## Refraction of Electromagnetic Energy for Wave Packets Incident on a Negative Index Medium is Always Negative

W. T. Lu, J. B. Sokoloff, and S. Sridhar

Physics Department and Electronic Materials Research Institute, Northeastern University, Boston, MA 02115.

(Dated: October 9, 2020)

We analyze refraction of electromagnetic wave packets on passing from an isotropic positive to an isotropic negative refractive index medium. We definitively show that in all cases the energy is always refracted negatively. For localized wave packets, the group refraction is also always negative.

PACS numbers: 78.20.Ci, 41.20.Jb, 42.25.Bs, 84.40.-x

The existence of a medium with a negative (n < 0)index of refraction (NIM), raised several years ago [1], has been demonstrated experimentally recently [2]. One of the most striking properties of NIM's is that of negative refraction for plane waves across the interface between positive index materials (PIM) and NIM. Negative refraction means that when radiation passes through an interface between a PIM and an NIM, the refracted beam is on the same side of the normal as the incident beam (see Fig. 1), in contrast to the usual positive refraction in which they are both the opposit sides of the normal.

In studies of negative refraction, it is essential to represent incident waves as localized wave packets, rather than plane waves, since all physical sources of electromagnetic waves produce radiation fields of finite spatial and temporal extent because the sources are always of finite spatial extent and because they only radiate for a finite time. Hence treatments of this problem which study waves that extend over infinite distance in all or some directions cannot be trusted to reliably predict the direction in which a wave will be refracted, and in fact treatments based on such extended waves [4] have led to a direction of refraction opposite that which one finds for spatially localized wave packets, resulting in a great deal of controversy and confusion. Although several treatments using waves of infinite extent in some direction (e.g., a plane wave front [5]) have obtained negative refraction, since such a model is unphysical, for the reasons given above, we cannot have confidence in conclusions obtained from it.

In this article, we treat refraction of a localized wave packet at a PIM-NIM interface both analytically and by simulations, demonstrating that it refracts negatively. We also present both analytic and numerical studies of wave packets constructed from a small number of plane waves, on the basis of which we are able to give a plausible explanation for why the two plane wave model studied by Valanju, et. al.[4], gives the wrong answer. We find that in all cases, including the model of Valanju, et. al., the energy and momentum of the wave refract negatively. Since electromagnetic waves are detected only when they either give up energy to or exert a force on a detector, the relevant direction of propagation to consider is that of the region of space in which the energy and momentum of the wave are nonzero. Without sources, Maxwell's equations are  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{D} = 0$ ,  $\nabla \times \mathbf{H} = \partial_t \mathbf{D}$ ,  $\nabla \times \mathbf{E} + \partial_t \mathbf{B} = 0$ ,  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$ . For plane waves of wave vector  $\mathbf{k}$  and and frequency  $\omega$ , only three equations are independent. Using the usual relationships between  $\mathbf{D}(t)$  and  $\mathbf{E}(t)$  and between  $\mathbf{B}(t)$  and  $\mathbf{H}(t)[7]$ one obtains for such plane waves  $\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{H} = -\omega \varepsilon(\omega) \mathbf{E}$ ,  $\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{E} = \omega \mu(\omega) \mathbf{H}$ . Combining these equations gives us a functional relationship between  $\omega$  and  $\mathbf{k}$ . Wave propagation is only permitted for  $(\varepsilon, \mu, n > 0)$  or  $(\varepsilon, \mu, n < 0)$ [1]. In the later case,  $(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{k})$  will form a left-handed triplet while for an ordinary material,  $(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{k})$  form a right-handed triplet.

A wave packet localized in a compact region of space, as occurs in all experimental situations, can be constructed from a continuous distribution of wavevectors. Consider such a wave packet incident from outside the NIM,  $\mathbf{E} = \hat{\mathbf{y}} E_0 \int d^2 k f(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_0) e^{i(\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}-\omega(\mathbf{k})t)}$  with  $\omega(\mathbf{k}) = ck$ . Here we only consider S-polarized waves. The P-polarized waves can be treated similarly, however. Throughout the paper, we choose the z-axis from PIM to NIM normal to the interface and the x-axis along the interface. If  $f(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_0)$  drops off rapidly as  $\mathbf{k}$  moves away from  $\mathbf{k}_0$ ,  $\omega(\mathbf{k})$  can be expanded in a Taylor series to first order in  $\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_0$  to a good approximation. This gives,  $\mathbf{E} = \hat{\mathbf{y}} E_0 e^{i(\mathbf{k}_0 \cdot \mathbf{r} - \omega(\mathbf{k}_0)t} g(\mathbf{r} - ct\mathbf{k}_0/k_0)$ , with  $g(\mathbf{R}) = \int d^2 k f(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_0) e^{i(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_0) \cdot \mathbf{R}}$ .

Inside the NIM,  $\mathbf{k}$  and  $\mathbf{k}_0$  in the argument of the exponent get replaced by  $\mathbf{k}_r$  and  $\mathbf{k}_{r0}$  which are related to  $\mathbf{k}$  and  $\mathbf{k}_0$  by Snell's law

$$k_{rx} = k_x, \quad k_{rz} = -\sqrt{(n_r\omega/c)^2 - k_x^2}.$$
 (1)

Here  $n_r$  is the refractive index for the NIM and is a function of  $\omega$ . Then the wave packet once it enters the NIM is given by

$$\mathbf{E}_{r} = \hat{\mathbf{y}} E_{0} e^{i(\mathbf{k}_{r0} \cdot \mathbf{r} - \omega(\mathbf{k}_{r0})t)} g_{r}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{v}_{gr}t), \qquad (2)$$

where  $g_r(\mathbf{R}) = \int d^2k f(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_0) t_{\mathbf{k}} e^{i\mathbf{R} \cdot (\mathbf{k_r} - \mathbf{k_{r0}})}$  and where  $t_{\mathbf{k}}$  is the transmission amplitude for an incident plane wave of wave vector  $\mathbf{k}$ . It is the standard expression for this quantity for the two polarizations of the incident plane wave[6] except that the index of refraction in each medium is replaced by the index of refraction divided by the permeability of the medium. The latter modification of the expressions follows quite simply from the arguments given in that reference, if one

does not replace the ratio of the permeabilities by 1, as was done in Ref. 6. Here  $\mathbf{k}_{r0}$  denotes  $\mathbf{k}_r$  evaluated at  $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{k}_0$  and  $\mathbf{v}_{gr} = \nabla_{\mathbf{k}_r} \omega(\mathbf{k}_r)$  evaluated at  $\mathbf{k}_r = \mathbf{k}_{r0}$ . Let us expand  $\mathbf{k}_r - \mathbf{k}_{r0}$  in the exponential function in the expression for  $g_r(\mathbf{R})$  in a Taylor series in  $\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_0$  to first order,  $\mathbf{k}_r - \mathbf{k}_{r0} \approx (\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_0) \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{k}} (\mathbf{k}_r - \mathbf{k}_{r0}) |_{\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{k}_0}$ . Substituting this in the expression for  $g_r(\mathbf{r})$ , we obtain  $g_r(\mathbf{R}) = \int d^2k f(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_0) t_{\mathbf{k}} e^{i\mathbf{R} \cdot [(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_0) \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{k}} (\mathbf{k}_r - \mathbf{k}_{r0})]}$ . If the width of the distribution of wave vectors  $f(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_0)$  is small compared to the range of k over which  $t_{\mathbf{k}}$  various significantly, we can to a good approximation simply evaluate this quantity at  $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{k}_0$  and put it outside the integral over  $\mathbf{k}$ . Then, the transmission coefficient of the wave packet is simply given by  $|t_{\mathbf{k}_0}|^2$ .

If we carry out the expansion of  $\omega(\mathbf{k}_{r0})$  to second order in  $\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_0$ , we are able to show that the wave packet spreads out, but if the length and width of the packet are much larger than the wavelength corresponding to the wave vector  $\mathbf{k}_0$  at the the peak in  $f(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_0)$ , we find that the amount that the packet spreads out in a given time interval is much smaller than the distance traveled by the packet in that time. Then clearly under such reasonable conditions, the wave packet will remain sufficiently welldefined to be able to observe the refraction of the packet. The expansion of the frequency in a Taylor series is valid for a sufficiently narrow distribution  $f(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_0)$ .

In order to get an explicit expression for  $g(\mathbf{R})$ , let the wave packet have a Gaussian form  $f(\mathbf{k}) = (\Delta x \Delta z / \pi) \exp[-k_x^2 (\Delta x)^2 - k_z^2 (\Delta z)^2]$ . Expanding  $\mathbf{k}_r$  in a Taylor series around  $\mathbf{k}_{r0}$ , we get

$$g_r(\mathbf{R}) = \exp[-C_x^2/4(\Delta x)^2 - C_z^2/4(\Delta z)^2]$$
 (3)

with  $C_x = R_x + (cn_r/v_r - 1)(k_{x0}/k_{rz0})R_z$ ,  $C_z = (cn_r/v_r)(k_{z0}/k_{rz0})R_z$ , and  $v_r = c(dn_r\omega/d\omega)^{-1}$ . From the above expressions, one can see that the Gaussian wave packet moves with  $\mathbf{v}_{gr}$ . Due to the dispersion, the wave packet is deformed in the NIM.

An NIM is dispersive and causality demands that  $d(\varepsilon\omega)/d\omega > 1$  and  $d(\mu\omega)/d\omega > 1$  for nearly transparent media [3, 7]. For an isotropic NIM, since  $n_r$  is a function of  $\omega$  only,  $\mathbf{v}_{gr} = c(dn_r\omega/d\omega)^{-1}(c\mathbf{k}_r/n_r\omega) = -v_r\hat{\mathbf{k}}_r$  with  $\hat{\mathbf{k}}_r$  the unit vector in the direction of  $\mathbf{k}_r$ . Since  $v_r$  is always positive for transparent media as required by causality, the group velocity will be refracted opposite the direction of wavevector  $\mathbf{k}_r$ .

The magnetic field obtained from the electrical field through  $\mathbf{H} = (1/\omega\mu)\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{E}$  is

$$\mathbf{H}_{r} = -\frac{E_{0}}{c} \int d^{2}k f(k-k_{0}) \frac{n_{r}(k)}{\mu_{r}(k)} (\hat{\mathbf{k}}_{r} \times \hat{\mathbf{y}}) e^{i\mathbf{k}_{r} \cdot \mathbf{r} - i\omega(k)t}.$$
(4)

from which we find the Poynting vector to be

$$\mathbf{S}_{r} = -\frac{E_{0}^{2}}{c} \int d^{2}k \int d^{2}k' f(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_{0}) f(\mathbf{k}' - \mathbf{k}_{0}) \hat{\mathbf{k}}_{r}$$
$$\times \frac{n_{r}(k)}{\mu_{r}(k)} \cos\left[\mathbf{k}_{r} - \omega(k)t\right] \cos\left[\mathbf{k}'_{r} \cdot \mathbf{r} - \omega(k')t\right], (5)$$

where we have used the fact that  $\mathbf{k}_r \cdot \hat{y} = 0$ . While there is no question that the Poynting vector at a point in a medium gives the local direction of energy flow, it does not give us the direction of energy flow by a wave packet or a group of plane waves as a whole since the direction of the Poynting vector varies with space. The integral of the Poynting vector over all space,  $\mathbf{P}_r = \int \mathbf{S}_r d\mathbf{r}$ , however, gives the total momentum carried by a wave packet. This quantity divided by the volume over which the wave packet is nonzero is the average of the Poynting vector over the whole wave packet. Either way, this integral clearly represents the direction of motion of the wave packet in the medium. From the above expression for  $\mathbf{S}_r$ , one has

$$\mathbf{P}_{r} = -(E_{0}^{2}/2c) \int d^{2}k f(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_{0})^{2} \hat{\mathbf{k}} \frac{n_{r}(|k|)}{\mu_{r}(|k|)}.$$
 (6)

Let us consider a coordinate system whose z-axis is along  $\mathbf{k}_0$ . The function  $f(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_0)^2$  will then be a function of  $k_x$  and  $k_z$  symmetrically peaked around  $k_x = 0$  and  $k_z = k_0$ . Then writing Eq. (6) as

$$\mathbf{P} = -\frac{E_0^2}{2c} \int d^2k f(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_0)^2 \ \frac{k_x \hat{\mathbf{x}} + k_z \hat{\mathbf{z}}}{|k|} \frac{n_r(|k|)}{\mu_r(|k|)}$$

we can see that since k is an even function of  $k_x$ , the integrand is an odd function of  $k_x$  and hence the x-component vanishes. Therefore, **P**, which as argued above represents the propagation direction of the wave packet, is opposite in direction to  $\mathbf{k}_0$ , i.e., in the direction of the group velocity. Hence, the energy refracts negatively.

The negative refraction of the wave packet is illustrated by numerical simulation in Fig.1. We use the following dispersion relation

$$n_r(\omega) = -(1/\omega)\sqrt{(\omega^2 - \omega_b^2)(\omega^2 - \omega_p^2)/(\omega^2 - \omega_0^2)}.$$
 (7)

for the NIM with  $\omega_0 < \omega < \omega_b$ . The permeability is  $\mu_r = (\omega^2 - \omega_b^2)/(\omega^2 - \omega_0^2)$ . The numbers we used in the calculation are,  $\omega_0 = 1$ ,  $\omega_b = 3$ ,  $\omega_p = \sqrt{10}$ , c = 1. Fig.1 shows stroboscopic snapshots of the electric field intensity of a propagating wave packet incident on a PIM-NIM interface. The negative refraction of the wave packet is clearly evident.

A beam can be constructed as follows:

$$E = E_0 \int dk_{\perp} e^{i(\mathbf{k}_0 + \mathbf{k}_{\perp}) \cdot \mathbf{r}} f(k_{\perp}).$$
(8)

Here  $\mathbf{k}_{\perp}$  is perpendicular to  $\mathbf{k}_0$  and  $f(k_{\perp})$  assumes a Gaussian form. Note that this construction is different from that of Kong et al [8] and Smith et al [9] in that the width of the incident packet is made finite in directions perpendicular to the direction of propagation. Because the NIM is highly dispersive, the incident beam once it enters the NIM will no longer be a beam. It will be a localized wave packet instead. The electric field E of the



FIG. 1: Time-lapse snap shots of the electric field intensity of a propagating Gaussian wave packet refracting negatively at a PIM-NIM interface. The center wave number is  $k_0 = \sqrt{5}$ with incident angle  $\pi/6$ . The spatial extent of the incident wave packet is  $\Delta x = \Delta z = 10$ . The time step is 50 with speed of light c = 1. The dispersion Eq. (7) were used for NIM and  $n = \mu = 1$  for PIM.



FIG. 2: Electric field  $\Re E$  of a beam with  $k_0 = \sqrt{5}$  and the Gaussian weight  $f(k_{\perp}) = e^{-(10k_{\perp})^2}$ . The incident angle of the beam is  $\theta = \pi/12$ .

beam is shown in Fig.2. Just as for the wave packet, the beam intensity also refracts negatively.

We next consider the refraction of wave packets made up of a finite number of plane waves. For the cases of 2 and 3 plane waves analytical expressions are obtained for the Poynting vector, momentum and group velocities. First consider the case of two plane waves in the *xz*plane incident from PIM to NIM where the interface is at z = 0. Let wave vectors and frequencies be  $(\mathbf{k}_1, \omega_1)$ and  $(\mathbf{k}_2, \omega_2)$ . We set the polarization in the *y*-direction. Suppose  $\Delta \omega = \omega_2 - \omega_1 > 0$ . The incident wave in PIM is  $E = 2E_0 e^{i\mathbf{K}\cdot\mathbf{r}-i\Omega t} \cos(\Delta\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}/2 - \Delta\omega t/2)$  with  $\mathbf{K} =$  $(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2)/2$ ,  $\Omega = (\omega_1 + \omega_2)/2$ , and  $\Delta\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{k}_1$  and where  $E_0$  is the wave amplitude, The electric field of the refracted waves is

$$\mathbf{E}_{r} = 2E_{0}'e^{i\mathbf{K}_{r}\cdot\mathbf{r}-i\Omega t}\cos(\Delta\mathbf{k}_{r}\cdot\mathbf{r}/2 - \Delta\omega t/2)\hat{\mathbf{y}} \qquad (9)$$

with  $\mathbf{K}_r = (\mathbf{k}_{r1} + \mathbf{k}_{r2})/2$  and  $\Delta \mathbf{k}_r = \mathbf{k}_{r2} - \mathbf{k}_{r1}$ , where  $E'_0$  is the amplitude of the electric field and where  $\mathbf{k}_{r1}$  and  $\mathbf{k}_{r2}$  are related to  $\mathbf{k}_1$  and  $\mathbf{k}_2$  respectively by Eq. (1).

The relatively long wavelength cosine function in Eq. (9) moves in the NIM with a velocity

$$\mathbf{v}_{gr} = (\Delta \omega / |\Delta \mathbf{k}_r|^2) (\Delta k_x \ \hat{\mathbf{x}} + \Delta k_{rz} \hat{\mathbf{z}}), \qquad (10)$$

assuming that  $|\Delta \mathbf{k}| \ll |\mathbf{K}|$ . From the above expression, it is evident that  $v_{grx} > 0$  if  $\Delta k_x > 0$ . Since  $\omega_1 < \omega_2$ , we have  $0 < n(\omega_1) \le n(\omega_2)$  and  $n_r(\omega_1) < n_r(\omega_2) < 0$  by the requirement of causality which requires  $d(n\omega)/d\omega >$ 0. One has  $k_{r1z}^2 - k_{r2z}^2 = k_{r1}^2 - k_{r2}^2 + k_{2x}^2 - k_{1x}^2 > 0$ . Since  $k_{rz} = -|k_{rz}|$ ,  $v_{grz} > 0$ . The group refraction is indeed positive [4]. This is due to the simple fact that  $v_{grx} > 0$  if  $v_{gx} > 0$ . Proper dispersion will only give  $v_{grz} > 0$  since the energy should propagate away from the interface. But we shall see that the above picture is not true for the energy flow.

Let us determine the average Poynting vector  $\langle \mathbf{S}_r \rangle$ . Using the magnetic field corresponding to  $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{r}}$  of Eq. (8),  $\mathbf{H}_r = E_0 \sum_{j=1}^2 (k_{rjz} \ \hat{\mathbf{x}} - k_{jx} \hat{\mathbf{z}}) e^{i\mathbf{k}_{rj}\cdot\mathbf{r} - i\omega_j t} / \omega_j, \langle \mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{r}} \rangle$  is found to be given by

$$\langle \mathbf{S}_r \rangle = -\frac{1}{2} (1 + \cos \Delta \phi_r) |E_0'|^2 \sum_{j=1}^2 \left( \hat{\mathbf{x}} \frac{k_{jx}}{\omega_j} + \hat{\mathbf{z}} \frac{k_{rjz}}{\omega_j} \right)$$
(11)

where  $\Delta \phi_r = \Delta \mathbf{k}_r \cdot \mathbf{r} - \Delta \omega t$ . Since  $k_{rz} < 0$ , one has  $S_x < 0$  and  $S_z > 0$ . Thus, contrary to the refraction of the cosine function in Eq. (9), the Poynting vector is directed in the negative refraction direction, i.e. refracts negatively.

We shall now demonstrate that by including more plane waves in our group, one can get negative refraction of the group. Actually, just one more plane wave can achieve that. Thus, let us include three plane waves, whose wave vectors form a triangle, rather than being parallel. Let the magnitudes of the wave vectors be k,  $k + \delta k_1$ ,  $k + \delta k_2$ , and their angles with the normal to the interface,  $\theta$ ,  $\theta + \delta \theta_1$ ,  $\theta + \delta \theta_2$ . Inside the PIM or the NIM, we have

$$E = e^{i(k_x x + k_z z - \omega t)} \Big( 1 + \exp[i(u - ct)\delta k_1 + ivk\delta\theta_1] \\ + \exp[i(u - ct)\delta k_2 + ivk\delta\theta_2] \Big),$$
(12)

with  $u = x \sin \theta + z \cos \theta$  and  $v = x \cos \theta - z \sin \theta$  for the PIM and  $u = x \sin \theta + az$  and  $v = x \cos \theta + bz$  for the NIM. Then the lines whose equations are u=constant and v=constant are perpendicular for the PIM. Here use has been made of the following expansion  $k_{rz}(k+\delta k, \theta+\delta \theta) \approx$  $k_{rz} + a\delta k + bk\delta\theta$  with  $a = k(\sin^2 \theta + c|n_r|/v_r)/|k_{rz}|, b =$  $k \sin 2\theta/2|k_{rz}|$ . The condition for maximum intensity for the quantity in brackets, the long wavelength envelope of the packet, is determined by the equations  $(u-ct)\delta k_1 + vk\delta \theta_1 = 2m_1\pi, (u-ct)\delta k_2 + vk\delta \theta_2 = 2m_2\pi,$ whose solution in the PIM is  $x = (c_1 \sin \theta + c_2 \cos \theta) +$  $\sin \theta \ ct, z = (c_1 \cos \theta - c_2 \sin \theta) + \cos \theta \ ct$  with  $c_1 =$   $2\pi (m_2\delta\theta_1 - m_1\delta\theta_2)/(\delta\theta_1\delta k_2 - \delta\theta_2\delta k_1), c_2 = 2\pi (m_1\delta k_2 - m_2\delta k_1)/(k(\delta\theta_1\delta k_2 - \delta\theta_2\delta k_1)), \text{ which are clearly only defined for } \delta k_1/\delta k_2 \neq \delta\theta_1/\delta\theta_2.$ 

Inside the NIM, the solution for the location of the intensity maxima is  $x = (c_2a - c_1b - bct)/(a\cos\theta - b\sin\theta), z = (c_1\cos\theta - c_2\sin\theta + \cos\theta ct)/(a\cos\theta - b\sin\theta).$ From the expressions for a and b under Eq. (12), one has a, b > 0 and  $a\cos\theta - b\sin\theta > 0$ . Then x(t) and z(t), dx/dt < 0 and dz/dt > 0. Thus the refraction will be negative. Let the angles of the line u =constant and v =constant in the NIM with the z-axis be  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  respectively. Then one has  $\tan \alpha = -a/\sin \theta$ ,  $\tan \beta = -b/\cos\theta = k_x/k_{rz}$ . So one always has  $\pi/2 < \alpha < \beta < \pi$  inside the NIM. From the above expressions, one can see that the maxima move in the  $\beta$  direction, that is, antiparallel to  $\mathbf{k}_r$ . The group velocity in NIM is given by

$$\mathbf{v}_{qr} = -\upsilon_r \hat{\mathbf{k}}_r. \tag{13}$$

This velocity is independent of how the incident wave packet is constructed. The refraction of a group constructed from 4 plane waves is shown in Fig.3. The arguments presented above demonstrate that for any group consisting of 3 or more plane waves whose wavevectors are not collinear, the group refraction is negative.



FIG. 3: Electric field  $\Re E$  of negative refraction of 4 plane waves with wave vector magnitudes  $k - \delta k, k, k + \delta k, k$ , and incident angles,  $\theta, \theta - \delta \theta, \theta, \theta + \delta \theta$ , respectively. The center wave number is  $k = \sqrt{5}$  with incident angle  $\theta = \pi/6, \delta k =$ 0.2, and  $\delta \theta = \pi/45$ . Up to the first order approximation, the electric field, Poynting vector, and the moment of this group of plane waves are  $E_r = 2e^{i\phi_r}(\cos \varpi + \cos \delta \phi_r), \langle \mathbf{S}_r \rangle =$  $-2(\cos \varpi + \cos \delta \phi_r)^2 \mathbf{k}_r/\omega, \mathbf{P}_r^{cell} = -2A\mathbf{k}_r/\omega$ , respectively.

While the simulations in Fig.3 clearly show that the intensity maxima refract negatively, the normal to the planes in which these intensity maxima lie are directed in a positive refraction direction. Thus, if one were to imagine smoothing out all intensity variation in the planes, the planes would appear to refract in a positive direction. We believe that this is a remnant of the positive refraction of the planes of intensity maxima (the cosine function in Eq. (9)) found for the interference patern for the two plane wave example of Ref. [4]. When there are only two plane waves, this is the only group motion that we see in the NIM since for a group consisting of two plane waves, there are no intensity variations in these planes.

One can also look at the energy flow which is represented by the Poynting vector. For three wave vectors with wave vector magnitudes  $k - \delta k$ , k,  $k - \delta k$ , and the angles with the normal,  $\theta - \delta \theta$ ,  $\theta$ ,  $\theta + \delta \theta$  respectively, the magnetic field can also be calculated and hence the Poynting vector up to the first order in both  $\delta k$  and  $\delta \theta$ is

$$\langle \mathbf{S}_r \rangle = -\frac{1}{2} (1 + 4\cos^2 \varpi + 4\cos \varpi \cos \delta \phi_r) \mathbf{k}_r / \omega$$
$$-\sin \varpi \sin \delta \phi_r (\cos \theta \hat{\mathbf{x}} + b \hat{\mathbf{z}}) k \delta \theta / \omega$$
$$+ 2\cos^2 \varpi (a - k_{rz} / k) \delta k \hat{\mathbf{z}} / \omega \qquad (14)$$

where  $\varpi = (bz + \cos \theta x)k\delta\theta$  and  $\delta\phi_r = (az + \sin \theta x)\delta k - \delta\omega t$ . Here,  $\langle \mathbf{S}_r \rangle$  is not localized; rather it forms a lattice. A unit cell is defined as the region in which  $\varpi$  changes by  $\pi$  and  $\delta\phi_r$  changes by  $2\pi$ , as is obvious from the expression for  $E_r$  or  $\langle \mathbf{S}_r \rangle$ . The area for each unit cell in NIM is  $A = 2\pi^2/(a\cos\theta - b\sin\theta)k\delta k\delta\theta$ . Instead of integrating over all space which will diverge, one can calculate the electromagnetic momentum for each cell. Ignoring higher order terms in  $\delta k$  and  $\delta\theta$ , we get

$$\mathbf{P}_{r}^{\text{cell}} = -3A(1+2\delta k/3k)\mathbf{K}_{r}/2\omega \qquad (15)$$

with  $\mathbf{K}_r = \mathbf{k}_r - 2(\sin\theta\hat{\mathbf{x}} + a\hat{\mathbf{z}})\delta k/3$ , the average of the three wave vectors which make up the group.

A packet constructed from a finite number of plane waves will always give a collection of propagating wave pulses with the area of the unit cell inversely proportional to  $\delta k$  and  $\delta \theta$ . For the above localized waves made of finite number of plane waves, the group velocity  $\mathbf{v}_{gr}$  is parallel to  $\mathbf{P}_r$  and anti-parallel to the average wave vector  $\mathbf{K}_r$ .

In this paper, we have shown that for any localized wave packet, the refraction at an interface between a PIM and an NIM is always negative. As pointed out earlier, it is essential for a correct treatment of this problem to use wave packets which are localized in all directions since the EM field from any physical source is a localized wave packet.

This work was supported by NSF-0098801, the Air Force Research Laboratories and the Department of Energy.

[1] V. G. Veselago, Soviet Physics USPEKI, **10**, 509 (1968).

[2] R. A. Shelby, D. R. Smith, and S. Schultz, Science 292, 77

(2001); C. G. Parazzoli, *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 107401 (2003); A. A. Houck, J. B. Brock, and I. L. Chuang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **90**, 137401 (2003); P. Parmi, et. al., unpublished.

- [3] D. R. Smith and N. Kroll, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 2933 (2000).
- [4] P. M. Valanju, R. M. Walser, and A. P. Valanju, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 187401 (2002); *ibid.* 90, 029704 (2003).
- [5] J. Pacheco, Jr., et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 257401 (2002).
  [6] J. D. Jackson, Classical Electrodynamics, second edition

(John Wiley and sons, New York, 1975), p281.

- [7] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *Electrodynamics of con*tinuous media, 2nd edition, Pergamon Press, 1984.
- [8] J. A. Kong, B.-I. Wu, Y. Zhang, Appl. Phys. Lett. 80, 2084 (2002); Microwave and Optical Tech. Lett. 33, 136 (2002).
- [9] D. R. Smith, D. Schurig, and J. B. Pendry, App. Phys. Lett. 81, 2713 (2002).