Theory of weak continuous measurements in a strongly driven quantum bit.

A natoly Yu. Sm imov

D-W ave Systems Inc. 320-1985 W est B roadway,

Vancouver, B.C. V 6J 4Y 3, C anada

(A pril 14, 2024)

A bstract

Continuous spectroscopic measurements of a strongly driven superconducting qubit by means of a high-quality tank circuit (a linear detector) are under study. Output functions of the detector, namely, a spectrum of voltage tuations and an impedance, are expressed in terms of the qubit spectrum and magnetic susceptibility. The nonequilibrium spectrum of the current uctuations in the qubit loop and the linear response function of the driven qubit coupled to a heat bath are calculated with Bloch-Red eld and rotating wave approximations. Backaction e ects of the qubit on the tank and the tank on the qubit are analyzed quantitatively. We show that the voltage spectrum of the tank provides detailed information about a frequency and a decay rate of Rabi oscillations in the qubit. It is found that both an e ciency of spectroscopic measurement and measurement-induced decoherence of the qubit dem on strate a resonant behaviour as the Rabi frequency approaches the resonant frequency of the tank. We determ ine conditions when the spectroscopic observation of the Rabioscillations in the ux qubit with the tank circuit can be considered as a weak continuous quantum measurement.

85.25.Cp, 03.67.Lx, 03.65.Ta, 03.65.Yz

I. IN TRODUCTION

Quantum electricalengineering treats electrical circuits as macroscopic quantum systems. Som e of these system s are approximately characterized by two states, and, therefore, can be considered as prototypes of a quantum bit, a main element of quantum computers. Recently, an existence of two quantum states has been proven experim entally in electrical circuits based on Josephson junctions [1{7]; in so doing coherent oscillations between macroscopically di erent states have been measured both in a free-evolution regime (quantum beatings) and in the presence of resonant driving eld (Rabi oscillations). In the majority of the experim ents a detector, for example, a dc-SQUD, was strongly coupled to the qubit during the measurement that resulted in a fast collapse of the circuit into one of its eigenstates. For m apping a whole evolution of the qubit the measurements were repeated thousands times with the same conditions to gather a statistical ensemble. An alternative procedure has been em ployed in an experim ent [7] where continuous measurem ents of Rabioscillations in a three-Josephson-junction (3JJ) ux qubit have been performed. In this experiment the qubit is inductively coupled to a high-quality tank circuit that serves as a linear detector. Rabi oscillations reveal them selves in a spectrum of voltage uctuations in the tank as the Rabi frequency of the qubit R passes through the resonant frequency of the tank ! T. It should be noted that the approach undertaken in Ref. [7] can be considered as an experimental realization of weak continuous measurements that have been studied theoretically in Refs. [8{13]. Previously an e ect of which-path detector on electron dephasing in a double-path interferom eter was dem onstrated experim entally in Refs. [14,15]. Weak coupling between the qubit and the detector (tank) does not yield complete information about the state of the qubit before and during the measurement while not introducing severe decoherence into the quantum system. Because of the last reason the qubit can be monitored continuously with an extraction of useful spectroscopic information about quantum processes going on in the system . Am ong other things, a Rabi frequency ($_{\rm R}$ =2 = 6284M Hz) and a life-time of Rabioscillations (Rabi = 2:5 s) in the strongly driven qubit have been measured in Ref. [7]. We interpret the qubit as a strongly driven system if the frequency of Rabioscillations, R, exceeds signicantly the damping rate of the qubit, : R ; while being much less than the frequency of quantum beatings. Here we have to mention some distinctions between the theoretical analysis of the weak quantum measurements given in Refs. [8,9] and the experim ental im plem entation [7]. An assum ption made in the theory that a characteristic time of the detector is much shorter than the period of measured oscillations is not valid for the tank (detector) with the resonant frequency $!_{T}$ of order of the Rabi frequency $!_{R}$ which is measured in the experiment. This discrepancy is cleared up with a replacement of a localin-time response coe cient of the detector by a nonlocal response function of the tank. In the output spectrum we will have now a product of the qubit spectrum and a Lorentzian that describes a susceptibility of the tank (a Fourier transform of the tank response function). The next distinction is related to the fact that the analysis performed in Refs. [8,9] is aim ed at the continuous measurements of quantum beatings which are determined by the equilibrium spectrum of the qubit. This spectrum has a peak at the frequency of quantum oscillations between degenerate states of the qubit. By contrast, the experimental setup deployed in Ref. [7] is dealing with a strongly nonequilibrium situation when the measured quantum system is driven by the external microwave eld. In this case the output spectrum

of voltage uctuations in the tank (detector) depends on the nonequilibrium current (ux) noise in the qubit loop and has a peak at the Rabi frequency which is much lower than the frequency of quantum beatings but much higher than the decay rate of the qubit. Em ission and absorption spectra of the driven atoms (two-level systems) have been investigated by Mollow [16] in the Markov approximation. It was shown that in the presence of a strong driving eld a single line-shape function of the atom bifurcates into two Lorentzians shifted from the usual resonant frequency of the atom by the Rabi frequency which is proportional to the amplitude of the driving eld. The signals from the atom can be detected by means of high-frequency spectroscopic devices only. The two-level system measured in Ref. [7] produces also a low-frequency output that can be associated with biasing of the qubit from the degeneracy point [17]. We suppose as well that relaxation and decoherence rates can not be introduced into the qubit equations phenomenologically as it was done by Mollow for the atom ic system. The results of the experiment [6] are indicative of a pronounced dependence of the qubit dam ping rates on the amplitude of the driving force. In particular, a decay time of Rabioscillations, Rabi ' 150ns, measured in Ref. [6], is signicantly dierent both from a dephasing time ($_{relax}$ = 20ns) and from a relaxation time ($_{relax}$ = 900ns) of the undriven ux qubit. It is shown theoretically [18] that well-known formulas for the dephasing and relaxation times [19,20] are no longer valid for the strongly driven qubit. In this case relaxation and dephasing are mixed and determined by the spectrum of the heat bath uctuations S (!) taken at the R abi frequency as well as at combinations of the energy splitting of the qubit, $!_c = \frac{r^2 - r^2}{2 + r^2}$, and the Rabi frequency $r_R : !_c = r_R : H$ ere and $r_R : I_c = r_R : H$ are a tunneling rate of the qubit and a bias, respectively. Besides that, the driven qubit is no longer in therm odynam ic equilibrium with the heat bath as evidenced by zero value of a steady-state population di erence between the qubit energy levels [18]. Because of this, we can not resort to the Callen-Welton uctuation-dissipation theorem [21] to not the spectrum of qubit uctuations.

This paper is devoted to a detailed consideration of nonequilibrium uctuations and decoherence in a strongly driven qubit coupled to a linear detector (a high-quality tank). To accomplish these ends we apply a formalism of quantum stochastic equations proposed and developed in Refs. [2{24]. Our quantitative analysis is motivated by the recent experiments [7,6] and based on an assumption of a weak interaction between the qubit and the detector. This assumption can fail near the point of exact resonance between the qubit oscillations and electrom agnetic oscillations in the tank, in particular, at the point $_{R} = !_{T}: W$ ede ne conditions whereby the measurements of Rabi oscillations in the ux qubit performed in Ref. [7] with a high-quality LC circuit (a tank) fall into the category of weak quantum measurements [8,9]. With this aim in mind we calculate a spectrum of voltage uctuations in the tank as well as a contribution of the detector into decoherence rate of the qubit. The experim ental set-up im plem ented in Ref. [7] (so called im pedance measurem ent technique) can also monitor an elective impedance of the system "qubit + tank" by applying a small ac current, Ibias, to the tank with a subsequent measurement of an angle between the tank voltage and the ac current [25,26]. This angle is determined by the magnetic susceptibility of the qubit provided that the frequency of the ac current coincides with the resonant frequency of the tank. Here we calculate the magnetic susceptibility of the strongly driven qubit together with its decay rates taking into account detuning between the high-frequency source and the qubit.

The present paper is organized as follows. Dynamics and uctuations in the linear detector (a tank circuit) coupled to the qubit are under study in Sec.II.W e derive expressions for the averaged voltage in the tank, for the angle between the voltage and ac current, as well as for the spectrum of voltage uctuations in terms of the magnetic susceptibility of the qubit and the spectrum of qubit uctuations. In Sec.III we derive Heisenberg-Langevin equations for the driven qubit interacting with the tank and with its internal heat bath which is responsible for the qubit decoherence in the absence of the tank. These equations are subsequently simplied using a rotating wave approximation for the qubit that is weakly coupled to its environment, i.e. to the tank and to the internal bath. The decay rates of the qubit depending on the amplitude of the driving force and on its detuning from energy splitting of the qubit are derived in Sec.III. A dissipative evolution of a probability to nd the qubit in the excited state as well as an evolution of an averaged current in the qubit loop are considered in Sec.IV. In the same section linear response functions of the driven twolevel system are calculated together with parameters which are required for the impedance m easurem ent technique (IM T). The nonequilibrium spectrum of qubit uctuations are found in Sec.V for the case of zero detuning. The output of the linear detector, namely, the spectrum of voltage uctuations in the tank, and the contribution of the measuring device into qubit decoherence are presented also in Sec.V. In Appendices we outline our approach to the theory of open quantum system (Appendix A) and explain in more detail a derivation of collision terms (Appendix B) and spectra of uctuation forces (Appendix C).

II. LC-CRCUIT INDUCTIVELY COUPLED TO THE QUBIT

We consider a 3JJ ux qubit [27,28] driven by a strong high-frequency eld and inductively coupled to a tank circuit. This coupling is proportional to the coe cient of qubit-tank mutual inductance k L_qL_T as well as to the product of currents in the tank I_T and in the qubit loop I_q . Here k is a dimensionless coupling parameter, L_q and L_T are the inductances of the qubit loop and the tank, respectively, C_T is a tank capacitance. In the quantum case an operator of the qubit current is determined by z_T matrix, $\hat{I}_T = I_{T_T} = I_{$

$$H = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} z z z^{T} \cos t z (Q_{0} + f + \hat{I}_{T}) + H_{T} + H_{qB}$$
 (1)

where is a tunneling rate of the qubit, " is a bias, = kI_q L_qL_T is the coupling coe cient between the qubit and the tank. An operator Q_0 describes an internal dissipative environment of the qubit (without the tank), H_{qB} is a Ham iltonian of this heat bath. The heat bath Q_0 corresponds to all sources of external ux noise which are additional to the noise created by the tank [29,30]. In particular, the ux qubit is coupled to nuclear and impurity spins that can contribute to its decoherence and dephasing [31,32]. We also introduce here f (t), a small external force that is required for a calculation of magnetic susceptibility and, in particular, an absorption spectrum of the qubit. In its turn, the tank driven by a bias current I_{bias} is characterized by the Ham iltonian H_T ,

$$H_T = h!_T (a^+ a + 1=2) (a + a^+)Q_b L_T \hat{I}_T L_{bias} + H_{TB}$$
 (2)

 Q_b is a variable of another heat bath which directly interacts with the tank. That heat bath, having a free H am iltonian H $_{TB}$, is responsible for nite life time of the photons, $_{T}^{-1}$; as well as for a nite quality factor of the tank, $Q_T = !_{T} = 2_{T}$:

O perators of the tank current, $\hat{\mathbf{I}}_T$; and tank voltage, $\hat{\mathbf{V}}_T = \mathbf{i} \quad \mathbf{h!}_T = 2C_T$ (a⁺ a); obey the equations: $\hat{\mathbf{f}}_T = \hat{\mathbf{V}}_T = \mathbf{L}_T$;

$$\frac{d^{2}}{dt^{2}} + !_{T}^{2} \hat{V}_{T} = \frac{2!_{T}}{C_{T}} Q_{D} + !_{T-z}^{2} + \frac{1}{C_{T}} I_{Dias};$$
(3)

From here on we put h=1; and $k_B=1$: For a sm all coupling between the tank and its own bath and/or for the case of G aussian uctuations of free variables of this heat bath, $Q_b^{(0)}$, a total operator Q_b (t) has the form

$$Q_{b}(t) = Q_{b}^{(0)}(t) + \frac{q_{L_{T}}^{2}}{2L_{T} = !_{T}} dt_{1}'_{b}(t; t_{1}) \hat{I}_{T}(t_{1});$$
(4)

with

$$'_{b}(t;t_{1}) = \text{hi}[Q_{b}^{(0)}(t);Q_{b}^{(0)}(t_{1})] \text{ i } (t t)$$
 (5)

being a linear response function of the bath. Here () is the Heaviside step function, and $p_{h}^{(0)} = 0$:

To characterize this heat bath thoroughly we introduce also a correlation function of the unperturbed variables Q $_{\rm b}^{(0)}$:

$$M_{b}(t;t_{1}) = h(1=2) Q_{b}^{(0)}(t);Q_{b}^{(0)}(t_{1})_{+} i$$
(6)

together with a corresponding spectral function $S_b(!)$ which represents a Fourier transform of M $_b($). In the case of 0 hm ic dissipation in the tank with a resistance R_T the imaginary part of the susceptibility $_b(!)$, corresponding to the response function $'_b(t-t_p)$, is proportional to the frequency $!: {}^0_b(!) = ({}_T=2!_T)!$; and to the line width of the tank $_T=1=(R_TC_T)$ with $'_b() = ({}_T=2!_T)(d=d)$ (): A coording to the uctuation-dissipation theorem for the spectrum $S_b(!)$ we obtain:

$$S_b(!) = (_T = 2!_T)! \text{ onth } (! = 2T);$$
 (7)

where T is an equilibrium temperature of the heat bath coupled to the tank. We suppose that this initial temperature is equal to the equilibrium temperature of the bath interacting with the qubit.

In the presence of a time-dependent bias current, I_{bias} (t), the equation (3) for the operator of the tank voltage can be rewritten in the form of a simple stochastic equation

$$\frac{d^{2}}{dt^{2}} + {}_{T}\frac{d}{dt} + {}_{T}^{2}\frac{d}{dt} + {}_{T}^{2}\hat{V}_{T} = \frac{s}{C_{T}}Q_{b}^{(0)} + {}_{T}^{2} + \frac{1}{C_{T}}I_{bias};$$
(8)

A qubit operator $_{z}$ in the right-hand side of Eq.(8) functionally depends on the tank voltage \hat{V}_{T} : For a small qubit-tank interaction this dependence is approximately described by the formula:

$$\underline{z} = \underline{z_{70}} + \frac{Z}{L_T} dt_1 h \underline{z_{(t)}} i\hat{V}_T (t_1);$$

$$(9)$$

Here we take into account the relation (= $\frac{1}{4}$) = (= f); as well as the equation: $\hat{f}_T = \hat{V}_T = L_T$: Fluctuations of the term $_{z;0}$; $h_{z;0}i = 0$; are determined by the internal bath of the qubit, Q_0 , only, so that the operator $_{z;0}$ has no correlations with the heat bath Q_b coupled directly to the tank. The functional derivative, $h_z(t) = f(t)i$; has a magnetic susceptibility of the qubit, $_{zz}(t)$, as its Fourier transform:

$$h_{f(\theta)} = \frac{z(t)}{2} = \frac{d!}{2} e^{i!(t-t^0)} z_z(!):$$
 (10)

These functions describe a behaviour of the qubit current, $h\hat{I}_q i = I_q h_z i$; induced by variations of the time-dependent external ux, which can be created by the tank, $f = k L_q I_q^2 = L_T$ of (t): Taking into account a qubit back-action on the tank we obtain the following equation for the tank voltage:

Z
$$dt_1 = \frac{d^2}{dt^2} + {}_{T} \frac{d}{dt} + {}_{T} \frac{!}{t} = \frac{!}{L_T} \frac{!}{!_T} \frac{!_T}{h_T} \frac{!_T}{f(t_T)} \frac{!_T}{f(t_T)} \frac{!_T}{f(t_T)} \frac{!_T}{f(t_T)} = \frac{!_T}{C_T} Q_{b}^{(0)} + {}_{T} \frac{!_T}{C_T} Q_{b}^{(0)} + {}_{T} \frac{!_T}{C_T} I_{Dias};$$
 (11)

The voltage $\hat{V_T}$ (t), or, more precisely, its average value and correlation functions, serves as a meter in the process of qubit measurements. A veraging of Eq.(11) over the initial state of the qubit and over the equilibrium—uctuations of all dissipative environments allows us to not the average tank voltage, $\hat{hV_T}$ (t) $i = V_T \cos(!\ t+\)$; induced by the time-dependent bias current, I_{bias} (t) = $I_{\text{ac}} \cos !\ t$: In the framework of the impedance measurement technique (IM T) [25,26] an imaginary part of the total impedance of the system—"qubit+tank—", dened by a voltage-current phase shift—", is studied as a function of qubit parameters, such as a bias, etc.. Matching the coecients before e it is the averaged Eq.(11) gives the result:

$$V_{T}e^{i} = i! \cdot !_{T}^{2} \cdot 1 \cdot \frac{2}{L_{T}} \cdot \frac{0}{zz}(!) \cdot !_{T}^{2} \cdot i! \cdot T + \frac{2!_{T}^{2}}{!L_{T}} \cdot \frac{0}{zz}(!) \cdot \frac{I_{ac}}{C_{T}}; \quad (12)$$

Here $_{zz}^{0}$ (!) and $_{zz}^{0}$ (!) are the real and in aginary parts of the qubit magnetic susceptibility (10). At resonant conditions when the frequency of the bias current is exactly equal to the resonance frequency of the tank, ! = !_T; the amplitude of the voltage oscillations is determined by the equation

$$V_{T} = \frac{I_{ac}}{C_{T}}^{n} \left[k^{2} L_{q} I_{q}^{2} \right]_{T}^{2} = \frac{0}{zz} (!_{T})^{2} + \left[T_{T} + k^{2} L_{q} I_{q}^{2} \right]_{T}^{2} = \frac{0}{zz} (!_{T})^{2} = \frac{1}{z}$$
(13)

For the voltage-current phase shift we obtain the expression

$$\tan = k^{2}L_{q}I_{q}^{2} \frac{!_{T} {}_{zz}^{0}(!_{T})}{{}_{T} + k^{2}L_{q}I_{q}^{2}!_{T} {}_{zz}^{0}(!_{T})}$$
(14)

M easurements of the angle between the average voltage in the tank and the bias current gives us an imm ediate information about the real part of the qubit magnetic susceptibility $_{zz}^{0}(!_{T})$ taken at the resonance frequency of the tank $!_{T}:A$ sensitivity of the impedance measurement technique is adversely a ected by a qubit contribution to the tank damping rate which is proportional to the imaginary part of the qubit susceptibility $_{zz}^{0}(!_{T})$.

It follows from the stochastic part of Eq.(11) that a correlator of the voltage uctuations (a cumulant function),

$$M_{V}(t;t^{0}) = h(1=2) [\hat{V}_{T}(t);\hat{V}_{T}(t^{0})]_{+} i = \frac{d!}{2} e^{i!(t-t^{0})} S_{V}(!);$$
(15)

satis es the equation

$$dt_{1} \frac{d^{2}}{dt^{2}} + {}_{T} \frac{d}{dt} + {}_{T}^{2} \frac{d}{dt}$$

The total spectrum of the qubit, S_{zz} (!) = $S_{zz;0}$ (!) + $S_{zz;T}$ (!);

$$S_{zz}(!) = {}^{z} d(t - t)e^{i!(t - t^{0})}h_{2}^{1} [_{z}(t);_{z}(t^{0})]_{+} i;$$
 (17)

incorporates a Fourier transform, $S_{zz;0}$ (!), of the correlator hl=2 [z;0 (t); z;0 (t⁰)], i; which is originated from qubit coupling to its internal heat bath, Q_0 ; together with a contribution $S_{zz;T}$ (!); resulting from the qubit-tank interaction. This contribution has been built into the left-hand side of Eq.(16).

It follows from Eq.(16) that the total spectrum of voltage uctuations in the tank S_V (!) (15) contains a contribution of the thermal noise S_b (!) (7) which is complemented by nonequilibrium noise generated by the qubit $S_{zz,0}$ (!):

$$S_{V}(!) = !^{2} \frac{!_{T}}{C_{T}} \frac{2S_{b}(!) + k^{2}L_{q}I_{q}^{2}!_{T}S_{zz;0}(!)}{(!_{T}^{2} !^{2})^{2} + !^{2}T_{T}^{2}}$$
(18)

Here $!_{T}$ is a resonant frequency of the tank shifted in the presence of the qubit,

$$!_{T} = !_{T} \frac{q}{1 \quad k^{2}L_{q}I_{q}^{2} \frac{0}{Z_{z}}(!_{T})};$$
(19)

and T is a tank linewidth having regard to the qubit contribution to the tank damping,

$$_{T} = _{T} + k^{2}L_{q}I_{q}^{2}!_{T} _{zz}^{0}(!_{T})$$
 (20)

A frequency shift of the tank and a correction to the tank damping rate depend on the total susceptibility of the qubit, $_{\rm zz}$ (!), that should be calculated with consideration for all mechanisms of qubit dissipation.

III. OUANTUM LANGEVIN EOUATIONS.

In this section we derive H eisenberg-Langevin equations with a subsequent goal of nding the nonequilibrium spectrum $S_{zz}(!)$ of the qubit together with its magnetic susceptibility zz (!): To do that we consider a two-state system (a quantum bit) interacting with a heat bath Q in the presence of a harmonic driving force F (t) = F $\cos !_0 t$: This heat bath incorporates a contribution of the internal qubit bath, Q_0 , as well as a contribution of current (ux) uctuations in the tank, $\hat{I}_T: Q = Q_0 + \hat{I}_T: An interaction with this bath, <math>H_{int} = Q_z$; has been integrated into the Ham iltonian (1).

We suppose that the frequency of the external eld! of can be different from the energy splitting of the qubit! $_{c} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}$ with small detuning $= !_{0} \cdot !_{c}$; In the rotating frame of reference the qubit is described by the operators:

$$X = \frac{\mathbf{v}}{\mathbf{v}} \times + \frac{\mathbf{v}}{\mathbf{v}} \mathbf{z};$$

$$Y = \mathbf{v} \cos \mathbf{v} + \frac{\mathbf{v}}{\mathbf{v}} \mathbf{z} + \frac{\mathbf{v}}{\mathbf{v}} \mathbf{z};$$

$$Z = \frac{\mathbf{v}}{\mathbf{v}} \mathbf{z} + \frac{\mathbf{v}}{\mathbf{v}} \mathbf{z} + \frac{\mathbf{v}}{\mathbf{v}} \mathbf{z};$$

$$Z = \frac{\mathbf{v}}{\mathbf{v}} \mathbf{z} + \frac{\mathbf{v}}{\mathbf{v}} \mathbf{z} + \frac{\mathbf{v}}{\mathbf{v}} \mathbf{z};$$

$$Z = \frac{\mathbf{v}}{\mathbf{v}} \mathbf{z} + \frac{\mathbf{v}}{\mathbf{v}} \mathbf{z};$$

which have usual commutation rules: [X;Y] = 2iZ;:: In terms of these operators the Ham iltonian of the system can be rewritten as

$$H = \frac{!_{c}}{2}X \qquad \frac{A}{2}Z \qquad \frac{I_{c}}{!_{c}} (Z \cos !_{0}t + Y \sin !_{0}t) + \frac{"}{!_{c}}X \quad Q + H_{B}:$$
 (22)

where A is proportional to the amplitude of the driving force, $A = (F=!_c)$: Here we resort to the rotating wave approximation (RWA) and neglect fast oscillating terms. Taking into account an explicit time dependence of the operators Y and Z we derive the following Heisenberg equations (h = 1; $k_B = 1$)

$$X = AY + 2 \frac{1}{!_{c}} (Y \cos !_{0}t \quad Z \sin !_{0}t) (Q + f);$$

$$Y = Z \quad AX \quad 2 \frac{1}{!_{c}} X \cos !_{0}t \quad \frac{"}{!_{c}} Z \quad (Q + f);$$

$$Z = Y + 2 \frac{1}{!_{c}} X \sin !_{0}t \quad \frac{"}{!_{c}} Y \quad (Q + f);$$
(23)

In the case of a Gaussian statistics of a free heat bath variables $Q^{(0)}$ or for a weak qubit-bath interaction a response of the heat bath on the action of the qubit is determined by the expression [22]

$$Q(t) = Q^{(0)}(t) + dt_{1}'(t_{1}t_{1})_{z}(t_{1});$$
(24)

w here

$$z = \frac{1}{16} [Z \cos !_0 t + Y \sin !_0 t] + \frac{u}{16} X :$$
 (25)

As in the Section II, a retarded G reen function of free heat bath is denoted by '(t;t₁), '(t;t₁) = hi $\mathbb{Q}^{(0)}$ (t); $\mathbb{Q}^{(0)}$ (t₁)] i (t t) with a respective Fourier transform (a susceptibility) (!). This susceptibility, (!) = $_0$ (!) + $_T$ (!); incorporates a part $_0$ (!); that is due to internal mechanisms of qubit decoherence, together with a resonant contribution of the tank, $_T$ (!);

$$_{T} (!) = k^{2} L_{q} I_{q}^{2} \frac{!_{T}^{2}}{!_{T}^{2} !_{T}^{2} i!_{T}} :$$
 (26)

Besides that, the free heat bath is characterized by a correlation function M $(t;t_1);M$ $(t;t_1)=h(1=2)[Q^{(0)}(t);Q^{(0)}(t_1)]_+$ i; and by a spectrum of equilibrium uctuations S (!) with temperature T,

$$S(!) = {}^{Z} d \dot{e}^{!} M () = {}^{0}(!) \cot \frac{!}{2T} :$$
 (27)

This spectrum $S(!) = S_0(!) + S_T(!)$; contains a part originated from the qubit interaction with its own heat bath, $S_0(!)$; as well as a part, $S_T(!)$; related to qubit coupling to the tank. A coording to the uctuation-dissipation theorem [21], the equilibrium spectrum S(!) is proportional to the imaginary part of the heat bath susceptibility, O(!):

Following to the method outlined in the Appendix A we can rewrite the Heisenberg equations (23) in the form of quantum Langevin equations,

$$X = AY + L_x + {}_x + f_x;$$

 $Y = Z \quad AX + L_y + {}_y + f_y;$
 $Z = Y + L_z + {}_z + f_z;$ (28)

with the collision terms

$$L_{x}(t) = \frac{2}{!_{c}^{2}} dt_{1}fM'(t;t_{1})i[Y(t)\cos!_{0}t \quad Z(t)\sin!_{0}t;$$

$$Z(t_{1})\cos!_{0}t_{1} + Y(t_{1})\sin!_{0}t_{1} + "X(t_{1})] +$$

$$Y(t;t_{1})(1=2)[Y(t)\cos!_{0}t \quad Z(t)\sin!_{0}t;$$

$$Z(t_{1})\cos!_{0}t_{1} + Y(t_{1})\sin!_{0}t_{1} + "X(t_{1})]_{+}g;$$

$$L_{y}(t) = \frac{2}{!_{c}^{2}} dt_{1}fM'(t;t_{1})i[X(t)\cos!_{0}t \quad "Z(t);$$

$$Z(t_{1})\cos!_{0}t_{1} + Y(t_{1})\sin!_{0}t_{1} + "X(t_{1})] +$$

$$Y(t;t_{1})(1=2)[X(t)\cos!_{0}t \quad "Z(t);$$

$$Z(t_{1})\cos!_{0}t_{1} + Y(t_{1})\sin!_{0}t_{1} + "X(t_{1})]_{+}g;$$

$$L_{z}(t) = \frac{2}{!_{c}^{2}} dt_{1}fM'(t;t_{1})i[X(t)\sin!_{0}t \quad "Y(t);$$

$$Z(t_{1})\cos!_{0}t_{1} + Y(t_{1})\sin!_{0}t_{1} + "X(t_{1})] +$$

$$Y(t;t_{1})h(1=2)[X(t)\sin!_{0}t \quad "Y(t);$$

$$Z(t_{1})\cos!_{0}t_{1} + Y(t_{1})\sin!_{0}t_{1} + "X(t_{1})]_{+}g;$$

$$(29)$$

M~() = M () (); = t $_1$; tand the uctuation sources $_x$; $_y$; $_z$. De nitions and correlation functions of these forces, $_m$ (t) = fQ $^{(0)}$ (t); A $_m$ (t)g (m = 1;2;3) are presented in the

Appendix A (see Eq.(A8)). Hereafter the digital indices 1;2;3 correspond to the indices x;y;z; respectively. The qubit operators A_m (t) are de ned as follows (see also Eqs.(23)):

$$A_{x}(t) = 2 \frac{1}{!_{c}} (Y \cos !_{0}t \quad Z \sin !_{0}t);$$

$$A_{y}(t) = 2 \frac{1}{!_{c}} X \cos !_{0}t \quad \frac{"}{!_{c}} Z ;$$

$$A_{z}(t) = 2 \frac{1}{!_{c}} X \sin !_{0}t \quad \frac{"}{!_{c}} Y :$$
(30)

We also introduce the elective forces f_x ; f_y ; f_z ; f_m (t) = A_m (t) f (t); which are necessary for calculating the linear response functions and susceptibilities of the qubit. After the calculations the auxiliary force f (t) should be set equal to zero.

The non-Markovian stochastic equations (28) can be $\sin pli$ ed in the approximation of weak coupling between the qubit and the heat bath (Bloch-Red eld approximation). With non-zero detuning, θ 0; the free evolution of the driven qubit (without coupling to a heat bath) is described by the equations (θ = θ):

$$X (t) = X (t_{1}) \frac{{}^{2} + A^{2} \cos_{R}}{{}^{2}_{R}} + Y (t_{1}) \frac{A}{R} \sin_{R} + Z (t_{1}) A \frac{1 \cos_{R}}{{}^{2}_{R}};$$

$$Y (t) = Y (t_{1}) \cos_{R} X (t_{1}) \frac{A}{R} \sin_{R} + Z (t_{1}) \frac{A}{R} \sin_{R} + Z (t_{1}) \frac{A}{R} \sin_{R} ;$$

$$Z (t) = Z (t_{1}) \frac{A^{2} + {}^{2} \cos_{R}}{{}^{2}_{R}} Y (t_{1}) \frac{A}{R} \sin_{R} + X (t_{1}) A \frac{1 \cos_{R}}{{}^{2}_{R}};$$

$$(31)$$

where R is an elective Rabi frequency of the qubit,

$$R = P \frac{V}{A^2 + C^2} = \frac{V}{V} \frac{F}{V} + (!_0 \quad !_c)^2 :$$
 (32)

In the Bloch-Red eld approximation we can reduce the qubit operators taken at the moment t to the operators at the moment t_1 using Eqs. (31), and, thereafter, calculate (anti)commutators of the simultaneous qubit operators using usual commutation rules: $[X(t_1);Y(t_1)] = 2iZ(t_1);[X(t_1);Y(t_1)]_+ = 0;::$ (see Appendix B). Neglecting fast oscillating terms in the collision integrals (29) we derive the following equations for the qubit operators $X_1 = X; X_2 = Y; X_3 = Z$ in the rotating frame of reference (m; n = 1;2;3):

$$X_{m} + X_{mn} X_{n} + X_{mn} X_{n$$

where for nonzero elements of the matrix we have: $_{12} = _{21} = A$; $_{23} = _{32} =$: The collision coe cients $_{m\,n}$ () are presented in the Appendix B. For steady-state parameters $_{1} = _{x}$; $_{2} = _{y}$; $_{3} = _{z}$ we obtain the following expressions:

$$_{1} = \frac{^{2}}{!_{c}^{2}} \frac{A^{2}}{^{2}_{R}} \circ (!_{0}) +$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \quad 1 \quad \frac{1}{R} \quad {}^{0}(!_{0} + {}_{R}) + \frac{1}{2} \quad 1 + \frac{1}{R} \quad {}^{0}(!_{0} - {}_{R})^{5};$$

$$2 = \frac{A}{!^{R}} \quad 2 \frac{{}^{"2}}{!^{2}} ({}^{0}(0) \quad {}^{0}({}_{R})) \quad \frac{1}{R} \frac{2}{!^{2}} {}^{0}(!_{0}) + \frac{2}{R} \frac{2}{!^{2}} {}^{0}(!_{0}) + \frac{2}{R} \frac{2}{!^{2}} {}^{0}(!_{0} + {}_{R});$$

$$\frac{2}{2!^{2}} \quad 1 + \frac{1}{R} \quad {}^{0}(!_{0} - {}_{R}) \quad \frac{2}{2!^{2}} \quad 1 \quad \frac{1}{R} \quad {}^{0}(!_{0} + {}_{R}) ;$$

$$3 = \frac{A}{R} \quad 2 \frac{{}^{"2}}{!^{2}} \quad {}^{0}({}_{R}) + \frac{2}{R} \frac{2}{!^{2}} \quad {}^{0}(!_{0}) + \frac{2}{R} \frac{2}{!^{2}} \frac{2}{1} + \frac{2}{R} \quad {}^{0}(!_{0} + {}_{R})^{5};$$

$$\frac{2}{2!^{2}} \quad 1 \quad \frac{1}{R} \quad {}^{0}(!_{0} + {}_{R}) \quad \frac{2}{2!^{2}} \quad 1 + \frac{1}{R} \quad {}^{0}(!_{0} - {}_{R})^{5};$$
(34)

Here $^{0}(!)$ and $^{0}(!)$ are real and imaginary parts of the heat bath susceptibility (!).

A form alsolution of the equation (33) has the form

$$X_{m}(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{X} G_{mn}(t)X_{n}(0) + \sum_{n=1}^{X} dt_{1}G_{mn}(t) + \sum_{n=1}^{X} [f_{n}(t_{1}) + f_{n}(t_{1}) + f_{n}(t_{1}) + f_{n}(t_{1})];$$
 (35)

where the last constant term describes the steady-state values of the average qubit variables: $X_{1;0} = X_0 = (A = R)P_0$; $X_{2;0} = Y_0 = 0$; and $X_{3;0} = Z_0 = (A = R)P_0$; with a polarization

$$P_{0} = \frac{4 (\text{"A} =)^{2} \text{ "}(\text{R}) + (\text{R}) + (\text$$

For an exact resonance, $!_0 = !_{cp} = 0$; between the frequency of driving force $!_0$ and energy splitting of the qubit $!_c = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}$; the steady-state polarization P_0 is positive for the 0 hm ic or super-0 hm ic heat bath, 0 = 1: However, P_0 can be negative at non-zero detuning, 0 = 0:

Here we consider the case of strong driving when the Rabi frequency $_{\rm R}$ (32) is much more than qubit's relaxation rates. Then, for Fourier transforms $G_{m\,n}$ (!) of the Green functions $G_{m\,n}$ () incorporated into Eq.(35) we obtain:

$$G_{11}(!) = (^{2} !^{2}) = D(!); G_{22}(!) = !^{2} = D(!); G_{33}(!) = (A^{2} !^{2}) = D(!);$$

$$G_{12}(!) = G_{21}(!) = i! A = D(!); G_{13}(!) = G_{31}(!) = A = D(!);$$

$$G_{23}(!) = G_{32}(!) = i! = D(!);$$
(37)

with a denominator

$$D(!) = i[! + i_z(!)][!^2 _R^2 + i! (!)]'$$

$$i[! + i_z(!)][! _R + i(!) = 2][! + _R + i(!) = 2]: (38)$$

The coe cients $_z$ (!); (!), derived with Eqs.(B2),(B3) from the Appendix B, play roles of frequency-dependent relaxation rates. These relaxation rates are even functions of !; $_z$ (!) = $_z$ (!); (!) = (!); and they are determined by the spectral density of the heat bath S (!) (27):

$${}_{z}(!) = \frac{\mathbf{n}^{2}}{!^{2}_{c}} \frac{A^{2}}{!^{2}_{c}} [S(! + _{R}) + S(! _{R})] + \frac{!^{2}_{c}}{!^{2}_{c}} [S(! + !_{0} + _{R}) + S(! _{0} _{R})] + \frac{!^{2}_{c}}{!^{2}_{c}} [S(! + !_{0} + _{R}) + S(! _{0} _{R})] + \frac{!^{2}_{c}}{!^{2}_{c}} [S(! + !_{0} + _{R}) + S(! _{0} + _{R})];$$

$$(!) = 2 \frac{\mathbf{n}^{2}}{!^{2}_{c}} \frac{A^{2}}{!^{2}_{c}} S(!) + \frac{1}{!^{2}_{c}} \frac{A^{2}_{c}}{!^{2}_{c}} [S(! + _{R}) + 1 + \frac{R}{!^{2}_{c}} S(! + _{R}) + \frac{1}{!^{2}_{c}} \frac{A^{2}_{c}}{!^{2}_{c}} [S(! + !_{0}) + 1 + \frac{R}{!^{2}_{c}} S(! + !_{0})] + \frac{2}{!^{2}_{c}} \frac{A^{2}_{c}}{!^{2}_{c}} [S(! + !_{0} + _{R}) + S(! + !_{0} + _{R})] + \frac{2}{!^{2}_{c}} \frac{A^{2}_{c}}{!^{2}_{c}} [S(! + !_{0} + _{R}) + S(! + !_{0} + _{R})] + \frac{2}{!^{2}_{c}} \frac{A^{2}_{c}}{!^{2}_{c}} [S(! + !_{0} + _{R}) + S(! + !_{0} + _{R})];$$

$$(40)$$

W e om it here frequency shifts of the qubit resulting from its interaction with the heat bath. With Eqs.(37) we can calculate the retarded G reen functions G_{mn} () de ned at > 0:

$$G_{xx}() = \frac{2}{R} e^{-z} + \frac{A^{2}}{R^{2}} e^{-z} \cos_{R};$$

$$G_{xy}() = G_{yx}() = \frac{A}{R} e^{-z} \sin_{R};$$

$$G_{xz}() = G_{zx}() = \frac{A}{R^{2}} e^{-z} e^{-z} \cos_{R};$$

$$G_{yy}() = e^{-z} \cos_{R};$$

$$G_{yz}() = G_{zy}() = \frac{A^{2}}{R^{2}} e^{-z} + \frac{A^{2}}{R^{2}} e^{-z} \cos_{R};$$

$$G_{zz}() = \frac{A^{2}}{R^{2}} e^{-z} + \frac{A^{2}}{R^{2}} e^{-z} \cos_{R};$$

$$(41)$$

where $G_{mn}(0) = m_n$: Decay rates z and are equal to the functions z (!) and (!) (39), (40) taken at zero frequency and at the Rabi frequency, respectively:

$$z = z(0) = 2 \frac{\mathbf{n}^{2}}{! \frac{2}{c}} \frac{A^{2}}{2} S(_{R}) + \frac{2}{! \frac{2}{c}} \frac{A^{2}}{R} S(_{R}) + \frac{2}{! \frac{2}{c}} \frac{A^{2}}{R} S(_{R}) + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{R}} S(_{R}) + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{R}}} S(_{R}) + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{R}}} S(_{R}) + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{$$

$$= (_{R}) = _{z} + 4 \frac{m^{2}}{!_{c}^{2}} \frac{^{2}}{!_{c}^{2}} S(0) + 2 \frac{^{2}}{!_{c}^{2}} \frac{A^{2}}{!_{c}^{2}} S(!_{0});$$
(43)

The decay rate =2 is related to the rate T_1^{-1} from Ref. [18], a notation for z_1^{-1} remains the same. It should be noted also that the heat bath operator, Q (t); de ned in the present paper diers from the same operator Q from the paper [18], by the factor 1=2 (see also [33]). Because of this, to compare our results with results of the above-mentioned article we have to divide our spectral function of the heat bath S (!) and our susceptibility (!) by four to get the spectral function and the susceptibility of the heat bath used in Ref [18].

IV. DISSIPATIVE DYNAM ICS AND A LINEAR RESPONSE OF THE QUBIT.

It follows from Eq. (35) that an evolution of the averaged qubit operator hX $_{\rm m}$ (t)i;m = 1;2;3; from its initial condition hX $_{\rm m}$ (0)i to the steady-state value X $_{\rm m}$;0 is governed by the corresponding G reen functions G $_{\rm mn}$ (t) (41):

$$hX_{m}$$
 (t) $i = X_{m;0} + X_{m;0} + X_{m;0} = X_{m;0} + X_{m;0}$ (44)

In particular, if the qubit starts from the ground state $\hat{D}i$ of the H am iltonian H $_0$ = (=2) $_x$ + ("=2) $_z$ = (! =2)X; where h0 X (0) $\hat{D}i$ = 1; h0 Y (0) $\hat{D}i$ = h0 Z (0) $\hat{D}i$ = 0; a probability to nd the qubit in the excited state, P_E = (1 + hX i)=2;

$$P_{E}(t) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{R} P_{0} + \frac{2}{R} P_{0} + \frac{2}{R} e^{-zt} + \frac{A^{2}}{R} e^{-t} \cos_{R} t + \frac{A^{2}}{2 \cdot R^{3}} e^{-zt} + \frac{A^{2}}{R} e^{-zt} + \frac{A^{2}}{R} e^{-t} \cos_{R} t$$

$$(45)$$

oscillates with the Rabi frequency $_{R}$ (32) and relaxes to the steady-state value $P_{E,st}=(1=2)\left[1+(=_{R})P_{0}\right]$: In the case of resonant driving when $!_{0}=!_{c}$; =0; the energy levels of the qubit are populated equally in the steady state, $P_{E,st}=1=2$: At the same initial conditions the averaged current in the qubit loop, $h\hat{I}_{q}(t)i=I_{q}h_{z}(t)i$; oscillates not only with the Rabi frequency $_{R}$, but also with the frequency of driving force $!_{0}$; as well as with frequencies $!_{0}$ $_{R}$:

$$h\hat{I}_{q}(t)i = \frac{A}{!_{c}} I_{q} \cos !_{0}t P_{0} 1 \frac{A^{2}}{!_{c}} e^{-zt} \frac{2}{!_{c}} e^{-t=2} \cos_{R}t$$

$$\frac{1}{R} I_{q} \cos !_{0}t P_{0} 1 \frac{A^{2}}{!_{c}} e^{-zt} e^{-t=2} \cos_{R}t +$$

$$\frac{1}{R} I_{q} \sin !_{0}t \sin_{R}t e^{-t=2}$$

$$\frac{!_{c}}{!_{c}} I_{q} \sin !_{0}t \sin_{R}t e^{-t=2} \cos_{R}t$$

The qubit starts with an initial current, $h\hat{I}_q(0)i = ("=!_c)I_q$; corresponding to the ground state with a non-zero bias "; and ends at t $_z^1$; 2= with the steady-state current oscillating with the frequency of the driving force $!_0$:

$$h\hat{I}_{q}(t)i_{st} = I_{q}P_{0} \frac{A}{!_{GR}} \cos !_{0}t + \frac{"}{!_{GR}} :$$
 (47)

Interestingly, there are no signs of the Rabi frequency in oscillations of the steady-state qubit current. We emphasize that a relaxation of the population dierence P_E and a decay of the qubit current $h\hat{I}_q$ (t) i are determined by both damping rates z and z =2 (42),(43). It is worth to note also, that zero-frequency uctuations of the heat bath, such as 1/f-noise, which are described by the spectral function S (0); contribute to the decay rate (43) in the case of non-zero detuning and non-zero bias ":

Equations (35) averaged over the initial state of the qubit and over the therm odynam ically-equilibrium initial state of the heat bath allow us to calculate a linear response of the qubit on the action of small external force f(t); namely, a functional derivative f(t) = f(t) or its Fourier transform, a magnetic susceptibility f(t) = f(t) when f(t) = f(t) is the equation of small external force f(t) = f(t). With Eq.(25) we obtain the following expression:

$$h \frac{z(t)}{f(t)} i = \frac{Z(t)}{f(t)} i \cos t + \frac{Y(t)}{f(t)} i \sin t + \frac{Y(t)}{f(t)} i \sin t + \frac{Y(t)}{f(t)} i \sin t$$
(48)

The derivatives of the qubit operators in the rotating frame of reference, h X_m (t)= f($^{\circ}$ l)i, can be found from the averaged equation (35) taking into account from ulas for the forces f_n (t): f_n (t) = A_n (t)f (t); where A_n (t) are defined by Eqs. (30). The mean values of the averaged qubit variables hX i; hY i; hZ i in Eqs.(30) should be replaced in the process by their steady-state values $X_0 = (=_R)P_0$; $Y_0 = 0$; and $Z_0 = (A =_R)P_0$; where the polarization P_0 is given by Eq. (36). Then, for the magnetic susceptibility of the qubit we obtain the following result:

The imaginary part of this susceptibility which denes absorption properties of the driven qubit peaks at the Rabi frequency $_{\rm R}$ as well as at frequencies! $_{\rm 0}$ $_{\rm R}$:

An absorption of weak signal energy by the qubit is determined by the function $U(!) = ! \binom{0}{zz}(!)$ [34]. This is evident from the formula (50) that U(!) can be negative at the positive frequency $!_0$ R: It means that a weak signal having this frequency will be amplied by

the strongly driven qubit. The low-frequency part of the qubit susceptibility which a ects the resonant frequency of the tank (see Eq.(19)) has the form

The angle between a voltage and a current in the tank coupled to the qubit (14) is determined by the susceptibility of the qubit (50), (51) taken at the tank frequency $!_{T}$:

$$\tan = k^2 L_q I_q^2 \frac{I_q^2}{!_{G_R}^2} P_0 \frac{R}{(R_R !_T)^2 + (R_R !_T)^2} \frac{!_T}{T} :$$
 (52)

Here $_{\text{T}}$,

$$T = T + k^{2}L_{q}I_{q}^{2}\frac{\mathbf{q}^{2}}{\frac{1}{2}}\frac{A^{2}}{R^{2}}P_{0}!_{T}\frac{=2}{(R^{2}+1)^{2}+(R^{2}+1)^{2}}$$
(53)

is an e ective damping rate of the tank in the presence of the qubit.

V.NONEQUILIBRIUM SPECTRA OF THE QUBIT AND THE TANK.

Here we will calculate the total nonequilibrium spectrum of the qubit uctuations, S_{zz} (!) (17), for the case of exact resonance between energy splitting of the qubit, $!_c = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}$; and the frequency $!_0$ of the driving eld: $= !_0$ $!_c = 0$: Calculations of the spectrum for non-zero detuning are straightforward, but cum bersom e enough. The part of the qubit spectrum $S_{zz;0}$ (!); which results from internal decoherence mechanisms of the qubit (coupling to the bath Q_0), can be easily found from the expression for the total spectrum S_{zz} : To do that we have to replace the total spectrum of the bath, S_0 (!) by the spectrum of the internal bath S_0 (!) in the expressions (C2)-(C7) for the spectra of uctuation forces given in the Appendix C. It should be emphasized that damping rates of the qubit are determined nevertheless by the total spectrum S_0 (!) (27) of the dissipative environment.

In view of Eq.(25) the correlator of z-operators of the qubit averaged over fast oscillations can be expressed in terms of qubit's correlation functions in the rotating frame (= t $^{\circ}$):

$$h_{2}^{\frac{1}{2}}[z(t);z(t^{0})]_{+}i = \frac{\mathbf{n}^{2}}{\frac{1}{2}}h_{2}^{\frac{1}{2}}[X(t);X(t^{0})]_{+}i + \frac{2}{2!_{c}^{2}}h_{2}^{\frac{1}{2}}[X(t);X(t^{0})]_{+}i + \frac{1}{2}[X(t);X(t^{0})]_{+}i + \frac{1}$$

Correlators of the qubit variables in the rotating frame are determined by the correlation functions of the uctuation forces (see a stochastic part of Eq.(35))

$$h_{2}^{\frac{1}{2}} [X_{m} (t); X_{k} (t^{0})]_{+} i = \int_{0}^{Z} dt_{1} dt_{2} \int_{0}^{X} G_{mn} (t; t_{1}) G_{k1} (t; t_{2}) h_{2}^{\frac{1}{2}} [n_{m} (t_{1}); n_{1} (t_{2})]_{+} i;$$
 (55)

where the G reen functions, G_{mn} (); are defined by Eqs.(41). Four ier transforms of the qubit correlation functions, $_{mk}$ (!), and the correlator of the uctuation forces, K_{n1} (!),

$$h_{2}^{1} [X_{m} (t); X_{k} (t^{0})]_{+} i = \sum_{i=1}^{Z} \frac{d!}{2} e^{i! (t t^{0})} m_{k} (!);$$

$$h_{2}^{1} [n (t); 1(t^{0})]_{+} i = \sum_{i=1}^{Z} \frac{d!}{2} e^{i! (t t^{0})} K_{n1} (!);$$

are related according to the equation

$$_{m k} (!) = {}^{X}_{lq} G_{m n} (!) G_{kl} (!) K_{nl} (!)$$
 (56)

with G_{mn} (!) from Eqs.(37). Correlators of uctuation forces, $h(1=2)[_n(t);_1(t^0)]_+$ i, are calculated according to the procedure given in the Appendix A (see Eq.(A 11)). The expressions for spectral functions of the uctuation forces, $K_{n1}(!)$; are presented in the Appendix C.

For the spectrum of qubit uctuations S_{zz} (!) we nd from Eqs.(17),(54):

$$S_{zz}(!) = \frac{\mathbf{n}^{2}}{!_{c}^{2}} \times (!) + \frac{2}{!_{c}^{2}} \left[\sum_{zz}(!+!_{0}) + \sum_{yy}(!+!_{0}) \pm \sum_{yz}(!+!_{0}) + \sum_{zy}(!+!_{0})\right] + \frac{2}{4!_{c}^{2}} \left[\sum_{zz}(!-!_{0}) + \sum_{yy}(!-!_{0}) + \sum_{yz}(!-!_{0}) \pm \sum_{zy}(!+!_{0})\right];$$
 (57)

It follows from Eqs.(57),(37), that the spectral functions of the qubit operators in the rotating fram e, $_{mk}$ (!); (m; k = x; y; z) are determined by the spectra of uctuation forces K_{nl} (!):

 $with_{zv}(!) = _{vz}(!)$: Here

$$\mathcal{D}(!) \mathcal{L} = [!^2 + \frac{2}{z}(!)][(!^2 + \frac{2}{z})^2 + !^2 + !^2]$$
 (59)

is the modulus square of the G reen function denominator (38). Combining Eqs.(57)-(59) with the formulas (C2)-(C7) from the Appendix C we obtain the nonequilibrium spectrum of qubit uctuations S_{zz} (!):

$$S_{zz}(!) = \frac{\mathbf{w}^{2}}{2! \frac{2}{c}} \frac{W_{R}(!)}{(!^{2} \frac{2}{R})^{2} + !^{2} \frac{2}{c}(!)} + \frac{2}{4! \frac{2}{c}} \frac{W_{R}(!) + !^{2}}{(! + !_{0})^{2} + \frac{2}{Z}(! + !_{0})} = \frac{1}{[(! + !_{0})^{2} \frac{2}{R}]^{2} + !^{2} \frac{2}{c}(! + !_{0})} + \frac{2}{4! \frac{2}{c}} \frac{W_{R}(!) + \frac{2}{Z}(! + !_{0})}{[(! + !_{0})^{2} \frac{2}{R}]^{2} + !^{2} \frac{2}{c}(! + !_{0})} = \frac{1}{[(! + !_{0})^{2} \frac{2}{R}]^{2} + !^{2} \frac{2}{c}(! + !_{0})}$$

$$(60)$$

Here the frequency-dependent damping rates $_z$ (!); (!) are de ned by Eqs. (39),(40). Functions W $_R$ (!) and W (!) are given by the expressions

$$W_{R}(!) = 8 {}_{R}^{2} \frac{\mathbf{n}^{2}}{!_{c}^{2}} S(!) + 2! {}_{C}^{2} \frac{2}{!_{c}^{2}} [S(! + !_{0}) + S(! + !_{0})] +$$

$$\frac{2}{!_{c}^{2}} (!_{R})^{2} [S(! + !_{0} + _{R}) + S(! + !_{0} + _{R})] +$$

$$P_{0}^{0} (!_{R} + !_{0} + _{R}) P_{0}^{0} (!_{N} + !_{N}) P_{N}^{0} (!_{N} + !_$$

$$W (!) = 4! \frac{{}^{4}\frac{{}^{2}}{!_{c}^{2}}S(!) + !_{c}^{2}\frac{{}^{2}\frac{{}^{2}}{!_{c}^{2}}[S(!+!_{0})+S(!-!_{0})] + }{!_{c}^{2}\frac{{}^{2}}{!_{c}^{2}}[S(!+!_{0})+S(!-!_{0})] + }{!_{c}^{2}\frac{{}^{2}}{!_{c}^{2}}(!-l_{R})^{2}[S(!+l_{R})+S(!-l_{R})+P_{0})^{0}(!-l_{R})] + }{!_{c}^{2}\frac{{}^{2}}{2!_{c}^{2}}(!-l_{R})^{2}[S(!+l_{0}+l_{R})-P_{0})^{0}(!+l_{0}+l_{R})] + }{!_{c}^{2}\frac{{}^{2}}{2!_{c}^{2}}(!+l_{R})^{2}[S(!+l_{0}+l_{R})+P_{0})^{0}(!+l_{0}+l_{R})] + }{!_{c}^{2}\frac{{}^{2}}{2!_{c}^{2}}(!-l_{R})^{2}[S(!-l_{0}+l_{R})-P_{0})^{0}(!-l_{0}+l_{R})] + }{!_{c}^{2}\frac{{}^{2}}{2!_{c}^{2}}(!+l_{R})^{2}[S(!-l_{0}+l_{R})-P_{0})^{0}(!-l_{0}+l_{R})] + }{!_{c}^{2}\frac{{}^{2}}{2!_{c}^{2}}(!+l_{R})^{2}[S(!-l_{0}+l_{R})-P_{0})^{0}(!-l_{0}+l_{R}) + }{!_{c}^{2}\frac{{}^{2}}{2!_{c}^{2}}[S(!-l_{0}+l_{R})-P_{0})^{0}(!-l_{0}+l_{R})] + }{!_{c}^{2}\frac{{}^{2}}{2!_{c}^{2}}[S(!-l_{0}+l_{0}+l_{0}+l_{0}+l_{0}+l_{0}+l_{0}+l_{0}) + }{!_{c}^{2}\frac{{}^{2}}{2!_{c}^{2}}[S(!-l_{0}+l_{0}+l_{0}+l_{0}+l_{0}+l_{0}+l_{0}+l_{0}+l_{0}+l_{0}+l_{0}+l_{0}+l_{0}+l_{0}+l_{0}+l_{0}+l_{0}+l_{0}+l_{0}$$

It follows herefrom that the qubit spectrum is an even function of $!:S_{zz}(!)=S_{zz}(!):In$ the regime of strong driving, when R ; R; the qubit spectrum $S_{zz}(!)$ taken at positive frequencies consists of three Lorentzian peaks centered at frequencies R; R; and R0 + R1 with the linewidth R2 each, with R2 = R3 (43), complemented by the additional peak located exactly at the frequency of the driving force R3. In the present section R4 is equal to the frequency of quantum beatings R5. The additional peak has a different linewidth, R5 = R7 (0) (42), and it is absent in the absorption spectrum determined by the function R6 (!) (50). The current in the qubit loop is described by the operator R6 = R9 = R9 = R9 Because of this the spectrum of current uctuations of the qubit, R9 is proportional to the spectrum R9 = R9 = R9 | R9 | R9 = R9 | R9

In view of the facts, that $!_0$ $_R$; and W_R ($_R$) ' 4 $_R^2$; W (0) ' 2 $_Z$ $_R^4$; W ($_R$) ' 2 $_Z$ $_R^4$; and considering the heat bath with temperature that is greater than the energy of a Rabi quantum, T h_R ; we note that simple formula for the nonequilibrium spectrum of qubit uctuations:

$$S_{zz}(!) = 2 \frac{m^2}{!_c^2} \frac{{}^2}{(!_c^2 - {}^2_R)^2 + !_c^2} +$$

$$\frac{\frac{2}{2!\frac{2}{c}}\frac{z}{(!+!_0)^2 + \frac{2}{z}} + \frac{\frac{2}{2!\frac{2}{c}}\frac{z}{(!-!_0)^2 + \frac{2}{z}} + \frac{z}{2!\frac{2}{c}}\frac{z}{(!-!_0)^2 + \frac{2}{z}} + \frac{z}{2!\frac{2}{c}}\frac{z}{[(!-!_0)^2 - \frac{2}{c}]^2 + !^2 - 2};$$
(63)

where the decay rates can be found from Eqs. (42), (43) at zero detuning = 0:

$$z = 2 \frac{\mathbf{n}^{2}}{! \frac{2}{c}} S(_{R}) + \frac{2}{! \frac{2}{c}} S(!_{0});$$

$$= 2 \frac{\mathbf{n}^{2}}{! \frac{2}{c}} S(_{R}) + 3 \frac{2}{! \frac{2}{c}} S(!_{0});$$
(64)

As for the driven atom [16] the spectrum of our two-level system is double peaked at the frequencies $!_0$ $_R$; but, besides that, we have a peak at the Rabi frequency with the intensity that is proportional to the bias squared $"^2$: The low-frequency part of the spectrum $S_{zz}(!)$ gives a signi cant contribution to the voltage spectrum of the tank $S_V(!)$ (18). We recall also that the internal heat bath only should be taken into account in the process of calculating the spectra of uctuation forces $K_{n1}(!)$ (C2)-(C7). It means that in expressions (61),(62) for $W_R(!)$; We have to extract uctuations of the tank from the total heat bath spectrum, S(!); and substitute this spectrum for the spectrum $S_0(!)$ related to the internal bath. The damping rates and $W_R(!)$ in numerators of Eq. (63) are originated exactly from the function W(!) (62). For calculating the spectrum $S_{zz,0}(!)$ it is necessary to replace these rates by the coexcients depending on the spectrum of the internal bath only, namely, $W_R(!)$ and $W_R(!)$ where, for example, $W_R(!)$ remain the same, because both the internal mechanisms and the tank uctuations contribute to the linewidth of the qubit. As a result, for the low-frequency part of the qubit spectrum $S_{zz,0}(!)$ we not

$$S_{zz;0}(!) = 2 \frac{n^2}{!_0^2} \frac{{}_{R}^2 0}{(!_0^2 - 2)^2 + !_0^2}$$
 (65)

It should be noted that all of these nuances with replacing S(!) to $S_0(!)$ and to $_0$ in the spectrum $S_{zz}(!)$ (63) are important only when the contribution of the tank into the uctuations and decoherence of the qubit is quite signicant. For weak qubit-tank inductive coupling a strong in uence of the tank on the qubit coherence can take place only near the exact resonance between the Rabi frequency $_R$ and the resonance frequency of the tank $!_T$. It is necessary to develop m ore rigorous theory to study a close proximity of this point.

The total spectrum of voltage uctuations in the tank, S_V (!) (18), incorporates a contribution of the tank noise, S_{VT} (!); together with a low-frequency contribution of the driven qubit, S_{VQ} (!): S_V (!) = S_{VT} (!) + S_{VQ} (!): At temperatures T ! the tank contribution to the voltage spectrum is proportional to the spectral function S_D (!) = $T_T = P_T$ (7):

$$S_{VT}(!) = 2 \frac{!^2}{C_T} \frac{T_T}{(!_T^2 - !_T^2)^2 + !_T^2};$$
 (66)

where $!_{T}$ is the resonance frequency of the tank (19) shifted due to qubit-tank coupling,

$$!_{T} = !_{T}^{U} \frac{1 + k^{2}L_{q}I_{q}^{2} \frac{\mathbf{n}^{2}}{!_{c}^{2}} P_{0} \frac{!_{T}}{(!_{T} \quad R)^{2} + (=2)^{2}} :$$
(67)

We use here Eq.(51) for the function $(!_T)$. The linewidth of the tank, $_T$, modi ed by the qubit, is de ned therewith by Eq.(53).

For the qubit part we nd from Eqs. (18), (65):

$$S_{VQ} (!) = 2 \frac{\mathbf{n}^2}{! \frac{2}{c}} k^2 \frac{L_q I_q^2}{C_T} !^2 {}_{0} \frac{! \frac{2}{T}}{(! \frac{2}{T} - !^2)^2 + !^2 \frac{2}{T}} \frac{\frac{2}{R}}{(! \frac{2}{T} - \frac{2}{R})^2 + !^2 \frac{2}{T}}$$
(68)

M easurements of the voltage uctuations are performed within the linewidth of the tank: $! ' !_T$ _____ : In this frequency range a signal-to-noise ratio demonstrates a resonant behaviour as a function of the Rabi frequency __R:

$$\frac{S_{VQ}(!)}{S_{VT}(!)}_{j!=!_{T}} = \frac{\mathbf{I}^{2}}{!_{c}^{2}} k^{2} \frac{L_{q}I_{q}^{2}}{T}_{T}^{2} \frac{0}{T} \frac{!_{T}^{2} I_{R}^{2}}{(!_{T}^{2} I_{R}^{2})^{2} + !_{T}^{2}} :$$
 (69)

In this expression we have a ratio of bare damping rates of the qubit, $_0$; and the tank, $_{\rm T}$: Besides the part $_0$, related to the contribution of the internal heat bath into qubit decoherence, the total decay rate of the qubit (64) contains also a term, $_{\rm T}$, which describes a tank share in the qubit damping: $_{\rm T}$; with

$$T = 4k^{2}L_{q}I_{q}^{2}\frac{\mathbf{n}^{2}}{!_{c}^{2}}!_{T}^{2}\frac{T}{(!_{T}^{2} \quad {}_{R}^{2})^{2} + {}_{R}^{2} \quad T}:$$
 (70)

This rate rejects a backaction of the detector (LC circuit) on the quantum bit that accompanies an acquisition of any information from the qubit. Both parameters, $S_{V\,Q}$ = $S_{V\,T}$ and $_{
m T}$ reach the maximums when the Rabi frequency $_{
m R}$ is about the resonant frequency of the tank $!_{\text{T}}$: However, the signal-to-noise ratio (69) as a function of the Rabi frequency $_{
m R}$ has a linewidth that is determined by the damping rate of the qubit $\,$, whereas the tank contribution to the qubit decoherence (70) is localized in the narrower range of Rabi frequencies which is of order of the tank linewidth $_{\rm T}$; $_{\rm T}$: M easurements performed at the Rabi frequencies that are out of this range, $_{\rm R}$ > $!_{\rm T}$ + $_{\rm T}$; or $_{\rm R}$ < $!_{\rm T}$ can demonstrate a good e ciency, $S_{VQ} = S_{VT} > 1$, without introducing strong decoherence in the qubit. To observe a signature of Rabi oscillations in the spectrum of voltage uctuations S_V (!) the decay rate and, all the m ore, the measurement-induced rate $_{\rm T}$ should be appreciably less than the Rabi frequency $_{\rm R}$ ' $!_{\rm T}$: $_{\rm T}$ =! $_{\rm T}$ ux qubit m easured in Ref. [7] we have the following set of parameters: $L_q = 24 pH$; I_q $500nA;Q_T = !_T = 2_T = 1850;T = 10mK;!_T = 6:284MHz;h!_T = 4:16$ that $T = h!_T = 33; L_q I_q^2 = 6$ $10^{24} J; L_q I_q^2 = h!_T = 1440; !_T = 3700$: If we take a value of the coupling parameter squared, k^2 10³; from Ref. [7] and suppose that "= ' 1=126; then for the measurement-induced damping rate we obtain the ratio: $_{T}=!_{T}$ '0:8 at the point where $_{R}$ $!_{T}$ ' =2 ' 0:01! $_{T}$: We use here the decay rate, = 0:02! $_{T}$ $!_{T}$, m easured in Ref. [7]. At these conditions the signal-to-noise ratio (69) is of order 0:5, and the detector-induced decoherence of the qubit, $_{\rm T}$; as well as the total rate are much less than the Rabi frequency of the qubit R: It means that the spectroscopic observation of Rabioscillations [7] can be classi ed as a weak continuous quantum measurement.

VI.CONCLUSIONS.

In this paper we have analyzed quantitatively a continuous spectroscopic m easurem ent of Rabioscillations in a ux qubit by means of a high quality tank (LC circuit) which is inductively coupled to the qubit loop. This circuit serves as a linear detector form easuring the spectrum of voltage uctuations in the tank as well as form on itoring the e ective im pedance of the system "qubit+ tank". Expressions for the voltage spectrum and for the angle between the current that drives the tank and the averaged tank voltage have been derived in term s of the spectrum of qubit uctuations and the qubit magnetic susceptibility. To nd the spectrum of the qubit and its magnetic response we have applied a formalism of non-Markovian Heisenberg-Langevin equations to the case of a strongly driven open quantum system. Combining the Bloch-Red eld and rotating wave approximations we have obtained form ulas for the damping rates of the qubit and its magnetic susceptibility as functions of the amplitude of the high-frequency driving eld and detuning of this eld from the qubit energy splitting. A dissipative evolution of the averaged current in the qubit loop and the probability to nd the driven qubit in the excited state have been described analytically. Contributions of the qubit to the damping rate and the frequency shift of the tank have been calculated. We have presented also analytical formulas for the nonequilibrium spectrum of current uctuations in the qubit loop as well as for the spectrum of voltage uctuations in the tank (detector) which contains inform ation about the Rabi frequency R and about the decay rate of Rabioscillations . It is shown that the ratio between the qubit contribution to the spectrum of voltage uctuations and the thermal spectrum of the tank is peaked when the Rabi frequency is about the resonant frequency of the tank $!_{T}$. It corresponds to the maximal acquisition of information from the qubit. We have shown also that this e ective m easurem ent is accompanied by the maximal value of decoherence resulting from the backaction of the tank on the qubit. The signal-to-noise ratio as a function of a deviation between the Rabi frequency and the frequency of the tank has a linewidth that is proportional to the qubit decay rate, whereas measurement-induced decoherence of the qubit as a function of the same deviation is determined by the linewidth of the tank T which is much less than . It allows us to nd optimal conditions for the e cient spectroscopic m easurem ent of the Rabioscillations in the strongly driven quantum bit.

ACKNOW LEDGM ENTS

The author is grateful to Mohammad Amin, Alec Maassen van den Brink, Miroslav Grajcar, Evgeni Il'ichev, Andrei Izmalkov, Nikolai Oukhanski, Alexander Shniman, and Alexandre Zagoskin for many enlightening discussions. It is my pleasure also to thank Jeremy Hilton and Alexandre Zagoskin for critical reading of the manuscript.

APPENDIX A: METHOD OF QUANTUM LANGEVIN EQUATIONS.

In this Appendix we sketch out basics of our approach to the theory of open quantum systems which has been proposed in Ref. [22] and developed in Refs. [23,24]. Formulas for the collision term $s L_x; L_y; L_z$ (29) will be derived in the process. Besides that, we give here

explicit expressions for the uctuation sources $_{x}$; $_{y}$; $_{z}$ together with a recipe for calculating their correlation functions.

The Heisenberg equations (23) incorporate the total heat bath operator Q (t) (24) multiplied by an operator of the qubit, say, A (t): These operators commute because they belong to the dierent physical systems. It is convenient to work with the symmetrized product of these operators. With the expansion (24) in mind we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Q} (t); A (t)]_{+} = \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Q}^{(0)} (t); A (t)]_{+} + \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{2} (t_{1}); A (t)]_{+} : \qquad (A 1)$$

The averaged value of the rst parametric term in this expression is determined by the quantum Furutsu-Novikov theorem [22]:

$$h_{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{Q}^{(0)} (t); A (t)]_{+} i = \int_{0}^{Z} dt^{0}M (t; t^{0}) h_{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{A (t)}{Q^{(0)} (t^{0})} i;$$
 (A 2)

where M $(t;t^0)$ is the symmetrized correlator of unperturbed heat bath variables, M $(t;t^0)$ = h(1=2) $\mathbb Q^{(0)}$ $(t);Q^{(0)}$ $(t^0)]_+$ i: This relation follows from the fact that due to the qubit-bath interaction a Heisenberg operator of the qubit represents a functional of the bath variables $f\mathbb Q^{(0)}g:A$ $(t)=A[f\mathbb Q^{(0)}g;(t)]$ that can be expanded in a sum of various time-ordered products like $\mathbb Q^{(0)}(t_1)\mathbb Q^{(0)}(t_2)::\mathbb Q^{(0)}(t_n):$ The operators of the free heat bath, $\mathbb Q^{(0)};$ obey the W ick theorem . Because of this the average value of the additional operator $\mathbb Q^{(0)}(t)$ multiplied by the term $\mathbb Q^{(0)}(t_1)\mathbb Q^{(0)}(t_2)::\mathbb Q^{(0)}(t_n)$ is reduced to the sum of pairings between the external operator $\mathbb Q^{(0)}(t)$ and each operators from the above-mentioned product:

The operator $Q^{(0)}$ (t), k = 1; ::; n; engaged with the operator $Q^{(0)}$ (t) in the outside correlator $Q^{(0)}$ (t), should be removed from the initial product. It corresponds to taking a functional derivative over this variable:

This equation together with a relation $Q^{(0)}$ (t)= $Q^{(0)}$ (t') = (t t') results in the form ula:

$$hQ^{(0)}(t)A(t)i = \int_{0}^{Z} dt^{0}hQ^{(0)}(t)Q^{(0)}(t^{0})ih \frac{A(t)}{Q^{(0)}(t^{0})}i; \qquad (A3)$$

We notice that the position of the external operator $Q^{(0)}$ (t) with respect to the operator A (t) is mapped into a relative order of operators in the commutator $Q^{(0)}$ (t) $Q^{(0)}$ (t) involved in Eq. (A3); in so doing the average value of the symmetrized product of $Q^{(0)}$ (t) and A (t) (see Eq.(A1)) is determined by the symmetrized correlator of the heat bath M (t;t).

The functional derivative over the variable Q $^{(0)}$ (t⁰) is equivalent to the derivative over the determ inistic force f (t⁰) which is additive to Q $^{(0)}$ (t⁰) in the Ham iltonian (1). In its turn, the functional derivative of the qubit operator A (t) over the force f (t⁰) is proportional to the commutator of A (t) and the qubit matrix $_z$ (t⁰) that is conjugated to the force f (t⁰) in Eq.(1):

$$\frac{A (t)}{Q^{(0)} (t^{0})} = \frac{A (t)}{f (t^{0})} = i [A (t); z (t^{0})] \quad (t \quad {}^{0});$$
(A 4)

To show this we consider the Heisenberg operator of the qubit, A (t); in the interaction representation, when the interaction between the qubit and the force f (t) is described by the term $H_{int} = {}_{z}f(t)$: Then, an evolution of the operator A (t);

$$A (t) = S^{+} (t)A^{(0)} (t)S (t);$$
 (A 5)

is determined by the S-matrix, S(t) = S(t; 1); with

$$S(t;t_0) = T \exp [i \int_{t_0}^{Z} dt_1 \int_{z}^{(0)} (t_1) f(t_1)]g;$$
 (A 6)

Here T is a time-ordering operator, $z^{(0)}$ (t); A $z^{(0)}$ (t) are the free qubit operators (without the interaction with the force f (t)). Then, for the functional derivative we obtain

$$\frac{A (t)}{f (\theta)} = \frac{S^{+} (t)}{f (\theta)} A^{(0)} (t) S (t) + S^{+} (t) A^{(0)} (t) \frac{S (t)}{f (\theta)};$$

w here

and $_z$ (t⁰) is the total Heisenberg operator. Here we apply the relation S (t;t⁰) = S (t)S 1 (t⁰) = S (t)S $^+$ (t⁰); which follows from the facts that S 1 (t⁰) = S $^+$ (t⁰); and

$$S(t;t^{0})S(t^{0}) = S(t;t^{0})S(t^{0}; 1) = S(t; 1) = S(t):$$

Taking into account the derivative of the matrix S^+ : S^+ (t)= f(t) = i (t 0 t $_z$ (t 0) S^+ (t); we obtain Eq.(A4) for the functional derivative of an arbitrary Heisenberg operator A (t):

In view of the Furutsu-Novikov theorem (A2) the operators like (1=2) $\mathbb Q$ (t); A (t)], involved in the Heisenberg equations (23) can be splitted into a uctuation force $\mathbb A$ and a collision term $\mathbb L_A$:

where the uctuation force,

$$A_{A}(t) = fQ^{(0)}(t); A_{C}(t)g = \frac{1}{2}Q^{(0)}(t); A_{C}(t)$$

$$dt^{0}M_{C}(t;t^{0}) = \frac{A_{C}(t)}{f_{C}(t^{0})};$$
(A 8)

has a zero average value, $h_A i = 0$; and the collision term ,

$$L_{A}(t) = \int_{0}^{Z} dt_{1}M'(t;t_{1})iA(t); z(t_{1})] + \int_{0}^{Z} dt_{1}'(t;t_{1})\frac{1}{2}A(t); z(t_{1})]_{+}; \qquad (A 9)$$

incorporates contributions both parametric uctuations and a back action of the heat bath. Here we introduce a causal correlation function of the free heat bath M´(t;t_1) = M´(t;t_1) (t t_1) having S´(!) as a Fourier transform,

$$S(!) = {}^{Z} d \dot{e}^{!} M() = {}^{Z} \frac{d!_{1}}{2} \frac{i}{!_{1} + i} S(!_{1});$$
 (A10)

with S(!) being the equilibrium spectrum of the heat bath (27), and ! + 0:

The explicit form of the uctuation sources allows us to not their correlation functions. To do that we have to take pairings of all free heat bath variables $Q^{(0)}$ with the heat bath variables and the qubit operators belonging to other uctuation forces. In the case of weak qubit-bath coupling we can take into account pairings between the free heat bath variables only. With this procedure we derive the following expressions for a correlator of uctuation forces A (t) and A (t): A (t) A (

$$h_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1}[A(t);B(t^{0})]_{+}i = M(t;t^{0})h_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1}[A(t);B(t^{0})]_{+}i + R(t;t^{0})h_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1}[A(t);B(t^{0})]_{+}i$$
 (A 11)

where the antisymmetrized correlator of the heat bath, R (t; t^0) = h(1=2) $\mathbb{Q}^{(0)}$ (t); $\mathbb{Q}^$

APPENDIX B:COLLISION INTEGRALS.

Collision term $s L_x; L_y; L_z$ (29) can be simplied in the approximation of weak coupling between the qubit and the heat bath. In this case (anti)commutators of the qubit variables X; Y; Z taken at dierentmoments of time are calculated with free evolution operators of the qubit (31). Here we present expressions for (anti)commutators of qubit operators X; Y; Z involved both into the collision terms and into the correlation functions of the uctuation forces. With Eqs. (31) and the usual commutation rules we obtain (here z):

$$\begin{split} \text{i} [X \ (\text{t}); X \ (\text{t}_1)] \ = \ 2Z \ (\text{t}_1) \frac{A}{R} \sin_{R} \quad 2Y \ (\text{t}) \frac{A}{2} \ (1 \quad \cos_{R} \); \\ \frac{1}{2} [X \ (\text{t}); X \ (\text{t}_1)]_{+} \ = \ \frac{2}{2} + \frac{A^2}{2} \cos_{R} \ ; \\ \text{i} [X \ (\text{t}); Y \ (\text{t}_1)] \ = \ 2Z \ (\text{t}_1) \ \frac{2}{2} + \frac{A^2}{2} \cos_{R} \ + \ 2X \ (\text{t}_1) \frac{A}{2} \ (1 \quad \cos_{R} \); \end{split}$$

$$\frac{1}{2} [X (t); Y (t_1)]_{+} = \frac{1}{2} [Y (t); X (t_1)]_{+} = \frac{A}{R} \sin_{-R};$$

$$i[Y (t); X (t_1)] = 2Z (t_1) \cos_{-R} \qquad 2Y (t) ---- \sin_{-R};$$

$$i[X (t); Z (t_1)] = 2Y (t_1) \qquad \frac{2}{R} + \frac{A^2}{R} \cos_{-R} \qquad 2X (t_1) \frac{A}{R} \sin_{-R};$$

$$\frac{1}{2} [X (t); Z (t_1)]_{+} = \frac{1}{2} [Z (t); X (t_1)]_{+} = \frac{A}{R} (1 - \cos_{-R});$$

$$i[Z (t); X (t_1)] = 2Y (t_1) \qquad \frac{A^2}{R} + \frac{2}{R} \cos_{-R} \qquad 2Z (t_2) ---- \sin_{-R};$$

$$i[Y (t); Y (t_1)] = 2Z (t_1) \frac{A}{R} \sin_{-R} + 2X (t_2) ---- \sin_{-R};$$

$$i[Y (t); Y (t_1)] = 2Z (t_2) \frac{A}{R} \sin_{-R} + 2X (t_2) ---- \sin_{-R};$$

$$i[Y (t); Z (t_1)]_{+} = \frac{1}{2} [Z (t); Y (t_1)]_{+} = --- \sin_{-R};$$

$$i[Y (t); Z (t_1)]_{+} = \frac{1}{2} [Z (t); Y (t_1)]_{+} = --- \sin_{-R};$$

$$i[Z (t); Z (t_1)]_{-} = 2X (t_2) ---- \sin_{-R};$$

$$i[Z (t); Z (t_1)]_{-} = 2X (t_2) ---- \sin_{-R};$$

$$i[Z (t); Z (t_1)]_{+} = \frac{1}{2} [Z (t); Z (t_2)]_{+} = --- \sin_{-R};$$

$$i[Z (t); Z (t_1)]_{-} = 2X (t_2) ---- \sin_{-R};$$

$$i[Z (t); Z (t_1)]_{+} = \frac{A^2}{R} + \frac{2}{R} \cos_{-R};$$

$$i[Z (t); Z (t_1)]_{+} = \frac{A^2}{R} + \frac{2}{R} \cos_{-R};$$

$$i[Z (t); Z (t_1)]_{+} = \frac{A^2}{R} + \frac{2}{R} \cos_{-R};$$

$$i[Z (t); Z (t_1)]_{+} = \frac{A^2}{R} + \frac{2}{R} \cos_{-R};$$

$$i[Z (t); Z (t_1)]_{+} = \frac{A^2}{R} + \frac{2}{R} \cos_{-R};$$

$$i[Z (t); Z (t_1)]_{+} = \frac{A^2}{R} + \frac{2}{R} \cos_{-R};$$

$$i[Z (t); Z (t_1)]_{+} = \frac{A^2}{R} + \frac{2}{R} \cos_{-R};$$

$$i[Z (t); Z (t_1)]_{+} = \frac{A^2}{R} + \frac{2}{R} \cos_{-R};$$

$$i[Z (t); Z (t_1)]_{+} = \frac{A^2}{R} + \frac{2}{R} \cos_{-R};$$

Using these formulas we not the following expressions for the collision coecients involved in Eq.(33):

$$\sum_{XX} () = \frac{2}{2!_{C}^{2}} M'' () + \frac{2}{R} \sum_{R}^{2} \cos_{R} \cos_{R}$$

Four ier transforms of the collision coecients mn () (B2),

$$_{mn}^{-}$$
 (!) = d $\dot{e}^{!}$ $_{mn}^{-}$ ();

are proportional to the causal spectrum of the heat bath S(!) (A 10). Frequency-dependent relaxation rates $_z(!)$; (!) (39),(40) de ning relaxation and decoherence of the qubit are expressed in terms of real and imaginary parts of the functions $^{\sim}_{mn}$ (!):

$$z(!) = \frac{2}{2} \overset{\circ_{0}}{\overset{\circ_{0}}{\overset{\circ}{\times}}} (!) + 2 \frac{A}{2} \overset{\circ_{0}}{\overset{\circ_{0}}{\overset{\circ}{\times}}} (!) + \frac{A^{2}}{2} \overset{\circ_{0}}{\overset{\circ_{0}}{\overset{\circ}{\times}}} (!);$$

$$(!) = \frac{A^{2}}{2} \overset{\circ_{0}}{\overset{\circ_{0}}{\overset{\circ}{\times}}} (!) + \overset{\circ_{0}}{\overset{\circ_{0}}{\overset{\circ}{\times}}} (!) + \frac{2}{2} \overset{\circ_{0}}{\overset{\circ_{0}}{\overset{\circ}{\times}}} (!) + \frac{2}{2} \overset{\circ_{0}}{\overset{\circ_{0}}{\overset{\circ}{\times}}} (!) + \frac{2}{2} \overset{\circ_{0}}{\overset{\circ_{0}}{\overset{\circ}{\times}}} (!);$$

$$2 \frac{A}{!} \overset{\circ_{0}}{\overset{\circ_{0}}{\overset{\circ}{\times}}} (!) + 2 \frac{A}{!} \overset{\circ_{0}}{\overset{\circ_{0}}{\overset{\circ}{\times}}} (!) + \frac{2}{2} \overset{A}{\overset{\circ_{0}}{\overset{\circ}{\times}}} (!);$$
(B 3)

APPENDIX C: CORRELATORS OF FLUCTUATION FORCES.

In this appendix we adduce form ulas for the spectral functions of uctuation forces K $_{\rm n1}(!)$ that eventually determ ine the nonequilibrium spectrum of qubit uctuations $S_{zz}(!)$ (57). Correlation functions of uctuation forces, $_{\rm m}$ (t) = fQ $^{(0)}$ (t); $A_{\rm m}$ (t)g; are calculated according to Eq.(A 9) with (anti)commutators presented by Eqs.(B1). For the spectrum K $_{yy}(!)$; as an example, the corresponding correlator of the uctuation forces, $h(1=2)[_{y}(t);_{y}(t^{0})]_{\downarrow}$ i is obtained from Eq.(A 9) with the operators $A = A_{y}(t)$; $B(t^{0}) = A_{y}(t^{0})$ (30):

$$h_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} [y(t); y(t^{0})]_{+} i = 2\frac{2}{! \frac{2}{c}} M (t; t^{0})h_{\frac{1}{2}} [X(t); X(t^{0})]_{+} i + R(t; t^{0})$$

Following to this procedure for all correlation functions of the uctuation forces and taking corresponding Fourier transforms we not for the spectral functions of uctuation forces $K_{lq}(!); l; q = x; y; z$:

$$K_{xx}(!) = \frac{2}{!_{c}^{2}} [S(! + !_{0}) + S(! + !_{0})] +$$

$$\frac{2}{2!_{c}^{2}} [S(! + !_{0} + _{R}) + S(! + !_{0} + _{R})] +$$

$$S(! + !_{0} + _{R}) + S(! + !_{0} + _{R})] +$$

$$\frac{2}{2!_{c}^{2}} P_{0} [O(! + !_{0} + _{R}) + O(! + !_{0} + _{R})] +$$

$$O(! + !_{0} + _{R}) O(! + !_{0} + _{R})];$$

$$(C2)$$

$$K_{xy}(!) = K_{yx}(!) = \frac{\frac{2}{2!} \frac{A}{C}}{2! \frac{2}{C}} \frac{A}{R} [S(! + !_0 + R) S(! + l_0 + R) + S(! + l_0 + R)] + S(! + l_0 + R)$$

$$i\frac{2}{2! c^{2}} \frac{A}{R} P_{0} [(! + !_{0} + _{R}) + (! !_{0} _{R}) + (! !_{0} _{R}) + (C3)$$

$$K_{xz}(!) = K_{zx}(!) = \frac{\frac{2}{2!} \frac{A}{R}}{2! \frac{2}{C} \frac{A}{R}} [S(! + !_{0} R) + S(! !_{0} + R)] + S(! !_{0} + R)$$

$$S(! + !_{0} + R) S(! !_{0} R)] + \frac{\frac{2}{2!} \frac{A}{C}}{2! \frac{2}{C} \frac{A}{R}} P_{0}[{}^{0}(! + !_{0} R) {}^{0}(! !_{0} + R) + R)$$

$${}^{0}(! + !_{0} + R) {}^{0}(! !_{0} R)];$$

$$(C4)$$

$$K_{yy}(!) = 4 \frac{m^{2}}{!_{c}^{2}} S(!) + \frac{2}{2!_{c}^{2}} [S(! + !_{0} + _{R}) + S(! + !_{0} + _{R}) + S(! + !_{0} + _{R}) + S(! + !_{0} + _{R})] + S(! + !_{0} + _{R}) + S(! + !_{0} + _{R})];$$

$$C(5)$$

$$K_{yz}(!) = K_{zy}(!) = \frac{1}{2!_{c}^{2}} [S(! + !_{0} + _{R}) \quad S(! \quad !_{0} \quad _{R}) + \\ S(! + !_{0} \quad _{R}) \quad S(! \quad !_{0} + _{R})]$$

$$\frac{1}{2!_{c}^{2}} P_{0}[\stackrel{\omega}{(! + !_{0} + _{R})} + \stackrel{\omega}{(! \quad !_{0} + _{R})}]$$

$$\stackrel{\omega}{(! + !_{0} + _{R})} \stackrel{\omega}{(! \quad !_{0} + _{R})} [0 \quad (C6)$$

$$K_{zz}(!) = 2\frac{\mathbf{n}^{2}}{!_{c}^{2}} \mathbb{S}(! + _{R}) + \mathbb{S}(! _{R})$$

$$P_{0}^{0}(! + _{R}) + P_{0}^{0}(! _{R})] +$$

$$\frac{2}{2!_{c}^{2}} \mathbb{S}(! + !_{0} + _{R}) + \mathbb{S}(! _{0} + _{R}) +$$

$$\mathbb{S}(! + !_{0} + _{R}) + \mathbb{S}(! _{0} + _{R})] +$$

$$\frac{2}{2!_{c}^{2}} P_{0}[^{0}(! _{0} + !_{0} + _{R}) + _{R}) +$$

$$0(! + !_{0} + _{R}) +$$

REFERENCES

- [1] Yu. Makhlin, G. Schon, and A. Shnirman, Rev. Mod. Phys. 73, 357 (2001).
- [2] Y. Nakamura, Yu A. Pashkin, and J.S. Tsai, Nature 398, 786 (1999).
- [3] C. H. van der W. al, A. C. J. ter Haar, F. K. W. ilhelm, R. N. Schouten, C. J. P. M. Harmans, T. P. Orlando, S. Lloyd, and J. E. M. ooij, Science 290, 773 (2000).
- [4] Y. Yu, S. Han, X. Chu, S.I. Chu, and Z. Wang, Science 296, 889 (2002).
- [5] D. Vion, A. Aassime, A. Cottet, P. Joyez, H. Pothier, C. Urbina, D. Esteve, and M. H. Devoret, Science 296, 886 (2002).
- [6] I. Chiorescu, Y. Nakamura, C. J.P. M. Harmans, J.E. Mooij, Science 299, 1869 (2003).
- [7] E. Il'ichev, N. Oukhanski, A. Izmalkov, Th. Wagner, M. Grajcar, H.-G. Meyer, A. Yu. Smimov, A. Maassen van den Brink, M. H. S. Amin, A. M. Zagoskin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91,097906 (2003).
- [8] D. V. Averin, in: "Exploring the quantum /classical frontier: recent advances in macroscopic quantum phenomena", Ed. by J.R. Friedman and S. Han, (Nova Publishes, Hauppauge, NY, 2002), p. 441; cond-mat/0004364.
- [9] D. V. Averin, cond-mat/0301524 (unpublished).
- [10] S. Pilgram and M. Butikker, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 200401 (2002).
- [11] A A. Clerk, SM. Girvin, and A D. Stone, Phys. Rev. B 67, 165324 (2003).
- [12] A. N. Korotkov and D. V. Averin, Phys. Rev. B 64, 165310 (2001).
- [13] A.M. aassen van den Brink, Europhys. Lett. 58, 562 (2002).
- [14] E. Buks, R. Schuster, M. Heiblum, D. Mahalu, and V. Umansky, Nature 391, 871 (1998).
- [15] D. Sprinzak, E. Buks, M. Heiblum, and H. Shtrikman, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 5820 (2000).
- [16] B.R.Mollow, Phys. Rev. A 5, 1522 (1972).
- [17] Ya. S. Greenberg, A. Izmalkov, M. Grajcar, E. Il'ichev, W. Krech, and H.-G. Meyer, Phys. Rev. B 66, 224511 (2002).
- [18] A.Yu. Sm imov, Phys. Rev. B 67, 155104 (2003).
- [19] C.P. Slichter, Principles of Magnetic Resonance, 3rd ed. (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990).
- [20] M. Grifoni, E. Paladino, and U. Weiss, Eur. Phys. J. B 10, 719 (1999).
- [21] H. B. Callen and T. A. Welton, Phys. Rev. 83, 34 (1951).
- [22] G. F. Efrem ov and A. Yu. Sm imov, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 80, 1071 (1981). [Sov. Phys. JETP 53, 547 (1981)].
- [23] A. Yu. Sm imov, Phys. Rev. B 60, 3040 (1999).
- [24] L.G. Mourokh, N.J.M. Horing, and A.Yu. Smimov, Phys. Rev. B 66, 085332 (2002).
- [25] E. Il'ichev, Th. Wagner, L. Fritzsch, J. Kunert, V. Schultze, T. May, H. E. Hoenig, H. G. Meyer, M. Grajcar, D. Born, W. Krech, M. V. Fistul, and A. M. Zagoskin, Appl. Phys. Lett. 80, 4184 (2002).
- [26] Ya.S.G reenberg, A. Izmalkov, M.Grajcar, E. Il'ichev, W. Krech, H.-G. Meyer, M.H.S. Amin, and A. Maassen van den Brink, Phys. Rev. B 66, 214525 (2002).
- [27] J.E.M. ooij, T.P.O rlando, L.Levitov, L.Tian, C.H. van der W. al, and S.Lloyd, Science 285, 1036 (1999).
- [28] T.P.Orlando, J.E.M. ooij, L.Tian, C.H. van der W. al, L. Levitov, S. Lloyd, and J.J. M. azo, Phys. Rev. B 60,15398 (1999).
- [29] L.Tian, L.S.Levitov, C.H. van der W. al, J.E. M. ooij, T.P.O. rlando, S.Lloyd, C.J.P.M. Harmans, and J.J. M. azo, in: Quantum M. esoscopic Phenomena and M. esoscopic Devices

- in M icroelectronics, Ed. by I.O. Kulik and R. Ellialtioglu (K luwer A cadem ic Publishers, D ordrecht, 2000), pp. 429-438; cond-m at/9910062.
- [30] F.K. Wilhelm, M.J. Storcz, C.H. van der Wal, C.J.P.M. Harmans, J.E. Mooij, Adv. Solid State Phys. 43, 763 (2003).
- [31] N. Prokofev and P. Stamp, cond-mat/0006054 (unpublished).
- [32] G.Rose and A.Yu.Sm imov, J.Phys.: Condens.M atter 13, 11027 (2001).
- [33] Signs should be reversed before the parentheses in the second and third equations (5) of Ref. [18].
- [34] B. Fain and Ya.I. Khanin, Quantum Electronics (Pergamon, Oxford, 1969).