Simulations of experimental conductance spectra of YB a_2 C u_3 O $_y$ junctions: Role of a long d-wave decay length and a small is component in the pair potential near the interface

I. Lubim ova and G. Koren

Physics Department, Technion - Israel Institute of Technology

Haifa, 32000, ISRAEL

PACS: 74.20 Rp, 74.50.+ r, 74.72 Bk

Extended BTK-type theoretical methods were used for the calculation of conductance spectra of NS and NIS junctions, where S is either a d-wave or a d+ is-wave superconductor, and N is a normal metal. We found that the length scale overwhich the bulk d-wave order parameter varies spatially near the interface (the "decay length") has a significant election the main features of the conductance spectra. A relatively large decay length of the order of 10-20 together with a small is-wave component, can explain many peculiarities observed in the experimental conductance spectra of various junctions. We attribute the long decay length to either a reversed proximity election the high transparency junctions, or to the presence of a low $T_{\rm C}$ 10K s-wave component with a much longer of the long near the interface.

INTRODUCTION

M any investigations of the special properties of d-wave superconductors and their in uence on the conductance spectra have been reported in recent years.[], 2] A large number of them deal with NIS or SIS junctions in the tunneling limit where the elective BTK barrier strength

$$Z = \frac{m H}{\sim^2 k_F} \tag{1}$$

is larger than 1 (strong barrier).[3] Here H is the amplitude of the -function barrier at the interface. From a theoretical point of view, the tunneling limit is simpler to treat because in this lim it of weak coupling between the electrodes, one can consider the electrodes as almost independent entities. More specically, one can assume that the spatial changes of the superconducting order parameter, arising from the presence of a surface, occur only in a very small distance from the interface inside the superconductor. Thus, to a st order approxim ation these changes are often ignored. Conductance spectra of N IS junctions where S is a d-wave superconductor, are characterized by a zero bias conductance peak (ZBCP) in the node-direction, and by a gap in the anti-node (main axis) direction. Theoretical calculations of low transparency junctions reproduce these features quite well, also in the simpler case when a spatial independent pair potential is taken into account.[4] The ZBCP is a manifestation of zeroenergy bound states of quasi-particles near the interface. The appearance of these bound states along the node direction of a d-wave superconductor results from the sign change of the energy gap $('_{+}) =$ ('), where ' angles between the wave-vector of the incoming or scattered quasi-particle and the normal to the interface, and $^{\prime}$ $_{\scriptscriptstyle +}$ =

Theoretical calculations of the conductance spectra of junctions with a weak-barrier are more problem atic. The problem arises from the strong mutual dependence of the pair potential on both sides of the NS interface. This is in contrast to the strong barrier case, where the two electrodes are almost decoupled. Thus, in order to obtain an explicit form of the spatial dependence of the pair potential (SDPP), a complete know ledge of the quasi-classical Green function is necessary, not only in the S side but also in the N side of the junction. Therefore, a proximity e ect must be directly included in the calculations. There is no consensus on the peculiarities of the proximity e ect in junctions with anisotropic superconductors at the present time. The penetration length of the order parameter into the N side and its symmetry there, depend sensitively on the species properties of

the normal metal. [5, 6, 7, 8, 9] Recently, it was found, that even ferrom agnetic or antiferrom agnetic m etals in NS junctions have a long-range proximity e ect under certain conditions, [10, 11, 12] and this is in contrast to the predictions of certain theories.[13] For the 123-fam ily of superconductors, the length scale of the proximity e ect in the N side depends signi cantly on the oxygen-doping level of the S side. This results from the fact that in underdoped YBCO $T_{\rm c}$ is reduced compared to optimal doping, and the value of the coherence length is increased. A-priori, the proximity interaction between the two electrodes should depend strongly on the barrier strength. But as was shown previously, [14, 15, 16] the e ect of the barrier is not always so evident, and even a barrier of nite strength is not always e ective in reducing the conductance of the junctions. In particular, in NS junctions with similar materials and similar density of states (DOS) on both sides, the in uence of a barrier is suppressed signi cantly. This occurs when both electrodes are made of dierently doped cuprate compounds. According to Deutscher and De Gennes, [17] in such cases the amplitude of the order parameter in the normal side near the interface can reach a value close to that in the superconductor, and there is almost no discontinuity or jump at the interface. Therefore, in this type of junctions the di usion of pairs from the superconductor into the metal is almost una ected by the barrier, and the decay distance of pairs in the normal phase may be anomalously large.

YBCO has a short coherence length $_0$ = $_{\rm V_F}$ = $_0$ of about 10 30A where $_0$ is the bulk value of the d-wave pair potential.[18] In spite of this fact, it follows from the above discussion that in studies of NS-junctions with similar cuprates one should examine a wide range of decay lengths in S and proximity lengths in N. To clarify this dierent length scales dependence, we decided to calculate the corresponding conductance spectra in a phenomenological way. We didn't develop a new model for self-consistent calculations of the pair potential in proximity systems. Instead, we assumed reasonable pair potential shapes (SDPP), and calculated the resulting conductance spectra. From the results we were able to identify which of the peculiarities of the SDPP had the most signicant election the conductance spectra, and what form of SDPP leads to the best to of the experimental data.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

We have calculated numerically the conductance spectra of a normal metal (N) - d-wave superconductor (S) junction as a function of applied voltage using the basic model developed by Tanaka and Kashiwaya.[1] According to their

theory, the conductance is given by:

$$(eV) = \frac{\mathbb{R}^{2} \mathbb{R}}{\mathbb{R}^{2} \mathbb{R}} \times \mathbb{R}^{2} \times \mathbb$$

where the integrations are over the angles between the trajectory of the incom ing quasi-particle and the normal to the interface of the junction, and the energy. The normal metal conductance $_{\rm N}$, characterizes the transparency of the junction and is given by:

$$_{N} = \frac{4 \cos^{2} '}{4 \cos^{2} ' + Z^{2}} : \tag{3}$$

where Z is the elective barrier strength as given by Eq. (1). f (E) in Eq. (2) is the Fermi distribution function at temperature T. The function $_{\rm S}$ in Eq. (2) represents an extension of the standard BTK expression for the conductance [3]

$$s = 1 \quad \mathbf{j} \mathbf{p}^{2} + \mathbf{j} \mathbf{a}^{2}; \tag{4}$$

to the case of spatial dependent order parameter. In Eq. (4), b and a are the coe cients of the normal and Andreev rejections, respectively. In the simple BTK model, the rejection coe cients are expressed in terms of the functions (E;') in the following way:

$$\frac{s}{n} = \frac{1 + n j + \hat{j} + (n + 1)j + \hat{j}}{1 + (n + 1) + \hat{j}};$$
 (5)

The in this equation are functions of the energy E and angle 'only, since the pair potential is assumed to be spatially constant. In the present study however, we use the extended BTK model which takes into account the spatial dependence of the superconducting pair potential. Following Kashiwaya and Tanaka, [1] we calculated the values at the interface by solving a set of Riccati type equations (Eqs. (3.50-3.52) in Ref.[1]) in which the spatial dependent pair potentials (';x) appear as one cients (x is the distance from the interface in S).

In our simulations we have chosen the following functions to represent the spatial dependence of the pair potential of the dominant $d_{x^2-y^2}$ and sub-dominant s components of the order parameter:

$$\frac{d_{x^2-y^2}()}{d_{x^2-y^2}} = \tanh((+k_1) - k_2);$$
 (6)

and

$$\frac{s()}{s} = k_3 \exp(k_4)^2;$$
 (7)

= x = 0 is a dimensionless length (see Fig.1). The superconductor oc-0 and the interface is exactly at x=0. Here the k_i (i=1:4) are din ensionless parameters which depend on the junction orientation relative to the crystalline axis. The modelling functions in Eqs. (6) and (7), satisfy the main boundary conditions on both component of the pair potential (d_{u_2} , u_2 ! s ! 0 as x ! 1), and are consistent with the self-consistent model results.[20, 19] Moreover, we note that the ne details of the shape of the SDPP is not essential, as it does not a ect the resulting spectra in any major way. In order to con im this statement, we examined dierent possible functions by replacing, for instance, tanh () with 1 exp (). Results of these calculations show that such changes lead only to minor changes in the energies of the highorder bound states in the conductance spectra. We found out that the most im portant param eters of the SDPP shape which a ect the conductance spectra, are the maximal amplitude of the sub-dominant component (the k_3 coe cient in Eq. (7)) and the quasi-particle decay length (QPDL), which represents the characteristic length scale over which the pair potential varies spatially near the interface (governed by k_1 ; k_2 and k_4 in Eqs. (6) and (7)).

In all our calculations we have taken into account the therm also earing factor which is due to the nite (low) temperature, and the nite life time broadening of the quasi-particles at the Ferm isurface. It is a wellknown fact from the theory of Fermi liquids, [21] that the inverse lifetime of the quasi-particles is proportional to \mathbb{E}^2 . In real superconductors, there are some quasi-particle relaxation processes which include electron-electron and electron-phonon interactions, which modify this simple square low dependence. Kaplan et al.[22] showed that the general dependence of such relaxation rates can have a very complicated dependence on energy which is unique for each material. Dynes et al. however, used successfully a constant lifetime broadening in the theoretical ts of their measured conductance spectra in low T_c SIS junctions.[23] Such approximation indeed works well for thing of experimental spectra in isotropic superconductors and in the low constant, the conductance is proportransparency limit. In this case, (x)tional to the quasi-particle density of states, and this has strong sharp peaks at

E=0. The addition of lifetime broadening as a small in aginary part to the energy allows one to avoid divergence at the peak energies and does not produce any essential change in other regions. Our attempt however, to apply this method to high-transparency spectra was unsuccessful. We attribute this to the appearance of additional bound states due to a signicant increase in the decay length (see below). It is thus clear that in such cases, taking into account the energy dependence of the lifetime broadening is essential. In our calculations we used a fourth degree polynomial approximation for this dependence:

$$(E) = {}_{1}E^{2} + {}_{2}E^{3} + {}_{3}E^{4};$$
 (8)

where the coe cients $_{i}$ 1 (in units of $_{0}$) were chosen in order to obtain the best t to the experimental conductance spectra. Generally, the function is added as an in aginary part to the energy in the expression for the DOS.[23, 1] In our calculations however, the equivalent of the DOS is the function $_{S}$ of Eq. (2). Since we obtain $_{S}$ by solving two coupled di erential equations in which the energy is a parameter, we could not just add the i term to E directly. The fact that the boundary conditions of these equations include nonlinear terms in E, complicates things further. We therefore calculated $_{S}$ rst without any broadening term, and then added the broadening numerically by a convolution of the obtained $_{S}$ (E) function for each angle ', with a Lorentzian distribution function:

L (E) =
$$\frac{1}{(E - E_0)^2 + (E)}$$
; (9)

of width (E).

We focus now on the case of a pure d-wave symmetry of the order parameter without any sub-dominant component. Two basically dierent cases of the node (= =4) and anti-node (= 0) orientations will be discussed. is the angle between the bbe-direction of the $d_{x^2-y^2}$ -wave order parameter and the normal to the interface. In the node direction, a ZBCP exists independently of the values of the coe cients k_1 and k_2 in Eq. (6), and the elective barrier strength Z. Nevertheless, by varying k_1 and k_2 in Eq. (6), the shape of the calculated conductance spectra changes. Decreasing their values, leads to an increased suppression of the order parameter at the interface and an increased decay length. As a consequence, additional quasi-particle bound-states are created. [24, 19] These new bound-states are formed in a potential well de ned by the interface on one side and the growing d-wave pair potential toward the bulk value on the

other side. They manifest them selves as additional peaks in the function $_{\rm S}$ (E) versus E . Their number and energies vary for dierent angles ', and according to M atsum oto and Shiba,[19] their energies are given by

$$E_n = {0 \text{ jsin}(2') \text{ j} \frac{n}{r}(2 \frac{n}{r});}$$
 (10)

where n is an integer,

$$r = \frac{2 j \sin(2') j}{k_2};$$
 (11)

and 0 < r. k_2 in Eq. (11) is different from zero since a $k_2 = 0$ in Eq. (6) would yield 0 which means that no superconductivity exists. The number of bound states is determined by r. The larger the value of r the larger the number of these states. Eq. (11) does not give the dependence of r on k_1 , because in Ref. [19] only the special case of a superconductor-vacuum interface is considered (Z ! 1). Therefore in Ref. [19], k_1 is always zero in the node direction. It is however clear from Eq. (6) that with increasing k_1 the potential well is led up, the spatial dependence of approaches that of a step function, and the bound-states disappear. Thus, in the general case of arbitrary Z and , the number of bound-states is proportional to the depth of the potential well. This depends on both coe cients k_1 and k_2 , and yields a more general expression for r as follows:

$$r = \frac{2 \, j \sin (2') \, j}{k_2} [1 \quad \tanh (k_1 k_2)]; \tag{12}$$

Eq. (12) shows that while we consider quasi-particles within a narrow cone around ' = 0 (like in SIN junctions), bound-states will play a less signi cant role in the conductance (if the cone angle '! 0 then there are no bound states since r! 0). In the weak barrier limit though, a broad cone of about =2 < ' < =2 should be used, and the in uence of bound-states on the conductance spectra becomes substantial as seen in Fig. 2. On each curve of this gure only two symmetrical maxima besides the ZBCP are present. The curves in Fig. 2 however, show the (eV) dependence on V and not the $_{\rm S}$ (E) dependence on E. In the later, for each value of 'a diement number of additional maxima exist. After integration of $_{\rm S}$ over all angles ', only two main peaks versus energy are left which contribute to the resulting maxima E $_{\rm pd}$ in (eV) as seen in Fig. 2. These side band peaks are the second most prominent features in the spectra. With increasing r, the voltage of these peaks shifts to bw bias. Because of this, after the integration in Eq. (2) the ZBCP becomes

som ew hat sm aller and its w idth decreases. It is important to note, that in spite of the shift of additional maxima towards zero bias with increasing decay length of the pair potential, they can't annihilate the ZBCP, since the zero-energy bound state is robust and exists for all values of ', while the other bound-states are not. Therefore, by changing the parameters k_1 and k_2 we can't obtain splitting of the ZBCP in the node direction in the pure d-wave case.

Splitting of the ZBCP along the node direction was howeverm easured in SNS junctions.[25] In order to this data, it was necessary to add a sub-dominant component to order parameter with a dierent symmetry. Because of previous results, [26, 27] we have choosen for this purpose to add an imaginary s-wave component to the pair potential. Now the form of the resulting order parameter depends on all four coe cient $k_1; k_2; k_3$ and k_4 . Our calculation results along the node direction and with a small Z value are shown in Fig. 3. The main conclusion from this qure is that the sub-dom inant component can not essentially in uence the conductance spectra as long as the decay length of the dominant d-wave component is 0. This behavior is dierent from that observed in the strong barrier lim it, where a small decay length for both components is enough for obtaining splitting of the ZBCP (not shown). Tanum a et al. have obtained no sub-dom inant component for low values of Z (Z 1),[20] even though their Z value is de ned as twice the BTK Z value that we use here. In addition, the order param eter that they obtained, almost always had a decay length of order 0. However, when we repeated their self consistent calculations for high

 $_{0}$. However, when we repeated their self-consistent calculations for high transparency junctions, we could not cases (when $T_{\rm cs}=0.5T_{\rm cd}$ for instance) in which the s-component did exist and had a long penetration length of the order of $10_{\rm c}$ into the bulk. Moreover, in their self-consistent calculations of the order parameter they did not take into account the proximity elect. Therefore, the absence of a sub-dominant component in the results of Tanuma et al. for weak barriers, is not contradictory to our results. As can be seen in Fig. 3, we obtain the splitting elect by the s-wave component only when d-wave decay lengths longer than a few $_{0}$ are used.

A sub-dom inant is component splits the ZBCP into two peaks, whose energy $\not \equiv_{ps} j$ depends on the values of k_3 and k_4 in Eq. (7). There is no exact analytic formula for this dependence, but numerical calculations yield an approximate relation:

$$\mathbf{E}_{ps}\mathbf{j} \quad c_1 k_3 \exp(c_2 k_4) \quad \text{for } k_3 < c_0;$$
 (13)

where c_1 and c_2 are constants which depend on Z . When two components of the

order parameter coexist, the conductance spectra is a superposition of contributions containing the bound-states of both. These bound-states can annihilate one another unless the value of $E_{\,\mathrm{ps}}$ is close to the energy $E_{\,\mathrm{pd}}$ of the bound-states of the dom inant component. It thus follows that the smaller the swave component, the larger the required decay length of the d-component, which is needed in order to obtain a clear splitting.

A sinulation of a the conductance spectra—tting our previous experimental data along the node direction is given in Fig. 4. The original data was obtained for SNS junctions. [25] Since no theoretical model is available at the present time for the calculation of the conductance of SNS junctions which takes into account the nite decay length of the pair potential at the interface, we used as a rst approximation the SN model discussed here, but with a re-normalized energy scale to the SNS data. This re-normalization was simply done by doubling the energy scale in the SN calculations (multiplying it by a factor of 2). We can thus compare the modiled SN simulation results with the measured SNS data on the same energy scale of E = 0. Coexistence of both d and is components of the order parameter near the interface had to be assumed in order to the data. The good agreement between theory and experiment indicates that large decay lengths of the d-wave pair potential are actually present near the interface of real junctions.

We now turn to junctions along the anti-node direction. This direction is characterized in the tunneling regin e by a "V" shaped conductance spectra with a minimum at zero bias whose depth is a function of the barrier strength Z. For a bw transparency barrier (E = 0)0, but as Z decreases this value increases. For weak barriers (sm all Z) the conductance is controlled mostly by Andreev rejections, and (E = 0) reaches a value of 2 when b = 0 and a = 1 in Eq. (4). Again, we varied the values of the coe cients k_i (i = 1:4) in order to study the in uence of the order param eter near the interface on the conductance spectra. Qualitatively, allour previous reasoning remain valid. Decreasing ${
m k}_1$ and k_2 leads to the appearance of bound-states which are seen as new maxima in the sin ulated conductance curves. Sin ilar to the results along the node direction, we nd that with increasing decay length of the d-wave pair potential, the bound-states appear at bwer bias. But they never occur at zero-bias, where the conductance minimum persists up to very low Z values. Fig. 5 shows simulations of conductance spectra for a weak barrier of Z = 0.5 along the (100) direction for dierent values of the coe cient k_2 while $k_1 = 0$. The main feature of these

spectra is that the peaks at the sub-gap energies increase with decreasing k_2 (increasing decay length). Moreover, addition of a small sub-dominant s-wave component to the d-wave order parameter when a large decay length is used, does not practically change the form of the conductance spectra (The solid and dotted curves in Fig. 5 are almost indistinguishable). This leads to an important conclusion that the conductance along the (100) direction in high transparency junction with a long-range proximity elect, is basically insensitive to the presence of possible sub-dominant components of order parameter.

Fig. 6 shows experimental conductance spectra of a bw-transparency junction along the (100) direction, [25] together with a best t simulation for this case. The energy scale of the SIN sinulation was re-normalized to the equivalent SIS scale of the experiment as before (was multiplied by a factor of 2). The low transparency is a result of a large normal resistance $R_{\,\mathrm{N}}$ which is found in the experiment for the (100) orientation. The roughness of the interface in this type of junction was found to be smaller than the coherence length 0, thus no faceting can lead to the low transparency. Twinning however, can cause di erent R_N values in di exent directions. We found out that a pure d-wave order param eter neither with a small nor with large decay length can tour data well, especially not at low bias (see the dash and dotted curves in Fig. 6). It turned out that the contribution of bound states is essential in order to describe correctly the low energy dependence of the experimental conductance spectra. A typical contribution of bound states is shown in Fig. 6 by the dotted curve for a 20 $_{0}$ decay length. For the best t in this gure we used a superposition of mainly a constant d-wave component (76%), and the additional contributions from three longer decay lengths of 3 $_{\rm 0}$, 10 $_{\rm 0}$ and 20 $_{\rm 0}$ (8% of each), and found a rem arkable agreem ent between the sin ulation and the experim ental data (the solid line in Fig. 6). The fact that we had to use such a superposition means that in reality, at least in the lobe direction, there is some non-uniform ity in the values of the decay length over the junction cross section. This can be due to the twinning mentioned above, to a non-uniform oxygen distribution or to some other disturbances. We note that in grain boundary junctions a nonuniform distribution of di erent barrier width was found, [28] which in a way is similar to the decay length distribution observed here.

In the following we summarize the main properties of SN and SIN junctions resulting from our simulations:

i) For a pure d-wave superconductor in the node-direction, large decay lengths

of the order parameter near the interface lead to additional peaks in the conductance spectra at sub-gap biases. The peak energies shift to lower bias with increasing decay length of the order parameter, while the ZBCP amplitude and its width decrease.

ii) In order to obtain a well-de ned splitting of ZBCP in the conductance spectra along the node direction as seen in the experiment, one has to add a small subdom inant is component to the order parameter, and keep a long decay length. iii) In contrast, using a pure d-wave with a large decay length in high transparency junctions along the lobe direction, the gap peaks in the conductance move to lower energies and this looks like splitting of the broad Andreev-enhanced conductance regime at E . $_{0}$.

iv) The energy dependence of the quasi-particles lifetime broadening has to be taken into account in the simulations of the conductance spectra.

v)The fact that a long decay length in the superconductor had to be used in order to obtain a good agreem ent between the theoretical sin ulations and the measured conductance spectra, gives further support to the notion that a long-range proximity e ect exists in the cuprates.

CONCLUSIONS

In the present study we investigated how the spatial dependence of the order param eter near the interface of junctions a ects the resulting conductance spectra. We found that the decay length of the d-wave pair potential is the most signi cant parameter a ecting the spectra. More specically, for high transparency junctions along the node direction, the use of a long decay length of 20_{0} yields a splitting of the ZBCP, provided an additional the order of 10 small is component exists near the interface. If in contrast a o decay length is used, no such splitting develops in the simulations, and this is in contradiction to experim ental observations. For low transparency junction along the main bbe direction, a small decay length of the order of o could not describe the data neither with a pure d-wave nor with a d+ is order param eter. Only when a distribution of decay lengths between 0 $_{0}$ and 20 $_{0}$ was assumed, contributions from additional bound states led to a good tofthe experimental data. Another important parameter that a ects the conductance spectra strongly is the quasiparticles lifetin e broadening and its non-trivial energy dependence ((E)). We actually modi ed its basic Ferm i liquid E^2 dependence to the experimental data along the node direction, and then used it successfully without any further changes to the lobe data. Finally, we note that a long decay length in the S side is consistent with a reversed proximity e ect in high transparency SN junctions, and the bw $\ensuremath{\text{T}_{\text{c}}}$ of the is component.

Acknowledgments: This research was supported in part by the Israel Science Foundation, the Heinrich Hertz M inerva Center for HTSC, the Karl Stoll Chair in advanced materials, the Fund for the Promotion of Research at the Technion, and by the Center for Absorption in Science, The M inistry of Imm igrant Absorption of the State of Israel.

Figure Captions

- Fig. 1: Typical spatial dependencies of the d-wave and s-wave pair potentials near the interface, used in our simulations. The pair potential axis is normalize to 0, the bulk value of the superconductor's gap.
- Fig. 2: (color) Simulations of normalized conductance spectra of a high transparency SN junction with Z=0.5 along the node direction for a pure d-wave symmetry of the pair potential. Dierent curves correspond to dierent values of the the decay length.
- Fig. 3: (color). Theoretical curves of normalized conductance spectra of a high-transparency NS junction along the node direction using a d+ is symmetry of the pair potential. In each curve the decay length of both components of the pair potential is the same, except for the dash double dotted line where the decay length of the d-wave component is $_{0}$ while that of the s-wave component is 18 $_{0}$.
- Fig. 4: (color) M easured normalized conductance spectra of a high transparency YB a_2 C u_3 O $_{6+x}$ =YB a_2 F $e_{0:45}$ C $u_{2:55}$ O $_{6+x}$ =YB a_2 C u_3 O $_{6+x}$ junction along the node direction (symbols) together with a best t simulation (line). The broadening versus E is given in the inset.
- Fig. 5: (color) Calculated normalized conductance spectra of a high-transparency SN junctions with Z=0.5 along the lobe direction. All curves were obtained by the use of a pure d-wave symmetry of the pair potential with various decay length, except for the dotted line where a d+ is symmetry was used.
- Fig. 6: (color) M easured norm alized conductance spectra along the lobe direction (symbols) of a low transparency junction of YB a_2 C u_3 O $_{6+x}$ =YB a_2 F $e_{0:45}$ C $u_{2:55}$ O $_{6+x}$ =YB a_2 C u_3 O $_{6+x}$ together with a best theoretical t (solid line). The energy dependent lifetime broadening (E) is the same as that in Fig. 4. Also shown are the conductance contributions of a constant d-wave (dash line) and a d-wave with 20 $_0$ decay length (dotted line).

R eferences

- [1] S. Kashiwaya and Y. Tanaka, Rep. Prog. Phys. 63, 1641 (2000), and references therein.
- [2] C.C.T suei and J.R.K ittley, Rev. Mod. Phys. 72, No 4, 969 (2000).
- [3] G.E.Blonder, M. Tinkham and T.M. Klapwijk, Phys. Rev. B 25, 4515 (1982).
- [4] S. Kashiwaya, Y. Tanaka, M. Koyanagi, H. Takashima and K. Kajimura, Phys. Rev. B 51, 1350 (1995).
- [5] Y.Ohashi, J.Phys.Soc. of Japan 65, 823 (1996).
- [6] J.E. Sonier, J.H. Brewer, R.F. Kie, D.A. Bonn, S.R. Dunsiger, W.N. Hardy, Ruixing-Liang, W.A. MacFarlane, R.I. Miller, T.M. Riseman, D. R. Noakes, C.E. Stronach and M.F. Jr. White, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79, 2875 (1997).
- [7] R.S.Decca, H.Drew, E.Osquiguil, B.Mairov and J.Guimpel, Phys.Rev. Lett 85, 3708 (2000).
- [8] E. Polturak, O. Nesher and G. Koren, Phys. Rev. B 59, 6524 (1999).
- [9] B. Pannetier and H. Courtois, J. of Low Temp. Phys. 118, 599 (2000).
- [10] E.Dem Ler, A.J.Berlinsky, C.Kallin, G.B.Amold and M.R.Beasley, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80 (1998).
- [11] F.S. Bergeret, A.F. Volkov and K.B. Efetov, Physica C. 367, 107 (2002).
- [12] A. Kadigrobov, R. I. Shekhter and M. Jonson, Europhys. Lett. 54, 394 (2001).
- [13] E.Dem ler, G.Amold and M.R.Beasly, Phys. Rev. B 55, 15174 (1997).
- [14] A. Golubov and M. Y. Kupriyanov, Sov. Phys. JETP 69, 805 (1989).
- [15] B. J. van Wees, P. de Vries, P. Magnee and T. M. Klapwijk, Phys. Rev. Lett. 69, 510 (1992).
- [16] M. Schechter, Y. Imry and Y. Levinson, Phys. Rev. B 64, 224513 (2001).

- [17] G.Deutscher and P.G. de Gennes in Superconductivity, edited by R.Parks (Marcel Dekker, New York, 1969), Vol.2.
- [18] Y. Ando and K. Segawa, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 167005 (2002).
- [19] M.M. atsum oto and H. Shiba, J. Phys. Soc. Japan 64, No. 12, 4867 (1995).
- [20] Y. Tanum a, Y. Tanaka and S. Kashiwaya, Phys. Rev. B 64, 214519 (2001).
- [21] D. Pines and Ph. Nozieres "The theory of quantum liquids", Vol. 1, W.A. Benjam in Inc., New York, Amsterdam (1966).
- [22] S.B.Kaplan, C.C.Chi, D.N.Langenberg, J.J.Chang, S.Jafarey and D. J.Scalapino, Phys. Rev. B 14, 4854 (1976).
- [23] R.C.Dynes, V.Narayanam urtiand J.P.Garno, Phys. Rev. Lett. 41, 1509 (1978).
- [24] Yu.S.Barash, A.A. Svidzinsky and H. Burkhardt, Phys. Rev. B 55, 15282 (1997).
- [25] G.Koren and N.Levy, Europhys. Lett. 59 (1), 121 (2002).
- [26] M. Covington, M. Aprili, E. Paraoanu, L. H. Greene, F. Xu, J. Zhu and C. A. Mirkin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79, 277 (1997).
- [27] R.Krupke and G.Deutscher, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 4634 (1999).
- [28] H. Hilgenkam p and J. Mannhart, Rev. Mod. Phys. 74, 485 (2002).











