to  $2\frac{(l \log Z (m))}{(l J)}$ , yielding

$$\overline{K} + \overline{F} = 2^{Z} \frac{d e^{\frac{2}{2c}} ()^{m-1}}{Z (m)^{P} \overline{Z C}} \frac{e^{Q}}{2 (J)} (): (36)$$

The derivative with respect to J leads to

$$\frac{\varrho}{\varrho_{J}} () = \frac{1}{2}^{Z} d e^{\frac{1}{2}J^{-2} + \frac{uq_{0}^{-3}}{4} + 2}$$
(37)

which gives the nalexpression for the diagonal element of the replica correlation function

$$\overline{K} + \overline{F} = \frac{R_{p\frac{d}{2c}}e^{\frac{2}{2c}}}{Z(m)}$$
(38)

with

$${}^{2} = \frac{R}{d e} \frac{\frac{1}{2}J^{2} + \frac{uq_{0}^{3}}{4} + 2}{()}$$
(39)

In addition we also need to determ ine the o diagonal elements in replica space of the correlation function. We use

$$\frac{X}{G_{ab}} = m \overline{K} + m^2 \overline{F}$$
(40)

which equals to  $2^{\frac{\theta}{\theta} \log 2} \frac{(m)}{\theta}$  and obtain an equation which can be used to determ ine the o diagonal elements  $\overline{F}$ 

$$\overline{K} + m \overline{F} = \frac{R \frac{p \frac{d}{2 c}}{p \frac{d}{2 c}} e^{-\frac{2}{2c}} ()^{m} C^{-1} h i :}{Z (m)}$$
(41)

Evidently, Eqs.38 and 41 are only independent if mdi ers from 1.

We next analyze these equations for small but nite m 1. This can be done by expanding Eqs.38 and 41 into a Taylor series for small m 1 and comparing order by order. First we consider the zeroth order term and nd that both equations yield for m = 1, the results for the liquid state which determ ine ". The next step is to consider the rst corrections linear in m 1. This allow s us to check whether there are nontrivial solutions for > " and thus for the o diagonal self energy and long time correlation function.

The di erence between Eqs.38 and 41 gives

$$\overline{F} = \frac{R_{p\frac{d}{2c}}e^{\frac{2}{2c}}()^{m} + C^{1}hi}{(1 m)Z(m)}; \quad (42)$$

Expanding the num erator form close to 1 gives

$$\overline{F} = \frac{I}{Z (m = 1)}$$
(43)

with

$$I = \frac{Z + C^{-1}hi + 2 + e^{-\frac{2}{2C}} () \log ()}{\frac{P + 2}{2C}};$$

(44) A s expected, it follows that  $\overline{F} = 0$  if = ", where C = 0. This can be seen from the expansion h i ' <sup>2</sup>, valid for small and by substituting  $= \frac{p}{C}$ . It follows that

$$\overline{F}$$
 ( = ") /  $\frac{d}{p - 2} e^{-\frac{2}{2}} 1 = 0$ : (45)

In Fig 2 we show our results for the dimensionless inverse correlation length " $_{A}$  = " ( $u_{A}$  ) at the onset of glassiness. We have to distinguish the two di erent regimes of strong and weak phase separation, characterized by  $"_0^2 > 1$  and  $"_0^2 < 1$ , respectively. In the case of strong phase separation we nd a universal value " $_{\rm A}$  ' 0:45. The universality of this result is in qualitative agreem ent with results obtained with perturbation theory which also gives such a generic value which is how ever num erically larger (" $_{\rm A}$  ' 0:8385). In addition we obtain that at the transition the ratio A = "A ' 3:5, which is close to the result A = "A = 3:1, obtained within perturbation theory. The onset of glassiness in the model Eq. 17 occurs in the strong phase separation  $\lim it$  and, for given  $q_0$ , is uniquely determined by the value of the correlation length =  $("q_i)^{\perp}$ , and not explicitly dependent on u or



FIG.2: D in ensionless inverse correlation length as function of the coupling constant at the dynam ical glass transition  $_{\rm A}$  obtained within the DMFT, in comparison with the result of the self consistent screening approximation. For large u a universal value of the correlation length (in units of q<sub>0</sub><sup>1</sup> for glassiness results, whereas the critical value of the spatial correlations to reach a glassy state increases considerably in the weak coupling limit.

T. The exact solution of the dynamic mean eld problem leads to the interesting result that this value for is larger than what follows within perturbation theory. For small  $\frac{u}{q_0}$ , the result of the perturbation theory is "A ' 0:42  $\frac{u}{q_0}$ . In this lim it the DMFT gives the interesting result that "A is larger than the value obtained within perturbation theory. The e ect is small and numerically hard to identify. It implies that the criterion for glassiness as obtained within DMFT becomes very close to the one found by Brazovskii for the uctuation induced rst order transition. Most important however is that we do indeed nd that there is a nontrivial so-> ". The emergence of a self generated glassy lution state is therfore not a consequence of the perturbative solution used in Refs.28,31. Our calculation is performed for a tem perature T = 1. We can reintroduce the tem perature into the calculation by substituting u ! uT.A critical value for u leads to a tem perature  $T_A$  (for xed u) where within mean eld theory an exponential number of m etastable states em erges.

Once the correlation functions are determined we can use the fact that the functional [G] is the same for the local problem as well as the original one to obtain

$$F(m) = F(m) + \frac{T}{2m} \operatorname{trlogG}^{1} a_{0}^{d} \operatorname{TrlogG}^{1} ;$$
(46)

Here Tr refers to the trace over replicas, but does not include the momentum integration, as opposed to tr which



FIG.3: Con gurational entropy density  $S_{\rm c}{=}V$  (with system volum eV) as function of temperature for two di erent values of the segregation strength  $^2_0$ .

corresponds to a trace with respect to all degrees of freedom . Finally, F (m) =  $\frac{T}{m} \log Z$  (m) is the counterpart of F (m) for the local problem . U sing Eq.6 an expression for the con gurational entropy follow s:

$$\frac{S_{c}}{V} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{X}{q} \left[ \log \left( 1 - \frac{F(q)}{G(q)} \right) + \frac{F(q)}{G(q)} \right] - \frac{a_{0}^{d}}{2} \log - \frac{F(q)}{q} + \frac{a_{0}^{d}}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{F(q)}{q} \right) - \frac{F(q)}{q} = \frac{F(q)}$$

where G(q) = K(q) + F(q) and

$$\frac{(dZ (m))}{(dm)}_{m!1} = \frac{d}{p (2 C)} e^{-\frac{2}{2C}} (1) \log (1) : (48)$$

As expected, in the limit ! "without glassy long time correlations, it follows Z(1) =(0) as well as  $\frac{(2 - \mu n)}{(0 - m)} = (0) \log (0)$  and F (q) goes to zero, lead-0Z (m.) ing to  $S_c$  ( = ") = 0. The con gurational entropy is nite only for nontrivial solutions > ". The tem perature,  $T_{\rm A}$  , where this happens for the  $\;$  rst time is equal to the dynam ic transition tem perature of the system . The result for  $S_{\rm c}$  (T) also enables us to determ ine the K auzm ann tem perature,  $T_K < T_A$ , where  $S_c$  vanishes. In Fig.3, we show  $S_c(T)$  for two dierent  $"_0^2$  : The con gurational entropy vanishes as  $T_K$  is approached:  $S_c / T = T_K$ . The regime  $(T_A = T_K) = T_A$  between the onset of slow dynamics and entropy crisis increases for decreasing strength of the phase separation.

# D. Stability of the solution

An important simplication of our approach resulted from the simple form, Eq24, of the correlation function in replica space. All diagonal elements as well as all o diagonal elements are assumed to be identical. Whether this assumption is indeed stable can be addressed by evaluating the eigenvalues of the stability matrix

$$\dot{P} ; = \frac{{}^{2}F}{G^{b}(q) G^{(q^{0})}}; \qquad (49)$$

If there are negative eigenvalues of  $\oint$  our assumption for the replica structure is unstable. Following Ref.50 we nd that the lowest eigenvalue with respect to the replica indices is determined by the lowest eigenvalue of the matrix<sup>32</sup>

$$\hat{H}_{q,q^0} = (q q^0) K^2 (q) + v_0$$
(50)

in momentum space, where  $v_0 = \frac{2}{\overline{G} - \overline{G}} 2\frac{2}{\overline{G} - \overline{G}} + \frac{2}{\overline{G} - \overline{G}}$  with distinct ; ; and . In deriving this result we started from Eq.8 but used the fact that the functional only depends on the momentum averaged correlation function, such that  $v_0$  becomes momentum independent. Eq.50 is similar to the Schrodinger equation in momentum space of a single particle with bare H am iltonian K<sup>2</sup> (q) and local potential  $v_0$ . The lowest eigenvalue, E, of this problem is given by

$$1 = v_0 \frac{d^3 q}{(2)^3} K^2 (q) + E^{-1} :$$
 (51)

This equation can be analyzed if we nd a way to calculate  $v_0$  which, due to Eq.9, is determined by the nst derivative of the self energy with respect to the correlation function  $\frac{1}{G}$ . This derivative can be evaluated by following closely Baym and Kadano<sup>27</sup>. First we add to the local Hamiltonian an additional term

U a and analyze all correlation functions for nite U. At the end we will take the limit U ! 0 and the correlation function has the simple structure Eq.50. For nite U, the correlation function is determined from the function Z [U]:

$$\overline{G} \quad [U] = h \quad i = \frac{\log Z \quad [U]}{U} \quad (52)$$

The self energy is a functional of only  $\overline{G}$  [U] and not of U explicitly such that

$$\frac{X}{U} = \frac{\overline{G}}{\overline{G}} \frac{\overline{G}}{U}$$
(53)

If we furtherm ore introduce

$$L ; = \frac{G}{U}$$
$$= h \quad ih \quad ih \quad i \quad (54)$$

which can be evaluated explicitly once U = 0, one nds

which determ ines  $\frac{1}{G}$  and thus  $v_0$ .

A pplying this approach to the B razovskii m odel we nd that the replica structure is m arginally stable at the tem perature  $T_A$  where the glassy state occurs for the rst time. Below  $T_A$  the replica symmetric ansatz Eq.50 becomes unstable however it can be made stable if the replica index m does not approach 1 anymore but rather takes a valuem  $= \frac{T}{T_{\rm eff}}$  which de nest he elective tem perature  $T_e$  of the glass. This is a situation similar to one step replica symmetry breaking with break point given by m $^{12}_{}$  A detailed discussion of this issue is presented in Ref.32.

Stability of the replica sym m etric ansatz was only possible because we consistently m ade the assumption of the dynamical mean eld theory. Going beyond the local approach, for example by using cluster DM FT techniques, enables one to study whether or not nonlocal phenomena change the replica structure of the theory.

## III. CONCLUSIONS

Based on the recent development in the theory of strongly interacting electron system swe developed a dynam ic mean eld theory for self generated glasses in a continuum eld theory model. The key assumption of our approach, which applies to physical systems with short range higher order virial coe cients, is that glass form ation is the consequence of predom inantly local correlations. It is then possible to map the problem onto a purely local theory with same interaction and with a Gaussian part of the energy which is determined self consistently. The comparatively simple approach can easily be applied to multi component systems. Most importantly, recent developments in the cluster DMFT approach allow one to generalize this theory to include at least short range non-local e ects. Owing to its local character a generalization of the present treatment to include spatially inhom ogeneous states (corresponding with instantons) and thereby explicitly treat the mosaic form ation predicted in random st order transitions seem swellwithin reach.

#### IV. ACKNOW LEDGMENTS

W e would like to thank G iulio B iroli, Paul Goldbart and Harry W estfahl Jr. for helpful discussions. This research was supported by an award from Research Corporation (J.S.), the Institute for C om plex A daptive M atter, the Am es Laboratory, operated for the U.S.D epartment of Energy by Iowa State University under Contract No. W -7405-Eng-82 (SW .and J.S.), and the National Science Foundation grantsDM R-0096462 (G.K.) and CHE-9530680 (P.G.W .).

# APPENDIX A: SELF-CONSISTENT SCREENING APPROXIMATION

In this appendix we sum marize the solution of the B razovskiim odelw ithin self-consistent screening approxim ation of R efs.28,31. In R ef. 28 a complete numerical solution of the self consistent screening approximation was developed which did not make any assumption with respect to them on entum dependence of the self energy. It was found that (q) does weakly depend on q for  $q < 2q_0$  allowing to approximate (q) ' ( $q_0$ ) momentum independent. This simplication was helpful to develop an analytical solution of the same problem, presented in R ef.31. Here we will show that the results of R ef.31 can easily be re-derived by performing a dynamic mean eld theory where the local problem is solved within perturbation theory.

It is useful to rst sum marize the problem swhich result in a perturbative treatment of the problem, something that can already be seen in the liquid state. Consider a given Feynman diagram for the self energy of a theory with interaction u<sup>r</sup> (r = 4 in our case). The number of internal lines is  $I_n = \frac{1}{2}$  (nr 2), whereas the num ber of internal momentum integrations of the original theory is  $L_n = I_n$  (n 1) = n  $\frac{r}{2}$  1. In a local theory we have for each running line a contribution  $q_0^{-3}\overline{G}$  (i.e. we replace G (q) by its momentum averaged value). Thus, we obtain for a contribution to the self energy of order n

<sup>n)</sup> 
$$u^{n} q_{0}^{3 L_{n}} q_{0}^{3} \overline{G}^{I_{n}}$$
 (A1)

Using r = 4 and  $\overline{G}$  of Eq20 it follows <sup>(n)</sup>  $\frac{uq_0}{q_0 \pi^2} = \frac{n}{2}$ . Clearly, in the limit of " < 1, where glassiness was found in Refs28,31, the perturbation series is a sum term swhich are increasingly larger in magnitude.e. strongly divergent. The self consistent screening approximation corresponds to the sum

ssc 
$$X^{1}$$
  $(1)^{n}$   $(n) / \frac{uq_{0}}{n} \frac{1}{1 + \frac{u}{q_{0}n^{2}}}$ : (A2)

Even though  $_{SSC} = q_0^2$ " is small for small  $q_0$ "<sup>2</sup>, it is not clear at all whether this procedure leads to reliable results, making evident the need to use a method not based on the sum mation of diagram s.

U sing the replica approach for the local problem we obtain within the self consistent screening approximation for the local self energies:

$$a_0^3 _{K} = 2 (D_K K + D_K F + D_F K)$$
  
 $a_0^3 _{F} = 2 D_F F$  (A 3)

with collective propagators

$$D_{K} = \frac{1}{q_{0}^{3}u^{-1} + {}_{K}};$$
  

$$D_{F} = \frac{{}_{F}D_{K}^{2}}{1 + {}_{F}D_{K}};$$
(A 4)

where  $_{\rm K} = {\rm K}^2 + 2{\rm K} {\rm F}$  and  $_{\rm F} = {\rm F}^2$ . This corresponds to the sum mation of bubble diagram s as sum marized in detail in Ref.31 and follows from the functional

$$[G] = a_0^{d} Trlog D^{-1}$$
(A5)

with  $D_{ab} = D_{K}_{ab} + D_{F}$ . Since we are solving a classical (time independent) local problem we do not need to perform any momentum integrations in the evaluation of the diagram s.

Using the expressions for the momentum averaged

- <sup>1</sup> D.N.Argyriou, J.W.Lynn, R.Osborn, B.Campbell, J. F.M itchell, U.Ruett, H.N.Bordallo, A.W ildes, C.D. Ling, Physical Review Letters 89, 036401 (2002).
- <sup>2</sup> A.J.M illis, Phys. Rev. B 53, 8434 (1996).
- <sup>3</sup> E. D agotto, T. Hotta, and A. M oreo, Physics Reports (2001).
- <sup>4</sup> M.H. Julien, F. Borsa, P. Carretta, M. Horvatic, C. Berthier, and C.T. Lin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 604 (1999).
- <sup>5</sup> A.W. Hunt, P.M. Singer, K.R. Thurber, and T. Im ai, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 4300 (1999).
- <sup>6</sup> N.J. Curro, P.C. Hammel, B.J. Suh, M. Hucker, B. Buchner, U.Ammerahl, and A. Revcolervschi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 642 (2000).
- <sup>7</sup> J. Haase, R. Stern, C. T. M illing, C. P. Slichter, and D. G. Hinks, Physica C 341, 1727 (2000).
- <sup>8</sup> N.J.Curro, Journal of Physics and Chem istry of Solids 63, 2181 (2002).
- <sup>9</sup> Ch.Niederm eyer, C.Bernhard, T.Blasius, A.Golnik, A. Moodenbaugh, and J.I.Budnik, Phys.Rev.Lett.80, 3843 (1998).
- <sup>10</sup> C.Panagopoulos, J.L.Tallon, B.D.Rainford, T X iang, J.R.Cooper, and C.A.Scott, Phys.Rev.B 66, 064501 (2002).
- <sup>11</sup> J. L. Tallon, J. W. Loram, C. Panagopoulos, condmat/0211048, to appear in J.Low Temp.Phys.
- <sup>12</sup> R.M onasson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 2875 (1995).
- <sup>13</sup> M .M ezard and G .Parisi, Phys.Rev.Lett.82,747 (1999). (1989).
- <sup>14</sup> Y. Singh, J. P. Stoessel, and P. G. W olynes, Phys. Rev. Lett. 54, 1059 (1985).
- <sup>15</sup> T.R.Kirkpatrick and P.G.W olynes, Phys. Rev. A 35, 3072 (1987).
- <sup>16</sup> T.R.Kirkpatrick and P.G.W olynes, Phys. Rev. B 36, 8552 (1987).
- <sup>17</sup> T.R.K inkpatrick and D.Thirum alai, and P.G.W olynes, Phys.Rev.A 40, 1045 (1989).
- <sup>18</sup> T.R.K inkpatrick and D.Thirum alai, Phys.Rev.Lett.58, 2091 (1987).
- <sup>19</sup> R.B.Laughlin and D.Pines, Proc. of the Natl. A cad. of Sciences 97 27 (2000).

propagator, Eq.27, we nd for  $_{\rm F} = \frac{2}{10} {\rm m}^2 {\rm q}_0^2$ :

$$a_{0}^{3} = \frac{4}{q_{0}} \left(1 + \frac{w^{2}}{v^{1}}\right) \frac{1}{w^{2}v^{1} + 1} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{w^{2}}{v^{2}}}; \quad (A 6)$$

where  $v = \frac{1}{(2 \ )^2} u q_0^2 a_0^3$ . W ith the choice  $a_0 = \frac{2^{-3}}{2^{1-3}} q_0^{-1}$  ' 1:7 $q_0^{-1}$  this agrees with the result of Ref.31, analyzed in the strong coupling lim it v<sup>-1</sup> ! 0. In what follows we simply use  $a_0 = q_0^{-1}$  to be able to compare the results of the self consistent screening with the solution of the DMFT approach of this paper. In the lim it v<sup>-1</sup> ! 0 a solution > " exists for the rst time if " < "<sub>A</sub> ' 0:84. At the transition <sub>A</sub> ' 3:1"<sub>A</sub>. A detailed physical discussion of these results is given in Ref.31. For weak coupling it follows "<sub>A</sub> ' 0:422  $\frac{u}{q_0}$  with <sub>A</sub> ' 2:19"<sub>A</sub>.

- <sup>20</sup> T.V.Ramakrishnan and M.Yussou, Phys.Rev.B 194, 2775 (1979); M.Youssou, Phys.Rev.B 23, 5871 (1983).
- <sup>21</sup> Q.Siand J.L.Sm ith, Phys.Rev.Lett. 77, 3391 (1996).
- <sup>22</sup> R.Chitra and G.Kotliar, Phys. Rev. B 63, 115110 (2001).
- <sup>23</sup> M.H.Hettler, A.N. Tahvildar-Zadeh, M. Jarrell, T.Pruschke, H.R.Krishnamurthy, Phys. Rev. B 58, R7475 (1998).
- <sup>24</sup> A.I.Lichtenstein and M.I.Katsnelson, Phys. Rev. B 63, R 9283 (2000).
- <sup>25</sup> G.Biroli and G.K otliar, Phys. Rev. B 65, 155112 (2002).
- <sup>26</sup> A.V. Lopatin and L.B. Io e, Phys. Rev. B 66, 174202 (2002)
- <sup>27</sup> G.Baym and L.P.K adano, Phys.Rev 124, 287 (1961);
   G.Baym, Phys.Rev 127, 1391 (1962).
- <sup>28</sup> J. Schm alian and P.G.W olynes, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 836 (2000).
- <sup>29</sup> J.-P. Bouchaud, L. Cugliandolo, J. Kurchan, M. Mezard, Physica A, 226, 243 (1996).
- <sup>30</sup> P.L.G eissler and D.R.R eichm an, cond-m at/0304254
- <sup>31</sup> H.W estfahl Jr., J. Schm alian, and P.G.W olynes, Phys. Rev.B 64, 174203 (2001).
- <sup>32</sup> H.W estfahlJr., J.Schm alian, and P.G.W olynes, preprint
   <sup>33</sup> L.F.Cugliandolo, J.Kurchan, Phys. Rev. Lett. 71, 173 (1993).
- <sup>34</sup> W . Gotze, in Liquids, Freezing and Glass Transition, ed. J.P. Hansen, D. Levesque and J. Zinn-Justin (North-Holland, Am sterdam, 1991), p. 287.
- <sup>35</sup> J.P.Hansen and I.R.M cD onald, Theory of simple liquids, A cadem ic Press, London, N.Y., San Francisco, 1976.
- <sup>36</sup> D. Vollhardt, in Correlated Electron Systems, edited by V J.Emery (W orld Scientic, Singapore, 1993).
- <sup>37</sup> A.Georges, G.Kotliar, W.Krauth, and M.J.Rozenberg, Rev.Mod.Phys.68, 13-125 (1996).
- <sup>38</sup> R.T.Deam and S.F.Edwards, Phil.Trans.R.Soc.280A, 317 (1976).
- <sup>39</sup> P.M.Goldbart, H.E.Castillo, and A.Zippelius, Adv. Phys. 45, 393 (1996).
- <sup>40</sup> T.K inkpatrick and D.Thirum alai, J.Phys.A M ath.Gen. 22, L49 (1989).
- <sup>41</sup> D.Kivelson, S.A.Kivelson, X.L.Zhao, Z.Nussinov, and

- G.Tarjus, Physica A 219, 27 (1995).
- <sup>42</sup> F.H.Stillinger, J.Chem. Phys. 78, 4654 (1983).
- <sup>43</sup> M.W. Deem and D. Chandler, Phys. Rev. E 49, 4268 (1994).
- <sup>44</sup> S.A.Brazovskii, Zh.Exp.Teor.Fiz. 68, 175 (1975) [Sov. Phys.JEPT 41, 85 (2975)].
- <sup>45</sup> L.Leibler, Macrom olecules 13, 1602 (1980).
- <sup>46</sup> G.H.Fredrickson and E.Helfand, J.Chem.Phys. 87, 697 (1987).
- $^{47}\,$  V .J.Em ery and S.A.K ivelson, Physica 209C , 597 (1993).
- <sup>48</sup> S. W u, H. W estfahl Jr., J. Schm alian, P. G. W olynes, Chem. Phys. Lett. 359, 1 (2002).
- <sup>49</sup> P.C. Hohenberg and J.B. Swift, Phys. Rev. E 52, 1828 (1995).
- <sup>50</sup> J.R.L. de A lam eida and D.J.Thouless, J.Phys. A 11, 983 (1978).
- <sup>51</sup> " as de ned in this paper di ers from the one of R ef.[31] by a factor 2.