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#### Abstract

The technique of $P$ ade A pproxim ants, introduced in a previous work, is applied to extended recent data on the distribution of variations of interest rates com piled by the Federal Reserve System in the US. It is shown that new power laws and new scaling law sem erge for any $m$ aturity not only as a function of the Lag but also as a function of the average inital rate. This is especially true for the one year $m$ aturity where critical form $s$ and critical exponents are obtained. This suggests future work in the direction of constructing a theory of variations of interest rates at a m ore \m icroscopic" level.


[^0]
## 1 Introduction

M any models have been constructed to try to describe and／or predict the term structure of interest rates．Recently，it has been show n on a speci c set ofdata that the em pirical distribution of the variation of interest rates is rather well reproduced by using the technique of $P$ ade A pproxim ants［ī1］．This article is a further step in this direction．The classical approach is based on B row nian m otions of various kinds．It leads to exponential tails（or supenposition of exponential tails）in the distributions．These so called narrow tails are usually badly rejected by the data．
 of the distributions decrease as the inverse of a sm all pow er of the variation of the interest rates（see below（1iop）），in fact a pow er com prised betw een one and two．The predicted tails are really too fat and are also rejected by the data．Som etim es rather unjusti ed cuts have been used to sharpen the Levy tails．

In a recent paper［通］，analyzing num erically the data（ $D$ ata $f 1 g$ ）on the Am eri－ can daily spot interest rates com pounded by the FederalR eserve System［⿶凵1］betw een February 15， 1977 and A ugust 4， $1997 \mathbb{N}_{\text {events }}=5108$ corresponding to 5108 open－ ing days），it was discovered that the fat tails，known to appear in the em pirical distributions，decrease essentially as a fourth power of the variation of the interest rate and are thus naturally am enable to ratios of polynom ials．It was shown that the em pirical distributions，averaged on the initial interest rates，are very well tted w ith sim ple P ade A pproxim ants．M ore recently，articles taking into account the fat， but not too fat，tails and power law s have been proposed $\left[\begin{array}{l}{[\bar{\sigma}}\end{array}\right]-\left[\bar{\eta}_{1}, 1\right.$ ．

In this paper，using $m$ ore com plete data（D ata f2g）recently available，again from the FRS between January 2， 1962 and D ecem ber 27， 2002 （10230 opening days，see （GG）below ），we have been able to study in a m ore detailed way the Pade ts．At a rst stage，we con $m$ ed the preceding analysis．The results are sum $m$ arized in section 2 ．In section 3 ，we have re ned the analysis by dividing the new data in suitable subsets，restricting ourselves to intervals in the initial interest rate．T his has allowed us to con m and to extend the validity of the preceding results，but also and $m$ ore im portantly to suggest new scaling law s．

These results will be used in a forthoom ing article［ī］to try to build a $\backslash \mathrm{m}$ icro－ soopic m odel＂of interest rates．

## 2 Pade A pproxim ants ts. U pdate of the $P$ revious R esults

In this section, we present brie $y$ the notation and update the results, which have been obtained in $\left[\begin{array}{l}{[1]}\end{array}\right]$ for the $D$ ata $f 1 g$, using the fill data set (D ata f2g) available today [5].].

Suppose that, at som e initial tim e to, the interest rate (for som em aturity $[m$ ]) is $I_{0}$ and, at som e later tim e $t_{f}$, it is $I_{f}$. The lag and the variation of interest rates were de ned as follows

The lag is the tim e intervalbetw een the initial and naltim es

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=t_{f} \quad t_{0}: \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It should be stressed that the lag is expressed in consecutive opening days and that non working days were sim ply discarded [-9,
$T$ he variation of interest rates is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{v}=\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{f}} \quad \mathrm{I}_{0}: \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since, in the data, the interest rates are expressed exactly in basis points (0.01 percent), the basis point has been chosen as the natural unit. W hen discretized, the variables I and v are thus represented by integers, $P$ and b .

Let us denote by $p^{[m]}\left(L ; v ; I_{0}\right)$ the probability distribution and by $p^{[m]}\left(L ; b_{b} ; \exists_{0}\right)$ the corresponding discretized distribution. T hey are the probability that the interest rate form aturity $\left[m\right.$ ] has $m$ oved from $I_{0}$ to $I_{f}$ during the lag $L$. Their norm $s$ are
$Z_{1}$
$p^{[m]}\left(L ; v ; I_{0}\right) d v=1 ;$
$x^{1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p}^{[\mathrm{m}]}\left(\mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{t}} ; ¥_{0}\right)=1: \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathrm{b}=1$

In the FRS D ata $f 1 g$ and $f 2 g$, the $m$ aturities $[m]=1,2,3,5,7,10$ and 30 years are given. All the daily interest rates are expressed exactly in basis points. They vary roughly from $1: 5 \%=150$ basis points to $18 \%=1800$ basis points. $T$ he discrete em pirical distributions $\overline{\text { ( }}$ ( ) of the initial interest rates, for a given $m$ aturity
and for the tw o sets, are de ned by

A s an exam ple, the FRS em pirical distribution wor for aturity $[m$ ] $=1$ year and for the $D$ ata $f 2 g$ is given in $F$ igure (

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{18800} \text { 酗 }(\mathrm{P})=1 \text { : } \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
150
$$

The $m$ ain sub ject of study in $\left[\begin{array}{ll}{[\overline{1}]}\end{array}\right]$ was in fact the discrete average $p_{\text {average }}^{[m]}(L ; b)$ de ned by

The discretized averages can easily be extracted from the data for lags (in days) such that 1 L 30 and for variations of interest rates $女$ (expressed in basis points) included in the range $R_{v}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}200 & 60\end{array}\right)$ Indeed, outside this range, the data is alw ays zero. The discrete norm alization becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{b}_{\text {average }}^{\mathrm{x}^{00}}\left(\mathrm{~L} ; \mathrm{m}_{200}^{[m)}=1:\right. \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

It has been rst shown em pirically, using $D$ ata $f 1 g$, that the tail of the term structure of the interest rates decreases essentially as a fourth pow er of the variation of the interest rates i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{\text {average }}^{[\mathrm{m}]}(\mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{v}) \quad \frac{1}{\dot{j} j^{j}} \quad \text { when } \dot{j} j \text { j becom es large } \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith a suitable constant and a power d

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { d } 4 \text { : } \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tau \overline{(1)}=\frac{\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{d}}(\mathrm{P})}{\mathrm{N}_{\text {events }}} \text {; }  \tag{5}\\
& N^{d}(1)=\text { num ber of days the interest rate; form aturity }[m \text {; } \\
& \text { was } 1 \text { basis points in the FRS D ata f1g or } f 2 \mathrm{~g} \text {; } \\
& \mathrm{N}_{\text {events }}=5108 \text { in } D \text { ata } f 1 \mathrm{~g} \text { for all }[\mathrm{m}] \text {; }
\end{align*}
$$

T hism eans that the distributions decrease m uch faster than what Levy ight m odels suggest (nam ely 1 d 2) but much m ore slow ly than what Brownian models paverage $\exp \left(v^{2}={ }^{2}\right)$ predict.

U sing detailed ts, it has been shown in [ī1] that the average distribution (位) can be very well (am azingly well) approxim ated by a Pade A pproxim ant P (0;4), i.e. w ith a constant num erator and a polynom ial of fourth degree in the denom inator. $M$ ore precisely, for all m aturities [m] and for all lags $L$, the follow ing P ade form of the probability distributions, in term s of 6 ,

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{\text {average }}^{[m]}(L ; t)=\frac{q_{1}}{1+\left(q_{1}^{2}+2 q_{E}\right) t^{2}+q_{2}^{2} b^{4}} ; \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

ts the D ata $f 2 g$ (and the D ata f1g set) rather well.
Let us enum erate a few of the properties of this form and of the two rem aining real param eters $q_{i}$ and $q_{2}$ which depend on the lag $L$ and on the $m$ aturity [m].

1. The continuous distribution corresponding to (12i) is, for qil positive, a purely positive real function. In fact it is constructed as the m odulus square of the complex function $f$

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{\text {average }}^{[m]}(L ; v)=\frac{p_{\overline{q_{I}}}}{\left(1+q_{1} v+q_{L} v^{2}\right)} ;  \tag{13}\\
& p_{\text {average }}^{[m]}(L ; v)=j f_{\text {average }}^{[m]}(L ; v) f: \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

2. The nom alization (
3. The distribution depends only on $v^{2}$, hence it is sym $m$ etrical under the interchange fv $\$ \mathrm{vg}$. This sym m etry was checked em pirically to hold to a very precise degree. In other words, violations of this sym $m$ etry are zero well w thin one standard deviation.
4. The tail of the distribution (12 $\overline{1})$ is of the form $\mathrm{V}^{4}$. The B ayesian H ill estim ators $[1] 0-1]$ of the em pirical tails favor an approxim ate decrease of this kind.
5. A n estim ate of $q_{1}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{1}=p_{\text {average }}^{[m]}(L ; 0) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and of $q$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{2}=\quad 1 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where stands for the variance of the data distribution.
6. The param eters $q_{i}$ and $q_{2}$ have very sim ple regular form $s$ in term of the lag $L$ when $L$ varies from one day to about a $m$ onth and for any $m$ aturity $[m$ ]. Essentially

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{i} \quad i{\frac{L}{L_{r}}}^{!} \quad ; \quad i=1 ; 2 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, for convenience, we have chosen one arbitrary reference value $L_{r}=$ 15 days. The i, which we call scaling param eters, and the less essential $m$ ultiplication constants $i$ depend on $[m]$ but not on $L$. The Table (ī1) (resp. Table ( $(\overline{2})$ ) shows the $i$ and the ratio ${ }_{2}=1$ that we have obtained for the 7 investigated $m$ aturities using the $D$ ata $f 2 g$ (resp. D ata f1g) set.
In other words, as illustrated by the $F$ igures ( $(\underset{\sim}{\overline{2}})$ and ( $(\overline{3})$ for $[m]=2$ years, as an exam ple, in a $\ln \left(q_{i}\right)$ versus $\ln (L)$ plot the data points align along a straight line w ith slope $\quad i$. The plots for the other $m$ ]'s follow analogous straight lines.
7. M oreover, one $m$ ay note that one critical exponent is approxim atively related to the other exponent by (see Tables (1, 시) (2, ) )

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \quad 1: 8 \quad 1 ; \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

8. It should be stressed that this scaling law (īi) seem $s$ to be an im portant discovery [ī1] which had escaped attention.

## 3 P ade A pproxim ants ts. In itial Interest $R$ ate D ependence

$M$ ore recently, we have studied the extended set of data (D ata f2g) and perform ed a m ore re ned analysis.

Indeed, the new data having often a higher statistics, m eaningful subsets of data can be de ned with initial interest rates lim ited to intervals. W e have found that subsets containing about two thousand events are large enough to lead to a su ciently precise determ ination of the param eters.

A detailed num erical analysis of the distributions restricting the data to an al$m$ ost xed initial interest rate $I_{0}$ has convinced us that the sam e Pade behavior prevails. An approxim ate determ ination of the dependence of the $P$ ade param eters $q_{i}$ on initial interest rate $I_{0}$ follow $s$. It suggests that new scaling law s are at work.

Let us sum $m$ arize our results

1．W e have rst divided the $D$ ata $f 2 g$ set in subsets where $I_{0}$ is restricted to regions（overlapping or not）

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\left[\mathfrak{M o m ~ i n ~}^{M_{0 \mathrm{Om} \mathrm{ax}}}\right]: \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he total probability ！to be inside and the total num bers of events N inside are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{b}=\mathrm{BD}_{\mathrm{Bm}} \text { in }  \tag{20}\\
& \mathrm{N}=\text { ! } \mathrm{N}_{\text {events }} \text { : } \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

In the present analysis，the regions have be chosen in such a way that the N ＇s are around two thousands．If the number of events is chosen to be sm aller than about two thousand，the accuracy in the determ ination of the param eters becom es hazardous．On the other hand，there seem s to be no need to select larger regions with m ore events in them．T he events in D ata $f 2 \mathrm{~g}$ can thus，for exam ple for［m］＝ 1 year，be separated in ve non overlapping intervals covering the full $¥_{0}$ range．

2．Let us de ne $\overline{I_{0}}$ as the em pirical average of $¥$ in a given region

3．The distributions，for the events w thin a region（see（ $4 \overline{4} \overline{4}$ ）for a precise de nition），are then supposed，in rst approxim ation，to be represented by norm alized（⿳亠二口欠）P ade form $s$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathrm{P}}\left(\mathrm{~L} ; \forall ; \overline{I_{0}}\right)=\frac{q_{1}}{\left(1+\left(q_{1}^{2}+2 q_{L}\right) b^{2}+q_{2}^{2} b^{4}\right)}: \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

4．The param eters $q_{i}$ appearing in Eq．（2̄3̄）（for a given $m$ aturity $m$ ］）are thus evaluated as functions of $L$ and $\overline{I_{0}}$ ．They are obtained from the data by $m$ inim izing a ${ }^{2}$ function．In about all instances the ts are very good as exem pli ed by the ${ }^{2}$ values．

5．In $F$ igure（ $4 \overline{4})$ ，as an exam ple using the $D$ ata $f 2 g$ w ith $L=1$ and $[m]=1$ year， two thousand points in the region $=$［420 basis points， 553 basis points］
(see $(\underline{1} \overline{1} \overline{\underline{1}})$ ) have been selected and the em pirical points are plotted versus the best Pade $t$. The average initial interest rate ( $\left.2 \overline{2} \overline{2}^{\prime}\right)$ is about $\overline{I_{0}}=502$ basis points. In this case, the values of $q_{i}$ evaluated in their respective units are $q_{1}=38: 6 \quad 1: 3(\%)^{1}$ and $q_{2}=308 \quad 19(\%)^{2}$. The obtained ${ }^{2}$ is 61 w th 83 degrees of freedom. In alm ost all L ; [m] cases an eye check of the curves is as satisfactory and the com puted ${ }^{2}$ are as good.
6. At this point, an im portant rem ark is worth $m$ aking. It should be stressed that the param eters $q_{i}$ obtained this way are not really those at $I_{0}=\overline{I_{0}}$ itself but a com plicated average of the approxim ate $q_{i}$ in the corresponding range. Indeed, it is obvious that the superposition of two or m ore P ade form s is, at best, only approxim atively of a Pade form. Since this is an im portant point, let us explain it $m$ ore precisely. If $p(L ; \forall ; \geqslant)$ is known for any $P$, then the average probability $\overline{\mathrm{p}}\left(\mathrm{L} ; \pi ; \overline{\mathrm{I}_{0}}\right)(\overline{2} \overline{3})$ on is de ned by

If it then were true that $b\left(L ;\right.$; ${ }^{\text {P }}$ ) is exactly of the $P$ ade form (which is anyhow not a correct statem ent but, at best, an approxim ate one), the \{average probability $\overline{\mathrm{p}}\left(\mathrm{L} ; 女 ; \overline{\mathrm{I}_{0}}\right)$ would not exactly be of a Pade form.
7. A fter the ts have been perform ed, we nd that the new param eters $q_{i}$ depend e ectively and im portantly on $\overline{I_{0}}$.
8. In a rst approach, we have chosen to $m$ inim ize the natural ${ }^{2}$ leaving $q_{i}$ as free param eter and by determ ining $q$ from the experim ental variance using the relation ( $\overline{1} \overline{\mathrm{I}}$ ). T The interested reader can nd the precise de nition of the ${ }^{2}$ in the reference $[1]$
9. $W$ e defer to the next section a detailed discussion of the param eters $q_{i}$, obtained from the data, as functions of the lag $L$ and of the average interest rate $\overline{\mathrm{I}}_{0}$.

## 4 P ade param eters as a function of the lag, of the $m$ aturity and, of the in itial interest rate

In this section, we sum $m$ arize the scaling law and the $\bar{I}_{0}$ dependence that are suggested by the em pirical data.

### 4.1 G eneral considerations and scaling law s

The rst scaling law and the $I_{0}$ dependence can be sum $m$ arized as follow $s$. For each


$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{i} \quad i \frac{L}{L_{r}} \quad{ }^{i} F_{i} \frac{{\overline{I_{0}}}_{I_{r}}^{!}}{l} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $F_{i}$ are functions depending of the in itial interest rate. For convenience, we have chosen two arbitrary reference values

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{r}} & =15 \text { days } \\
\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{r}} & =600 \text { basis points : } \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

In $(\underline{2} \overline{5})$, the lag $L$ is in days, the average initial rate $\overline{\mathrm{I}}_{0}$ is in basis points, the param eters $q_{1}$ and 1 are in inverse basis points, the param eters $q_{2}$ and 2 are in inverse basis points squared while the scaling param eters $i$ are pure num bers.

The scaling law (2]) says that, for every maturity [m], each of the param eters $q_{i}$ is the product of two functions. O ne function depends on the lag $L$ only and has the form of a power law as $\left(\bar{I}_{-1} \bar{\eta}_{1}\right)$. The second function, $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{i}}$, depends on $\overline{\mathrm{I}}_{0}$ only.

The best values for the param eters $i$ are given in Table'in as a function of the $m$ aturity. The form of the $F_{i}$ can be found in the fourteen plots given in $F$ igures ( $\bar{j}_{1}$ )-
 In $F$ igure $(\bar{\sigma})$, for $[m]=1$ year and $L=1$ day, the $\ln \left(q_{2}\right)$ is plotted versus $\bar{I}_{0}=I_{r}$. In Figure ( $\overline{-1} \overline{1})$ there are six plots where for $L=1$, $\ln \left(q_{1}\right)$ is given versus $\bar{I}_{0}=I_{r}$ for $[\mathrm{m}]=$ $2,3,5,7,10,30$ years. Finally, in $F$ igure ( $(\overline{8})$ there are six plots where for $L=1$, $\ln \left(q_{L}\right)$ is given versus $\bar{I}_{0}=I_{r}$ for $[m]=2,3,5,7,10,30$ years.
$W$ e have shown that the assum ption in $\overline{2} \overline{2})$ that the $q_{i}$ factorize (separation of variables) is favoured by the data. H ence, for a given $m$ aturity, the distributions of $\ln \left(q_{i}\right)$ as a function of $\bar{I}_{0}$ for di erent $L$ values just di er by a constant shift. This shiff on the $\mathrm{ln}\left(q_{i}\right)$ axis is equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln \left(q_{i}\right) \quad \ln \left(q_{i}^{0}\right)=i \ln \left(L^{0}=L\right): \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

To illustrate this, the Figures $(\underset{-1}{9})$ and ( $1 \overline{1} \overline{0})$ show the distributions of $\ln \left(q_{i}\right)$, for $[m]=5$ years as a function of $\bar{I}_{0}$ for 3 di erent $L$ values ( 1,10 and 30 days). Tom ake the com parison easier, the $\mathrm{L}=10$ days and the $\mathrm{L}=30$ days distributions have been $m$ oved upwards by their respective shift term ( $i_{i n}$ (10) and $i_{i} \ln (30)$ respectively). For the other m aturity, one obtains sim ilar plots.

It has to be em phasized that this variable separation in the approxim ate form s $m$ ay be a hint of a scaling law which is at work.

### 4.2 The scaling law sfor the $[m$ ] $=1$ year case

For the $[m]>1$ year data, no particular simple shape for the function $F_{i}$ can be extracted. In the $[m]=1$ year data, the functions $F_{i}$ have a very $\operatorname{sim} p l e$ shape. The
 w ith straight lines and the follow ing extrem ely sim ple approxim ate form shold

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{i} \quad i \frac{L^{!}}{L_{r}} \quad{ }^{i} \exp \frac{\overline{I_{0}}}{I_{r}}{ }_{i}^{!}: \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \ln \left({ }_{1}\right)=3: 14 \quad 0: 02 ; \quad 1=0: 657 \quad 0: 005 ; \quad 1=1: 32 \quad 0: 02  \tag{29}\\
& \ln (2)=4: 51 \quad 0: 02 ; \quad 2=1: 197 \quad 0: 005 ; \quad 2=2: 19 \quad 0: 02: \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, for the particular case of $[m$ ] = 1 year, we obtain an interplay of two sim ple scaling law $s$ w ith critical exponents $i$ and $i$.

## 5 C onclusion

In this paper, we have extended an earlier work aim ed at approxim ating the term structure of interest rates by ratios of polynom ials called P ade A pproxim ants. The form which is used is a purely positive $P$ ade $P[0 ; 4]$ w ith a constant num erator and a fourth degree denom inator in the variation of interest rates.

W e have shown that for any $m$ aturity, for any lag and for initial interest rates restricted to regions, the form holds to a very good degree of approxim ation, better than what should have been hoped a priori.

The em pirical param eters are represented by functions which point tow ard the existence of scaling law s and scaling exponents at a m ore \m icroscopic level". T hese scaling law s were already discovered and discussed [iי1] in term s the lag variable. In this paper, using the extended FRS data [is] now available, we have shown that scaling law s seem also to be at work not only in the lag, as was shown previously, but also in the initial interest rate. $T$ his is true especially for [m ] = 1 yearm aturity where sim ple critical form $s(2 \overline{2})$ ) appear both in the lag $L$ and in the average interest rate $\overline{\mathrm{I}}_{0}$ and critical exponents can be extracted.

In a forthcom ing paper, we w ill try to use this result and the scaling law s which are suggested by the data to buidd a theory of the term structure of interest rates at a \m icroscopic level".
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## F igure C aption

## Figure 1.

TheFRS em piricalw eight distribution of the interest rates (see ( $m$ aturity $[m]=1$ year and for the $D$ ata set $f 2 g$.

## Figure 2.

D istribution of $\ln \left(q_{1}\right)$ as a function of $\ln (L=15)$ for $[m]=2$ years using $D$ ata set f 2 g .

Figure 3.
$D$ istribution of $\ln \left(q_{2}\right)$ as a function of $\ln (L=15)$ for $[m]=2$ years using $D$ ata set f2g.

## Figure 4.

O ne example of a $t$ to the $D$ ata set f2g for $[m]=1$ year and $L=1$ day by a Pade A pproxim ant (continuous curve). The chosen subset (see (ī9)) corresponds to 2000 points in the region $I_{0 m \text { in }}=420$ and $I_{0 m a x}=553$. The $\bar{I}_{0}$ is com puted to be 502 basis points. The em pirical points are plotted versus the best Pade $t$. In this case, the values of $q_{i}$ evaluated in their respective units are $q_{1}=38: 6 \quad 1: 3(\%)^{1}$ and $q_{2}=308 \quad 19(\%)^{2}$. The obtained ${ }^{2}$ is 61 w th 83 degrees of freedom.

## Figure 5.

The param eter $\ln \left(q_{1}\right)$ as a function of $\overline{I_{0}}=600$ for $[m]=1$ year and $L=1$ day. These points have been obtained by $m$ inim izing the ${ }^{2}$ as a function of param eter $q_{i}$. Each point corresponds to a subset of data containing close to two thousand points $w$ ith average $I_{0}$ value equal to $\overline{I_{0}}$. The subsets are overlapping so that the points are not independent. The unit used for $q_{1}$ is (\%) ${ }^{1}$. For $\overline{I_{0}}$ it is the basis point. The straight line is a linear $t$. Its equation is $\ln \left(q_{1}\right)=\ln \left({ }_{1}\right) \quad{ }_{1}\left(I_{0}=600\right) \quad{ }_{1} \ln (1=15)$ w th $\quad 1=1: 32 \quad 0: 02$ and $\ln \left({ }_{1}\right)=3: 14 \quad 0: 02$.

Figure 6.

The param eter $\ln \left(q_{L}\right)$ as a function of $\overline{I_{0}}=600$ for $[m]=1$ year and $L=1$ day. These points have been obtained using the relation de ned in (1] $\overline{1}$ '). Each point corresponds to a subset of data containing close to two thousand points $w$ th average $I_{0}$ value equal to $\overline{I_{0}}$. The subsets are overlapping so that the points are not independent. The error on $\ln \left(q_{2}\right)$ is com puted by propagating the error on the estim ate of the variance. The unit used for $q$ is (\%) ${ }^{2}$. For $\overline{I_{0}}$ it is the basis point. The straight line is a linear $t$. Its equation is $\ln \left(q_{2}\right)=$ $\ln \left({ }_{2}\right) \quad{ }_{2}\left(\overline{I_{0}}=600\right) \quad 2_{2} \ln (1=15) w$ th $2=2: 19 \quad 0: 02$ and $\ln \left({ }_{2}\right)=4: 51 \quad 0: 02$.

## Figure 7.

The param eter $\ln \left(q_{1}\right)$ as a function of $\overline{I_{0}}=600$ for $[m]=2,3,5,7,10,30$ years and $L=1$ day. These points have been obtained by m inim izing the
${ }^{2}$ as a function of param eter $q_{1}$. Each point corresponds to a subset of data containing close to tw o thousand points $w$ ith average $I_{0}$ value equal to $\overline{I_{0}}$. The subsets are overlapping so that the points are not independent. The unit used for $q_{1}$ is (\%) ${ }^{1}$. For $\overline{I_{0}}$ it is the basis point.

## Figure 8.

The param eter $\ln \left(q_{2}\right)$ as a function of $\overline{I_{0}}=600$ for $[m]=2,3,5,7,10,30$ years and $L=1$ day. These points have been obtained using the relation ( $\overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{\operatorname{G}})$. E ach point corresponds to a subset of data containing close to two thousand points $w$ th average $I_{0}$ value equal to $\overline{I_{0}}$. The subsets are overlapping so that the points are not independent. The unit used for $q^{2}$ is (\%) ${ }^{2}$. For $\overline{I_{0}}$ it is the basis point. The error on $\ln \left(q_{2}\right)$ is com puted by propagating the error on the estim ate of the variance.

Figure 9.
D istribution of $\ln \left(q_{1}\right)$, for $[m]=5$ years as a function of $\overline{I_{0}}=600$ for 3 di erent L values ( 1,10 and 30 days) where the $\mathrm{L}=10$ and 30 days distributions have been shifted on the $\ln \left(q_{1}\right)$ axis by the correcting term $\left.\overline{2}_{2} \bar{T}_{1}\right)$.

Figure 10.
D istribution of $\ln \left(q_{2}\right)$, for $[m]=5$ years as a function of $\overline{I_{0}}=600$ for 3 di erent
L values ( 1,10 and 30 days) where the $\mathrm{L}=10$ and 30 days distributions have been shifted on the $\ln \left(q_{2}\right)$ axis by the correcting term (2̄2̄).

## Table C aption

Table 1.
$T$ he values of the best ts to the param eters i (see ( $\left.\overline{2} \overline{5})_{1}\right)$ ) using $D$ ata set $f 2 g$. These param eters are given as a function of the $m$ aturity $m$ ]. The standard errors correspond to a unit deviation in the ${ }^{2}$.

Table 2.
 These param eters are given as a function of the $m$ aturity $[m$ ]. The standard errors correspond to a unit deviation in the ${ }^{2}$.
$F$ igure (i피)



## Figure ( $\left.{ }_{-1}^{1} \mathbf{1}\right)$



Figure ( ${ }_{(1-\overline{4}}^{1}$ )
A fit for $[\mathrm{m}]=1$ year, $\mathrm{L}=1$ day and average $\mathrm{I}_{0}=502 \mathrm{bp}$


## Figure ( ${ }_{-1}^{5}$ )



Figure (




Figure ( ${ }_{-1}^{9}$ )


Figure ( $\overline{1} \bar{O}_{1}^{1}$ )


Table (ili)
D ata set f2g

| m aturity (yrs) | $1\left(\right.$ \% $\left._{\circ}{ }^{1}\right)$ | $2\left(\%^{2}\right)$ | $2^{=}{ }_{1}\left(\circ^{1}{ }^{1}\right)$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.657 | 0.005 | 1.197 | 0.005 | 1.82 | 0.02 |
| 2 | 0.627 | 0.006 | 1.178 | 0.007 | 1.88 | 0.03 |
| 3 | 0.653 | 0.005 | 1.164 | 0.006 | 1.78 | 0.02 |
| 5 | 0.638 | 0.005 | 1.139 | 0.005 | 1.79 | 0.02 |
| 7 | 0.626 | 0.005 | 1.111 | 0.005 | 1.78 | 0.02 |
| 10 | 0.636 | 0.005 | 1.100 | 0.005 | 1.73 | 0.02 |
| 30 | 0.578 | 0.006 | 1.068 | 0.006 | 1.85 | 0.02 |

T able (르)
D ata set f1g

| m aturity (yrs) | $1\left(\%^{1}\right)$ | $2\left(\%^{1}{ }^{2}\right)$ | $2=1$ | $\left(\%{ }^{1}\right)$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.607 | 0.006 | 1.209 | 0.009 | 1.99 | 0.03 |
| 2 | 0.644 | 0.004 | 1.200 | 0.007 | 1.86 | 0.03 |
| 3 | 0.652 | 0.004 | 1.172 | 0.007 | 1.80 | 0.02 |
| 5 | 0.622 | 0.006 | 1.139 | 0.005 | 1.83 | 0.02 |
| 7 | 0.606 | 0.006 | 1.109 | 0.004 | 1.83 | 0.02 |
| 10 | 0.597 | 0.005 | 1.093 | 0.005 | 1.83 | 0.02 |
| 30 | 0.588 | 0.005 | 1.074 | 0.004 | 1.83 | 0.02 |
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# Detailed Empirical Study of the Term Structure of Interest Rates. Emergence of Power Laws and Scaling Laws 

Thomas Alderweireld ${ }^{1}$ and Jean Nuyts ${ }^{2}$


#### Abstract

The technique of Padé Approximants, introduced in a previous work, is applied to extended recent data on the distribution of variations of interest rates compiled by the Federal Reserve System in the US. It is shown that new power laws and new scaling laws emerge for any maturity not only as a function of the Lag but also as a function of the average inital rate. This is especially true for the one year maturity where critical forms and critical exponents are obtained. This suggests future work in the direction of constructing a theory of variations of interest rates at a more "microscopic" level.


[^1]
## 1 Introduction

Many models have been constructed to try to describe and/or predict the term structure of interest rates. Recently, it has been shown on a specific set of data that the empirical distribution of the variation of interest rates is rather well reproduced by using the technique of Padé Approximants [1]. This article is a further step in this direction. The classical approach is based on Brownian motions of various kinds. It leads to exponential tails (or superposition of exponential tails) in the distributions. These so called narrow tails are usually badly rejected by the data. Levy-flight [2], [3], [4] distributions have also been proposed. In this case the tails of the distributions decrease as the inverse of a small power of the variation of the interest rates (see below (10)), in fact a power comprised between one and two. The predicted tails are really too fat and are also rejected by the data. Sometimes rather unjustified cuts have been used to sharpen the Levy tails.

In a recent paper [1], analyzing numerically the data (Data $\{1\}$ ) on the American daily spot interest rates compounded by the Federal Reserve System [5] between February 15, 1977 and August 4, 1997 ( $N_{\text {events }}=5108$ corresponding to 5108 opening days), it was discovered that the fat tails, known to appear in the empirical distributions, decrease essentially as a fourth power of the variation of the interest rate and are thus naturally amenable to ratios of polynomials. It was shown that the empirical distributions, averaged on the initial interest rates, are very well fitted with simple Padé Approximants. More recently, articles taking into account the fat, but not too fat, tails and power laws have been proposed [6]-[7].

In this paper, using more complete data (Data $\{2\}$ ) recently available, again from the FRS between January 2, 1962 and December 27, 2002 (10230 opening days, see (6) below), we have been able to study in a more detailed way the Padé fits. At a first stage, we confirmed the preceding analysis. The results are summarized in section 2. In section 3, we have refined the analysis by dividing the new data in suitable subsets, restricting ourselves to intervals in the initial interest rate. This has allowed us to confirm and to extend the validity of the preceding results, but also and more importantly to suggest new scaling laws.

These results will be used in a forthcoming article [8] to try to build a "microscopic model" of interest rates.

## 2 Padé Approximants fits. Update of the Previous Results

In this section, we present briefly the notation and update the results, which have been obtained in [1] for the Data $\{1\}$, using the full data set (Data $\{2\}$ ) available today [5].

Suppose that, at some initial time $t_{0}$, the interest rate (for some maturity $[m]$ ) is $I_{0}$ and, at some later time $t_{f}$, it is $I_{f}$. The lag and the variation of interest rates were defined as follows

- The lag is the time interval between the initial and final times

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=t_{f}-t_{0} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It should be stressed that the lag is expressed in consecutive opening days and that non working days were simply discarded [9].

- The variation of interest rates is

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=I_{f}-I_{0} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since, in the data, the interest rates are expressed exactly in basis points ( 0.01 percent), the basis point has been chosen as the natural unit. When discretized, the variables $I$ and $v$ are thus represented by integers, $\widehat{I}$ and $\widehat{v}$.

Let us denote by $p^{[m]}\left(L, v, I_{0}\right)$ the probability distribution and by $\widehat{p}^{[m]}\left(L, \widehat{v}, \widehat{I}_{0}\right)$ the corresponding discretized distribution. They are the probability that the interest rate for maturity $[m]$ has moved from $I_{0}$ to $I_{f}$ during the lag $L$. Their norms are

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p^{[m]}\left(L, v, I_{0}\right) d v & =1  \tag{3}\\
\sum_{\widehat{v}=-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{p}^{[m]}\left(L, \widehat{v}, \widehat{I}_{0}\right) & =1 \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

In the FRS Data $\{1\}$ and $\{2\}$, the maturities $[m]=1,2,3,5,7,10$ and 30 years are given. All the daily interest rates are expressed exactly in basis points. They vary roughly from $1.5 \%=150$ basis points to $18 \%=1800$ basis points. The discrete empirical distributions $\widehat{w}(\widehat{I})$ of the initial interest rates, for a given maturity
and for the two sets, are defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
\widehat{w}(\widehat{I})= & \frac{N^{d}(\widehat{I})}{N_{\text {events }}},  \tag{5}\\
N^{d}(\widehat{I}) & =\begin{array}{l}
\text { number of days the interest rate, for maturity }[m], \\
\\
\\
\text { was } \widehat{I} \text { basis points in the FRS Data }\{1\} \text { or }\{2\}, \\
N_{\text {events }}
\end{array}=\begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
5108 \text { in Data }\{1\} \text { for all }[m], \\
\hline[m] & = & 1 & 2 & 3 & 5 & 7 & 10 \\
30 \\
\hline N_{\text {events }} & = & 10230 & 6606 & 10199 & 10199 & 8323 & 10199 \\
\hline
\end{array}
\end{align*}
$$

As an example, the FRS empirical distribution $\widehat{w}$ for the maturity $[m]=1$ year and for the Data $\{2\}$ is given in Figure (1). Obviously, we have for any such distribution

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\widehat{I}=150}^{1800} \widehat{w}(\widehat{I})=1 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The main subject of study in [1] was in fact the discrete average $\widehat{p}_{\text {average }}^{[m]}(L, \widehat{v})$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{p}_{\text {average }}^{[m]}(L, \widehat{v})=\sum_{\widehat{I}_{0}=150}^{1800} \widehat{w}^{[m]}\left(I_{0}\right) \widehat{p}^{[m]}\left(L, \widehat{v}, \widehat{I}_{0}\right) . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The discretized averages can easily be extracted from the data for lags (in days) such that $1 \leq L \leq 30$ and for variations of interest rates $\widehat{v}$ (expressed in basis points) included in the range $R_{v}=[-200 \leq \widehat{v} \leq 200]$. Indeed, outside this range, the data is always zero. The discrete normalization becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\widehat{v}=-200}^{200} \widehat{p}_{\text {average }}^{[m]}(L, \widehat{v})=1 . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

It has been first shown empirically, using Data $\{1\}$, that the tail of the term structure of the interest rates decreases essentially as a fourth power of the variation of the interest rates i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{\mathrm{average}}^{[m]}(L, v) \approx \kappa \frac{1}{|v|^{d}} \quad \text { when }|v| \text { becomes large } \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

with a suitable constant $\kappa$ and a power $d$

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \approx 4 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

This means that the distributions decrease much faster than what Levy flight models suggest (namely $1 \leq d \leq 2$ ) but much more slowly than what Brownian models $p_{\text {average }} \approx \kappa \exp \left(-v^{2} / \sigma^{2}\right)$ predict.

Using detailed fits, it has been shown in [1] that the average distribution (8) can be very well (amazingly well) approximated by a Padé Approximant $P(0,4)$, i.e. with a constant numerator and a polynomial of fourth degree in the denominator. More precisely, for all maturities $[m]$ and for all lags $L$, the following Padé form of the probability distributions, in terms of $\widehat{v}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{p}_{\text {average }}^{[m]}(L, \widehat{v})=\frac{q_{1}}{\pi\left(1+\left(q_{1}^{2}+2 q_{2}\right) \widehat{v}^{2}+q_{2}^{2} \widehat{v}^{4}\right)}, \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

fits the Data $\{2\}$ (and the Data $\{1\}$ set) rather well.
Let us enumerate a few of the properties of this form and of the two remaining real parameters $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$ which depend on the lag $L$ and on the maturity $[m]$.

1. The continuous distribution corresponding to (12) is, for $q_{1}$ positive, a purely positive real function. In fact it is constructed as the modulus square of the complex function $f$

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{\text {average }}^{[m]}(L, v) & =\frac{\sqrt{q_{1}}}{\sqrt{\pi}\left(1+i q_{1} v+q_{2} v^{2}\right)}  \tag{13}\\
p_{\text {average }}^{[m]}(L, v) & =\left|f_{\text {average }}^{[m]}(L, v)\right|^{2} \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

2. The normalization (3) of the distribution (14) is automatic by construction.
3. The distribution depends only on $v^{2}$, hence it is symmetrical under the interchange $\{v \leftrightarrow-v\}$. This symmetry was checked empirically to hold to a very precise degree. In other words, violations of this symmetry are zero well within one standard deviation.
4. The tail of the distribution (12) is of the form $v^{-4}$. The Bayesian Hill estimators [10] of the empirical tails favor an approximate decrease of this kind.
5. An estimate of $q_{1}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{1}=\pi \widehat{p}_{\text {average }}^{[m]}(L, 0) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and of $q_{2}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{2}=-\sigma^{-1} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma$ stands for the variance of the data distribution.
6. The parameters $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$ have very simple regular forms in term of the lag $L$ when $L$ varies from one day to about a month and for any maturity $[m]$. Essentially

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{i} \approx \beta_{i}\left(\frac{L}{L_{\mathrm{r}}}\right)^{-\mu_{i}}, \quad i=1,2 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, for convenience, we have chosen one arbitrary reference value $L_{\mathrm{r}}=$ 15 days. The $\mu_{i}$, which we call scaling parameters, and the less essential multiplication constants $\beta_{i}$ depend on $[m]$ but not on $L$. The Table (1) (resp. Table (2)) shows the $\mu_{i}$ and the ratio $\mu_{2} / \mu_{1}$ that we have obtained for the 7 investigated maturities using the Data $\{2\}$ (resp. Data $\{1\}$ ) set.
In other words, as illustrated by the Figures (2) and (3) for $[m]=2$ years, as an example, in a $\ln \left(q_{i}\right)$ versus $\ln (L)$ plot the data points align along a straight line with slope $-\mu_{i}$. The plots for the other $[m$ ]'s follow analogous straight lines.
7. Moreover, one may note that one critical exponent is approximatively related to the other exponent by (see Tables (1) (2))

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{2} \approx 1.8 \quad \mu_{1} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

8. It should be stressed that this scaling law (17) seems to be an important discovery [1] which had escaped attention.

## 3 Padé Approximants fits. Initial Interest Rate Dependence

More recently, we have studied the extended set of data (Data $\{2\}$ ) and performed a more refined analysis.

Indeed, the new data having often a higher statistics, meaningful subsets of data can be defined with initial interest rates limited to intervals. We have found that subsets containing about two thousand events are large enough to lead to a sufficiently precise determination of the parameters.

A detailed numerical analysis of the distributions restricting the data to an almost fixed initial interest rate $I_{0}$ has convinced us that the same Padé behavior prevails. An approximate determination of the dependence of the Padé parameters $q_{i}$ on initial interest rate $I_{0}$ follows. It suggests that new scaling laws are at work.

Let us summarize our results

1. We have first divided the Data $\{2\}$ set in subsets where $I_{0}$ is restricted to regions (overlapping or not)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta=\left[\widehat{I}_{0 \min }, \widehat{I}_{0 \max }\right] \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The total probability $\omega_{\Delta}$ to be inside $\Delta$ and the total numbers of events $N_{\Delta}$ inside $\Delta$ are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \omega_{\Delta}=\sum_{\widehat{I}=\widehat{I}_{\text {min }}}^{\widehat{I}_{\text {omax }}} \widehat{w}(\widehat{I}),  \tag{20}\\
& N_{\Delta}=\omega_{\Delta} N_{\text {events }} . \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

In the present analysis, the regions have be chosen in such a way that the $N_{\Delta}$ 's are around two thousands. If the number of events is chosen to be smaller than about two thousand, the accuracy in the determination of the parameters becomes hazardous. On the other hand, there seems to be no need to select larger regions with more events in them. The events in Data $\{2\}$ can thus, for example for $[m]=1$ year, be separated in five non overlapping intervals covering the full $\widehat{I}_{0}$ range.
2. Let us define $\overline{I_{0}}$ as the empirical average of $\hat{I}$ in a given region $\Delta$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{I_{0}}=\frac{\sum_{\widehat{I}=\widehat{I}_{I_{\min }}}^{\widehat{I}_{0 \max }} \widehat{w}(\widehat{I}) \widehat{I}}{\omega_{\Delta}} . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

3. The distributions, for the events within a region $\Delta$ (see (24) for a precise definition), are then supposed, in first approximation, to be represented by normalized (3) Padé forms

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{p}\left(L, \widehat{v}, \overline{I_{0}}\right)=\frac{q_{1}}{\pi\left(1+\left(q_{1}^{2}+2 q_{2}\right) \widehat{v}^{2}+q_{2}^{2} \widehat{v}^{4}\right)} . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

4. The parameters $q_{i}$ appearing in Eq.(23) (for a given maturity $[m]$ ) are thus evaluated as functions of $L$ and $\overline{I_{0}}$. They are obtained from the data by minimizing a $\chi^{2}$ function. In about all instances the fits are very good as exemplified by the $\chi^{2}$ values.
5. In Figure (4), as an example using the Data $\{2\}$ with $L=1$ and $[m]=1$ year, two thousand points in the region $\Delta=[420$ basis points, 553 basis points]
(see (19)) have been selected and the empirical points are plotted versus the best Padé fit. The average initial interest rate (22) is about $\overline{I_{0}}=502$ basis points. In this case, the values of $q_{i}$ evaluated in their respective units are $q_{1}=38.6 \pm 1.3(\%)^{-1}$ and $q_{2}=-308 \pm 19(\%)^{-2}$. The obtained $\chi^{2}$ is 61 with 83 degrees of freedom. In almost all $L,[m]$ cases an eye check of the curves is as satisfactory and the computed $\chi^{2}$ are as good.
6. At this point, an important remark is worth making. It should be stressed that the parameters $q_{i}$ obtained this way are not really those at $I_{0}=\overline{I_{0}}$ itself but a complicated average of the approximate $q_{i}$ in the corresponding $\Delta$ range. Indeed, it is obvious that the superposition of two or more Padé forms is, at best, only approximatively of a Padé form. Since this is an important point, let us explain it more precisely. If $\widehat{p}(L, \widehat{v}, \widehat{I})$ is known for any $\widehat{I}$, then the average probability $\bar{p}\left(L, \widehat{v}, \overline{I_{0}}\right)(23)$ on $\Delta$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{p}\left(L, \widehat{v}, \overline{I_{0}}\right)=\frac{\sum_{\widehat{I}=\widehat{I}_{\text {min }}}^{\widehat{I}_{0_{\max }}} \widehat{w}(\widehat{I}) \widehat{p}(L, \widehat{v}, \widehat{I})}{\omega_{\Delta}} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

If it then were true that $\widehat{p}(L, \widehat{v}, \widehat{I})$ is exactly of the Padé form (which is anyhow not a correct statement but, at best, an approximate one), the $\Delta$-average probability $\bar{p}\left(L, \widehat{v}, \overline{I_{0}}\right)$ would not exactly be of a Padé form.
7. After the fits have been performed, we find that the new parameters $q_{i}$ depend effectively and importantly on $\overline{I_{0}}$.
8. In a first approach, we have chosen to minimize the natural $\chi^{2}$ leaving $q_{1}$ as free parameter and by determining $q_{2}$ from the experimental variance using the relation (16). The interested reader can find the precise definition of the $\chi^{2}$ in the reference [1], in and after their Eq.(22).
9. We defer to the next section a detailed discussion of the parameters $q_{i}$, obtained from the data, as functions of the lag $L$ and of the average interest rate $\bar{I}_{0}$.

## 4 Padé parameters as a function of the lag, of the maturity and, of the initial interest rate

In this section, we summarize the scaling law and the $\bar{I}_{0}$ dependence that are suggested by the empirical data.

### 4.1 General considerations and scaling laws

The first scaling law and the $I_{0}$ dependence can be summarized as follows. For each maturity, the empirical $q_{i}$ are given by the approximate forms (compare to (17))

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{i} \approx \beta_{i}\left(\frac{L}{L_{\mathrm{r}}}\right)^{-\mu_{i}} \mathcal{F}_{i}\left(\overline{\bar{I}_{0}}\right) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $\mathcal{F}_{i}$ are functions depending of the initial interest rate. For convenience, we have chosen two arbitrary reference values

$$
\begin{align*}
L_{\mathrm{r}} & =15 \text { days } \\
I_{\mathrm{r}} & =600 \text { basis points } . \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

In (25), the lag $L$ is in days, the average initial rate $\bar{I}_{0}$ is in basis points, the parameters $q_{1}$ and $\beta_{1}$ are in inverse basis points, the parameters $q_{2}$ and $\beta_{2}$ are in inverse basis points squared while the scaling parameters $\mu_{i}$ are pure numbers.

The scaling law (25) says that, for every maturity $[m$ ], each of the parameters $q_{i}$ is the product of two functions. One function depends on the lag $L$ only and has the form of a power law as (17). The second function, $\mathcal{F}_{i}$, depends on $\bar{I}_{0}$ only.

The best values for the parameters $\mu_{i}$ are given in Table 1 as a function of the maturity. The form of the $\mathcal{F}_{i}$ can be found in the fourteen plots given in Figures (5)(8). In Figure (5), for $[m]=1$ year and $L=1$ day, the $\ln \left(q_{1}\right)$ is plotted versus $\bar{I}_{0} / I_{r}$. In Figure (6), for $[m]=1$ year and $L=1$ day, the $\ln \left(q_{2}\right)$ is plotted versus $\bar{I}_{0} / I_{r}$. In Figure (7) there are six plots where for $L=1, \ln \left(q_{1}\right)$ is given versus $\bar{I}_{0} / I_{r}$ for $[m]=$ $2,3,5,7,10,30$ years. Finally, in Figure (8) there are six plots where for $L=1$, $\ln \left(q_{2}\right)$ is given versus $\bar{I}_{0} / I_{r}$ for $[m]=2,3,5,7,10,30$ years.

We have shown that the assumption in (25) that the $q_{i}$ factorize (separation of variables) is favoured by the data. Hence, for a given maturity, the distributions of $\ln \left(q_{i}\right)$ as a function of $\bar{I}_{0}$ for different $L$ values just differ by a constant shift. This shift on the $\ln \left(q_{i}\right)$ axis is equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln \left(q_{i}\right)-\ln \left(q_{i}^{\prime}\right)=\mu_{i} \ln \left(L^{\prime} / L\right) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

To illustrate this, the Figures (9) and (10) show the distributions of $\ln \left(q_{i}\right)$, for $[m]=5$ years as a function of $\bar{I}_{0}$ for 3 different $L$ values (1, 10 and 30 days). To make the comparison easier, the $L=10$ days and the $L=30$ days distributions have been moved upwards by their respective shift term $\left(\mu_{i} \ln (10)\right.$ and $\mu_{i} \ln (30)$ respectively). For the other maturity, one obtains similar plots.

It has to be emphasized that this variable separation in the approximate forms may be a hint of a scaling law which is at work.

### 4.2 The scaling laws for the $[m]=1$ year case

For the $[m]>1$ year data, no particular simple shape for the function $\mathcal{F}_{i}$ can be extracted. In the $[m]=1$ year data, the functions $\mathcal{F}_{i}$ have a very simple shape. The $\ln \left(q_{i}\right)$ distributions as function of $\overline{I_{0}}$ (see Figures (5)(6) for $L=1$ day) can be fitted with straight lines and the following extremely simple approximate forms hold

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{i} \approx \beta_{i}\left(\frac{L}{L_{\mathrm{r}}}\right)^{-\mu_{i}} \exp \left(\left(\frac{\overline{I_{0}}}{I_{r}}\right)^{-\nu_{i}}\right) \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
\ln \left(\beta_{1}\right)=3.14 \pm 0.02, \quad \mu_{1}=0.657 \pm 0.005, \quad \nu_{1}=1.32 \pm 0.02  \tag{29}\\
\ln \left(\beta_{2}\right)=4.51 \pm 0.02, \quad \mu_{2}=1.197 \pm 0.005, \quad \nu_{2}=2.19 \pm 0.02 \tag{30}
\end{gather*}
$$

Hence, for the particular case of $[m]=1$ year, we obtain an interplay of two simple scaling laws with critical exponents $\mu_{i}$ and $\nu_{i}$.

## 5 Conclusion

In this paper, we have extended an earlier work aimed at approximating the term structure of interest rates by ratios of polynomials called Padé Approximants. The form which is used is a purely positive Padé $P[0,4]$ with a constant numerator and a fourth degree denominator in the variation of interest rates.

We have shown that for any maturity, for any lag and for initial interest rates restricted to regions, the form holds to a very good degree of approximation, better than what should have been hoped a priori.

The empirical parameters are represented by functions which point toward the existence of scaling laws and scaling exponents at a more "microscopic level". These scaling laws were already discovered and discussed [1] in terms the lag variable. In this paper, using the extended FRS data [5] now available, we have shown that scaling laws seem also to be at work not only in the lag, as was shown previously, but also in the initial interest rate. This is true especially for $[m]=1$ year maturity where simple critical forms (28) appear both in the lag $L$ and in the average interest rate $\bar{I}_{0}$ and critical exponents can be extracted.

In a forthcoming paper, we will try to use this result and the scaling laws which are suggested by the data to build a theory of the term structure of interest rates at a "microscopic level".
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## Figure Caption

## Figure 1.

The FRS empirical weight distribution $\widehat{w}$ of the interest rates (see (5)) for the maturity $[m]=1$ year and for the Data set $\{2\}$.

Figure 2.
Distribution of $\ln \left(q_{1}\right)$ as a function of $\ln (L / 15)$ for $[m]=2$ years using Data set $\{2\}$.

## Figure 3.

Distribution of $\ln \left(q_{2}\right)$ as a function of $\ln (L / 15)$ for $[m]=2$ years using Data set $\{2\}$.

Figure 4.
One example of a fit to the Data set $\{2\}$ for $[m]=1$ year and $L=1$ day by a Padé Approximant (continuous curve). The chosen subset (see (19)) corresponds to 2000 points in the region $I_{0 \min }=420$ and $I_{0 \max }=553$. The $\bar{I}_{0}$ is computed to be 502 basis points. The empirical points are plotted versus the best Padé fit. In this case, the values of $q_{i}$ evaluated in their respective units are $q_{1}=38.6 \pm 1.3(\%)^{-1}$ and $q_{2}=-308 \pm 19(\%)^{-2}$. The obtained $\chi^{2}$ is 61 with 83 degrees of freedom.

## Figure 5.

The parameter $\ln \left(q_{1}\right)$ as a function of $\overline{I_{0}} / 600$ for $[m]=1$ year and $L=1$ day. These points have been obtained by minimizing the $\chi^{2}$ as a function of parameter $q_{1}$. Each point corresponds to a subset of data containing close to two thousand points with average $I_{0}$ value equal to $\overline{I_{0}}$. The subsets are overlapping so that the points are not independent. The unit used for $q_{1}$ is $(\%)^{-1}$. For $\overline{I_{0}}$ it is the basis point. The straight line is a linear fit. Its equation is $\ln \left(q_{1}\right)=\ln \left(\beta_{1}\right)-\nu_{1}\left(\overline{I_{0}} / 600\right)-\mu_{1} \ln (1 / 15)$ with $\nu_{1}=1.32 \pm 0.02$ and $\ln \left(\beta_{1}\right)=3.14 \pm 0.02$.

## Figure 6.

The parameter $\ln \left(q_{2}\right)$ as a function of $\overline{I_{0}} / 600$ for $[m]=1$ year and $L=1$ day. These points have been obtained using the relation defined in (16). Each point corresponds to a subset of data containing close to two thousand points with average $I_{0}$ value equal to $\overline{I_{0}}$. The subsets are overlapping so that the points are not independent. The error on $\ln \left(q_{2}\right)$ is computed by propagating the error on the estimate of the variance. The unit used for $q_{2}$ is $(\%)^{-2}$. For $\overline{I_{0}}$ it is the basis point. The straight line is a linear fit. Its equation is $\ln \left(q_{2}\right)=$ $\ln \left(\beta_{2}\right)-\nu_{2}\left(\overline{I_{0}} / 600\right)-\mu_{2} \ln (1 / 15)$ with $\nu_{2}=2.19 \pm 0.02$ and $\ln \left(\beta_{2}\right)=4.51 \pm 0.02$.

## Figure 7.

The parameter $\ln \left(q_{1}\right)$ as a function of $\overline{I_{0}} / 600$ for $[m]=2,3,5,7,10,30$ years and $L=1$ day. These points have been obtained by minimizing the $\chi^{2}$ as a function of parameter $q_{1}$. Each point corresponds to a subset of data containing close to two thousand points with average $I_{0}$ value equal to $\overline{I_{0}}$. The subsets are overlapping so that the points are not independent. The unit used for $q_{1}$ is $(\%)^{-1}$. For $\overline{I_{0}}$ it is the basis point.

## Figure 8.

The parameter $\ln \left(q_{2}\right)$ as a function of $\overline{I_{0}} / 600$ for $[m]=2,3,5,7,10,30$ years and $L=1$ day. These points have been obtained using the relation (16). Each point corresponds to a subset of data containing close to two thousand points with average $I_{0}$ value equal to $\overline{I_{0}}$. The subsets are overlapping so that the points are not independent. The unit used for $q_{2}$ is $(\%)^{-2}$. For $\overline{I_{0}}$ it is the basis point. The error on $\ln \left(q_{2}\right)$ is computed by propagating the error on the estimate of the variance.

Figure 9.
Distribution of $\ln \left(q_{1}\right)$, for $[m]=5$ years as a function of $\overline{I_{0}} / 600$ for 3 different $L$ values ( 1,10 and 30 days) where the $L=10$ and 30 days distributions have been shifted on the $\ln \left(q_{1}\right)$ axis by the correcting term (27).

Figure 10.
Distribution of $\ln \left(q_{2}\right)$, for $[m]=5$ years as a function of $\overline{I_{0}} / 600$ for 3 different $L$ values ( 1,10 and 30 days) where the $L=10$ and 30 days distributions have been shifted on the $\ln \left(q_{2}\right)$ axis by the correcting term (27).

## Table Caption

Table 1.
The values of the best fits to the parameters $\mu_{i}$ (see (25)) using Data set $\{2\}$. These parameters are given as a function of the maturity $[m]$. The standard errors correspond to a unit deviation in the $\chi^{2}$.

Table 2.
The values of the best fits to the parameters $\mu_{i}$ (see (25)) using Data set $\{1\}$. These parameters are given as a function of the maturity $[m$. The standard errors correspond to a unit deviation in the $\chi^{2}$.
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Figure (3)


Figure (4)
A fit for $[\mathrm{m}]=1$ year, $\mathrm{L}=1$ day and average $\mathrm{I}_{0}=502 \mathrm{bp}$
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## Table (1)

Data set $\{2\}$

| maturity $(\mathrm{yrs})$ | $\mu_{1}\left(\%^{-1}\right)$ | $\mu_{2}\left(\%^{-2}\right)$ | $\mu_{2} / \mu_{1}\left(\%^{-1}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $0.657 \pm 0.005$ | $1.197 \pm 0.005$ | $1.82 \pm 0.02$ |
| 2 | $0.627 \pm 0.006$ | $1.178 \pm 0.007$ | $1.88 \pm 0.03$ |
| 3 | $0.653 \pm 0.005$ | $1.164 \pm 0.006$ | $1.78 \pm 0.02$ |
| 5 | $0.638 \pm 0.005$ | $1.139 \pm 0.005$ | $1.79 \pm 0.02$ |
| 7 | $0.626 \pm 0.005$ | $1.111 \pm 0.005$ | $1.78 \pm 0.02$ |
| 10 | $0.636 \pm 0.005$ | $1.100 \pm 0.005$ | $1.73 \pm 0.02$ |
| 30 | $0.578 \pm 0.006$ | $1.068 \pm 0.006$ | $1.85 \pm 0.02$ |

## Table (2)

Data set $\{1\}$

| maturity (yrs) | $\mu_{1}\left(\%^{-1}\right)$ | $\mu_{2}\left(\%^{-2}\right)$ | $\mu_{2} / \mu_{1}\left(\%^{-1}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $0.607 \pm 0.006$ | $1.209 \pm 0.009$ | $1.99 \pm 0.03$ |
| 2 | $0.644 \pm 0.004$ | $1.200 \pm 0.007$ | $1.86 \pm 0.03$ |
| 3 | $0.652 \pm 0.004$ | $1.172 \pm 0.007$ | $1.80 \pm 0.02$ |
| 5 | $0.622 \pm 0.006$ | $1.139 \pm 0.005$ | $1.83 \pm 0.02$ |
| 7 | $0.606 \pm 0.006$ | $1.109 \pm 0.004$ | $1.83 \pm 0.02$ |
| 10 | $0.597 \pm 0.005$ | $1.093 \pm 0.005$ | $1.83 \pm 0.02$ |
| 30 | $0.588 \pm 0.005$ | $1.074 \pm 0.004$ | $1.83 \pm 0.02$ |
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