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#### Abstract

A new theory for dynam ics of concentrated colloidal suspensions and the colloidalglass transition is proposed. The starting point is the $m$ em ory function representation of the density correlation function. The $m$ em ory function can be expressed in term $s$ of a tim e-dependent pair-density correlation function. A $n$ exact, form al equation ofm otion for this function is derived and a factorization approxim ation is applied to its evolution operator. In this w ay a closed set of equations for the density correlation function and the mem ory function is obtained. The theory predicts an ergodicity breaking transition sim ilar to that predicted by the $m$ ode-coupling theory, but at a higher density.


PACS num bers: 82.70 D d, 64.70 Pf, 61.20 Lc

There has been a lot of interest in recent years in the theoretical description of dynam ics of concentrated suspensions and the colloidal glass transition [1]1]. It has been stim ulated by ingenious experim ents which provide detailed inform ation about $m$ icroscopic dynam ics of colloidal particles $\left[\begin{array}{l}{[1]}\end{array}\right]$. D ue to the abundance of experim ental data the colloidal glass transition has em erged as a favorite, m odel glass transition to be studied [3]

O ne of the conclusions of these studies is the acceptance of the m ode-coupling theory (MCT) as the theory for dynam ics of concentrated suspensions and their glass transition [4]. H istorically, this is som ew hat surprising since MCT was rst form ulated for simple uids w ith Newtonian dynam ics ['] adapted to colloidal system swith stochastic (B row nian) dynam ics [G]. On the other hand, basic approxim ations of C C are less severe for B row nian system s [ַַ1] .

MCT is a theory for correlation functions of slow variables, i.e. variables satisfying local conservation law s. For B row nian system sthere is only one such variable: localdensity. M C T's starting point is them em ory function
 $T$ he $m$ em ory function is expressed in term $s$ of a tim edependent pair-density (i.e. four-particle) correlation function evolving w th so-called pro jected dynam ics. For $B$ row nian system $s$ th is step is exact [101]. The central approxim ation of MCT is the factorization approxim ation in which the pair-density correlation function is replaced by a product of two tim e-dependent density correlation functions. As a result one obtains a closed, nonlinear equation of $m$ otion for the density correlation function. This equation predicts an ergodicity breaking transition that is identi ed w ith the colloidalglass transition. M C T has also been used to describe, e.g., linear viscoelasticity [ 1121$]$, dynam ics of sheared suspensions [12 $\left.1_{2}^{1}\right]$, and colloidal
 experim ental and sim ulational results [

In spite of these successes, M CT's problem s are well known $\left[\frac{4}{4}\right]$. Them ost im portant, fundam entalproblem is that once the factorization approxim ation is $m$ ade there is no obviousw ay to extended and/or im prove the theory.
$T$ his is $m$ ost acute for $B$ row nian system $s$ because there the density is the only slow mode and thus couplings to other m odes cannot be invoked! Furtherm ore, M C T system atically overestim ates so-called dynam ic feedback e ect. Thus, e.g., it underestim ates the glass transition volum e fraction for a B rownian hard-sphere system (by about 10\% $\left[\begin{array}{ll}{[1]}\end{array}\right]$ and overestim ates the glass transition tem perature for a Lennard-Jones $m$ ixture by a factor of 2 [ $\left[\begin{array}{c}-15]\end{array}\right]$ ). Finally, M C T cannot describe slow dynam ics in system S w ithout static correlations [1]

A way to im prove upon M CT would be to introduce $m$ any-particle dynam ic variables into the theory. Such an attem pt has been made for simple uids"[1. ל]; 边 was argued that these variables (essentially, pair-density uctuations) describe clusters of correlated particles. U nfortunately no quantitative results have been reported based on this interesting approach.

W e propose a di erent way to go beyond M CT.R ather than factorizing the pair-density correlation function, we derive an exact, form alequation ofm otion for it [1d]. The structure of this equation is very sim ilar to that of the equation of $m$ otion for the density correlation function; \pair" analogues of the usual frequency $m$ atrix and the irreducible $m$ em ory function can be identi ed. T he basic approxim ation of our theory is a factorization of the evolution operator of the pair-density correlation function. A fler this approxim ation we obtain a closed system of equations of $m$ otion for the density correlation function and them em ory function. T hese equations predict an ergodicity breaking transition; for a B row nian hard sphere system the glass transition volume fraction, $g$, is equal to :549 (note that ${ }_{g}^{\mathrm{M} \text { C T }}=: 525,{ }_{\mathrm{g}}^{\exp }: 58$ ).

O ur theory is sim ilar to M CT in that it relies upon an uncontrollable factorization approxim ation. In contrast to MCT, it uses this approxim ation one step later. Thus, e.g., our theory preserves the $m$ em ory function representation ofthe pair-density correlation function while M C T approxim ates the latter by a product of tw o density correlation functions. H ow ever, as usual in the liquid state theory, a priori these features do not guarantee the superiority of our approach as com pared to M CT.

O ur theory starts from the $m$ em ory function representation of the density correlation function, $F(k ; t)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(k ; t)=\frac{1}{N} h n(k) \exp (t) n(k) i: \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $N$ is the num ber of particles, $n(k)$ is the Fourier transform of the density, $n(k)={ }_{1} e^{i k r_{1}}$; and is the N -particle evolution operator, i.e. the Sm oluchow skioperator, $=D_{0}{ }^{\mathrm{P}}{ }_{1} \frac{\mathrm{C}}{\mathrm{Cr} r_{1}} \quad \frac{\mathrm{C}}{\varrho r_{1}} \quad \mathrm{~F}_{1} \quad\left[\mathrm{l}_{1}^{\prime}\right]$, w th D 0 being the di usion coe cient of an isolated B rownian particle,
$=1=\left(k_{B} T\right)$, and $F_{1}$ a force acting on particle l. Finally, $\mathrm{h}:$ ::i denotes the canonical ensem ble average; the equilibrium distribution stands to the right of the quantity being averaged, and all operators act on it as well as on everything else. U sually, the $m$ em ory function representation of the Laplace transform of the density correlation function, $F(k ; z)$, is w ritten as [2d]

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(k ; z)=\frac{S(k)}{z+\frac{D 0 k^{2}}{S(k)(1+M(k ; z))}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S(k)$ is the static structure factor and $M(k ; z)$ is the Laplace transform of the irreducible $m$ em ory function. We rew rite ( $\overline{2}$ ) in a form that will allow us to identify the pair analogues of the frequency $m$ atrix and the $m$ em ory function. W e write a $m$ em ory function expression for the Laplace transform ( $\mathrm{L} T$ ) of $\mathrm{F}-(\mathrm{k} ; \mathrm{t}$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{LT}(\mathbb{E}-(k ; t))= & k \quad 1+M(k ; z) O^{1}{ }^{1} O k \\
& \frac{1}{\mathrm{hn}(k) \mathrm{n}(\mathrm{k}) \mathrm{i}} \mathrm{~F}(\mathrm{k} ; \mathrm{z}): \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Here 1 denotes a unit 3d tensor, $O$ is de ned through
$\mathrm{k} \quad \mathrm{O} \mathrm{k}=\mathrm{hn}(\mathrm{k}) \mathrm{n}(\mathrm{k}) \mathrm{i}$ (note that $\mathrm{O}=\mathrm{hd}$ ), and $M(k ; z)$ is the Laplace transform of the current correlation function evolving w ith pro jected dynam ics,

$$
\begin{equation*}
M(k ; t)=j(k) \exp \left({ }^{i r r} t\right) j(k): \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $j(k)$ is a pro jected current density,

$$
\begin{equation*}
j(k)=\hat{Q}_{n} D_{0}^{X} \quad\left(i k+F_{1}\right) e^{i k r_{1}}: \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Eq. (드) $\hat{Q_{n}}=1 \quad \hat{P_{n}}$, and $\hat{P_{n}}$ is a projection operator on the density subspace,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{P_{n}}=:::_{q}^{X} n(q) \frac{1}{\ln (q) n(q) i} n(q):::: \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, in Eq. (4, (4) irr is the lone-particle irreducible Sm oluchow skioperator" ${ }_{2}{ }^{\prime}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { irr }=\hat{Q}_{n}^{X} \frac{@}{@ r_{1}} \hat{Q_{1}} \quad \frac{@}{@ r_{1}} \quad F_{1} \quad \hat{Q_{n}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{Q_{1}}=1 \quad \hat{P_{1}}$, and the projection operator $\hat{P_{1}}$ reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{P_{1}}=:::_{q}^{X} e^{\text {iq } r_{1}} \text { ihe } \mathrm{iq}_{1}:::: \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

To $m$ ake connection $w$ th the usual form of the $m$ em ory function representation we note that $k \quad o$ $\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{hn}(\mathrm{k}) \mathrm{n}(\mathrm{k}) \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{D}_{0} \mathrm{k}^{2}=\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{k})$ is the frequency m atrix and $\hat{k} \quad M(k ; z) O^{1} \quad \widehat{k}=M(k ; z)$; where $\hat{k}=k=k$, is the irreducible $m$ em ory function.

To obtain a convenient expression for $M(k ; t)$ in term $s$ of a pair-density correlation function we use the follow ing


$$
\begin{align*}
j(k)= & x \quad x \quad n_{2}\left(k_{1} ; k_{2}\right) \\
& \quad\left(k_{1} ; k_{2}\right)\left(k_{3} ; k_{4}\right)  \tag{9}\\
& g\left(k_{1} ; k_{2} ; k_{3} ; k_{4}\right) \mathrm{hn}_{2}\left(k_{3} ; k_{4}\right) j(k) i:
\end{align*}
$$

Here $n_{2}\left(k_{1} ; k_{2}\right)$ is the part of pair-density uctuations orthogonal to the one-particle density uctuations,

$$
\mathrm{n}_{2}\left(\mathrm{k}_{1} ; \mathrm{k}_{2}\right)={\hat{Q_{n}}}_{{ }_{16 \mathrm{~m}}^{\mathrm{X}} e^{i k_{1} \mathrm{r}_{1} i k_{2} r_{\mathrm{m}}}: ~}^{\text {: }}
$$

Furthem ore, in Eq. $\left(\underset{1}{(\underline{q})}\right.$ the sum s over $k_{i}<k_{i+1}$ are understood and $g$ denotes the inverse pair-density uctuations $m$ atrix (it is a pair analogue of $1=h n(k) n(k) i$ ),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{X} \\
& \left(\mathrm{k}_{3} ; \mathrm{k}_{4}\right)  \tag{11}\\
& \mathrm{k}_{1} ; \mathrm{k}_{5} \mathrm{k}_{2} ; \mathrm{k}_{6}:
\end{align*}
$$

 in term $s$ of the tim e-dependent pair-density correlation function evolving w th one-particle irreducible dynam ics,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{F}_{22}\left(\mathrm{k}_{1} ; \mathrm{k}_{2} ; \mathrm{k}_{3} ; \mathrm{k}_{4} ; \mathrm{t}\right)= \\
& \mathrm{n}_{2}\left(\mathrm{k}_{1} ; \mathrm{k}_{2}\right) \exp \left({ }^{\text {irr }} \mathrm{t}\right) \mathrm{n}_{2}\left(\mathrm{k}_{3} ; \mathrm{k}_{4}\right): \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

$R$ ather than factorizing $\mathrm{F}_{22}$, we use the pro jection operatorm ethod to derive an exact, form alequation ofm otion for this function. The derivation $w$ ill be given elsew here [22ㄹㄱ; here we present the structure of the nal form ula for the Laplace transform of the tim e-derivative of the pair-density correlation function, $\mathrm{F}_{22}$,

In Eq. (13) I denotes a unit 6 d tensor, $O$ and $M$ are block $m$ atrioes, e.g.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{k}_{1} ; \mathrm{k}_{2} ; \mathrm{k}_{3} ; \mathrm{k}_{4}\right)= \\
& \quad \mathrm{O}_{11}\left(\mathrm{k}_{1} ; \mathrm{k}_{2} ; \mathrm{k}_{3} ; \mathrm{k}_{4}\right) \mathrm{O}_{12}\left(\mathrm{k}_{1} ; \mathrm{k}_{2} ; \mathrm{k}_{3} ; \mathrm{k}_{4}\right)  \tag{14}\\
& \mathrm{O}_{21}\left(\mathrm{k}_{1} ; \mathrm{k}_{2} ; \mathrm{k}_{3} ; \mathrm{k}_{4}\right) \mathrm{O}_{22}\left(\mathrm{k}_{1} ; \mathrm{k}_{2} ; \mathrm{k}_{3} ; \mathrm{k}_{4}\right) \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

and the follow ing short-hand notation is used:

$$
\left(k_{1} ; k_{2}\right) \circ\left(k_{1} ; k_{2} ; q_{1} ; q_{2}\right) \quad \begin{aligned}
& q_{1} \\
& q_{2}
\end{aligned}={ }_{i ; j}^{x} k_{i} \quad Q_{j} \quad q:(15)
$$

$O$ and $M$ are the pair analogues of $O$ and $M$ (com pare Eqs. (3్B) and (1-3)); in particular

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\mathrm{k}_{1} ; \mathrm{k}_{2}\right) \circ\left(\mathrm{k}_{1} ; \mathrm{k}_{2} ; \mathrm{k}_{3} ; \mathrm{k}_{4}\right) \quad \begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{k}_{3} \\
\mathrm{k}_{4} \\
\mathrm{n}_{2}\left(\mathrm{k}_{1} ; \mathrm{k}_{2}\right) \quad{ }^{\text {irr }} \mathrm{n}_{2}\left(\mathrm{k}_{3} ; \mathrm{k}_{4}\right) ;
\end{array}=  \tag{20}\\
& \left.l_{0}\right) \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

and $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ are pair-current correlations evolving with a tw o-particle irreducible evolution operator ${ }^{2}$ irr , e.g.,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{M}_{11}\left(\mathrm{k}_{1} ; \mathrm{k}_{2} ; \mathrm{k}_{3} ; \mathrm{k}_{4} ; \mathrm{t}\right)= \\
& \quad \text { 立 }\left(\mathrm{k}_{1} ; \mathrm{k}_{2}\right) \exp \left({ }^{2 \text { irr }} \mathrm{t}\right) \dot{\text { i }}\left(\mathrm{k}_{3} ; \mathrm{k}_{4}\right) \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

where, e.g.,

$$
\dot{\dot{L}}\left(k_{3} ; k_{4}\right) i=\hat{Q}_{n_{2}} D_{0}^{X} \frac{@}{@ r_{1}} \hat{Q}_{1} e^{i k_{3} r_{1}+i k_{4} r_{m}}:
$$

Explicit form ulae for $O$ and $M$ (including de nitions of 2 irr and $\hat{\mathrm{Q}_{2}}$ ) will be given elsew here [ $\left.{ }_{2}^{2} \hat{Z}_{1}^{\prime}\right]$.
$T$ he $m$ ain approxim ation of our theory is factorization of the evolution operator for $\mathrm{F}_{22}$. W ithin this approxi$m$ ation the diagonalblocks of $O$ and $M$ are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{O}_{11}\left(\mathrm{k}_{1} ; \mathrm{k}_{2} ; \mathrm{k}_{3} ; \mathrm{k}_{4}\right)=\mathrm{O}_{22}\left(\mathrm{k}_{2} ; \mathrm{k}_{1} ; \mathrm{k}_{4} ; \mathrm{k}_{3}\right)= \\
& \mathrm{NOS}\left(\mathrm{k}_{2}\right) \mathrm{k}_{1} ; \mathrm{k}_{3} \mathrm{k}_{2} ; \mathrm{k}_{4} \text {; }  \tag{19}\\
& \mathrm{M}_{11}\left(\mathrm{k}_{1} ; \mathrm{k}_{2} ; \mathrm{k}_{3} ; \mathrm{k}_{4} ; \mathrm{t}\right)=\mathrm{M}_{22}\left(\mathrm{k}_{2} ; \mathrm{k}_{1} ; \mathrm{k}_{4} ; \mathrm{k}_{3} ; \mathrm{t}\right)= \\
& \text { NM (k } \left.\mathrm{k}_{1} ; \mathrm{t}\right) \mathrm{F}\left(\mathrm{k}_{2} ; \mathrm{t}\right)_{\mathrm{k}_{1} ; \mathrm{k}_{3} \mathrm{k}_{2} ; \mathrm{k}_{4}} \text {; }
\end{align*}
$$

and the o -diagonal ones vanish. C onsistently, we also factorize $g$ and $F_{22}(t=0)$.

U sing $\left(1 \overline{1}_{1}^{1}\left(\underline{1}\left(\underline{2} 0_{1}^{1}\right)\right.\right.$ we can express $F_{22}$ in term $s$ of the density correlation function and the $m$ em ory function (note that $F_{22}$ does not factorize for $t>0$ ). Substituting $F_{22}$ into the form ula for the $m$ em ory function and using convolution approxim ation for static vertices
where n is the density and $\mathrm{c}(\mathrm{k})$ is the direct correlation
 of density correlations and the $m$ em ory function.

Eqs. (2, $\overline{2}_{1}$ ) and (211) predict an ergodicity breaking transition. In the non-ergodic regime $F(k ; t)$ has a nonzero long-time lim it, $\lim _{t!} 1 F(k ; t)=f(k) S(k)$, where $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{k})$ is called a non-ergodicity param eter. It follows

$$
\frac{\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{k})}{1 \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{k})}={\frac{\mathrm{n}}{2 \mathrm{k}^{2}}}^{\mathrm{Z}} \frac{\mathrm{dk}_{1} \mathrm{dk}_{2}}{(2)^{3}} \quad\left(\mathrm{k} \quad \mathrm{k}_{1} \quad \mathrm{k}_{2}\right) \hat{\mathrm{k}}
$$

O ne should note that the right-hand-side of an analogous
from Eq. (즌) that in this regim e also the $m$ em ory function has a non-zero long-time $\lim$ it, $\lim _{t!} 1 \mathrm{M}(k ; t)=$ $m(k) D \mathrm{D}_{0} \mathrm{k}^{2}=\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{k})$, and that $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{k})$ and $\mathrm{m}(\mathrm{k})$ are related by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f(k)}{1 \quad f(k)}=m(k): \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

$U$ sing $(21-2 \pi)$ we get a self-consistent equation for $f(k)$ :


FIG. 1: N on-ergodicity param eter. Lines: theoretical predictions at the ergodicity breaking transition; solid line: our theory, $g=: 549$; dashed line: MCT, ${ }_{9}^{\text {M C T }}=: 525$. Sym bols: experim ental data taken at $=: 563$ [14].
form ; the di erence is that w thin M CT the right-handside is a quadratic functional of $f(k)$ 察] whereas in the present approach it includes term sof all orders in $f(k)$.

For low enough densities Eq. (23') has only trivial solutions (i.e. $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{k})=0$ ). For the hard-sphere interaction a non-trivial solution appears at $n_{g} \quad{ }^{3}=6=\mathrm{g}=: 549$. Q ualitatively, the ergodicity breaking transition is sim ilar to that predicted by MCT: $f(k)$ has a jump at the transition. A lso, $f(k)$ at the transition is sim ilar to that


The factorization approxim ation proposed here is the sim plest possible one. There are two ways to im prove upon it. First, one could try to include in an approxi$m$ ate way the o -diagonalblocks of M. To this end one could express them in term sofa triple-density correlation function and then factorize this function into a product of three density correlation functions. Second, since the frequency $m$ atrix involves only static correlations, one could try to include it in a m ore sophisticated way. For exam ple, one could include tw o-particle dynam ics exactly [1] 운]. The second extension could describe glassy dynam ics in system s w thout static correlations [16].

To sum $m$ arize, we proposed a new theory for dynam ics of concentrated suspensions and the colloidal glass transition. The theory goes beyond M C T in that inchudes, in an approxim ate way, tim e-dependent pair-density uctuations. In contrast to an earlier approach [1] ], the present one uses pair-density correlation function evolving w ith one-particle irreducible dynam ics. The new theory predicts an ergodicity breaking transition sim ilar to that of M C T , but at a higher density.
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