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A bstract
The paper is devoted to the e ects of superconducting pairing in sm all metallic grains. It tums out that at strong superconducting coupling and in the lim it of large $T$ houless conductance one can explicitly determ ine the low energy spectrum of the problem. W e start w th the strong coupling lim it and develop a system atic expansion in pow ers of the inverse coupling constant for the $m$ any-particle spectrum of the system. The strong coupling expansion is based on the form al exact solution of the $R$ ichardson $m$ odeland converges for realistic values of the coupling constant. W e use this expansion to study the low energy excitations of the system, in particular energy and spin gaps in the $m$ any-body spectrum.

## 1 Introduction

Since mid 1990's, when Ralph, B lack, and $T$ inkham succeeded in resolving the discrete excitation spectrum ofnanoscale superconducting $m$ etallic grains tī], there has been considerablee ort to describe theoretically superconducting correlations in such grains (see e.g. "ī] for a review). H ow ever, very few explicit analytical results relevant for the low energy physics of superconducting grains have been obtained, since, in contrast to bulk $m$ aterials, the discreetness of single electron levels plays an im portant role. In this paper we address this problem in the regim e of well developed superconducting correlations.

The electron \{electron interactions in weakly disordered grainsw ith negligible spin \{orbit interaction are described by a sim ple H am iltonian [1]

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{H}_{\text {unív. }}=\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{BCS}} \quad \mathrm{JS}(\mathrm{~S}+1)  \tag{1}\\
& H_{B C S}={ }_{i ;}^{X} \quad C_{i}^{y} C_{i} \quad d_{i ; j=1}^{\mathrm{XN}^{\mathrm{N}}} C_{i \#}^{y} C_{i n}^{y} C_{j "} C_{j \#} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $i_{i}$ are single electron energy levels, $d$ is the $m$ ean level spacing, $c_{i}^{y}$ and $c_{i}$ are creation and annihilation operators for an electron on level i, $S$ and $N$ are the total spin and number of levels respectively. There are only two sam ple\{dependent coupling constants: and $J$ that correspond to superconducting correlations and spin \{exchange interactions respectively. Throughout the present paper, for the sake ofbrevity, we consider only the less trivial case of ferrom agnetic exchange, $J>0$.

 very few explicit results have been derived and $m$ ost studies resorted to num erics based on the exact solution. The purpose of the present paper is to rem edy this situation and to build a sim ple and intuitive picture of the low energy physics of isolated grains in the superconducting phase.

It is well known that physical observables of a superconductor are nonanalytic in the coupling constant at $=0$. On the other hand, the opposite lim it of large tums out to be regular and relatively sim ple. H ere we use the exact solution to obtain an explicit expansion in powers of $1=$ for the ground state and low lying excitation energies.

W e will distinguish between two types of excitations: ones that preserve the num ber of C ooper pairs (the num ber of doubly occupied onbitals) and ones that do not. O nly the latter excitations are capable of carrying nonzero spin. It tums out that for $J=0$ to the low est order in $1=$ both types of excitations are gaped w th the sam e gap N d. W e com pute explicitly the tw o gaps to the next nonzero order in $1=$ and nd the gap for pair\{breaking excitations to be larger. T he di erence betw een the tw o gaps tums out to be of the order of $d^{2}=$, where $d$ is the $m$ ean single particle level spacing and is the BCS energy gap, ie. the di erence vanishes in the therm odynam ical lim it. W e were not able to detem ine the convergence criteria for the strong coupling expansion exactly, how ever we present evidence that the expansion converges up to realistic values of between c1 1 and c2 $1=$.

Ham iltonian ( $\overline{2}$ ) was studied extensively in 1960's in the context of pair correlations in nuclear $m$ atter (see e.g. [i] ) . A straightforw ard but im portant observation was that singly occupied levels do not participate in pair scattering $\left[\frac{10}{\bar{\theta}}\right]$. Hence, the labels of these levels are good quantum num bers and their contribution to the total energy is only through the kinetic and the spin \{exchange term $s$
 nding the spectrum of the BCS H am iltonian (2) on the subspace of either em pty or doubly occupied \{ \unblocked" orbitals. The latter problem tums out to be solvable [6]] by B ethe's A nsatz. The spectrum is obtained from the follow ing set of algebraic equations for unknown param eters $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{i}}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{d}+\sum_{j=1}^{x^{n}} \frac{2}{E_{i} \quad E_{j}}={ }_{k=1}^{x^{n}} \frac{1}{E_{i} \quad 2_{k}} \quad i=1 ;::: ; m \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $m$ is the num ber of pairs and $n$ is the num ber of unblocked orbitals $k$. Bethe's A nsatz equations $(\underline{3} \overline{\overline{3}})$ for the BCS H am iltonian ( $\overline{2})$ ) are com $m$ only referred to as R ichardson's equations. The eigenvalues of the fill H am ittonian ( $\overline{1}$ (1) are known to be related to R ichardson param eters, $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{i}}$, via

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=X_{i=1}^{X^{m}} E_{i}+{ }_{B}^{X} \quad B \quad J S(S+1) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ${ }^{P}{ }_{B}$ в is a sum over singly occupied \{ \blocked" orbitals and $S$ is the total spin of blocked orbitals (i.e. the total spin of the system ).

BCS results $\left.{ }_{[19}^{9}\right]$ for the energy gap, condensation energy, excitation spectrum, etc. are recovered from exact solution ( $\mathbf{l}_{1}^{-1}$ ) in the them odynam ical lim it $[10 \overline{1}]$. T he proper lim it is obtained by taking the num ber of levels, $N$, to in nity, so that $N d!2 D=$ const, $m=n=2=N=2$, where $D$ is an ultraviolet cuto usually identi ed w ith D ebye energy. In particular, for equally spaced levels i, the energy gap and the ground state energy in the them odynam ical lim it are

$$
\begin{equation*}
()=\frac{D}{\sinh (1=)} \quad E_{g r}^{\mathrm{BCS}}=\mathrm{D} \mathrm{~m} \text { coth } 1= \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the BCS H am iltonian (2, (2) contains only three energy scales: D, , and d, there are only two independent dim ensionless param eters: N , and. T he perturbation theory in sm all breaks dow n in
the superconducting state as is already suggested by BCS form ulas $(\underset{-1}{(5)})$ ．Thus，it is natural to consider the opposite lim it of large and treat the kinetic term in Ham iltonian（īi）as a perturbation．

The paper is organized as follow s．In Section 2 we consider the lim it ！ 1 ，which is the zeroth order of our expansion．In this lim it one can determ ine the spectrum straightforw ardly by representing
 at $J=0$ excitations $w$ ith nonzero spin to the lowest order in $1=$ have the sam e gap（the spin gap） as spinless excitations．Next，we rederive the sam e results from $R$ ichardson＇s equations（⿳亠二口刂土曷）and also show that in the lim it ！ 1 the roots ofR ichardson＇s equations are zeroes of Laguerre polynom ials．

In Section 3 Bethe＇s Ansatz equations（ $(\overline{3})$ ）are used to expand the ground state and low \｛lying excitation energies in series in $1=. W$ e w rite dow n several low est orders explicitly and give recurrence relations that relate the $k$ th order term to preceding term s ．These relations can be used to readily expand up to any reasonably high order in 1＝．F inally，we com pute the spin gap to the next nontrivial order in $1=$ and dem onstrate that at $J=0$ the rst excited state alw ays have zero spin．

## 2 The strong coupling lim it

In this section we analyze the low est order of the strong coupling expansion．
As the strength of the coupling constant increases，the spectrum of the BCS H am iltonian（2） undergoes dram atic changes as com pared to the spectrum ofnoninteracting H am iltonian $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{BC}}(=0)$ ． $F$ irst，there is a region of sm all where the superconducting coupling causes only sm allperturbations in the electronic system．This region shrinks to zero in the them odynam ical lim it and is roughly determ ined by the condition（ ）d［1 1 theory in breaks down $[1 \overline{1} 1]$ and strong superconducting correlations develop in the system ．A representative energy level diagram is show $n$ on $F$ ig 1．In the crossover regin e the spectrum displays num erous level crossings which re ect the break down of perturbation theory in．The fact that the crossings occur for random single electron levels i，i．e．in the absence of any spatial sym $m$ etry，is a characteristic feature of quantum integrability［īī］．

The low est order of the strong coupling expansion is obtained by neglecting the kinetic energy term in the BCS H am iltonian（2ㄴ）．This lim it can in principle be realized in a grain of an ideal regular shape ［15］．In this case the single electron levels are highly degenerate and if the energy distance between degenerate $m$ any－body levels is $m$ uch larger than $d$ ，only the partially lled Ferm i level is relevant． $T$ hen，the kinetic term in（2，）is sim ply a constant proportional to the total num ber of particles and can be set to zero．

A $n$ e cient way to obtain the spectrum of H am iltonian（1）in the strong coupling lim it is by repre－ senting the interaction term in the BCS H am iltonian in term sof A nderson pseudospin－1／2 operators惊 $\overline{1} 1]$ ．

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{i}^{z}=\frac{c_{i "}^{y} C_{i n}+c_{i \#}^{y} c_{i \#}}{2} \quad K_{i}^{+}=\left(K_{i}^{+}\right)^{y}=c_{i^{\prime \prime}}^{y} c_{i \#} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The pseudospin is de ned only on unblocked levels，where it has allproperties of spin－1／2，i．e．proper com $m$ utation relations and de nite value of $K_{i}^{2}=3=4$ ．

The interaction term in the BCSHam ittonian（2̄）takes a simple form in term sof $\mathbb{K}_{i}$ ．

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{B C S}^{1}=d K^{+} K=d K^{h}(K+1)\left(K^{z}\right)^{2}+K^{z^{i}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 1: Results of exact num ericaldiagonalization. E nergies ofBCS H am iltonian (2̄) form = 4 pairs and $\mathrm{n}=8$ unblocked single particle levels i versus coupling constant. A ll energies are $m$ easured in units of the $m$ ean level spacing $d$. The single particle levels $i$ are com puter generated random num bers. A s the strength of the coupling increases, the levels coalesce into narrow well separated rays (bands). The width of these bands vanishes in the lim it ! 1 (see Eq. ( $\overline{4} \overline{\bar{T}} \bar{i}_{1}$ ) and the discussion around it). Slopes of the rays and the num ber of states in each ray are given by Eq. ( ground state is nondegenerate, while the rst group of excited states contains $n \quad 1=7$ states. N ote also the level crossings for 1 (see the insert on the above graph).
where $K={ }^{P}{ }_{i} K_{i}$ is the total pseudospin of the unblocked levels. The $z$-projection of the total pseudospin according to ( ( $\overline{\mathrm{G}}$ ) is $\mathrm{K}^{\mathrm{z}}=\mathrm{m} \quad \mathrm{n}=2$, where m and n are the total number of pairs and unblocked (either doubly occupied or em pty) levels respectively. It is sim ple to check that replacing a doubly occupied level w th two singly occupied ones does not a ect the di erence $m \quad n=2$. A s a result,

$$
\begin{equation*}
K^{z}=m \quad \frac{n}{2}=M \quad \frac{N}{2} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M$ is the $m$ axim um possible num ber of pairs and $N$ is the total num ber of levels respectively. $H$ ence, the last two term $s$ in ( $\bar{i}_{1}$ ) yield a constant independent of the num ber of blodked levels. This constant can be set to zero by an overall shift of all energies. Therefore, the fiull H am iltonian ( $1 \overline{1}$ ) in the strong coupling lim it is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{univ}}^{1}=\mathrm{dK}(\mathrm{~K}+1) \quad \mathrm{JS}(\mathrm{~S}+1) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since there are $n$ pseudospin $-1 / 2 s$, the total pseudospin $K$ takes values between $\mathrm{jk}^{2}$ jand $n=2$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{n}{2} \quad k \quad \text { jn } \quad \frac{n}{2} j \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

while the total spin $S$ ranges from $0(1 / 2)$ to $M \quad m(M \quad m+1=2)$ for even (odd) total num ber of electrons. For the sake of brevity, let us from now on consider only the case of even total num ber of electrons. Then, the sum of the total spin and pseudospin is constrained by

$$
\begin{equation*}
K+S \quad \frac{N}{2} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he degree of degeneracy $D(K ; S ; n)$ of each level is $[\underline{I} \overline{-1}]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.D(K ; S)=\frac{n!(2 K+1)}{\left(\frac{n}{2}+K+1\right)!\left(\frac{n}{2}\right.} \quad K\right)!\frac{(N \quad n)!(2 S+1)}{\left(\frac{N}{2}+S+1\right)!\left(\frac{N-n}{2} \quad S\right)!} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

 possible spin, $S=0$, provided that $d>J$ (recall that we consider only positive values of the exchange coupling $J$ ).

There are two ways to create an elem entary excitation. First, one can decrease the totalpseudospin $K$ while keeping the total num ber of pairs $M$ unchanged. The second type of excitations corresponds to breaking pairs and blocking som e of the single electron levels. These excitations can contribute to the total spin of the grain $S$. They also a ect the pseudospin since its maxim al value $K_{m a x}=n=2$ is determ ined by the num ber of unblocked levels. The lowest\{lying excitations correspond to $\mathrm{K}=$ $\mathrm{N}=2$ 1, which can be achieved both w th and w ithout breaking a single C ooper pair. Therefore, we nd from ( ${ }_{(9)}^{9}$ ) that the pair\{conserving excitations are separated by a gap pair $=\mathrm{N}$ d while pair\{breaking excitations can low er their energy by having nonzero spin $S$. Since the $m$ axim um value of $S$ for two unpaired electrons is $S=1$, we get spin $=\mathrm{N}$ d 2 J .

In the opposite case $J>d, K=0$ and the total spin has the $m$ axim um possible value $S=M$ in the ground state, i.e. $J=d$ is the threshold of Stoner instability in the strong coupling lim it.

The above results can be obtained directly from exact solution (Зָ) . M oreover, individualparam eters $\mathrm{E}_{i}$ can also be determ ined and, since eigenstates of the BCS H am iltonian (2َ) are given in term S of $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{i}}$ (see [-ब-1] $]$, this can be used to calculate various correlation functions in the strong coupling lim it.

The value of the total pseudospin $K$ tums out to be related to the number, $r$, of those roots of equations $(\underset{1}{(3)})$ which diverge in the lim it ! 1 (see bellow). To the low est order in $1=$ we can neglect single electron levels $i$ in Eqs. (3) for these roots

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{d}+X_{j=1}^{x^{0}} \frac{2}{E_{i} \quad E_{j}}=\frac{n^{0}}{E_{i}} \quad i=1 ;::: ; r \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $n^{0}=n+2 r \quad 2 m$ and sum $m$ ation excludes $j=i$. For the rem aining $m \quad r$ roots we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{k=1}^{X^{n}} \frac{1}{E_{i} \quad 2_{k}}=0 \quad i=r+1 ;::: ; m \quad r \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

M ultiplying each equation in ( $\overline{1} \overline{3} \overline{1})$ ) by $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{i}}$ and adding all Eqs . ( $\left.\overline{1} \overline{3} \overline{1}\right)$, we obtain the eigenenergies of the BCS H am ittonian (느) for n unblocked levels and m pairs

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=\quad d r(n \quad 2 m+r+1) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

C om paring this to $\left(\overline{\bar{T}_{1}}\right)$ and $\left(\overline{8_{1}}\right)$, we nd the relationship betw een $r$ and $K$

$$
\begin{equation*}
r=k+m \quad n=2 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the totalpseudospin, $K$, is constrained by $(\overline{1} \overline{\underline{Q}})$, the num ber, $r$, of diverging $R$ idhardson param eters, $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{i}}$, is also constrained

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
2 m & n & r & m & \text { if } n<2 m \\
0 & r & m & & \text { if } n \quad 2 m \tag{17}
\end{array}
$$

Bellow in this Section we show that Eqs. (1]ī) have a unique solution. A s a result, the degeneracy



Finally, Eqs. (1] $\overline{1}$ in) can be solved to determ ine param eters $E_{i}$ to the lowest order in $1=$ (see also
 $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{i}}=(\mathrm{d})$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{Y}}\left(\mathrm{X} \quad \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) ; \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

U sing

$$
\lim _{x!x_{i}} \frac{f^{\infty}(x)}{f^{0}(x)}={ }_{j \in i}^{x} \frac{2}{x_{i} \quad x_{j}}
$$

one can rew rite Eqs. (1̄3]) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(x_{i}\right)=0 \text { where } F(x)=x f^{0}(x) \quad x f^{\infty}(x)+n^{0} f^{0}(x) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $F(x)$ and $f(x)$ are two polynom ials of the same degree $r w$ th the same roots $x_{i}$, they are proportional to each other. The coe cient of proportionally is the ratio of coe cients at $x{ }^{r}$ and, according to $(\underline{1}(\underline{1})$ ), is equal to $r$. Therefore, $F(x)=r f(x)$, or equivalently

$$
\begin{equation*}
x f^{\infty} \quad\left(x+n^{0}\right) f^{0}+r f=0 \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The only polynom ial solution to this equation is the Laguerre polynom ial $L_{r}{ }^{1} n^{0}$ ．Thus，to the order the nonvanishing roots of $R$ ichardson＇s equations（ $\mathbf{了}_{\overline{3}}$ ）in the strong coupling lim it are determ ined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{r}{ }^{1} n^{0} \frac{E_{i}}{d}=0 \quad n^{0}=n+2 r \quad 2 m \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r$ is the num ber of nonvanishing roots to the order．This num ber and the totalpseudospin are related by（ $\overline{1} \overline{\bar{\sigma}})$ ．The ground state has $r=m$ ，the rst degenerate group of excited states corresponds to $r=m \quad 1$ ，etc．The constraint $r \quad 2 m \quad n$ in（17 $\overline{1}_{1}$ ）follow from the requirem ent that the roots of
 param eters $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{i}}$ are com plex for even values of $r$ ，while for odd $r$ there is a single real（negative）root． $T$ he fact that the roots of（ 1 solution of $R$ ichardson＇s equations．

## 3 The Strong Coupling Expansion

Now we tum to the expansion in powers of $1=$ around the strong coupling lim it．The evolution of energy levels $w$ th can be viewed as a m otion of one\｛dim ensional particles whose positions are the
 initial conditions at $=0$ ．As the coupling increases beyond the crossover between the weakly perturbed Ferm i gas and the regim e of strong superconducting correlations，the particles gradually loose the $m$ em ory of their initial positions and eventually the spectrum becom es independent of ${ }_{i}$ ． In this lim 斗，the excited levels coalesce into highly degenerate rays with a universal slope（see Fig． 1 and Eq．（1］ 1 larger to sm aller．O ne expects this evolution to be nonsingular until we com e close to the level crossings（see the beginning of the previous section），i．e．the crossover region，where both expansions in and in $1=$ break down．

A quantitative estim ate of the convergence of $1=$ expansion can be obtained by considering various lim iting cases．In the them odynam ical lim it the ground state energy is given by BCS expression（ This lim it is equivalent to keeping only the tem sof order $N$ in the $1=$ expansion．We observe from BCS expressions（9）that the expansion in $1=$ converges for $>1=$ ．In the opposite case of one pair and two levels， $2 \mathrm{M}=\mathrm{N}=2$ ，the ground state energy can be com puted exactly by e．g．solving Eqs．（З్ర）w ith the result

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{g r}^{2}=d\left(+p \overline{1+{ }^{2}}\right) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case the expansion of the ground state energy（ $\overline{2} \overline{2}$ ）in $1=$ converges for $>1$ ．In general，we believe that strong coupling expansion yields convergent rather than asym ptotic series $w$ th the radius of convergence betw een c1 1 and c2 $1=$ ．

Bellow in this Section we develop an e cient algorithm for caloulating the low energy spectrum to any order in $1=. W$ hile the pseudospin representation detailed in the previous Section provides a sim ple and intuitive description of the strong coupling lim 斗，the usual perturbation theory becom es unm anageable beyond the rst two orders in 1＝．An approach based on Bethe＇s Ansatz equations， on the other hand，tums out to be well suited for the purposes of system atic expansion．

### 3.1 The ground state

H ere we expand the ground state energy in $1=. \mathrm{R}$ ichardson's equations ( $(\overline{3})$ ) lead to recurrence relations for the coe cients of the expansion. From these relations the ground state energy can be com puted to any reasonably high order in $1=$, e.g. we w rite down the energy up to $1={ }^{7}$. A s it wasmentioned above we take the num ber ofelectrons to be even and consider only the case when $d>J$. A swe have seen in the previous Section, this inequality ensures that in the ground state all levels are unblocked and all electrons are paired, i.e R ichardson's equations ( $\left.{ }_{(3)}^{\bar{\prime}}\right)$ should be solved at

$$
m=M \quad n=N
$$

W e begin by introducing a convenient set of variables

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{p} \sum_{k=1}^{X^{N}}\left(2_{k}\right)^{p} \quad p \quad X_{i=1}^{X^{M}} \frac{1}{E_{i}^{p}} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Variables p can be expanded into series in the inverse coupling constant .

$$
\begin{equation*}
p=x_{k=0}^{x^{\mathcal{A}}} a_{p}^{k} \quad k \quad p \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ext, we rew rite R ichardson's Eqs. ( $\overline{3})$ in a form $m$ ore suitable for our punpose. W e divide the equation for $E_{i}$ by $E_{i}^{p} w$ th $p \quad 1$ and add all $M$ equations for each $p$. Expanding $1=\left(1 \quad 2_{k}=E_{i}\right)$ in $2_{k}=E_{i}$ and using an identity

$$
{ }_{i>j}^{x} \frac{2}{E_{i} \quad E_{j}} \frac{1}{E_{i}^{p}} \frac{1}{E_{j}^{p}}=p_{p+1}^{l} X_{k=1}^{p} p_{k+1 k}^{p}
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Egr}\left(M ; N ; S_{p}\right)={ }_{i=1}^{X_{i}} E_{i}= \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

N ow plugging $p={ }^{P} \underset{k=0}{ } a_{p}^{k} \quad k \quad p$ into the last equation and setting the coe cient at $\quad h \quad p 1$ to zero, we obtain
$N$ ote that from $0=M$ it follow $s a_{0}^{0}=M$ and $a_{0}^{k}=0$ for $k \quad 1$. The values of $a_{0}^{k}$ serve as boundary
 depend on as expected from their de nition (2-1). C oe cients a ${ }_{p}^{0}$ determ ine $p$ for the ground state
to the low est nonvanishing order in $1=$ and therefore can be expressed in term s of zeroes of Laguerre


$$
\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{p}}^{0} \mathrm{~d}^{\mathrm{p}}=(1)^{\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{p}}}} \frac{\mathrm{dx}^{\mathrm{p}}}{\log \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{M}}^{1}}{ }_{\mathrm{N}=0}
$$

A coording to Eq. (2-15) in order to determ ine the ground state energy to order $1={ }^{j}$ one has to calculate the rst $j p+2$ coe cients $a_{p}^{k}$ in the expansion of $p$. To do this, we rst compute $a_{p}^{0}$ forp $j+1$, then $a_{p}^{1}$ forp $j$, then $a_{p}^{2}$ forp $j 1$, etc. In other words, we start from $a_{1}^{0}$ elem ent ofm atrix $a_{p}^{h}$ and use recurrence relations $\left(\underline{2} \bar{Z}_{1}\right)$ to $m$ ove down the rst colum $n$ of this $m$ atrix until $a_{j+1}^{0}$, then to $m$ ove down the second colum $n$ from $a_{1}^{1}$ to $a_{j}^{1}$ etc.

W hile we were not $a b l e$ to express $a_{p}^{k}$ in term $s$ of $p$ and $k$ explicitly, the above procedure allow s for an e cient calculation, e.g. using $M$ athem atica, of the ground state energy to any given order. For exam ple, the ground state energy to order $1={ }^{2}$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Egr}\left(\mathbb{M} ; N ; S_{p}\right)= & \left.M(\mathbb{N} M+1) d+\frac{s_{1} M}{N} \quad S_{2} \frac{s_{1}^{2}}{N} \frac{M(\mathbb{N}}{N^{2}(\mathbb{N}} 1\right) \\
& S_{2} \frac{s_{1}^{2}}{N} \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

From Eq. $(\underline{2} \bar{q})$ one can $m$ ake several observations.

1. For $N=M$ the rst two term $s$ give the exact energy. This is seen by noting that $N=M$ $m$ eans that all levels are doubly occupied, i.e. there is only one state. A veraging $H$ am iltonian ( this state gives the exact energy of the system which tums out to be equal to the rst two term $s$ in (2, $\bar{Z})$ ). Therefore, the rem aining term s in the $1=$ series for the ground state energy are proportional to $\mathrm{N} \quad \mathrm{M}$.
2. W hen $\mathrm{N}=2 \mathrm{M}$, all term s w th even nonzero powers of $1=$ vanish. T his can be dem onstrated,


$$
\begin{equation*}
H(=0)={ }_{i=1}^{X_{N}} 2_{i} K_{i}^{z}+\frac{S_{1}}{2} \quad H_{0}+\frac{S_{1}}{2} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

and noting that $N=2 M$ correspond to zero $z-$ projection of the total pseudospin. In this case, by W igner $£$ ckart's theorem $\left.{ }_{2}^{2} \overline{3}\right], K_{i}^{z}$ has nonzero $m$ atrix elem ents only fortransitions $K!K 1$, while $m$ atrix elem ents for transitions $K$ ! $K$ are equal to zero. The term $s w$ ith even nonzero powers of $1=$ vanish because they contain at least one matrix elem ent of $H_{0}$ from $(\underline{2} \overline{9})$ between states w ith the sam $\mathrm{e} K$. These term s are therefore proportional to $N \quad 2 \mathrm{M}$. Even term S also vanish when $i$ are distributed sym $m$ etrically w ith respect to zero. H ence, they re ect an asym $m$ etry in the distribution of ${ }_{i}$. For exam ple, the ground state energy for $N=2 M$ and equidistant single electron levels distributed sym m etrically between $\quad D=(m \quad 1=2) d$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
& E_{0}^{2 m}=\left.D m \frac{2 m+2}{2 m}+\frac{2 m+1}{3(2 m} 1\right) \\
&\left.\frac{16 m^{2}+22 m+7}{180(2 m} 1\right)^{2}  \tag{30}\\
&\left.\frac{128 m^{3}+380 m^{2}+344 m+93}{7560(2 m} 1\right)^{3}+O\left(1={ }^{7}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

O ne can check that in the lim it m! 1 this expression reproduces the BCS result ( $\underset{-1}{ }$ ) for the ground state energy up to term soforder $1={ }^{7}$, while form $=1$ we recover ( $(\underline{2} \overline{2}) . \mathrm{N}$ ote also that the case $\mathrm{p}=\mathrm{N}$ in Eq. ( $\overline{2} \overline{\overline{1}})$ ) does not seem to be problem atic as at $p=N$ the factors of $1=(\mathbb{N} \quad$ p) in Eqs. $(\underline{2} \overline{8}, r, 13 \overline{0} \overline{0})$ are alw ays com pensated by a factor of ( $\mathbb{N} \quad$ p) in the num erator of the corresponding term .
3. R ichardson's equations (3) rem ain invariant if single electron levels $k$ are shifted by and param eters $E_{i}$ are shifted by 2. The total energy $E={ }_{i=1}^{M} E_{i}$ then shifts by $2 M . N$ ote that this shift is entirely contained in the second term of expansion ( $(\overline{2} \overline{-1})$. Thus, the rem aining com binations of $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{k}}$ at each power of $1=$ are $\backslash$ shiftless". For exam ple,

$$
s_{2} \frac{s_{1}^{2}}{N}!s_{2}+2 s_{1}+N^{2} \quad\left(s_{1}^{2}+2 N \quad s_{1}+N^{2}{ }^{2}\right)=N=s_{2} \quad \frac{s_{1}^{2}}{N}
$$

### 3.2 Excited states

Let us now expand energies of low \{lying excitations in 1=. T hese expansions tum out to be analogous to that for the ground state energy. $W$ e begin $w$ th the excitations that conserve the num ber of pairs and then tum to the sim pler case of pair\{breaking excitations.

It was dem onstrated in Section 2 that for $d>J$ low est pair\{ conserving excitations correspond to total pseudospin $K=N=2 \quad 1$ and total spin $S=0$, where $N$ is the total num ber of single particle levels. The num ber of such states according to degeneracy form ula ( (ī2) is N 1 and their energy is
dK $(K+1)$ according to $(\underset{-9}{(9)}) \cdot W$ e also know from Section 2 that for these states one of param eters $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{i}}$ (say $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{M}}$ ) rem ains nite as ! 1 , while all others diverge in this lim it.

To distinguish $E_{M}$ from the rest of param eters $E_{i}$, we denote it by . $R$ ichardson's equations ( $(\overline{1})$ ) read

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{1}{d}+{ }_{j=1}^{M_{k}{ }^{1} 0} \frac{2}{E_{i} E_{j}}={ }_{k=1}^{X^{N}} \frac{1}{E_{i} 2 k} \quad \frac{2}{E_{i}} \quad i<M  \tag{31}\\
\frac{1}{d}{ }_{j=1}^{M_{k}{ }^{1} \frac{2}{E_{j}}={ }_{k=1}^{N_{k}} \frac{1}{2 k} \quad i=M} \tag{32}
\end{gather*}
$$




$$
\begin{align*}
& { }^{M}{ }^{1} E_{i}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
M & 1)(\mathbb{N}
\end{array}\right) d^{X^{3}}\left(S_{k} \quad 2^{k}\right)_{k} \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

where now $p={ }_{p}^{\mathrm{M}} \underset{\mathrm{i}=1}{1} 1=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{p}} \cdot \mathrm{W}$ e see that replacem ents
 states are

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\text {pair }}={ }_{i=1}^{M_{x} \quad E_{i}+E_{M}=E g r}\left(M \quad 1 ; N \quad 2 ; S_{p} \quad 2^{p}\right)+ \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us also rew rite Eq. (3̄2̄) for as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}=1}^{\mathrm{N}} \frac{1}{2_{k}}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{~d}} \quad 2_{1} \quad 2^{2} \quad 2^{2} 2^{3} \quad::: \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

 root lying betw een $2_{\mathrm{k}}$ and $2_{\mathrm{k}+1}$. To the low est order in $1=$ this equation reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{k=1}^{N} \frac{1}{02_{k}}=0 \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eqs. $(\overline{3} \overline{3} \overline{4})$ and $\left(\begin{array}{ll}\overline{3} \bar{j} \overline{1})\end{array}\right)$ are to be solved iteratively order by order in $1=$. The procedure is sm ilar to that for the ground state, e.g., recurrent relations analogous to ( $\left(2 \overline{1} \overline{1}_{1}\right)$ can also be derived. T he only di erence is that the coe cients at powers of $1=$ now depend also on 0 , which has to be obtained from ( $\overline{3} \overline{-} \overline{-})$ ). For exam ple, the excitation energies ( $(\overline{3} \overline{-} \overline{1})$ to the rst two orders in $1=$ are

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{E}_{\text {pair }}= & \left(\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{M} & 1)(\mathbb{N} \quad \mathrm{M}) \mathrm{d}+\frac{\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{s}_{1} & 2 & 0
\end{array}\right)(\mathrm{M}}{\mathrm{N}} 1
\end{array}\right)  \tag{39}\\
& \mathrm{E}_{\text {pair }} \quad \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{gr}}=\mathrm{N} \quad \mathrm{~d}+0\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 2 \mathrm{f})
\end{array}\right. \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
f=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{M} & 1)=(\mathbb{N}  \tag{41}\\
\mathrm{N} & \text { 1) }
\end{array} \quad \mathrm{M}=\mathrm{N}\right.
$$

is the lling ratio.
E nergies of higher excitations can be com puted in the sam e way by solving 2, 3, 4, ...coupled equations of the type of ( $\overline{3} \underline{\underline{O}})$. For instance, energies of the next group ofexcited levels to the rst two orders in $1=$ are determ ined by solutions of the system

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{k}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \frac{1}{12_{k}}=\frac{2}{122} \\
& \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{k}=1}^{\mathrm{n}} \frac{1}{22_{k}}=\frac{2}{12}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now let us consider pair\{breaking excitations. For $d>J$ low energy excitations of this sort correspond to breaking a single pair of electrons thereby decreasing the num ber of pairs by 1 and the num ber of unblocked levels by 2. Let the single electron levels occupied by tw o unpaired electrons have energies $a$ and $b$. Since the lowest energy is achieved by having the unpaired electrons in a triplet state (recall that $J>0$ corresponds to the ferrom agnetic exchange), the energy of low est pair\{breaking excitations according to (4) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}_{\text {spin }}=\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b} \quad 2 \mathrm{~J}+\mathrm{E} \text { gr }\left(\mathbb{N} \quad 2 ; \mathrm{M} \quad 1 ; \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{p}} \quad(2 \mathrm{a})^{\mathrm{p}} \quad\left(2_{\mathrm{b}}\right)^{\mathrm{p}}\right) \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote that, unlike in ( $\left(\overline{3} \overline{\mathrm{j}} \bar{\sigma}_{1}\right)$, single electron energies a and b do not depend on . Therefore, to com pute the energy of pair\{breaking excitations we need only recursion relations (2 $\left.\overline{2} \bar{\eta}_{1}\right)$ for the ground
state w ith $N^{0}=N \quad 2, M^{0}=M \quad 1$, and $S_{p}^{0}=S_{p} \quad \underset{a}{p} \quad{ }_{b}^{p}$. In particular, to the rst two orders in $1=$ we get from $\left(\underline{2} \overline{\sigma_{1}^{\prime}}\right)$

$$
\begin{gather*}
E_{\text {spin }}=(\mathbb{M} 1)(\mathbb{N} M) g+\frac{\left(s_{1} 22_{a} 2 b\right)(M 1)}{N}+a+b \quad 2 J \\
E_{\text {spin }} E g r \quad N d+\left(a+{ }_{b}\right)(12 f) 2 J \tag{43}
\end{gather*}
$$

 dow $n$ the energies of the pair\{ conserving excitations for large $M$ and $N$ up to the order 1=.

$$
E_{\text {pair }} E_{g r} 2 D+{ }_{k}(1 \quad 2 f)+{ }_{k} \frac{f\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & f \tag{44}
\end{array}\right)}{D}
$$


 $B C S$ theory (ie. in the $\lim$ it $N ; M$ ! 1 ) pair\{ conserving excitation energies are

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{q} \overline{(k \quad)^{2}+\quad 2} \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is the chem ical potential and is the gap. In the strong coupling regim e both and are of order. Expanding the square root in expression ( $\overline{4} \overline{5})$ in $\operatorname{sm}$ all $k$ up to ${ }_{k}^{2}$, we see that ( $\overline{4} \overline{5}$ ) and ( $\overline{4} \overline{-}$ ) coincide to this order if we identify

$$
\left.{ }_{k}=2_{k} \quad=D \quad q \overline{4 f(1 \quad \mathrm{f}}\right) \quad=(2 \mathrm{f} \quad 1) \mathrm{D}
$$

The rst of these equations follows from (37.) in the lim it of large $N$, while the rem aining two can be derived from the BCS equation for the gap and chem ical potential (see e.g. $\left.{ }_{[1]}^{1} 0-1\right]$ ). Sim ilarly one can check that pair\{breaking excitations ( $\overline{4} \overline{2} \overline{2})$ correspond to tw o B ogoliubov quasi-particles $w$ ith total energy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{9}{(a \quad)^{2}+2}+\frac{q}{(b \quad)^{2}+2^{2}} \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

N ote that in the BCS lim it the di erence betw een pair\{breaking and pair\{conserving excitations disappears and expression ( $\overline{4}_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathbf{I}} \overline{1}$ ) sim ply corresponds to tw o quasi-particles in a singlet state each having the energy $(k \quad)^{2}+2^{2}$.

W e have seen in Section 2 (see also $F$ ig. 1) that in the strong coupling lim it $m$ any-particle energy levels of the BCS H am iltonian ( $\overline{2})$ coalesce into narrow well separated bands. Expression ( $\overline{(\overline{4} \overline{4}) \text { can be }}$ used to estim ate the ratio of the $w$ idth of the rst band, $\mathrm{W}_{1}$, to the single particle bandw idth $\mathrm{D}=\mathrm{N} \mathrm{d}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{W_{1}}{D} \quad 2(1 \quad 2 f)+\frac{f(1 \quad f)}{(1 \quad 1} \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $W_{1}$ is the width. N ote that at half lling, $f=1=2$, the $w$ idth of the rst band goes to zero as
! 1 . In general, it follow s from $W$ igner $\pm$ ckart's theorem $[\underline{2} \overline{3} 1]$ (see the discussion in item 2 under the ground state form ula ( $\left.\mathbf{2}_{-1}^{-1}\right)$ ) that at half lling w idths of higher bands also vanish as ! 1.

A coording, to the BCS equations for the excitation energies ( $\overline{4} \overline{4} \overline{-})$ and $(\underline{4} \overline{6})$ the gaps spin $=$ $E_{\text {spin }} E_{g r_{m \text { in }}}$ and pair $=$ Epair $E_{g r_{m}}$ for the two types of excitations coincide in the
them odynam ical lim it. W e have also seen in Section 2 (see the discussion bellow degeneracy form ula (1] $\overline{2}$ ) ) that when $0<J<d$ and $J=(d)$ rem ains nite as ! 1 , spin -1 excitations have low er energy as com pared to pair\{conserving excitations. If, how ever, $J$ d or sm aller, keeping $J$ to the lowest order in $1=$ in excitation energy ( $(\overline{4} 2 \overline{2})$ is not justi ed. In this case the two gaps are the sam e to this order. Therefore, it is interesting to set $J=0$ and evaluate the gaps to the next nonzero order.

D epending on the lling ratio (see Eq. (4]íl)) we can distinguish two di erent cases.

1. $f \in 1=2$. Low est lying excitations correspond to $s m$ allest or largest possible values of 0 and $a_{a}+{ }_{b}$ depending on the sign of $\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 2 f\end{array}\right)$. To determ ine the $m$ axim aland $m$ inim al 0 , note that the $k$ th



$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { spin } \quad \text { pair }=d \mathfrak{l} \quad 2 f j>0 \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have used $n \quad n 12 \quad 1 \quad d$ and $d$ is the $m$ ean level spacing.
2. $\mathrm{f}=1=2$. To the rst tw o orders in $1=:$ spin $\quad$ pair $=0$. In the next order we obtain from


$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { pair } \quad \text { spin }=\frac{2_{0}^{2} 2_{a}^{2} \quad 2_{b}^{2}}{2 N \mathrm{~N}} \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we shifted single electron levels so that ${ }_{i}={\underset{i=1}{N} i_{i}=N}^{N}=0$. We show in the A ppendix using Eq. ( $\left.\overline{3} \overline{8} \bar{q}_{1}\right)$ for 0 that the $m$ inim al value of ${ }_{0}^{2}$ is always sm aller than that of $2\left(\begin{array}{l}2 \\ a\end{array}{ }_{b}^{2}\right)$. Therefore,
spin $>$ pair.
Thus, at $J=0$ the pair\{breaking excitations alw ays have a larger gap in the strong coupling lim it. N ote that for $=0$ the situation is opposite as it alw ays costs less energy to m ove one of the two electrons on the highest occupied single electron levels to the next available level. Since according to BCS expression ( ${ }_{5}$ ) the energy gap in the strong coupling lim it is $2 \quad 2 \mathrm{D}=\mathrm{N} \quad \mathrm{d}$, we see from ( $\left.4 \overline{9}\right)_{1}^{1}$ that at the half\{ lling pair spin $d^{2}=$, i.e. the di erence between the two gaps vanishes in the therm odynam ical lim it.

## 4 C onclusion

W e determ ined the spectrum of the U niversalH am iltonian (

 these energies can be explicitly evaluated up to arbitrary high order in $1=$ and estim ated that the expansion converges for $>\mathrm{c}$ where c lies between c1 1 and c2 $1=$. Technically, this
 that in the strong coupling lim it $R$ ichardson param eters are zeroes of appropriate Laguerre polynom ials ( $\overline{2} \overline{1} 1)$ ) and analyzed their behavior at large enough but nite.

W e found that it is im portant to distinguish betw een tw o types ofexcitations in the problem : those that conserve the total num ber of paired electrons and those that do not. W e determ ined the energy gaps for both types and found that at zero spin \{exchange constant, $J=0$, in contrast to the weak


W e believe there are two physically motivated questions w thin the soope of validity (see the U niversal H am iltonian ([il) that still need further clari cation. The rst problem is to develop
a quantitative description of the crossover between a perturbed Ferm i gas and the region of strong superconducting correlations (see [i] second problem is to study analytically the interplay betw een superconducting correlations and spin $\{$


## 5 A ppendix

W e show here using Eq. ( $(\overline{3} \overline{-} \overline{-1})$ for 0 that them inim alvalue of ${ }_{0}^{2}$ is alw ays sm aller than that of $2\left(\begin{array}{l}2 \\ a\end{array}{ }_{b}^{2}\right)$, ie.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{x}_{0}^{2}<2\left(\mathrm{a}^{2}+\mathrm{b}^{2}\right) \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x_{0}$ is the $s m$ allest in absolute value solution of $(\overline{3} \overline{\underline{q}})$, $a$ and $b$ are the tw o sm allest in absolute value single electron levels $i$, and $\mathfrak{j} j \mathrm{jbj}$. Indeed, consider a function

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x)=x_{k=1}^{x^{N}} \frac{1}{x \quad 2_{k}} \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove ( $(\overline{5} 0-1)$ we need to show that $g(x)$ has a zero on the interval ( $c ; c)$, where

$$
c={ }^{q} \overline{2\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)}
$$

For $N=2$ there is only one zero, $\mathrm{x}_{0}=1+{ }_{2}$, and ( (50 $_{1}$ ) clearly holds. C onsider $\mathrm{N}>2$.


Figure 2: A schem atic plot of the function $g(x)={ }_{\mathrm{C}}^{\mathrm{P}} \underset{\mathrm{k}=1}{\mathrm{~N}} \frac{1}{\mathrm{x} 2_{k}}$ on the interval from $\quad \mathrm{c}$ to C , where $c={ }^{q} \overline{2\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)}$, $a$ and $b$ are the two sm allest in absolute value single electron levels ${ }_{i}$, and jaj bj. $N$ ote that since $2 \mathrm{gjj}>\mathrm{c}$ there is only one pole on this interval. In the vicinity of 2 a we have $\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{x})$ $1=\left(\begin{array}{ll}x & 2 a\end{array}\right)$ and therefore $g(x)$ is positive on the im $m$ ediate right of $x=2 a$ and negative on the left.
$F$ irst, note that $g(x)$ has a single pole at $x=a$ on this interval from $c$ to $c$, and $g(a+)>0$, while $g(a)<0$. Hence, there is a zero between $c$ and $c i$ either $g(c)<0$ or $g(c)>0$. To show that this is the case it is su cient to dem onstrate that $g(c) \quad g(c)<0$. W e have

$$
g(c) \quad g(c)=x_{i=1}^{x^{N}} \frac{2 c}{c^{2} 4_{i}^{2}}=x_{i \in a ; b}^{c^{2} 4_{i}^{2}}
$$

which is indeed negative since $c^{2}<4_{i}^{2}$ for all ${ }_{i}$ except ${ }_{i}=a ; b$.
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