

Quasiparticle relaxation dynamics in heavy fermion compounds

J. Demsar^y, R.D. Averitt^y, K.H. Ahn^y, M.J. Graf^z, S.A.

Trugman^y, V.V. Kabanov^z, J.L. Sarrao^y, A.J. Taylor^y

^y Los Alamos National Laboratory, Mail Stop K 764, Los Alamos, New Mexico 87545

^z "J. Stefan" Institute, Jamova 39, SI-1000, Ljubljana, Slovenia

Abstract

We present the first femtosecond studies of electron-phonon (e-ph) thermalization in heavy fermion compounds. The e-ph thermalization time τ_{ep} increases below the Kondo temperature by more than two orders of magnitude as $T \rightarrow 0$ K is approached. Analysis using the two-temperature model and numerical simulations based on Boltzmann's equations suggest that this anomalous slowing down of the e-ph thermalization derives from the large electronic specific heat and the suppression of scattering between heavy electrons and phonons.

Recent experiments have demonstrated that femtosecond time-resolved optical spectroscopy is a sensitive tool to probe the low energy electronic structure of strongly correlated electron systems [1-4], complementing conventional time-averaged frequency-domain methods. In these experiments, a femtosecond laser pulse excites a non-thermal electron distribution. This non-thermal distribution rapidly thermalizes through electron-electron (e-e) interactions resulting in a change in the occupied density of states (DOS) in proximity to the Fermi energy (E_f). Therefore, by measuring photoinduced reflectivity or transmissivity dynamics as a function of temperature (T), it is possible to sensitively probe the nature of the electronic ground state. For example, femtosecond measurements of the carrier relaxation dynamics of high- T_c superconductors and charge density wave compounds have provided new insights into the low energy electronic structure of these materials [1-3]. What is particularly important is that even though the probe photon wavelength in these experiments ranges from the far-infrared [3], the near-IR [1], up to several eV [4], the relaxation dynamics on identical samples is the same [3], supporting the basic idea [1] that dynamic photoinduced reflectivity measurements, in many instances, probe relaxation and recombination processes of quasiparticles in the vicinity of E_f .

In this Letter, we present the first studies of carrier relaxation dynamics in heavy fermion (HF) compounds using femtosecond time-resolved optical spectroscopy, aiming to elucidate the effect of localized f-electrons [5] on the quasiparticle relaxation dynamics. We have measured the time-resolved photoinduced reflectivity R/R dynamics as a function of temperature on the series of HF compounds $YbXCu_4$ ($X = Ag, Cd, In$) [6] in comparison to their non-magnetic counterparts $LuXCu_4$. Our results reveal that the carrier relaxation dynamics are extremely sensitive to the low energy DOS near E_f . In particular, in HF compounds the relaxation time τ_r shows a hundred-fold increase between the Kondo temperature (T_K) and 10 K, while in the non-magnetic analogues τ_r is nearly constant, similar to conventional metals like Ag, Au, and Cu [7]. Our analysis shows that the relaxation dynamics can be attributed to e-ph thermalization, and that the anomalous slowing down of the e-ph thermalization stems from the large electronic specific heat in HF compounds and suppression of e-ph scattering within the peak in the enhanced density of states near E_f .

In the following, we focus on $YbAgCu_4$ (a prototypical HF system with $T_K \approx 100$ K and low temperature Sommerfeld coefficient $\gamma = 210$ mJ/molK²) [6] compared to its non-magnetic counterpart $LuAgCu_4$ ($\gamma = 10$ mJ/molK²). The experiments were performed

on freshly polished flux-grown single crystals[6]. We used a standard pump-probe set-up with a mode-locked Ti:Sapphire laser producing 20 fs pulses centered at 800 nm (photon energy ~ 1.5 eV) with an 80 MHz repetition rate. The photoinduced (PI) changes in reflectivity $\Delta R/R$ were measured using a photodiode and lock-in detection. The pump fluence was kept below 0.1 J/cm^2 to minimize the overall heating of the illuminated spot [11], while the pump/probe intensity ratio was ~ 30 . Steady-state heating effects were accounted for as described in [11], yielding an uncertainty in temperature of $\sim 3 \text{ K}$ (in all the data the temperature increase of the illuminated spot has been accounted for).

Figure 1 presents the PI reflectivity traces on the two compounds at several temperatures between 10 K and 300 K . The relaxation dynamics of the non-HF compound LuAgCu_4 display a very weak temperature dependence, with $\Delta R/R$ recovering on a sub-picosecond timescale at all T . The dynamics are similar to regular metals such as Au and Ag [7], where the recovery is predominantly due to electron thermalization. In contrast, Fig. 1(b) shows that for YbAgCu , the quasiparticle dynamics are strongly T -dependent. Specifically, above 140 K , the recovery time τ_r (determined by a $\exp(-t/\tau_r)$ fit to the data) is virtually T -independent but increases by more than two orders of magnitude as $T \rightarrow 0 \text{ K}$. We have measured similar dynamics on YbCdCu_4 ($T_K \sim 100 \text{ K}$, $\sim 200 \text{ mJ/molK}$). Furthermore, a similar divergence of τ_r occurs for CeCoIn_5 below 60 K , implying that the observed increase in the relaxation time starting at T_K and its subsequent divergence as $T \rightarrow 0 \text{ K}$ is a generic feature of HF compounds and derives from their low energy electronic structure.

The rise-time dynamics are also different in the two compounds. For LuAgCu_4 , the rise-time is $\sim 100 \text{ fs}$ at all temperatures. This is again similar to what has been measured on conventional metals and reflects the time it takes for the initially created high energy quasiparticles to thermalize towards E_F . Above 25 K , YbAgCu displays a similar (fast) rise-time. Below this temperature the rise-time increases and, as the semi-log plot in Fig. 1(b) reveals, becomes two-exponential at the lowest temperatures. Similar behavior also occurs for CeCoIn_5 , but is absent in YbCdCu_4 indicating a strong dependence on the details of the low energy electronic structure in HF compounds. While noting the presence of these anomalous rise-time dynamics, further systematic studies are needed to obtain a more complete understanding.[12] In the following, we focus on the anomalous temperature dependence of recovery dynamics below T_K which seem to be a general feature of HF compounds.

In conventional metals, the initial photoinduced change in the reactivity arises from changes in occupation near E_f after e-e thermalization. Subsequently, the PI reactivity recovery dynamics proceed on a picosecond timescale governed by e-ph thermalization [7]. The two temperature model (TTM) serves as a useful starting point in describing e-ph thermalization in metals.[8, 9, 10]. The TTM describes the time evolution of the electron (T_e) and lattice (T_l) temperatures by two coupled differential equations[7, 8]. In the low photoexcitation energy density limit, as in our case, when $T_e \approx T_l \approx T$ over the entire temperature range, the set of two coupled differential equations can be linearized resulting in the following expression for the e-ph thermalization time

$$\tau_{ep}^{-1} = g(C_e^{-1} + C_l^{-1}) \quad (1)$$

Here C_e and C_l are the electronic and lattice specific heats, respectively, and $g(T)$ is the e-ph coupling function [7, 8]. In the case of simple metals, when the electron bandwidth is much larger than the Debye energy $\sim \hbar \omega_D = k_B \theta_D$, and using the Debye model for the e-ph interaction, $g(T)$ has particularly simple form. It is given by $g(T) = dG(T)/dT$, where [7, 9]

$$G(T) = 4g_1 \frac{T}{\theta_D} \int_0^{\theta_D/T} dx \frac{x^4}{e^x - 1} \quad (x; T) \quad (2)$$

Here g_1 is termed the e-ph coupling constant, while $(x; T)$ is included to account for the variation in the electronic DOS, $D_e(x)$, and the normalized e-ph scattering strength $F(x; 0)$, over the energy range $E_f \sim \hbar \omega_D$. It can be shown using Fermi's golden rule that

$$(x; T) = \frac{1}{4} \int_0^{\theta_D/T} d\epsilon \frac{D_e(\epsilon) D_e(0) F(x; 0)}{D_0^2} f f_0(\epsilon) - f(0) g; \quad (3)$$

where $0 = \epsilon + \hbar \omega_D$, and $\epsilon = xT$ and f is the Fermi-Dirac distribution. In metals like Au or Ag, $D_{el}(\epsilon)$ and F are approximately constant in this energy range, i.e. $D_e(\epsilon) = D_0$ and $F = 1$, giving $\tau_{ep}^{-1} = 1.9 g$ is typically $10^{15} - 10^{16}$ W/molK (e.g. for Cu $g_1 = 6.2 \times 10^5$ W/molK corresponding to $g(300K) = 1 \times 10^7$ W/m³K [13]).

At high temperatures ($T > \theta_D$), $\tau_{ep}(T)$ given by the TTM has been found to describe the temperature as well as photoexcitation intensity dependence of measured $\tau_r(T)$ [7, 13]. Moreover, since the absolute value of τ_{ep} is determined by a single parameter g_1 , the technique has been successfully used to determine the dimensionless e-ph coupling constants in superconductors[10, 14]. However, at low temperatures ($T \ll \theta_D \approx 5$) the TTM prediction

of τ_{ep} / T^{-3} has never been observed in metals [7] – instead, at low temperatures τ_r saturates at a constant value. The discrepancy between the experimental results and the TTM was found to be due to the fact that the TTM neglects e-e thermalization processes (by implicitly assuming that a Fermi-Dirac distribution is created instantly following photoexcitation). From simulations using coupled Boltzmann equations, Groeneveld et al. [7] showed that this discrepancy is due to the fact that at low temperatures the e-e and e-ph thermalization times are comparable. Since τ_{ep} / T above $T_D = 6$ [7, 10], while $\tau_{ee} \propto T^{-2}$ – see Eq. (16) of Ref. [7], the TTM is expected to fail at low temperatures where $\tau_{ee} \ll \tau_{ep}$.

In Fig 2 we plot the T -dependence of τ_r on LuAgCu₄ (solid circles), together with the TTM prediction for τ_{ep} (dashed line) given by Eqs. (1,2) with $T_D = 280$ K [6], measured $C_e(T)$ and $C_1(T)$ – see inset to Fig. 2, and $g_1 = 2.6 \times 10^5$ W/mol K. Similar to Au or Ag [7], we find good agreement at $T \gtrsim 200$ K, while below 40 K instead of showing a τ_{ep} / T^{-3} divergence, τ_r saturates.

In order to explain the discrepancy, we have carried out numerical simulations using coupled Boltzmann equations [7, 15]. Here, for example, the net phonon absorption by electrons with energy ϵ is represented by $\frac{d\epsilon}{dt}_{ep}^{abs} = \frac{R}{d} \int d\epsilon' K_{ep} S(\epsilon'; \epsilon) D_p(\epsilon') D_e(\epsilon + \epsilon')$; where $D_e(\epsilon)$ and $D_p(\epsilon')$ are the electron and phonon DOS, and $S(\epsilon'; \epsilon) = f_{\epsilon'}(1 - f_{\epsilon + \epsilon'}) - b_{\epsilon'}(f_{\epsilon} - f_{\epsilon + \epsilon'})$, with f and b being the electron and phonon distribution functions, respectively. K_{ep} in the above equation and K_{ee} in e-e scattering represent the square of the scattering matrix element, combined with all other numerical factors [7, 15]. When performing numerical simulations, a thermal phonon distribution ($b_{\epsilon=0} = b_0(T_1)$) and a non-thermal electron distribution ($f_{\epsilon=0} = f_0(T_e) + \delta f$) was taken as the initial condition just after the laser pulse [7], while τ_{ep} is found by fitting the total electron energy versus time curve to an exponential decay function. The initial perturbation δf is around $10^{-5} - 10^{-3}$ for the energy range between 0.10 - 0.15 eV above and below E_F , which is small enough that the increase in the temperature after e-ph thermalization is less than 1 K over the whole temperature range – consistent with the small excitation intensity used in the experiment [16]. The phonon and electron DOS used in the simulation were chosen such that they fit the specific heat data (i.e. for the phonon DOS, we use the Debye model $D_p(\epsilon) \propto \epsilon^2$ with $\epsilon_D = 24$ meV, while $D_e(E_F) = 2.1 \text{ eV}^{-1} \text{ fu.}^{-1} \text{ spin}^{-1}$). The result of the simulation using the absolute value of $K_{ep} = 0.93 \text{ ps}^{-1} \text{ eV}$ and $K_{ee} = K_{ep} = 700$ is plotted by open circles [17] in Fig 2. As expected, the simulation gives the same result as the TTM at high- T , while at low- T τ_{ep} saturates in

agreement with the experimental χ_r .

Figure 3 shows $\chi_r(T)$ obtained on YbAgCu_4 . At high temperatures ($T > T_K$) the value of χ_r is similar to LuAgCu_4 . At low temperatures, however, χ_r increases by more than 2 orders of magnitude. Since heavy fermions are characterized by a peak in the DOS at E_f [5], the appropriate $D_{el}(\epsilon)$ should be used when modeling $\chi_{ep}(T)$. In our calculation we used $D_{el}(\epsilon) = D_{\text{peak}} \exp[-(\epsilon - E_f)^2/\Gamma^2] + D_0$, where $D_{\text{peak}} = 70 \text{ eV}^{-1} \text{ f.u.}^{-1} \text{ spin}^{-1}$, $\Gamma = 13 \text{ meV}$ and $D_0 = 2.1 \text{ eV}^{-1} \text{ f.u.}^{-1} \text{ spin}^{-1}$ (identical to the value for LuAgCu_4). It reproduces the experimental T -dependence of C_e , as shown in the inset to Fig.3. For simplicity we choose E_f at the center of the peak, so that the chemical potential is constant. Since $D_{el}(E_f)$ is almost two orders of magnitude larger than in LuAgCu_4 we expect that the e - e thermalization is much faster in YbAgCu_4 , and that the TTM would be valid at the lowest temperatures.

The calculated $\chi_{ep}(T)$ using Eq.(2) is plotted in Fig.3 by the dashed line. Here the approximate $C_e(T)$ and $C_l(T)$ were used, g_1 was taken to be the same as for LuAgCu_4 , while $\chi(\mathbf{x};T)$ was evaluated explicitly for the above $D_{el}(\epsilon)$ and $F = 1$. Since $\chi_{ep}^{-1} \propto D_{el}$, and $D_{el}(E_f) \gg D_0$ the result is not surprising, implying that the simple TTM cannot account for the observed dramatic increase in χ_r at low temperatures. We should note that neither the value of the e-ph coupling constant g_1 nor D_0 , which determine the absolute value of χ_{ep} , are necessarily the same in YbXCu_4 and LuXCu_4 . However, even if the e-ph coupling is 10 times smaller in YbAgCu_4 compared to LuAgCu_4 (which would give 10 times larger value of χ_{ep} - as plotted by dashed line in Figure 3), the observed T -dependence of χ_r still cannot be accounted for.

In order to account for the observed $\chi_r(T)$ we have to consider the nature of the electronic states within the peak in the DOS. In heavy fermions the peak in $D_{el}(\epsilon)$ originates from hybridization of the localized f -levels with the conduction band electrons [5]. We hypothesize that the e-ph scattering within the DOS peak is suppressed, since the band dispersion near E_f is much weaker than in regular metals. Therefore it is quite possible that the Fermi velocity v_F is smaller than the sound velocity v_s , in which case momentum and energy conservation prohibit e-ph scattering when both initial and final electron states lie within the energy range where $v_F < v_s$. Assuming a parabolic band with $E_F - T_K \approx 100 \text{ K}$, and 0.85 carriers per formula unit [18], one obtains $v_F \approx 4 \text{ km/sec}$, while the longitudinal sound velocity for $\text{YbIn}_{1-x}\text{Ag}_x\text{Cu}_4$ ($x < 0.3$) is $\approx 4.4 \text{ km/sec}$ along [111] direction [19] (similar v_s is expected for YbAgCu_4). Even though a parabolic dispersion relation is just a rough

approximation, and a direct measurement such as de Haas-van Alphen effect is required to obtain v_F , our simple estimate supports this idea.

Using this hypothesis, good agreement with the data can be obtained. $\tau_{ep}(T)$ obtained by numerical simulations based on Boltzmann equations with K_{ep} set to 0 for processes where the initial and final electronic state are in the range of $-24 < \epsilon < 24$ meV (i.e. within the DOS peak), and $K_{ep} = 0.23 \text{ ps}^{-1} \text{ eV}$ otherwise, is plotted by open circles in Fig. 3. Even better agreement with the data is obtained from the TTM, assuming that the e-ph interaction strength $F(\epsilon; \epsilon_0)$ entering Eq.(3) smoothly vanishes as $\epsilon \rightarrow \epsilon_0 = E_F$, accounting for v_F variation (and thus $v_F < v_s$ condition) across the Fermi surface. This is implemented into the TTM simulation by approximating the factor $D_e(\epsilon) D_e(\epsilon_0) F(\epsilon; \epsilon_0)$ in Eq.(3) with the symmetrized function $(D_e(\epsilon) D_{ie}(\epsilon_0) + D_e(\epsilon_0) D_{ie}(\epsilon) - D_{ie}(\epsilon) D_{ie}(\epsilon_0))$, where $D_{ie}(\epsilon) = D_0 - D_0 \exp[-(\epsilon - \epsilon_0)^2]$. The resulting $\tau_{ep}(T)$, using $\epsilon_0 = 24 \text{ meV}$, and $g_1 = 4.5 \times 10^4 \text{ W/molK}$ is plotted by the solid line in Fig. 3. Indeed, extremely good agreement with the data is obtained, even though τ_r spans more than two orders of magnitude [20].

With the hypothesis that e-ph scattering is suppressed in the DOS peak, the experimental observation of anomalous T -dependence of τ_r can be understood. Namely, at $T < T_K$ $C_e(T)$ increases dramatically compared to normal metals. On the other hand e-ph relaxation becomes more and more difficult as temperature is lowered since most of the electron relaxation should occur within the DOS peak, where the e-ph scattering is blocked by energy and momentum conservation. Therefore, the thermalization between electrons and the lattice occurs very slowly, giving rise to the divergent τ_{ep} below T_K . While the presented model explains the main features of the data, i.e. the low- T divergence of τ_{ep} , there are still several issues requiring further experimental and theoretical effort.

For example, in the simulations we considered a temperature independent peak in the DOS, assuming that many-body and correlation effects can be described by effective, T -independent model parameters. This may be an oversimplification of the physics of heavy-fermion systems. However, the relaxation time simulations and specific heat calculations of our phenomenological model depend only weakly on a T -dependent DOS, as long as the peak width in the DOS does not vary faster than temperature. Further, it would be interesting to investigate e-ph thermalization in Kondo insulators. Namely, due to the presence of the gap near E_F one would expect effects similar to the Rothwarf-Taylor bottleneck observed in superconductors [1]. Secondly, even more interesting effects are expected due to the strong

reduction of the screening at low frequencies (below the gap) which could lead to non-adiabatic phonons.

In conclusion, we have utilized ultrafast optical spectroscopy to study the dynamics of photoexcited quasiparticles in HF compounds. We have observed a divergence in the e-ph thermalization time at low temperatures. We argue that the dramatic hundred-fold increase in the relaxation time at low temperatures in YbXCu_4 (and the lack of this quasi-divergence in the non-HF LuXCu_4 analogs) results from the largely increased DOS at E_f coupled with strongly suppressed scattering of heavy-electrons by phonons.

We thank Kaden Hazzard for the specific heat data. This work was supported by US DOE.

Figure Captions

Figure 1

Normalized photoinduced reactivity data (solid symbols) on (a) LuAgCu_4 and (b) YbAgCu_4 at various temperatures, together with best fits (see text) to the data – solid lines. The data have been vertically shifted for clarity.

Figure 2

a) Temperature dependence of relaxation time τ_r on LuAgCu_4 (solid circles), together with the TTM prediction (dashed line) and the result of the numerical simulation (open circles) including the non-thermal electron distribution. Inset: C_e (dashed) and C_1 (solid line) of LuAgCu_4 .

Figure 3

T-dependence of τ_r on YbAgCu_4 (solid circles), together with the corresponding τ_{ep} (multiplied by 10 for the presentation purpose) from simple TTM prediction (dashed line). Assuming suppressed scattering of heavy electrons by phonons due to $v_F < v_s$ condition, very good agreement with the data is obtained: open circles present the result of numerical simulation, while solid line presents the TTM simulation – see text. Inset: experimentally determined $C_e(T)$ for YbAgCu_4 (open circles), together with calculated $C_e(T)$ based on the model $D_e(\epsilon)$ – solid line. $C_e(T)$ of LuAgCu_4 (dashed line) is added for comparison.

-
- [1] V.V. Kabanov et al., Phys. Rev. B 59, 1497 (1999).
- [2] J.D. Em sar et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 800 (1999).
- [3] R.D. Averitt et al., Phys. Rev. B 63, 140502 (2001).
- [4] C.J. Stevens et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 2212 (1997).
- [5] A.C. Hewson, The Kondo Problem to Heavy Fermions, (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993), L.D. Eggiorgi, Rev. Mod. Phys. 71, 687 (1999).
- [6] J.L. Sarrao et al., Phys. Rev. B 59 6855 (1999), T.G.raf et al., Phys. Rev. B 51 15053 (1995).
- [7] R.H.M. Groeneveld, R. Sprik, A. Lagendijk, Phys. Rev. B 51 11433 (1995), and the references therein.
- [8] S.I. Anisim ov, B.L. Kapeliovich, T.L. Perel'm an, Sov. Phys. JETP 39, 375 (1974).
- [9] M.I. Kaganov, I.M. Lifshitz, L.V. Tanatarov, Sov. Phys. JETP 4, 173 (1957).
- [10] P.B. Allen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 59, 1460 (1987).
- [11] D. Mihailovic and J. Dem sar in Spectroscopy of Superconducting Materials, ed. E. Falques, (ACS Symposium Series 730, The American Chemical Society, Washington, D.C., 1999).
- [12] The anomalous rise-time dynamics may reflect some kind of a bottleneck in the e-e thermalization due to complicated low-energy electronic structure, e.g. hybridization gap.
- [13] H.E. Elsayed-Ali et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 58, 1212 (1987).
- [14] S.D. Brorson et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 64, 2172 (1990).
- [15] K.H. Ahn et al., unpublished.
- [16] The simulation shows that τ_{ep} does not depend on the details of the initial condition [7, 15].
- [17] While K_{ee} is not uniquely determined, fitting τ_{ep} to the experimental data requires $K_{ee}=K_{ep} < 7 \cdot 10^3$. If $K_{ee}=K_{ep} \sim 7 \cdot 10^3$ the e-e scattering rate is fast enough for the electron system to quickly reach thermal distribution, resulting in $\tau_{ep} \propto T^{-3}$ behavior predicted by the TTM. Indeed, the analysis of the time evolution of $df=dt$ shows that if $K_{ee}=K_{ep} = 700$, then $\tau_{ee} > \tau_{ep}$ at low temperatures [15].
- [18] J.M. Lawrence et al., Phys. Rev. B 63, 054427 (2001).
- [19] S. Zherlitsyn et al., Phys. Rev. B 60, 3148-3153 (1999).
- [20] The absolute values of the e-ph coupling in both numerical and the TTM simulations were smaller than the corresponding value for LuAgCu₄ by about half in terms of the scattering

matrix element.

Figure 1




Figure 2




Figure 3

