

Homotopy Theory of Topological Defects in Spinor Condensates

Yunbo Zhang^{1,2}, Harri Mäkelä¹, and Kalle-Antti Suominen^{1,3}

¹*Department of Physics, University of Turku, FIN-20014 Turun yliopisto, Finland*

²*Department of Physics and Institute of Theoretical Physics, Shanxi University, Taiyuan 03006, P. R. China*

³*Helsinki Institute of Physics, PL64, FIN-00014 Helsingin yliopisto, Finland*

(Dated: March 22, 2022)

We investigate the topological defects in atomic spin-1 and spin-2 Bose-Einstein condensates by applying the homotopy group theory. With this rigorous approach we clarify the previously controversial identification of symmetry groups and order parameter spaces for the spin-1 case, and show that the spin-2 case provides a rare example of a physical system with non-Abelian line defects, and the possibility to have winding numbers of $1/3$ and its multiples.

PACS numbers: 03.75.Mn, 03.75.Lm, 05.30.Jp

The all-optical trapping of Bose-Einstein condensates (BEC) [1] has opened up a new direction in the study of dilute atomic gases, i.e., the spinor condensates with degenerate internal degrees of freedom of the hyperfine spin F . For alkali atoms with $F = 1$, both experiments and theories have shown two possible kinds of spin correlations in the atom species, namely ferromagnetic (e.g. ^{87}Rb) or antiferromagnetic (e.g. ^{23}Na). With the experimental success of condensing alkali bosons with $F > 1$ such as ^{85}Rb and ^{133}Cs [2], and the unusual stability of the $F = 2$ state (against spin-exchange) in ^{87}Rb [3], one expects that defects with much richer structure can be created in the future. A remarkable feature here is that not only the gauge symmetry $U(1)$ but also the spin symmetry $SO(3)$ are involved, a situation similar to superfluid ^3He where three different continuous symmetries (orbital, spin and gauge) are broken either independently or in a connected fashion [4].

Topological defects and excitations in the spinor BECs have been studied theoretically by several groups [5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11]. Most of the work on this subject is based on the original identifications of the order parameter spaces by Ho [5]. After the original studies it was also claimed by Zhou that a discrete symmetry of Z_2 type was missed in the case of antiferromagnetic spin-1 condensate [10] and therefore the topological defects would manifest totally different structures. In this Letter we present a rigorous topological study that both solves this spin-1 controversy, and reveals interesting aspects of spin-2 systems. The phases of spin-2 spinor condensates are characterized by a pair of order parameters $\langle \mathbf{F} \rangle$ and $|\Theta|$ describing the ferromagnetic order and the formation of singlet pairs, respectively [12, 13, 14]. It turns out that for the so called cyclic phase the fundamental group that determines the nature of possible stable topological defects is *non-Abelian*. The only known physical example of such a system so far has been the biaxial nematic liquid crystal.

Outline of the homotopy theory of defects: We sketch out the procedure which has been widely used in the study of topological defects in ordered media such as

liquid crystals, superfluid ^3He and heavy-fermion superconductors [15]. The central feature of the classification scheme of the defects emerges from examining the mappings of closed curves in physical space into the order-parameter space (OPS). The order parameter of a spinor BEC, $\Psi(\mathbf{r}) = \sqrt{n(\mathbf{r})}\zeta(\mathbf{r})$, where $n(\mathbf{r})$ is the density and $\zeta(\mathbf{r})$ is a normalized spinor $\zeta^\dagger(\mathbf{r})\cdot\zeta(\mathbf{r}) = 1$, has associated with it a group of transformations G , i.e., all spinors related to each other by gauge transformation $e^{i\theta}$ and spin rotations $e^{-iF_z\alpha}e^{-iF_y\beta}e^{-iF_z\gamma}$ are energetically degenerate in zero external magnetic field, where (α, β, γ) are the Euler angles. The set of all transformations in G that leave the reference order parameter (chosen arbitrarily but thereafter fixed) unchanged is known as the isotropy group H . The OPS can then be taken to be the space of cosets of H in G : $M = G/H$. In terms of broken symmetry, the fact that the ordering breaks the underlying symmetry is expressed in the fact that H is only a subgroup of G . Let G be a *connected, simply connected* continuous group and H_0 be the set of points in H that can be connected to the identity by a continuous path lying entirely in H . The fundamental theorems assert that

$$\pi_1(M) = H/H_0, \quad \pi_2(M) = \pi_1(H_0). \quad (1)$$

where the fundamental (second) homotopy group $\pi_1(\pi_2)$ characterizes the singular line (point) defects in three-dimensional space.

For the spinor condensate it seems natural to identify the underlying symmetry group as $U(1) \times SO(3)$, the groups in the direct product representing the gauge and spin degrees of freedom respectively. This group is *not* simply connected, i.e., $\pi_1(U(1) \times SO(3)) \neq 0$. To apply the theorems, however, it is essential that one chooses the group G to be simply connected (i.e., $\pi_1(G) = 0$). This can always be done because any continuous group can be imbedded in its universal covering group. We proceed by specifying the symmetry group as $R \times SU(2)$, with the group of real numbers R representing any translation $\theta \in (-\infty, +\infty)$ in the phase of the condensate. For $F = 1$, we use the 3D representation of the group

$SU(2)$ in order to obtain the isotropy group, e.g., a rotation $u(z, \alpha)$ around axis z by angle α takes the form of a diagonal matrix $Diag(e^{-i\alpha}, 1, e^{i\alpha})$. The element $-u(z, \alpha)$ is represented by the same matrix, i.e., $u(z, \alpha + 2\pi) = -u(z, \alpha)$ while $u(z, \alpha + 4\pi) = u(z, \alpha)$.

Calculation of the homotopy groups: There are two possible ground states in $F = 1$ case. For the ferromagnetic state, the isotropy group H is constructed by the set of transformations which leave the reference order parameter $(1, 0, 0)^T$ invariant. From the ground state spinor $\zeta = e^{i(\theta-\gamma)} \left(e^{-i\alpha} \cos^2 \frac{\beta}{2}, \sqrt{2} \cos \frac{\beta}{2} \sin \frac{\beta}{2}, e^{i\alpha} \sin^2 \frac{\beta}{2} \right)^T$ we know immediately that the angles should satisfy

$$\beta = 0, \theta - \alpha - \gamma = 2n\pi \quad (2)$$

with n an integer. The elements in group H are the combination of a translational part and a rotational part $H = \{(\theta, u(z, \theta)), (\theta, u(z, \theta + 2\pi))\} = \{(\theta, \pm u(z, \theta))\}$. Evidently this group includes two disconnected components—the connected component of the identity $H_0 = \{(\theta, u(z, \theta))\}$ is isomorphic to R . The group H/H_0 is isomorphic to the integers modulo 2, i.e., Z_2 . The second homotopy group π_2 is trivial and we arrive at the same result as that in Ref. [9]

$$\pi_1(M) = Z_2, \quad \pi_2(M) = 0, \text{ (spin-1 FM state).} \quad (3)$$

A ferromagnetic spin-1 condensate may have therefore only singular vortices with winding number one while the point-like defects are topologically unstable.

The polar state emerges if the atoms in the condensate interact anti-ferromagnetically. In the ground state $\zeta = e^{i\theta}/\sqrt{2} (-e^{-i\alpha} \sin \beta, \sqrt{2} \cos \beta, e^{i\alpha} \sin \beta)^T$, the reference parameter $(0, 1, 0)^T$ is left invariant for just those elements with

$$\beta = 0, \theta = 2n\pi \quad \text{or} \quad \beta = \pi, \theta = (2n + 1)\pi. \quad (4)$$

Thus the isotropy group H must now be expanded to include not only transformations in which both the rotation and the translation leave the spinor unchanged, but also those in which the rotation takes the reference spinor $(0, 1, 0)^T$ to $(0, -1, 0)^T$ and the translation takes it back, i.e., a π rotation about arbitrary axis perpendicular to \hat{z} combined with a π translation in θ (or any odd multiples of π). The full isotropy group is the union of these two sets, $H = \{(2n\pi, u(z, \alpha)), ((2n + 1)\pi, gu(z, \alpha))\}$ where $g = u(y, \pi)$. There are infinitely many discrete components in H , while the connected component of the identity $H_0 = \{(0, u(z, \alpha))\}$ is isomorphic to $U(1)$. The elements with an even translational parity are of the form $(2n\pi, I)H_0$, and those with an odd parity are of the form $((2n + 1)\pi, g)H_0$. The group H/H_0 is therefore isomorphic to the group of integers Z through the isomorphism $((2n + j)\pi, g^j)H_0 \mapsto 2n + j$ for $j = 0, 1$. We recover the conclusion that line and point defects in spin-1 polar

state can be classified by integer winding numbers,

$$\pi_1(M) = Z, \quad \pi_2(M) = Z, \text{ (spin-1 Polar state).} \quad (5)$$

Thus the Z_2 term does not appear in the homotopy group. As we shall see the identification of the OPS in Ref. [10] is also incorrect.

Spin-2 Bose condensate: We next apply the same approach to the BEC of spin-2 bosons. There are three possible phases for a spin-2 spinor Bose condensate, i.e., one more compared to the spin-1 case. This extra phase comes from the additional interaction parameter describing the singlet formation, and is referred to as the *cyclic* phase [12, 13, 14]. The defects which may be created in spin-2 condensate exhibit even more elaborate structures due to quantum correlations among bosons. For $F = 2$ we have to use the 5D representation of $SU(2)$, e.g., the rotation $u(z, \alpha)$ is represented by matrix $Diag(e^{-2i\alpha}, e^{-i\alpha}, 1, e^{i\alpha}, e^{2i\alpha})$. The calculations of the degenerate family of the ground state spinors and the corresponding homotopy groups are straightforward and details will be presented elsewhere [16]. Here we only pick up some interesting features in our results, focusing on the symmetry properties of the defects in comparison with those in other ordered media.

Equating the general expression for the ground state spinor of the ferromagnetic state F

$$\zeta = e^{-i(\theta-2\gamma)} \begin{pmatrix} e^{-2i\alpha} \cos^4 \frac{\beta}{2} \\ e^{-i\alpha} \sin \beta \cos^2 \frac{\beta}{2} \\ \frac{\sqrt{6}}{4} \sin^2 \beta \\ e^{i\alpha} \sin \beta \sin^2 \frac{\beta}{2} \\ e^{2i\alpha} \sin^4 \frac{\beta}{2} \end{pmatrix} \quad (6)$$

with the reference spinor $(1, 0, 0, 0, 0)^T$ leads to the requirement for the isotropy group H

$$\beta = 0, \theta - 2\alpha - 2\gamma = 2n\pi. \quad (7)$$

We see that taking $n = 0, 1, 2, 3$ is enough for all possible transformations, with the translational part being arbitrary and the rotational part containing the rotations around axis z by $\theta/2, \theta/2 + \pi, \theta/2 + 2\pi, \theta/2 + 3\pi$ respectively. Hence the group H is composed of 4 pieces $H = \{(\theta, u(z, n\pi + \theta/2))\}$. Here it is important to show that the 4 components are not connected: there does not exist a continuous path in H which connects one component to another, though the rotational parts themselves are connected. The connected component of the identity $H_0 = \{(\theta, u(z, \theta/2))\}$ is again isomorphic to R . If we define an element g of the group $R \times SU(2)$ by $(0, u(z, \pi))$, we see that the quotient group H/H_0 has the same structure as the cyclic group of order 4, i.e., $\{e, g, g^2, g^3\}$ and we conclude that

$$\pi_1(M) = Z_4, \quad \pi_2(M) = 0, \text{ (spin-2 } F \text{ state).} \quad (8)$$

It is interesting to check how the group Z_4 characterizes vortices for state F . In spin-1 case there is only

one topologically stable line defect, that is, a vortex with winding number one. Equation (8) shows that there are 3 stable vortices for spin-2 condensates. We can set $\theta - 2\gamma = 2m\varphi$, $-\alpha = m\varphi$, $\beta = \pi t$ in the ground state for F state, Eq. (6), which leads to a family of spinor states parametrized by a parameter t between 0 and 1. Here $m > 0$ is an integer, φ is the azimuthal angle. When t evolves from 0 to 1, the $4m\varphi$ vortex state $\zeta(t=0) = (e^{i4m\varphi}, 0, 0, 0, 0)^T$ evolves continuously to the vortex free state $\zeta(t=1) = (0, 0, 0, 0, 1)^T$. This shows that vortices with winding number $4m$ are topologically unstable. Similarly, by multiplying factors $e^{ik\varphi}$ ($k = 1, 2, 3$) one obtains the following correspondences

$$e^{i(4m+k)\varphi} (1, 0, 0, 0, 0)^T \rightarrow e^{ik\varphi} (0, 0, 0, 0, 1)^T \quad (9)$$

i.e., the vortices with winding numbers $4m+k$ may evolve into vortices with winding numbers k , respectively. There are thus 3 classes of topologically stable line defects. Together with the uniform state, they form the fundamental group Z_4 . Straightforwardly for condensates with spin F , the fundamental group $\pi_1(M) = Z_{2F}$ characterizes $(2F-1)$ classes of stable line defects.

Non-Abelian homotopy groups: Media with non-Abelian fundamental groups are especially interesting from the topological point of view. The only illustrative example in ordered media so far have been biaxial nematic liquid crystals [17]. If G is taken to be $SO(3)$ then the isotropy group H is the four-element group, D_2 , the symmetry group of a rectangular box. If, however, we take G to be $SU(2)$, then H is expanded to the non-Abelian quaternion group Q (known as the *lift* or *double group*) with eight elements, the multiplication table of which has been verified experimentally [18].

We have found that the cyclic state C provides another physically realistic example in which the fundamental group is non-commutative. The reference spinor $\frac{1}{2}(e^{i\phi}, 0, \sqrt{2}, 0, -e^{-i\phi})^T$ is left invariant by the elements in the isotropy group

$$H = \{\pm I, \pm a, \pm b, \pm c, \pm d, \pm e, \pm f, \pm g, \pm d^2, \pm e^2, \pm f^2, \pm g^2\}. \quad (10)$$

The spin rotations $a = u(z, \pi)$, $b = u(y, \pi)u(z, \phi + \pi/2)$ and $c = ba$ satisfy $a^2 = b^2 = c^2 = -I$, while $d = u(z, \pi/4 + \phi/2)u(y, \pi/2)u(z, \pi/4 - \phi/2)$, $e = -da$, $f = -ad$ and $g = -ada$ satisfy $d^3 = e^3 = f^3 = g^3 = -I$. Each element in the first, second, third row is associated with an additional phase change $2n\pi, 2\pi/3 + 2n\pi, 4\pi/3 + 2n\pi$ respectively. It is a discrete group, and H_0 consists of the identity $(0, I)$ only. The fundamental theorems identify that

$$\pi_1(M) = H, \quad \pi_2(M) = 0, \text{ (spin-2 } C \text{ state)}. \quad (11)$$

The elements in the fundamental group are non-commutative, for example $ab = -c \neq ba$. The criterion for the topological equivalence of defects applies in the most general case in terms of conjugacy classes of the fundamental group. It is thus necessary to classify the group into the following conjugacy classes: $\{I\}_n, \{-I\}_n, \{\pm a, \pm b, \pm c\}_n, \{d, e, f, g\}_{n+1/3}, \{-d, -e, -f, -g\}_{n+1/3}, \{d^2, e^2, f^2, g^2\}_{n+2/3}, \{-d^2, -e^2, -f^2, -g^2\}_{n+2/3}$ with the subscripts standing for the winding numbers of the defects. Physically this indicates the feasibility of creating not only vortices with any integer winding number but also fractional quantum vortices. The homology theory [19] assembles the conjugacy classes further into 3 sets for each n , in which the defects are labeled by the winding numbers $n, n+1/3, n+2/3$ respectively [16].

Interesting features of this non-Abelian fundamental group include the topological instability of the defects and their interaction, i.e., entanglement when two of them are brought to cross with each other [15]. Two defects in the same conjugacy classes can be continuously converted into one another by local surgery. In addition, the defects in the same homology set can be transformed into each other via a *catalyzation* process consisting of splitting a line singularity into two and recombining them beyond a third one [19].

Like the quaternion group Q for biaxial nematics, the fundamental group (10) is the *lift* of a point group in $R \times SU(2)$. To find the remaining discrete symmetry group for the cyclic state, and, in addition, to clarify the controversial identification of the OPS for spin-1 case, in the remaining of this paper we turn to describe the system in terms of rotations in $SO(3)$, e.g., two elements $\pm u(z, \alpha)$ in $SU(2)$ are mapped into one $R(z, \alpha)$ in $SO(3)$ with $R(z, \alpha + 2\pi) = R(z, \alpha)$.

Order Parameter Spaces: The OPS for $F = 1$ polar state was identified as $U(1) \times S^2$ in Refs. [5, 9]. An extra Z_2 symmetry was claimed in Ref. [10] so the author concluded the OPS as $U(1) \times S^2/Z_2$. Here we show that previous studies are incorrect. Taking the group G as $U(1)_G \times SO(3)_S$ where the subscripts stand for the gauge and spin symmetries respectively, we see that the isotropy group H consists of two separate parts, $\{(e^{i\theta}, R(z, \alpha))\}$ and $\{(e^{i\pi}, R(y, \pi)R(z, \alpha))\}$. The rotations in the first part constitute the group $SO(2)$, while the elements in the second part are just those in the group $O(2)$ but not in $SO(2)$ with determinants -1 . The combination of these two parts gives the full isotropy group as $O(2)$ where both gauge and spin symmetries are involved. The OPS is the quotient $G/H = (U(1)_G \times SO(3)_S)/O(2)_{G+S}$ and here it is not possible to apply the fundamental theorem for G is not any more simply connected.

For the ferromagnetic state the group H may be obtained if one notices that the 2π difference in the rotational angle does not give another component as it did in the case of $SU(2)$. We have $H = \{(e^{i\theta}, R(z, \theta))\}$ which is isomorphic to $U(1)_{G+S}$. This means that

there is a remaining symmetry $U(1)$ in the symmetry broken system. The OPS is thus factorized as $(U(1)_G \times SO(3)_S) / U(1)_{G+S} = SO(3)_{S+G}$.

The discrete symmetry group of defects in the spin-2 cyclic state C can be shown to be isomorphic to the tetrahedral group T . We continue to represent G as $U(1)_G \times SO(3)_S$. The isotropy group (10) is shrunk to a group of 12 elements if one understands the rotation in the sense of $SO(3)$ (i.e., $a = R(z, \pi)$),

$$H = \{I, a, b, c, \varepsilon d, \varepsilon e, \varepsilon f, \varepsilon g, \varepsilon^2 d^2, \varepsilon^2 e^2, \varepsilon^2 f^2, \varepsilon^2 g^2\}, \quad (12)$$

where $\varepsilon = \exp(2\pi i/3)$ comes from the gauge transformation and εd , for instance, is an abbreviation for the element (ε, d) . Three 2-fold rotational axes are z and 2 lines in xy plane perpendicular to each other (which lie on axes x and y if we choose the arbitrary phase $\phi = \pi/2$). The elements $\varepsilon d, \varepsilon e, \varepsilon f, \varepsilon g$ are four 3-fold axes. The symmetries remaining in the symmetry broken states for biaxial nematics and spin-2 cyclic state are shown in Figure 1. The OPS for state C can be identified as $(U(1)_G \times SO(3)_S) / T_{G+S}$.




FIG. 1: Symmetries of the defects in biaxial nematics (D_2) and cyclic state C in spin-2 condensate (T). The dot in the center of the rectangle stands for axis z . The dashed lines represent 2-fold axes, except that with a triangle for 3-fold axis.

It should be noted that an applied magnetic field B changes the defect structure severely by reducing the degenerate family of the spinor. We take again the cyclic state as an example. The symmetry group in this case is $U(1) \times SO(2)$ because the magnetic field chooses its direction automatically as the quantization axis. From the spinor $\frac{1}{2} \left((1+p)e^{i\phi_1}, 0, \sqrt{2-2p^2}e^{i(\phi_1+\phi_2)/2}, 0, (-1+p)e^{i\phi_2} \right)^T$, where $\phi_{1,2}$ are two arbitrary phases and $p \sim B$, we easily see the possibility to create vortices in any of the three nonzero components with winding number for i -th component n_i confined by $n_1 + n_5 = 2n_3$.

In summary, we have determined the nature of the topological defects in spin-1 and spin-2 condensates. The order parameter spaces are identified as the spaces of the coset of the isotropy group H in the transformation group G . Topologically stable vortices with winding numbers larger than unity may be created in the ferromagnetic state for condensates with $F > 1$, up to the value $(2F - 1)$. The line defects in the spin-2 cyclic state C exhibit non-commutative features, resulting e.g. in line defects with winding numbers of $1/3$ and its multiples.

The authors acknowledge the Academy of Finland (Project No 50314) for financial support. YZ is also supported by NSF of China (Grant Nos. 10175039 and 90203007). Discussions with O. Kärki and J. Hietarinta are appreciated.

-
- [1] D. M. Stamper-Kurn *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 2027 (1998); M. D. Barrett *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 010404 (2001).
 - [2] S. L. Cornish *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 1795 (2000); T. Weber *et al.*, Science **299**, 232 (2003).
 - [3] K.-A. Suominen, E. Tiesinga, and P. S. Julienne, Phys. Rev. A **58**, 3983 (1998).
 - [4] D. Vollhardt and P. Wölfle, *The superfluid phases of Helium 3* (Taylor & Francis, London, 1990); M. M. Salomaa and G. E. Volovik, Rev. Mod. Phys. **59**, 533 (1987).
 - [5] T.-L. Ho, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 742 (1998).
 - [6] T. Ohmi and K. Machida, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **67**, 1822 (1998).
 - [7] C. K. Law, H. Pu, and N. P. Bigelow, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 5257 (1998).
 - [8] H. T. C. Stoof, E. Vliegen, and U. Al Khawaja, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 120407 (2001).
 - [9] U. Al Khawaja and H. T. C. Stoof, Phys. Rev. A. **64**, 043612(2001); Nature (London) **411**, 918 (2001).
 - [10] F. Zhou, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 080401 (2001); cond-mat/0108473.
 - [11] J.-P. Martikainen, A. Collin, and K.-A. Suominen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 090404 (2002).
 - [12] C. V. Ciobanu, S.-K. Yip, and T.-L. Ho, Phys. Rev. A. **61**, 033607 (2000).
 - [13] M. Koashi and M. Ueda, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 1066 (2000); Phys. Rev. A. **65**, 063602 (2002).
 - [14] J.-P. Martikainen and K.-A. Suominen, J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. **34**, 4091 (2001).
 - [15] N. D. Mermin, Rev. Mod. Phys. **51**, 591 (1979).
 - [16] H. Mäkelä, Y. Zhang and K.-A. Suominen, unpublished.
 - [17] L. J. Yu and A. Saupe, Phys. Rev. Lett. **45**, 1000 (1980).
 - [18] T. De'Neve, M. Kleman and P. Navard, J. Phys. II France **2**, 187 (1992).
 - [19] H.-R. Trebin, Phys. Rev. B. **30**, 4338 (1984); Adv. Phys. **31**, 195 (1982).