arXiv:cond-mat/0306040v3 [cond-mat.mtrl-sci] 18 Nov 2013

Comment on "Crystal Structure and Pair Potentials: A Molecular-Dynamics Study"

Gang Liu
gang.liu@queensu.ca
High Performance Computing Virtual Laboratory
Queen's University, Kingston, ON K7L 3N6, Canada
(Dated: November 17, 2013)

In 1980, Parrinello and Rahman proposed a molecular dynamics (PRMD) for crystal structure under external constant pressure[1]. Many simulations of structure phase transitions induced by external forces have been carried out with it[2–5], especially after it was combined with the well-known Car-Parrinello Molecular Dynamics. It uses the scaled particle position vectors ${\mathbf{s}_i}^T = (\xi_i,\eta_i,\zeta_i)$ as generalized coordinates, defined in

$$\mathbf{r}_i = \xi_i\mathbf{a}+\eta_i\mathbf{b}+\zeta_i\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{H}\mathbf{s}_i, \tag{1}$$

where $\mathbf{r}_i$ is the i-th particle position vector, **a, b** and **c** are the cell edge vectors, the matrix $\mathbf{H} = \{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}\}$, and T is the transpose. In physics, it should make no difference by using either $\mathbf{s}_i$ or $\mathbf{r}_i$. PRMD further uses

$$K' = \sum_i \frac{1}{2} m_i \dot{\mathbf{s}_i}^T \mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{H} \dot{\mathbf{s}_i} \tag{2}$$

as the particles' kinetic energy, while the true kinetic energy for particles is

$$\begin{aligned} K &= \sum_i \frac{1}{2} m_i \dot{\mathbf{r}_i}^2 \\ &= \sum_i \frac{1}{2} m_i \left(\dot{\mathbf{s}_i}^T \mathbf{H}^T + \mathbf{s}_i^T \dot{\mathbf{H}}^T\right)\left(\mathbf{H}\dot{\mathbf{s}_i} + \dot{\mathbf{H}}\mathbf{s}_i\right), \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where m_i is the i-th particle's mass. The PRMD starts from the Lagrangian L introduced as

$$L = K' - \Phi + L_{\mathbf{H}}, \tag{4}$$

where Φ is the potential energy among particles and $L_{\mathbf{H}}$ is the Lagrangian associated with $\dot{\mathbf{H}}$, $\mathbf{H}$, and external pressure and $L_{\mathbf{H}}$ has no contribution to the particles' dynamical equations. The purpose of this comment is to study whether the chosen kinetic energy is reasonable by comparing the generated dynamical equations for particles with the Newton's Second Law

$$m_i \ddot{\mathbf{r}_i} = \mathbf{F}_i, \tag{5}$$

where $\mathbf{F}_i$ is the net force acting on particle i. Now let us do the following three cases in sequence.

Case 1, from the Lagrangian dynamical equation, we can easily reproduce Eq. (5) by using $\mathbf{r}_i$ as "generalized coordinates" and using the complete kinetic energy Eq. (3) to replace K' in Eq. (4), with

$$\mathbf{F}_i = -\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \mathbf{r}_i}. \tag{6}$$

Case 2, let us use $\mathbf{s}_i$ as generalized coordinates and still use the complete kinetic energy of Eq. (3). Combining Eq. (1) and (6), we obtain $-\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{s}_i}\Phi = \mathbf{H}^T\mathbf{F}_i$. By also using of $\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{s}_i}K = m_i\dot{\mathbf{H}}^T\left(\mathbf{H}\dot{\mathbf{s}_i} + \dot{\mathbf{H}}\mathbf{s}_i\right)$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{\mathbf{s}_i}}K = m_i\mathbf{H}^T\left(\mathbf{H}\dot{\mathbf{s}_i} + \dot{\mathbf{H}}\mathbf{s}_i\right)$, the Lagrangian dynamical equation, $\frac{d}{dt}\frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{\mathbf{s}_i}}L = \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{s}_i}L$, becomes

$$m_i\mathbf{H}^T\left(\mathbf{H}\ddot{\mathbf{s}_i} + 2\dot{\mathbf{H}}\dot{\mathbf{s}_i} + \ddot{\mathbf{H}}\mathbf{s}_i\right) = \mathbf{H}^T\mathbf{F}_i, \tag{7}$$

which is equivalent to Eq. (5).

Case 3, as in the PRMD, let us use $\mathbf{s}_i$ as generalized coordinates and use the incomplete kinetic energy of Eq. (2). Similar to the above case, with $\frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{\mathbf{s}_i}}K' = m_i\mathbf{H}^T\mathbf{H}\dot{\mathbf{s}_i}$, the particle's dynamical equation becomes

$$m_i\left(\mathbf{H}^T\mathbf{H}\ddot{\mathbf{s}_i} + \mathbf{H}^T\dot{\mathbf{H}}\dot{\mathbf{s}_i} + \dot{\mathbf{H}}^T\mathbf{H}\dot{\mathbf{s}_i}\right) = \mathbf{H}^T\mathbf{F}_i, \tag{8}$$

which is the same as the Eq. (2) of the PRMD's original paper[1], but different from Eq. (5). The discrepancy can be found in $\frac{d}{dt}\frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{\mathbf{s}_i}}(K - K')$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{s}_i}(K - K')$. So the incomplete kinetic energy of Eq. (2) is not reasonable.

If the complete kinetic energy of Eq. (3) is used, the first term on the right-hand side of Eq. (4) of the PRMD's original paper[1] will disappear in physics. Anyhow, the PRMD is correct for crystal structure when all accelerations and velocities are zero.

[1] M. Parrinello, and A. Rahman, Phys. Rev. Lett. **45,** 1196 (1980).
[2] R. Martonak, *et al.* , Phys. Rev. Lett. **84,** 682 (2000).
[3] R. Rousseau, *et al.* , Phys. Rev. Lett. **85,** 1254 (2000).
[4] T. Morishita, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87,** 105701 (2001).
[5] A. Trave, *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89,** 245504 (2002).