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#### Abstract

Extending a previous work on spin precession in $G a A s / A 1 G$ aA $s$ quantum dots with spin-orbit coupling, we study the role of deform ation in the extemalcon nem ent. Sm allellipticaldeform ations are enough to alter the precessional characteristics at low magnetic elds. W e obtain approxim ate expressions for the $m$ odi ed $g$ factor including $w$ eak $R$ ashba and $D$ resselhaus spin-orbit term $s$. For m ore intense couplings num erical calculations are perform ed. W e also study the in uence of the $m$ agnetic eld orientation on the spin splitting and the related anisotropy of the $g$ factor. U sing realistic spin-orbit strengths_our m_odel calculations can reproduce the experim ental spin-splittings reported by H anson et al. (cond-m at/0303139) for a one-electron dot. For dots containing $m$ ore electrons, C oulomb interaction e"ects are éstim ated within the local-spin-density approxim ation, show ing that $m$ any features of the non-iteracting system are qualitatively preserved.


PACS num bers: 73.21 La, $73.21 . \mathrm{b}$

## I. INTRODUCTION

In the last years the study of spin-related phenom ena has becom $e$ one of the $m$ ost active research branches in sem iconductor physics. The present advances in spinbased electronics $\mathrm{I}^{1}$ and the hope for better devices, w ith enhanced perform ance w ith respect to the conventional charge-based ones, encourage this research. Two physicalm echanism sunderlie the operation ofm ost spintron ic devioes: a) the spin-spin interaction, present in ferrom agnetic $m$ aterials and in diluted $m$ agnetic sem iconductors; and b) the electron spin-orbit (SO ) coupling stem $m$ ing from relativistic corrections to the sem iconductor H am iltonian. It should also be mentioned that, as shown recently by C iorga et al, another possibility of spin controlinvolves the use of extemalm agnetic elds to, induce changes in the spin structure of a quantum dot ${ }^{2} \frac{1}{2}$ T hese spin $m$ odi cations a ect the passage of currents through the system, originating the spin blockade e ect. A conspicuous exam ple of device exploiting the SO coupling is the spin transistor, rst proposed by $D$ atta and $D$ as ${ }^{13}$ In this system the spin rotation induced by an adjustable $R$ ashba coupling is used to m anipulate the current.

In a recent work $1_{1}^{4_{1}^{1}}$ we studied the spin precession of quantum dots with SO coupling under the action of a verticalm agnetic eld ofm odulus $B$. It was show $n$ that the SO couplingm odi es the precessional frequency from the Larm or expression $h!_{L}=\dot{g} j_{B} B$, where $g$ is the bulk e ective $g$ factor and $B$ is the Bohrm agneton, to a di erent value depending on the dot quantum state. N am ely, the m odi ed precessionalenergy equals the gap betw een spin up and dow $n$ states for the active level, the so called spin-ip gap sf.

P urely circular dots are characterized by discontinuous jum ps in angular $m$ om entum $w$ ith the num ber of electrons $N$ and the $m$ agnetic eld, with a sim ilar behavior for the precessional frequency. An interesting prediction of Ref. "In was that for som e values of $N$ a nite sf persists even at $B=0$, i.e., a constant 0 set to the above

Larm or formula. It is our aim in this work to extend those investigations by including deform ation in the extemal con nem ent, as well as a m ore general treatm ent of the $S O$ coupling, considering $R$ ashba and $D$ resselhaus contributions on an equal footing. W e shall show that sm all elliptical deform ations are enough to sizeably alter the precessional frequency, yielding a deform ationdependent $g$ factor and washing out the low B o sets of purely circular dots. A nisotropy e ects in the $g$ factor w ill also be studied by allow ing for a tilted orientation of the $m$ agnetic eld vector $w$ ith respect to the dot plane.

Spin dynam ics in sem iconductor nanostructures can be experim entally $m$ onitored $w$ ith optical techniques. Indeed, a tim e-delayed laser interacting with a precessing spin experiences the Faraday rotation of its polarization. $M$ easuring the rotation angle for di erent delays allow s to m ap the spin orientation and thus observe in detail the dynam ics. This technique has been applied to bulk sem iconductors (see R ef. '15', for a recent review ) and, also, to $C$ dSe exciton ic quantum dots in Ref. ' $\overline{6}$. A ltemative $m$ ethods to gather inform ation on the $g$ factor in quantum dots norm ally usem easurem ents of the resonant tunneling currents through the system that perm it the determ ination of the spin splittings and, therefore, deduce the e ective $g$ value

Electron spin in quantum dots is much more stable than in bulk sem iconductors, due to the suppression of spin ip decoherencem echanism $s^{19}$ IT Sp in relaxation ispredicted to occur on a tim e scale of 1 m s for $\mathrm{B}=1 \mathrm{~T}$. $\mathrm{Ac} \mathrm{C}^{-}$ cordingly, in this work we shall neglect spin relaxation, focussing on the much faster spin precession in quantum dots. The spin spllttings w ill be com pared w th those $m$ easured in Ref. ${ }^{1}$ SO strengths can indeed reproduce the observed behavior. T he paper is organized as follow s. Section II presents the analyticalm odel for low SO intensities. In Sec. III we discuss the num erical results for a variety of situations; nam ely, anbitrary $S O$ strengths (A), tilted $m$ agnetic elds (B), one-electron dots (C) and treating Coulomb inter-
action e ects (D) within the local-spin-density approxi$m$ ation (LSDA).F inally, the conclusions are presented in Sec. IV .

## II. THE M ODEL

## A. The noninteracting $H$ am ilton ian

O urm odel of a single quantum dot consists in N electrons ofe ective $m$ ass $m$ whose $m$ otion is restricted to the xy plane where an electrostatic potential $V_{\text {ext }}(r)$ induces the con nem ent. W e assum ea G aA shost sem iconductor, forwhich $\mathrm{m}=0: 067 \mathrm{~m}$ e. To allow for elliptically deform ed shapes we consider an anisotropic parabola, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{\text {ext }}(r)=\frac{1}{2} m \quad\left(!{ }_{x}^{2} x^{2}+!{ }_{y}^{2} y^{2}\right): \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

N eglecting for them om ent C oulom b interactionsbetw een electrons we treat the H am iltonian for independent particles $H_{i p}={ }_{i=1}^{N} h(i)$. The single-electron $H$ am iltonian ( $h$ ) contains the kinetic/con nem ent energy ( $h_{0}$ ), the Rashba ( $h_{R}$ ) and D resselhaus ( $h_{D}$ ) SO term $s$ and the Zeem an energy ( $h_{z}$ );

$$
\begin{equation*}
h=h_{0}+h_{R}+h_{D}+h_{z}: \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The explicit expressions of $h_{0}$ and $h_{z}$ read

$$
\begin{align*}
& h_{0}=\frac{P^{2}}{2 m}+V_{e x t}(x ; y) ;  \tag{3}\\
& h_{z}=\frac{1}{2} g \quad B\left(B_{x} x+B_{y} y+B_{z} z\right) ; \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $P=$ ihr $+\frac{e}{c} A$ represents the canonicalm om entum depending on the vector potential $A=B_{z}=2(y ; x)$ and the 's are the Pauli matrioes (used also in the SO contributions). N ote that all three com ponents of the $m$ agnetic eld contribute to the Zeem an term while only the vertical one couples with the kinetic energy through the vector potential. The GaAs bulk $g$ factor is $g=0: 44$. F inally, the $R$ ashba and $D$ resselhaus $S O$ H am iltonians $m$ ay be w ritten as ${ }^{10}$ -

$$
\begin{align*}
& h_{R}=\frac{R}{h}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
P_{y} x & P_{x} y
\end{array}\right) ;  \tag{5}\\
& h_{D}=\frac{D}{h}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
P_{x} & P_{y} y
\end{array}\right): \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

The coupling constants $R$ and $D$ determ ine the SO strengths and their actualvaluesm ay depend on the sam ple. Several experim ents on quantum wells have recently provided valuable inform ation- Qbout realistic ranges of variation for these coe cients. 111

> B. The analytical solution

It is possible to obtain analyticalsolutions when $h_{0}$ $\left(h_{R}, h_{D}\right) \quad h_{z}$ and $B_{x}=B_{y}=0$. In this case one
may use unitary transform ations (as suggested in Ref. 121) yielding a diagonal transform ed H am iltonian. In a recent w ork ${ }^{13}$ we used this technique to show that the SO (D resselhaus) coupling induces oscillations between up and dow $n$ spin states when the magnetic eld or the dot deform ation are varied. G eneralizing the transform ations to consider both SO term swe de ne

$$
\begin{align*}
& \check{n}=U_{1}^{Y} h U_{1} ; \\
& U_{1}=\exp \quad \frac{m}{i^{2}}{ }^{h}\left(y \times \quad x_{y}\right) \\
& \left.+\quad \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{x}} \quad \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{y}}\right)^{\mathrm{i}} \text { : } \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

Expanding in powers of the 's one nds for the transform ed H am iltonian

$$
\begin{align*}
\check{K}= & \frac{P^{2}}{2 m}+V_{\text {ext }}(x ; y) \\
& \left(\begin{array}{ll}
2 \\
R & 2 \\
D
\end{array}\right) \frac{m}{h^{3}} L_{z} \quad+\frac{1}{2} g \quad B_{B} B_{z} z \\
& N\left(\begin{array}{l}
2 \\
R
\end{array}+\frac{2}{D}\right) \frac{m}{h^{2}}+O\left({ }^{3}\right) ; \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have de ned the canonical angular mom entum operator $L_{z}=x P_{y} \quad y P_{x} \cdot N$ ote that to $O\left({ }^{2}\right)$, w ith referring to both $R$ and $D$, the $H$ am iltonian of Eq. (g) is diagonal in spin space. Nevertheless, the $x$ and $y$ spatial degrees of freedom are still coupled through the vector potential in the kinetic energy and in $L_{z}$.
A second transform ation for each spin subspace of q . $\left.{ }^{(\underline{6}}{ }^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{m}$ ay be used to obtain spatially decoupled oscillators. $\overline{\mathrm{N}}$ am ely, introducing a renom alized cyclotron frequency

$$
!_{\mathrm{c}}=\frac{\mathrm{eB} \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{z}}}{\mathrm{~m} \mathrm{c}}+\left(\begin{array}{cc}
2 & 2_{\mathrm{D}}^{2} \tag{9}
\end{array}\right) \frac{2 \mathrm{~m}}{\mathrm{~h}^{3}} \mathrm{~s}
$$

where $s=1$ for $=" ; \#$, in Eqs. (5) of Ref. 1 i. obtains the $m$ asses $M_{k}$ and frequencies $k$ of the two ( $k=1$;2) decoupled oscillators for each spin. A nalogously, Eqs. (7) of that reference yields the eigenvalues ${ }^{N_{1} N_{2}}$, depending on the corresponding num ber of quanta in each oscillator $\left(N_{1} ; \mathrm{N}_{2}\right)$. For com pleteness of the presentation we repeat here the expressions for the latter tw o quantities,

$$
k=\frac{P_{\overline{2}}^{1}}{q} \frac{!_{x}^{2}+!_{y}^{2}+!_{c}^{2}}{!_{x}^{2}+!_{y}^{2}+!_{c}^{2}{ }^{2} 4!_{x}^{2}!_{y}^{2}} \quad \text {; }
$$

where the upper (lower) sign in corresponds to $k=$ 1 (2), and

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{N}_{1} \mathrm{~N}_{2}} & =\mathrm{N}_{1}+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~h}_{1}+\mathrm{N}_{2}+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~h}_{2} \\
& +\mathrm{s} \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{Z}} \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$



FIG. 1: R ight panel: Evolution of the single particle energies as a function of vertical $m$ agnetic eld. Each doublet corresponds to di erent spin orientations in the transform ed frame (Sec. II.B). The SO intensity is xed at ${ }_{\mathrm{D}}^{2} \quad{ }_{\mathrm{R}}^{2}=$ (1:2 $\left.10^{9} \mathrm{eV} \mathrm{cm}\right)^{2}$. The level responsible for the spin- ip transition when $N=7$ ism arked w ith a thick line. Left panel: Strength of the spin- ip excitation for di erent deform ations $\left(!_{\mathrm{x}}=!_{\mathrm{y}} ; \mathrm{h}\left(!_{\mathrm{x}}+!_{\mathrm{y}}\right)=12 \mathrm{meV}\right) . \mathrm{T}$ he inset characterizes the transitions for $\mathrm{N}=7$ and $\mathrm{B}=0$ of the right panel, w ith a indicating the transition betw een K ram ers con jugates.

A s a direct application of the above results we m ay w rite the e ective $g$ factor forprecession around a vertical $m$ agnetic eld from the di erence between the up and down single particle energies ( sf) w ith xed oscillator quanta $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { jgj } & \frac{\text { sf }}{{ }_{B} B} \\
= & g+{\frac{h}{B_{B} B_{z}}}_{k=1 ; 2} N_{k}+\frac{1}{2} \quad(\mathrm{kn} \quad \mathrm{k} \mathrm{\#}) \quad:(12)
\end{aligned}
$$

This equation show $s$ that in the general case the $g$ factor is actually a function of the electron state (through the quanta), the SO coupling constants and the vertical $m$ agnetic eld $B_{z}$ (through the 's). It is also worth to $m$ ention that since the energy gap sf and the modulus of the $m$ agnetic eld (B) are positive quantities, only the absolute value of the $g$ factor is determ ined by Eq. (12) .

## C. The transition betw een $K$ ram ers con jugates

W hen B vanishes the full H am iltonian ful lls tim ereversal sym $m$ etry and, according to a well known theorem of quantum $m$ echanics, in that lim it a degeneracy should prevail ( $K$ ram ens degeneracy). As show $n$ in $F$ ig. 1, the single-particle energies $"_{N_{1} N_{2}}$ indeed $m$ erge into degenerate pairs at vanishing $m$ agnetic eld. T hese pains are split by the com bined action of the $S O$ and $m$ agnetic


FIG . 2: U pper: spin- ip energy gap for the di erent levels of F ig. 1. The Larm or energy $\mathrm{h}!_{\mathrm{L}}$ is also indicated. Lower: $g$ factors in absolute value in ferred from the upper panel results as $\dot{\operatorname{jg}}=\mathrm{sf}^{\mathrm{f}}=($ в B$)$.
eld contributions and for a given $\left(\mathrm{N}_{1} ; \mathrm{N}_{2}\right)$ one obtains parallel doublets when increasing $B$. D epending on the sign of ${ }_{D}^{2} \quad 2 \begin{array}{r}2 \\ \text { the lower } m \text { em ber of each doublet } w \text { ill }\end{array}$ have a given spin orientation in the transform ed fram e; nam ely, upw ards for positive sign and dow nw ards for negative sign.

If the system has good angular $m$ om entum in the intrinsec reference fram e $\left(L_{z}\right)$, as happens in a circular connem ent $!_{\mathrm{x}}=!_{\mathrm{y}}$, the K ram ens con jugates at $B=0$ possess opposite angular $m$ om enta. T herefore, the spin ip transition between them is forbidden since the relevant $m$ atrix elem ent preserves angular $m$ om entum. O $n$ the contrary, when the system is deform ed, for instance due to an anisotropic con nem ent $!_{\mathrm{x}}=!_{\mathrm{y}}$, the spin ip transition betw een $K$ ram ers con jugates becom es possible since angular mom entum is no longer a 'good' quantum num ber. This key point detem ines qualitatively di erent spin precessional spectra. In fact, when the transition betw een conjugates is forbidden there is a gap in the spectrum and a non-vanishing precession frequency at $B=0$ (the precessional o set discussed in $R$ ef. 1 This gap vanishes if the transition betw een con jugates is allow ed due to the deform ation. In the left panel of F ig. 1 we show the evolution of the precessionalpeaks as the deform ation is reduced ( ! 1). A s discussed, the transition betw een $K$ ram ens conjugates (a) sw itches o when approaching the circular case.

## D. The g factors

$T$ he upper panelofF ig. 2 displays the spin- ip gap for di erent levels, characterized by their oscillator quanta in the transform ed fram e. The low er panel show s the corresponding $g$ factors obtained from $s f$ and them odulus of them agnetic eld using the rst equality of Eq. (ī2). As in Fig. 1 a $S O$ value of ${\underset{D}{2}}_{2}^{2}=\left(1: 2 \quad 10^{9} \mathrm{eV}^{2} \mathrm{am}\right)^{2}$ as well as a deform ation of $=0: 9$ have been assum ed. We note that there is a strong dependence of the precessional properties on the electronic state, $w$ ith $m$ any cases show ing a dram atic deviation from the Larm or result. W hen the num ber of quanta is shared asym $m$ etrically betw een the tw o oscillators the $g$ factor takes very large values at sm allm agnetic elds, decreasing quite abruptly w ith B . On the contrary, when $N_{1}=N_{2}$ there is a rather at $B$-dependence of the $g$ factor and low er enhancem ents. $N$ ote also that spin- ip energies below the Larm or result are obtained for the $(0 ; 0)$ state, im plying a $g$ factor low er than the bare value. W e have checked that other values of the $S O$ couplings and dot deform ations do not lead to qualitative variations of this behaviors although, obviously, the num erical values are changed.

## III. CASESOFNUMERICALTREATMENT

W hen the SO coupling can not be considered weak or $w$ hen the $m$ agnetic eld points in a tilted orientation, w ith respect to the $z$ axis, the above analytical treat$m$ ent does not rem ain valid. O ne $m$ ust then resort to direct num ericalsolution of the single-particle Schrodinger equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{\prime}{ }_{i}\left(r_{;}\right)="_{i}^{\prime}{ }_{i}\left(r_{;}\right): \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

As in Ref. 1 uniform grid of points, nding the onbitals and energies $\mathrm{f}^{\prime}{ }_{i}(\mathrm{r} ; \quad) ;{ }_{i} \mathrm{~g}$ using m atrix techniques. In term $s$ of these results one can directly com pute the spin- ip strength function,

$$
S_{\text {prec }}(!)=\underbrace{X}_{i j} \quad\left(1 \quad f_{i}\right) f_{j} H^{\prime}{ }_{i j} j^{\prime j}{ }_{j} i j^{2}
$$

$w$ here $i$ and $j$ span the whole single particle set and the $f_{i}$ 's give the orbital occupations.

> A. Verticalm agnetic elds

W e have checked that the num erical solution recovers the previously discussed analyticallim it for verticalm agnetic elds and weak SO couplings. For instance, Fig. 3 com pares the spin- ip gaps for cases w ith a weak pure D resselhaus coupling having $N=7$ and 11 electrons. An excellent agreem ent betw een the num erical data and the


F IG . 3: N um erical results for the spin- ip gap when $R=0$ and $D=0: 5 \quad 10^{9} \mathrm{eV} \mathrm{cm}$. For com parison the solid line display the analytical results from Eq. (12).


FIG. 4: Sam e as Fig. 3 but for $R=120^{9} \mathrm{eV} \mathrm{am}$ and $\mathrm{D}=0$ 。
prediction of Eq. ( $\left.\overline{1} \bar{I}_{1}^{1}\right)$ is found. $N$ ote that in the num erical case discontinuous jum ps in the evolution of sf as a function of $B$ are obtained whenever the ground-state solution im plies a reordering of levels in energy. Figure 4 displays a sim ilar result for a pure $R$ ashba coupling, w ith a som ew hat stronger intensity. Sm all deviations can be seen w th the analytical result, although the agreem ent is still quite good. O ur results thus indicate that the analytic treatm ent works rather well for SO couplings as large as $1: 2 \quad 10^{9} \mathrm{eV} \mathrm{om}$, which is in the range of the


FIG . 5: U pper: D ependence of the spin- ip gap on the tilting angle of the $m$ agnetic eld $w$ ith respect to the vertical direction. The thick gray line show s the Lam or energy. Lower: Variation of the $g$ factors in the lim it B! 0 as a function of the tilting angle .
experim entally achieved values.
B. T ilted magnetic elds

In $F$ ig. 5 we have analyzed the dependence of the precessional properties on the tilting angle of the $m$ agnetic eld w ith respect to the $z$ axis, zero angle $m$ eaning perpendicularmagnetic eld and $=90^{\circ}$ parallelB to the plane of $m$ otion. $N$ ote that the spin-orbit interaction is not invariant under rotations in the $x$ y plane so that its e ects depend on the particular direction oftilting. In practioe, how ever, di erent directions lead to only subtle di erences, whilst the strong dependence is given by the angle. For this reason we only discuss the case of tilling along the $x$-axis. $W$ e nd a rather strong dependence of the spin- ip gap on the tilting angle, with a maxim um deviation from the Larm orenergy for penpendicular eld. W hen the tilting angle is increased a sm ooth energy decrease in the direction of the Larm or value is seen. A ctually, for parallelorientation the results are slightly below the Larm or line. In the low er panelofF ig. 5 the $g$ factors in the lim it of vanishing $m$ agnetic eld are displayed. In correspondence with the transition energies the largest


F IG . 6: Experim entalspin- ip energy gaps m easured in Ref. , obtained using the experim entally known!ovalues while the dotted extension is a $t$ (see Sec. III.C).
deviations from the bulk value are obtained for the perpendicular direction while the parallel $g$ factor is $m$ ore sim ilar to the bare factor (0.44). These results can be understood by noting that the SO m echanism couples better w ith the B -induced currents in the perpendicular geom etry and, therefore, a larger in uence on the spin precession is expected in this case.

## C. A com parison w ith experim ent

In a recent experim ent $H$ anson et all have $m$ easured the spin splitting in a one-electron dot by $m$ eans of conductivity experim ents using a parallelm agnetic eld. It is our purpose here to show that the SO -induced modications can be the source of the observed deviation of the spin- ip energy w ith respect to the Larm or result. A s stated in the previous section, when the $m$ agnetic eld is alligned parallel to the plane of electronic $m$ otion the spin splitting recovers a Zeem an-like behaviorw ith an effective $g$-factor slightly sm aller than the bulk value. This reduction of the spin splitting is enhanced as the spacing of the orbital levels is reduced, i.e., spin-orbit interaction induces a com pression of the spin levels as $!_{\mathrm{x}}$ and $!_{\mathrm{y}}$ becom e sm aller.

In $F$ ig. 6 we display the results obtained for a circular 1 -electron dot (deform ation has no signi cant inuence on the spin-splitting of the low est energy state) w ith feasible values of SO coupling. N am ely, we assum ed $\mathrm{R}=0: 35 \quad 10, \mathrm{e}, \mathrm{eV} \mathrm{cm}$, in the range of experim ental values for G aA st ${ }^{15}$ and $\mathrm{D}=0: 8 \quad 10^{9} \mathrm{eV} \mathrm{am}$. This latter param eter is obtained by assum ing a 2D EG width $\mathrm{z}_{0}{ }^{\prime}$ 60 A in the formula $\mathrm{D}=\left(=z_{0}\right)^{2}$, where $=27: 5$ eVA ${ }^{3}$ is the GaAs speci c constant $1^{10!} \mathrm{W}$ e still need to input the extemalcon nem ent frequency $h!o$ before the calculation can be perform ed. U sing for this param eter the $m$ easured values of the orbital levelspacing, lying between 0.96 and 1.1 meV for the range from $B=0$ to 8


F IG .7: Spin precessionalenergiesw ith in T D LSD A.Them agnetic eld points in the vertical ( $z$ ) direction.

T "' ofthem agnetic eld experim entalvalues are not available and we have inferred the $h$ ! 0 values in order to $t$ the m easured spin spllttings. By assum ing $h$ ! $0 \quad 0: 5 \quad 0: 6$ meV we obtain the dashed line in Fig. 6 . O verall, the agreem ent $w$ th the $m$ easurem ents is rather good and, though this is a certainly a sim pli ed model, we believe it indicates that SO coupling plays an im portant role in explaining the $m$ easured spin gaps in this system.

## D. Addition of C oulom b interactions

The above sections have dealt w ith the SO -induced $m$ odi cations of the spin precession in the absence of Coulomb interaction betw een electrons. W e shall now estim ate the role of the latter by resorting to the tim edependent local-spin-density approxim ation (TDLSDA) for noncollinear spins. This approach was already used by us in $R$ ef. 11313 for circular dots. $T$ he reader is addressed to that reference form ore details on this form alism. H ere we shall only $m$ ention that the integration in tim e of the TD LSDA equations allows us to $m$ onitor the spin preœession and, in particular, to extract the precessional frequencies. Since the selffonsistent parts of the $m$ ean- eld potential are recom puted as the system evolves in time one is e ectively taking into account dynam ical interaction e ects. The form alism is thus equivalent to the random -phase approxim ation (w ell know $n$ in $m$ any body theory) w ith an e ective interaction.

Figure 7 show $s$ for som e representative cases the precessional frequencies in TD LSD A w ith SO coupling and
deform ation. A verticalm agnetic eld has been also included. W e note that a qualitatively sim ilar behaviour is found $w$ ith respect to the preceding analytical results. In particular, we em phasize that at $s m$ all $B$ the precessional frequency tends to vanish and that there are discontinuous jum ps due to level rearrangem ents. It can be seen that, for a higher deform ation (sm aller ), the Bdependence of the precessional frequencies is sm oother, in agreem ent w ith the analyticalm odel. Com paring w ith the non-interacting results there is a sizeable modi cation of the evolution in the low B range. W hile in the non-interacting schem e we obtain $g$ factors of 20 and 62 for $=0: 9$ and 0.75, respectively, when interaction is included these values raise to 21.9 and 6.55 .

## IV . CONCLUSIONS

In this work we have analyzed the role of the defor$m$ ation in the con nem ent to determ ine, in conjunction w ith SO coupling and $m$ agnetic eld, the spin precessional properties of $G$ aA s quantum dots. At sm allm agnetic elds the deform ation closes the spin-ip energy gap by allow ing the transition betw een K ram ers conjugate states. In practioe, this im plies that the precessional frequencies ofdeform ed system shave no o sets at $B=0$. $T$ he associated $g$ factors depend strongly on the quantum dot electronic state and on the $m$ agnetic eld direction. By tilting $B$ from verticalto horizontaldirection onem ay tune the $g$ factor from large values to results close to the bulk one.

W hen the m agnetic eld points in the vertical direction and the SO coupling is weak an analytical treat$m$ ent, yielding the spin- ip energies and $g$ factors is possible. This provides relevant insights for the analysis of other cases that can only be addressed w th num erical approaches. For the case of a one-electron dot in a horizontalm agnetic eld we have com pared the results obtained w ith feasible values of the SO coupling constants w th recent experim ents. $W$ e believe this com parison indicates that the SO coupling plays an im portant role in explaining the $m$ easured spin gaps in this system. For dots containing $m$ ore electrons, the role of the $C$ oulom $b$ interactions has been estim ated within TD LSDA. Size$a b l e m$ odi cations of the single-particle picture have been obtained although, qualitatively, the $m$ ain features are preserved.
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