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#### Abstract

W e analyze refraction of electrom agnetic wave packets on passing from an isotropic positive to an isotropic negative refractive index $m$ edium. W e de nitively show that in all cases the energy is alw ays refracted negatively. For localized w ave packets, the group refraction is also alw ays negative.


PAC S num bers: 78.20 .C i, $41.20 \mathrm{Jb}, 42.25 \mathrm{~B} \mathrm{~s}, 84.40 .-\mathrm{x}$
$T$ he existence of a m edium $w$ th a negative ( $n<0$ ) index of refraction ( $\mathbb{N} \mathbb{I}$ ), raised several years ago [ill has been dem onstrated experim entally recently [1/l. O ne of the $m$ ost striking properties of $N \mathbb{M}$ 's is that of negative refraction for plane waves across the interface betw een positive index $m$ aterials ( $P \mathbb{I}$ ) and $N \mathbb{M} . N$ egative refraction $m$ eans that $w$ hen radiation passes through an interface betw een a P $\mathbb{I M}$ and an $N \mathbb{M}$, the refracted beam is on the sam e side of the norm al as the incident beam (see Fig. 1), in contrast to the usual positive refraction in which they are both the opposit sides of the norm al.

In studies of negative refraction, it is essential to represent incident waves as localized wave packets, rather than plane waves, since all physical sources of electro$m$ agnetic waves produce radiation elds of nite spatial and tem poral extent because the sources are alw ays of
nite spatial extent and because they only radiate for a nite time. Hence treatm ents of this problem which study waves that extend over in nite distance in all or som e directions cannot be trusted to reliably predict the direction in which a wave will be refracted, and in fact treatm ents based on such extended w aves [[] a direction of refraction opposite that which one nds for spatially localized w ave packets, resulting in a great deal of controversy and confusion. A though several treat$m$ ents using waves of in nite extent in som e direction (e.g., a plane wave front $\left.\left[_{\underline{1}}^{1} 1\right]\right)$ have obtained negative refraction, since such a $m$ odel is unphysical, for the reasons given above, we cannot have con dence in conclusions obtained from it.

In this article, we treat refraction of a localized w ave packet at a $P \mathbb{M}-N \mathbb{M}$ interface both analytically and by sim ulations, dem onstrating that it refracts negatively. W e also present both analytic and num erical studies of wave packets constructed from a sm all num ber of plane w aves, on the basis of w hich we are able to give a plausible explanation forw hy the tw o plane w avem odelstudied by Valanju, et. al. [ill that in all cases, including the $m$ odel of Valan ju, et. al., the energy and $m$ om entum of the $w$ ave refract negatively. Since electrom agnetic w aves are detected only w hen they either give up energy to or exert a force on a detector, the relevant direction of propagation to consider is that of the region ofspace in which the energy and $m$ om entum of the wave are nonzero.

W thout sources, $M$ axw ell's equations are $r \quad D=0$, $r \quad H=@_{t} D, r \quad E+\varrho_{t} B=0, r \quad B=0$. For plane waves of wave vector $k$ and and frequency !, only three equations are independent. $U$ sing the usual relationships betw een $D(t)$ and $E(t)$ and betw een $B(t)$ and $H(t)[1]$ one obtains for such plane waves $k \quad H=$ ! "(!) $\bar{E}$, $\mathrm{k} \quad \mathrm{E}=$ ! (! ) H. C om bining these equations gives us a functional relationship betw een ! and k. W ave propagation is only perm itted for ("; ;n>0) or ("; ;n < 0) [II]. In the later case, ( $\mathrm{E} ; \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{k}$ ) will form a left-handed triplet while for an ordinary $m$ aterial, ( E ; $\mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{k}$ ) form a right-handed triplet.

A w ave packet localized in a com pact region of space, as occurs in all experim ental situations, can be constructed from a continuous distribution of $w$ avevectors. $C$ onsider such ${ }_{R} w$ ave packet incident from outside the $N \mathbb{M}, E=\hat{y} E_{0} \quad d^{2} k f\left(k \quad k_{0}\right) e^{i(k r!(k) t)} w$ ith ! (k) = ck. Here we only consider S-polarized waves. The P-polarized waves can be treated sim ilarly, how ever. Throughout the paper, we choose the $z$-axis from $P \mathbb{I M}$ to $N \mathbb{I M}$ norm al to the interface and the $x$-axis along the interface. If $f\left(k \quad k_{0}\right)$ drops o rapidly as $k$ oves aw ay from $k_{0}$, ! (k) can be expanded in a Taylor series to rst order in $k \quad k_{6}$ to a good approxim ation. This gíyes, $E=\hat{y} E_{0} e^{i\left(k_{0} r!(k \quad o) t\right.} g\left(r \quad c t k_{0}=k_{0}\right)$, w ith $g(R)=d^{2} k f\left(\begin{array}{lll}k & \left.\left.k_{0}\right) e^{i(k} k \quad 0\right) R\end{array}\right.$.

Inside the $N \mathbb{M}, k$ and $k_{0}$ in the argum ent of the exponent get replaced by $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{r}}$ and $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{r} 0}$ which are related to $k$ and $k_{0}$ by Snell's law

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{r x}=k_{\mathrm{x}} ; \quad k_{\mathrm{rz}}=\mathrm{p} \overline{\left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{r}}!=\mathrm{C}\right)^{2} \quad \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{x}}^{2}}: \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$H$ ere $n_{r}$ is the refractive index for the $N \mathbb{M}$ and is a function of!. Then the wave packet once it enters the $N \mathbb{I M}$ is given by

$$
E_{r}=\hat{y} E_{0} e^{i\left(k_{r 0} r!\left(k_{r o}\right) t\right)} g_{r}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
r & \left.v_{g r} t\right) \tag{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $g_{r}(R)={ }^{R} d^{2} k f\left(\begin{array}{ll}k & \left.k_{0}\right) t_{k} e^{i R}\left(\begin{array}{lll}k_{r} & k & \end{array}\right) \text { and where }\end{array}\right.$ $t_{k}$ is the transm ission am plitude for an incident plane $w$ ave of wave vector $k$. It is the standard expression for this quantity for the two polarizations of the incident plane wave [G] except that the index of refraction in each $m$ edium is replaced by the index of refraction divided by the perm eability of the $m$ edium. The latter modi cation of the expressions follows quite sim ply from the argum ents given in that reference, if one
does not replace the ratio of the perm eabilities by 1 , as $w$ as done in Ref. 6. Here $k_{r o}$ denotes $k_{r}$ evaluated at $\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{k}_{0}$ and $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{gr}}=\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{r}}}!\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{r}}\right)$ evaluated at $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{r}}=\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{r} 0}$. Let us expand $k_{r} \quad k_{r 0}$ in the exponential function in the expression for $g_{r}(\mathbb{R})$ in a Taylor series in $k \quad k_{0}$ to
rst order, $k_{r} \quad k_{r 0} \quad\left(k \quad k_{0}\right) \quad r_{k}\left(k_{r} \quad k_{r 0}\right) j_{k=k_{0}}$ : Substituting this in the expression for $g_{r}(r)$, we obtain $g_{r}(R)=\quad d^{2} k f\left(k \quad k_{0}\right) t_{k} e^{i R}\left[\begin{array}{lll}k & k & 0\end{array} r_{k}\left(k_{r} k x_{0}\right)\right]$. If the $w$ idth of the distribution of $w$ ave vectors $f\left(\begin{array}{lll}k & k_{0}\end{array}\right)$ is sm all com pared to the range of $k$ over which $t_{k}$ various signi cantly, we can to a good approxim ation sim ply evaluate this quantity at $k=k_{0}$ and put it outside the integral over k. Then, the transm ission coe cient of the wave packet is simply given by ${\tau_{k_{0}}}^{f}$.

If we carry out the expansion of ! ( $k_{r 0}$ ) to second order in $k \quad k_{0}$, we are able to show that the wave packet spreads out, but if the length and width of the packet arem uch larger than the w avelength corresponding to the $w$ ave vector $k_{0}$ at the the peak in $f\left(\begin{array}{ll}k & k_{0}\end{array}\right)$, we nd that the am ount that the packet spreads out in a given tim e interval is $m$ uch sm aller than the distance traveled by the packet in that time. Then clearly under such reasonable conditions, the w ave packet will rem ain su ciently wellde ned to be able to observe the refraction of the packet. $T$ he expansion of the frequency in a Taylor series is valid for a su ciently narrow distribution $f\left(\begin{array}{ll}k & k_{0}\end{array}\right)$.

In order to get an explicit expression for $g(\mathbb{R})$, let the wave packet have a Gaussian form $f(k)=$ $(\mathrm{x} z=) \exp \left[\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{x}}^{2}(\mathrm{x})^{2} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{z}}^{2}(\mathrm{z})^{2}\right]$. Expanding $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{r}}$ in a Taylor series around $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{r} 0}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{r}(\mathbb{R})=\exp \left[C_{x}^{2}=4(x)^{2} \quad C_{z}^{2}=4(z)^{2}\right] \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{x}}=\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{x}}+\left(\mathrm{Cn}_{\mathrm{r}}=\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{r}}\right.$ 1) $\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{x} 0}=\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{rzo}}\right) \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{z}}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{z}}=$ $\left(\mathrm{Cn}_{\mathrm{r}}={ }_{r}\right)\left(k_{z 0}=\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{rz} 0}\right) \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{z}}$, and $\mathrm{r}=\mathrm{c}\left(\mathrm{dn}_{\mathrm{r}}!=\mathrm{d}!\right)^{1}$. From the above expressions, one can see that the G aussian $w$ ave packet $m$ oves $w$ ith $v_{g r}$. D ue to the dispersion, the wave packet is deform ed in the $N \mathbb{I M}$.

An NIM is dispersive and causality dem ands that $d("!)=d!\geq 1$ and $d(!)=d!>1$ for nearly transparent
 tion of! only, $v_{g r}=c\left(d n_{r}!=d!\right)^{1} \quad\left(\mathrm{ck}_{r}=n_{r}!\right)={ }_{r} \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{\mathrm{r}}$ $w$ ith $\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{\mathrm{r}}$ the unit vector in the direction of $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{r}}$. Since r is alw ays positive for transparent $m$ edia as required by causality, the group velocity $w$ ill be refracted opposite the direction of $w$ avevector $k_{r}$.

The $m$ agnetic eld obtained from the electrical eld through $H=(1=!) k \quad E$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{r}=\frac{E}{c}^{Z} d^{Z} k f\left(k \quad k_{0}\right) \frac{n_{r}(k)}{r(k)}\left(\hat{k}_{r} \quad \hat{y}\right) e^{i k_{r} r i!(k) t}: \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which we nd the Poynting vector to be

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{r}= & \frac{E_{0}^{2}}{c} d^{2} k^{Z} \quad d^{2} k^{0} f\left(k \quad k_{0}\right) f\left(\begin{array}{lll}
k^{0} & \left.k_{0}\right) \hat{k}_{r} \\
& \left.\frac{n_{r}(k)}{r(k)} \cos k_{r} \quad!(k) t\right] \cos \mathbb{k}_{r}^{0} \quad r & !(k) t] ;(5)
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used the fact that $k_{r} \quad \hat{y}=0$ : W hile there is no question that the Poynting vector at a point in a $m$ edium gives the local direction of energy ow, it does not give us the direction of energy ow by a wave packet or a group of plane waves as a whole since the direction of the Poynting vector varies w th space. The integral of the P oynting vector over all space, $P_{r}=S_{r} d r$, how ever, gives the totalm om entum carried by a w ave packet. $T$ his quantity divided by the volum e over which the wave packet is nonzero is the average of the Poynting vector over the whole wave packet. E ither way, this integral clearly represents the direction of $m$ otion of the $w$ ave packet in the $m$ edium. From the above expression for $S_{r}$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{r}=\quad\left(E_{0}^{2}=2 c\right) \quad d^{2} k f\left(k \quad k_{0}\right)^{2} \hat{k} \frac{n_{r}\left(k^{\prime}\right)}{r\left(k^{\prime}\right)}: \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us consider a coordinate system whose z -axis is along $k_{0}$. The function $f\left(k \quad k_{0}\right)^{2} w$ ill then be a function of $k_{x}$ and $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{z}}$ sym m etrically peaked around $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{x}}=0$ and $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{z}}=\mathrm{k}_{0}$. Then writing Eq. (6) as

$$
P={\frac{E_{0}^{2}}{2 c}}^{Z} d^{2} k f\left(k \quad k_{0}\right)^{2} \frac{k_{x} \hat{x}+k_{z} \hat{2}}{k j} \frac{n_{r}(k j)}{r(k j)}
$$

we can see that since $k$ is an even function of $k_{x}$, the integrand is an odd function of $k_{x}$ and hence the $x$ com ponent vanishes. Therefore, $P$, which as argued above represents the propagation direction of the $w$ ave packet, is opposite in direction to $k_{0}$, i.e., in the direction of the group velocity. H ence, the energy refracts negatively.

The negative refraction of the $w$ ave packet is illustrated by num erical sim ulation in $F$ ig '1.1. W e use the follow ing dispersion relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{r}(!)=(1=!)^{q} \overline{\left(!^{2} \quad!_{b}^{2}\right)\left(!^{2} \quad!_{p}^{2}\right)=\left(!^{2} \quad!_{0}^{2}\right)}: \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the $N \mathbb{I M} w$ th $!0<!<!_{b}$. The perm eability is $r=\left(\begin{array}{ll}l^{2} & ! \\ b\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}l^{2} & ! \\ 0\end{array}\right)$. The num bers we used in the calculation are, $!_{0}=1,!_{b}=3,!_{p}=P \overline{10}, c=1$. Fig ${ }_{\underline{1}}^{11}$ show stroboscopic snapshots of the electric eld intensity of a propagating w ave packet incident on a $P \mathbb{I M}-N \mathbb{I M}$
interface. The negative refraction of the wave packet is clearly evident.

A beam can be constructed as follow s:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=E_{0} \quad d k_{?} e^{i\left(k_{0}+k_{?}\right) r_{f}}\left(k_{?}\right): \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $k_{\text {? }}$ is perpendicular to $k_{0}$ and $f\left(k_{\text {? }}\right)$ assum es a G aussian form. N ote that this construction is di erent from that of $K$ ong et al [ $\left[\frac{1}{d}\right]$ and $S m$ ith et al $[d]$ in that the $w$ idth of the incident packet is $m$ ade nite in directions penpendicular to the direction of propagation. B ecause the $N \mathbb{M}$ is highly dispersive, the incident beam once it enters the $N \mathbb{M}$ will no longer be a beam. It will be a localized w ave packet instead. The electric eld E of the


FIG.1: T im e-lapse snap shots of the electric eld intensity of a propagating $G$ aussian w ave packet refracting negatively at a $P \mathbb{I M}-N \mathbb{M}$ interface. $T$ he center $w$ ave num ber is $k_{0}=\frac{5}{5}$ $w$ ith incident angle $=6$. The spatial extent of the incident wave packet is $x=z=10$. The tim e step is 50 w ith speed of light $c=1 . T$ he dispersion $E q$. (7,) were used for $N \mathbb{M}$ and $\mathrm{n}==1$ for $\mathrm{P} \mathbb{M}$.


FIG.2: Electric eld $<E$ of a beam $w$ ith $k_{0}=\frac{P}{5}$ and the $G$ aussian weight $f\left(k_{?}\right)=e^{\left(10 k_{?}\right)^{2}}$. The incident angle of the beam is $==12$.
beam is shown in $F$ ig $\overline{2}$. Just as for the w ave packet, the beam intensity also refracts negatively.
$W$ e next consider the refraction of $w$ ave packets $m$ ade up of a nite num ber of plane waves. For the cases of 2 and 3 plane w aves analytical expressions are obtained for the P oynting vector, $m$ om entum and group velocities. $F$ inst consider the case of two plane waves in the $x z-$ plane incident from $P \mathbb{M}$ to $N \mathbb{M}$ where the interface is at $z=0$. Let $w$ ave vectors and frequencies be $\left(k_{1} ;!_{1}\right)$ and $\left(k_{2} ;!_{2}\right)$. W e set the polarization in the $y$-direction. Suppose $!=!_{2} \quad!_{1}>0$. $T$ he incident $w$ ave in $P \mathbb{M}$ is $E=2 E_{0} e^{i K r i t} \cos (k \quad r=2 \quad!t=2) w$ ith $K=$ $\left(k_{1}+k_{2}\right)=2$, $=\left(!_{1}+!_{2}\right)=2$, and $k=k_{2} \quad k_{1}$ and where $E_{0}$ is the wave am plitude, $T$ he electric eld of the refracted $w$ aves is

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{r}=2 E_{0}^{0} e^{i K_{r} r i t} \cos \left(k_{r} \quad r=2 \quad!t=2 \hat{y}\right. \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ ith $K_{r}=\left(k_{r 1}+k_{r 2}\right)=2$ and $k_{r}=k_{r 2} \quad k_{r 1}$, where $E_{0}^{0}$ is the amplitude of the electric eld and where $k_{1}$ and $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{r} 2}$ are related to $\mathrm{k}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{k}_{2}$ respectively by Eq. (1).

The relatively long wavelength cosine function in Eq. $\left.(\underline{1})_{1}\right) \mathrm{m}$ oves in the $\mathrm{N} \mathbb{I} \mathrm{w}$ w ith a velocity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{gr}}=\left(\quad!=j \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{r}} \hat{\jmath}\right)\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{x}} \hat{\mathrm{x}}+\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{rz}} \hat{z}\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

assum ing that $j \mathrm{kj} \ll \mathfrak{j} j$. From the above expression, it is evident that $v_{\text {grx }}>0$ if $k_{x}>0$. Since $!_{1}<!_{2}$, we have $\left.0<n\left(!_{1}\right) \quad n(!)_{2}\right)$ and $n_{r}\left(!_{1}\right)<n_{r}\left(!!_{2}\right)<0$ by the requirem ent of causality which requires $d(n!)=d!>$ 0. O ne has $k_{r 1 z}^{2} \quad k_{r 2 z}^{2}=k_{r 1}^{2} \quad k_{r 2}^{2}+k_{2 x}^{2} \quad k_{1 \mathrm{x}}^{2}>0$. Since $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{rz}}=\mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{rzz}} \dot{j} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{grz}}>0$. The group refraction is indeed positive [4]1]. This is due to the sim ple fact that $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{grx}}>0$ if $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{gx}}>0$. Proper dispersion w ill only give $v_{g r z}>0$ since the energy should propagate aw ay from the interface. B ut we shall see that the above picture is not true for the energy ow.

Let us determ ine the averageP oynting vectorhS $r_{r}$ i. U sing the $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{P}}^{2} \mathrm{~g}$ netic eld corresponding to $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{r}}$ Of Eq. (8), $H_{r}=E_{0} \sum_{j=1}^{2}\left(k_{r j z} \hat{x} \quad k_{j x} \hat{z}\right) e^{i k_{r j} r i!}{ }_{j}^{t}=!_{j r}<S_{r}>$ is found to be given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
h S_{r} i=\frac{1}{2}(1+\cos \quad r) E_{0}^{0} \tilde{J}_{j=1}^{X^{2}} \hat{x} \frac{k_{j x}}{!_{j}}+z \frac{k_{r j z}}{!_{j}} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r=k_{r} r \quad$ ! $t$. Since $k_{z}<0$, one has $S_{x}<0$ and $S_{z}>0$. Thus, contrary to the refraction of the cosine function in Eq. (9), the P oynting vector is directed in the negative refraction direction, i.e. refracts negatively.

W e shall now dem onstrate that by including more plane waves in our group, one can get negative refraction of the group. A ctually, just one $m$ ore plane wave can achieve that. T hus, let us include three plane w aves, whose w ave vectors form a triangle, rather than being parallel. Let the $m$ agnitudes of the $w$ ave vectors be $k$, $\mathrm{k}+\mathrm{k}_{1}, \mathrm{k}+\mathrm{k}_{2}$, and their angles w ith the nom al to the interface, $+\quad+1,+2 \cdot$ Inside the $P \mathbb{M}$ or the $N \mathbb{M}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
E= & e^{i\left(k_{x} x+k_{z} z!t\right)} \quad 1+\exp \left[\begin{array}{ll}
i\left(\begin{array}{ll}
u & \text { ct }) \\
k_{1}
\end{array}+i v k\right. & 1
\end{array}\right] \\
& +\exp \left[\begin{array}{lll}
i(u & \text { ct) } k_{2}+i v k & 2
\end{array}\right] \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

w th $\mathrm{u}=\mathrm{x} \sin +\mathrm{zCOS}$ and $\mathrm{v}=\mathrm{xCOS} \mathrm{z} \sin$ for the $P \mathbb{M}$ and $u=x \sin +a z$ and $v=x \cos +b z$ for the $N \mathbb{I M} . T$ hen the lines $w$ hose equations are $u=$ constant and $v=$ constant are perpendicular for the $P \mathbb{I M} . H$ ere use has been $m$ ade of the follow ing expansion $k_{r z}(k+k ; ~+\quad)$ $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{rz}}+\mathrm{a} \mathrm{k}+\mathrm{bk} \quad \mathrm{w}$ 亩h $\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{k}\left(\sin ^{2}+\mathrm{c} \eta_{\mathrm{r}}{ }_{\mathrm{r}}\right)=\mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{rz}} \dot{j} ; \mathrm{b}=$ $\mathrm{k} \sin 2=2 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{rz}} j$ : $T$ he condition for $m$ axim um intensity for the quantity in brackets, the long wavelength envelope of the packet, is determ ined by the equations (u ct) $\mathrm{k}_{1}+\mathrm{vk} \mathrm{u}_{1}=2 \mathrm{~m}_{1}$; (ull) $\mathrm{k}_{2}+\mathrm{vk} \quad 2=2 \mathrm{~m}_{2}$, whose solution in the $P \mathbb{M}$ is $x=\left(C_{1} \sin +G_{2} \cos \right)+$ $\sin c t ; z=(q \cos \quad G \sin )+\cos c t w$ ith $q=$
$2\left(\begin{array}{llllll}m_{2} & 1 & m_{1} & 2\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{llllllll}1 & k_{2} & k_{1}\end{array}\right) ; c_{2}=2\left(m_{1} k_{2}\right.$ $\left.m_{2} k_{1}\right)=\left(k\left(\begin{array}{lll}1 & k_{2} & 2\end{array} k_{1}\right)\right)$, which are clearly only dened for $k=k_{2} \in{ }_{1}=2$.
Inside the $N \mathbb{I M}$, the solution for the location of the intensity $m$ axim $a$ is $x=\left(c_{2} a \quad c_{1} b \quad b c t\right)=(a \cos$ $b \sin ) ; z=(a \cos \quad a \sin +\cos c t)=(a \cos \quad b \sin )$. From the expressions for $a$ and $b$ under Eq. (12), one has a;b> 0 and acos $b \sin >0$. Then $x(t)$ and $z(t), d x=d t<0$ and $d z=d t>0$. Thus the refraction $w i l l$ be negative. Let the angles of the line $u=$ constant and $\mathrm{v}=$ constant in the $\mathrm{N} \mathbb{I} \mathrm{w}$ ith the z -axisbe and respectively. Then one has tan $=a=\sin$; tan $=$ $\mathrm{b}=\mathrm{cos}=\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{x}}=\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{rz}}$. So one alw ays has $=2 \lll$ inside the $N \mathbb{I M}$. From the above expressions, one can see that the $m$ axim a $m$ ove in the direction, that is, antiparallel to $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{r}}$. The group velocity in $\mathrm{N} \mathbb{I M}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{g r}={ }_{r} \hat{k}_{r}: \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

This velocity is independent of how the incident wave packet is constructed. The refraction of a group constructed from 4 plane waves is shown in $F$ ig'הַ. T he argum ents presented above dem onstrate that for any group consisting of 3 or m ore plane waves whose wavevectors are not collinear, the group refraction is negative.


FIG. 3: Electric eld <E of negative refraction of 4 plane w aves w ith w ave vector m agnitudes $\mathrm{k} \quad \mathrm{k}, \mathrm{k}, \mathrm{k}+\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{k}$, and incident angles, , $p_{\text {_ }}$, + , respectively. The center wave num ber is $k^{\prime}=P^{5} \mathrm{w}^{\prime}$ ith incident angle $=\quad=6, k=$ $0 \cdot 2$, and $=45$. Up to the rst order approxim ation, the electric eld, Poynting vector, and the $m$ om ent of this group of plane $w$ aves are $E_{r}=2 e^{i r}(\cos \$+\cos \quad r), h S_{r} i=$
$2(\cos \$+\cos r)^{2} k_{r}=!, P_{r}^{\text {cell }}=2 A k_{r}=$ !, respectively.

W hile the sim ulations in F ig ${ }^{\prime}$ 'N clearly show that the intensity $m$ axim a refract negatively, the norm al to the planes in which these intensity maxim a lie are directed in a positive refraction direction. Thus, ifone w ere to im agine sm oothing out allintensity variation in the planes, the
planes w ould appear to refract in a positive direction. W e believe that this is a rem nant of the positive refraction of the planes of intensity m axim a (the cosine function in Eq. (d)) found for the interference patem for the two plane $w$ ave exam ple of $R$ ef. $[\underline{[1]}]$. W hen there are only two plane waves, this is the only group $m$ otion that $w e$ see in the NIM since for a group consisting of tw o plane waves, there are no intensity variations in these planes.

O ne can also look at the energy ow which is represented by the P oynting vector. For three $w$ ave vectors w ith w ave vector m agnitudes $\mathrm{k} \quad \mathrm{k}, \mathrm{k}, \mathrm{k} \mathrm{k}$, and the angles w ith the nom al, , + respectively, the magnetic eld can also be calculated and hence the P oynting vector up to the rst order in both $k$ and is

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{hS}_{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{i}= & \frac{1}{2}\left(1+4 \cos ^{2} \$+4 \cos \$ \cos \quad r\right) k_{r}=! \\
& \sin \$ \sin \quad \mathrm{r}(\cos \hat{x}+\mathrm{b} \hat{z}) \mathrm{k}=! \\
+ & 2 \cos ^{2} \$\left(\mathrm{a} \quad \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{rz}}=\mathrm{k}\right) \mathrm{k} \hat{z}=! \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\$=(b z+\cos x) k$ and $r=(a z+\sin x) k$
! t. H ere, $\mathrm{hS}_{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{i}$ is not localized; rather it form s a lattice. A unit cellis de ned as the region in which \$ changesby and $r$ changes by 2 , as is obvious from the expression for $E_{r}$ or $h S_{r} i$. $T$ he area for each unit cell in $N \mathbb{M}$ is $A=2^{2}=(a \cos \quad \mathrm{~b} \sin ) \mathrm{k} \mathrm{k}$. Instead of integrating over all space which will diverge, one can calculate the electrom agneticm om entum for each cell. Ignoring higher order term $s$ in $k$ and , we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{r}}^{\mathrm{cell}}=3 A(1+2 \mathrm{k}=3 \mathrm{k}) \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{r}}=2! \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ th $K_{r}=k_{r} 2(\sin \hat{x}+a \hat{z}) k=3$, the average of the three $w$ ave vectors which $m$ ake up the group.

A packet constructed from a nite number of plane w aves w ill alw ays give a collection of propagating wave pulses $w$ th the area of the unit cellinversely proportional to k and . For the above localized w avesm ade of nite num ber ofplane $w$ aves, the group velocity $v_{g r}$ is parallel to $P_{r}$ and anti-parallel to the average $w$ ave vector $K_{r}$.

In this paper, we have shown that for any localized w ave packet, the refraction at an interface betw een a P $\mathbb{M}$ and an $N \mathbb{I M}$ is alw ays negative. A s pointed out earlier, it is essential for a correct treatm ent of this problem to use w ave packets which are localized in all directions since the EM eld from any physicalsource is a localized w ave packet.
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