Ferrom agnetism in Fe-doped Ba₆Ge₂₅ Chiral Clathrate

Yang Li and Joseph H. Ross, Jr.

Department of Physics, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843-4242

(D ated: M arch 22, 2024)

W e have successfully synthesized a Ba_6Ge_{25} clathrate, substituting 3 Fe per form ula unit by Ge. This chiral clathrate has Ge sites form ing a fram ework of closed cages and helical tunnel networks. Fe atom s random ly occupy these sites, and exhibit high-spin magnetic moments. A ferrom agnetic transition is observed with $T_c = 170 \text{ K}$, the highest observed T_c for a magnetic clathrate. How ever, them agnetic phase is signi cantly disordered, and exhibits a transform ation to a re-entrant spin glass phase. This system has a number of features in common with other dilute magnetic sem iconductors.

Si, Ge and Sn clathrates have received increasing attention over the past few years. These materials have a sem iconducting fram ework into which metal atom s can be substituted, providing a number of possibilities for new materials,¹ for example new therm oelectrics,^{2,3} and superconductors.⁴ Ferrom agnetism has been observed in M n-doped G e clathrate, with $T_c = 10 \text{ K}$, and clathrates having E u encapsulated in the cages, with T_c up to 37 K 3 Currently there is strong interest in ferrom agnetic sem iconductors for spintronic applications,⁶ and much e ort has been devoted to the magnetic doping of sem iconductors using low -tem perature epitaxy.7 C lathrates o er the possibility of sem iconducting phases containing m agnetic atom s at equilibrium, which m ight be compatible with conventional substrates.⁸ Here, we report the synthesis of a stable Fe-doped G e clathrate, and the observation of a ferrom agnetic transition with $T_c = 170 \text{ K}$.

A lthough several transition m etals substitute for G e in the type-I clathrate structure,⁹ attem pts at Fe substitution have failed due to competing Fe-G e phases. How – ever, we nd that higher temperature synthesis produces a Fe-containing clathrate of the recently discovered chiral structure type, Ba_6Ge_{25} .^{10,11,12} This clathrate contains an open Ge-Ge bonded fram ework, threaded by closed dodecahedral cages. The m aterial actually form s as Ba_6Ge_{24} , with vacancies occurring preferentially by a Zintlm echanism.¹²

Our method followed several steps. Elemental mixtures, with excess Ba, were placed in BN crucibles, sealed under Ar, and pre-reacted by rf induction. The resulting m ixtures included type-I clathrates and FeGe2. Characterization was by x-ray di raction (Bruker D8 Advance Powder, Cu K radiation), and re nem ent using GSAS software.¹³ To complete the reaction and elim inate FeGe2, the mixtures were arc-melted several times in a water-cooled copper crucible. The ingots were then sealed in quartz ampoules and annealed at 700 C for 100 hours. By this method we obtained single-phase or nearly single-phase $Ba_6Ge_{25 x}Fe_x$ with x up to 3. How ever, furnace melting and slow er cooling through the melting point produced a mixture of FeGe, and clathrate phases. An x-ray analysis for x = 3 is shown in Fig. 1. All observed peaks were indexed according to the cubic space group $P4_132$ (# 213), as previously reported for Ba_6Ge_{25} .^{10,11,12} The lattice constant for x = 3 is a = 1.45520 nm, slightly larger than reported for Ba_6Ge_{24} (a




FIG.1: X may re nem ent for $Ba_6Ge_{22}Fe_3$. Upper curve: data with t. Lower curve: di erence plot. Ticks show peaks indexed according to the chiral clathrate structure. Unit cell with clathrate fram ework is inset, showing a distorted dodecahedral cage at lower left. 3-bonded sites shown as open circles.

= 1.45483 nm).¹²

E nergy-dispersive x-ray spectroscopy (EDS) and electron di raction m easurements (JEOL JEM -2010 electron m icroscope, 100 kV) for the x = 3 sample showed that Fe was indeed incorporated in the clathrate phase. These m easurements also showed trace amounts of Ge and FeG e₂ to be present, but below the x-ray detectability limit (a few percent). Thus a minor fraction of the Fe atoms are present as FeG e₂. No other phases were observed. Analysis gave an average clathrate com position $Ba_6Ge_{21:9}Fe_{3:1}$ for the x = 3 sample, a GeFe ratio close to the starting com position. The Fe content per formula unit was 3.1 1, with variations observed between crystallites, how ever the crystallites appeared locally hommogeneous, with no apparent clustering.

The chiral clathrate structure (Fig. 1) has Ba situated in open channels as well as closed cages. In the Ge_{25} fram ework, two of the six distinct sites have three Ge-Gebonds, the others having four. By contrast, type I and II clathrates have only 4-bonded Ge sites in approximately tetrahedral bonding con gurations, and closed cages. In our x-ray analysisweplaced Fe predom inantly on three of the six sites, sim ilar to the occupation parameters for Indoped Ge₂₅ clathrate,¹² and the renement gave a com -




FIG. 2: Temperature dependence of ZFC, FC and remanent m agnetization of $B\,a_6\,G\,e_{22}Fe_3\,$ for H $\,=\,$ 0.1 T. Insets at right show FC m agnetic behavior at high temperatures, with dashed curve from a Curie-W eiss t.

position $Ba_6Ge_{21:8}Fe_{3:0}$, in excellent agreem ent with the EDS result ($Ba_6Ge_{21:9}Fe_{3:1}$). However, since the Fe and G e x-ray structure factors are sim ilar, we found that Fe could be redistributed among fram ework sites to give a sim ilar composition and nearly identical goodness of t (R values: $R_{wp} = 0.077$ and $R_p = 0.053$). The speci c Fe locations therefore are uncertain, although it is clear that they are distributed in the clathrate fram ework.

M agnetic m easurem ents were m ade on a Ba₆Ge₂₂Fe₃ sam ple using a SQUID m agnetom eter (Quantum Design MPMS-XL).Zero-eld-cooled (ZFC) magnetization was measured on warming in xed eld, while eld-cooled (FC) magnetization was measured vs. decreasing tem perature in constant eld. Fig. 2 shows data for 0.1 T applied eld. The high-tem perature behavior was t to a Curie-W eiss law, $= \frac{C}{T T_c} + dia$, where dia denotes a diam agnetic background. The value C = $5.6 \quad 10^{5}$ K m^3/kg indicates an e ective moment $p_{eff} = 5.5_B$ per Fe, close to the free-ion value, 5.9 $_{\rm B}$, for high-spin Fe $(p_{eff} = g[J(J + 1)]^{1=2})$. T_c obtained from the t is 180 8:2 10⁸ m³/kg, K. The diam agnetic term , $_{dia}$ = is large and m ay be an overestim ation due to rounding of the transition. Some distribution of T_c seems likely because of the observed Fe concentration distribution. However, low tem perature m agnetization m easurem ents agree with the Curie t; $T_c = 170$ K is estimated from a modied Arrott plot (inset to Fig. 3).

A divergence of $_{\rm FC}$ and $_{\rm ZFC}$ starts near 170 K, and becomes much more pronounced below 110 K (Fig. 2). The remanence was also measured, warming the sample after removal of the eld, and found to decrease with temperature, and vanish near 110 K. The magnetization at 2 K (Fig. 3) is polarized to 0.75 $_{\rm B}$ per Fe in a small eld. However, full saturation is not reached even at 7 T, where the magnetization corresponds to 1 $_{\rm B}$ per Fe. This value is considerably lower than expected, given the value $p_{\rm eff}$ = 5.5 $_{\rm B}$ obtained from the Curie t. This suggests a canted or noncollinear spin con guration.

The observed magnetic behavior cannot be due to the




FIG.3: M H hysteresis at 2 K, with the low - eld region expanded on the right. At left is a modied A mott plot of m agnetization vs. eld, with = 1.0 and = 0.45.




FIG. 4: Ac susceptibility as function of temperature, measured in zero dc eld, with frequencies as shown.

sm all am ount of FeG e_2 , which has a spiral spin structure below 289 K, becoming commensurate at 263 K.¹⁴ These do not correspond to the observed transitions, and the observed moments clearly could not result from inclusions of this phase at the few percent level.

To further understand the m agnetic behavior, in-phase (0) and out-of-phase (0) ac susceptibilities were m easured under a 0.6 m T ac eld, with the results shown in F ig. 4. The higher-tem perature data could be t to a Curie law with $T_{\rm c}=180$ K, in accord with the dc results. 0 exhibits a strong rise below 200 K, corresponding to the ferrom agnetic transition. However, below a maximum, 0 decreases at lower tem peratures and becomes frequency-dependent, while 00 also becomes frequency-dependent. The frequency dependence, combined with the decrease of ZFC vs. FC m agnetization below 110 K, are characteristic of reentrant spin glass system s. 15,16 W e conclude that the transition near 170 K is ferrom agnetic, while the 110 K inreversibility point corresponds to a spin glass freezing transition.

Frequency dependent $_{AC}$ is found in spin glasses, while for ordinary ferror agnets the time-scale is much

too sm all, even for the critical slowing down region near T_c .^{15,16} C anted ferrom agnets with a low-tem perature antiferrom agnetism have exhibited ac behavior similar to that of a reentrant spin glass,¹⁷ how ever ⁽⁰⁾ in that case shows only an increase with frequency, and no shift in canting transition tem perature. The variation of ⁽⁰⁾ peak position, T_p , per decade of frequency, $[dT_p=d(\log f)=T_p]$, is 0.042 for Ba₆G e₂₂Fe₃, which is in the range of values observed for spin glasses, 0.004 to 0.08.¹⁶

Spin-glass reentrance is attributed to the freezing of transverse degrees of freedom in a ferrom agnet containing disorder.¹⁸ A Itematively, a cluster-glass mechanism has been argued,¹⁹ and it is clear that ferrom agnetic clusters and considerable disorder in the ferrom agnetic state²⁰ do play a role in realm aterials. How ever, a cluster glass alone does not explain the present data, particularly the low-tem perature saturation. A rem anence increase on cooling in the glassy state is also typical of such spin glasses.²¹

Fe occupancy of fram ework sites is below the percolation threshold, even for second-neighbor bonds, so it is likely that the mechanism for magnetic ordering is conduction-electron mediation, as in diluted magnetic sem iconductors. For comparison, in (G a_{1 x} M n_x)As, M n carries about 5 B, and T_c scales linearly with small values of x, reaching T_c = 110 K for x = 0.05.⁷ The present system is quite similar in T_c vs. x. Band-structure calculations²² indicate a gap below the Ferm i level in Ba_6Ge_{25} which may quite possibly be the E_F location

- ¹ B.Roy, K.E.Sim, and A.D.Caplin, Phil.M ag. 65, 1445 (1992).
- ² G.S.Nolas, J.L.Cohn, G.A.Slack, and S.B.Schujman, Appl.Phys.Lett. 73, 178 (1998).
- ³ B.C. Sales, B.C. Chakoum akos, R. Jin, J.R. Thom pson, and D.M andrus, Phys. Rev. B 64, 245113 (2001).
- ⁴ H.Kawaji, H.Horie, and S.Yamanaka, Phys. Rev. Lett. 74, 1427 (1995).
- ⁵ T.Kawaguchi, K.Tanigaki, and M.Yasukawa, Appl.Phys. Lett. 77, 3438 (2000).
- ⁶ H.Ohno, D.Chiba, F.Matsukura, T.Omiya, E.Abe, T.Dietl, Y.Ohno, and K.Ohtani, Nature (London) 408, 944 (2000).
- $^7\,$ H .O hno, J.C rystalG rowth 251, 285 (2003).
- ⁸ S. M unetoh, K. M origuchi, K. Kam ei, A. Shintani, and T. M otooka, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 4879 (2001).
- ⁹ G.Cordier and P.W oll, J.Less-Common M et. 169, 291 (1991).
- ¹⁰ H. Fukuoka, K. Iwai, and S. Yamanaka, J. Solid State Chem .151, 117 (2000).
- ¹¹ W. Carrillo-Cabrera, J. Curda, H. G. von Schnering, S.Paschen, and Y. Grin, Z.Kristall. 215, 207 (2000).
- ¹² S.-J. K im, S. Hu, C. Uher, T. Hogan, B. Huang, J. D. Corbett, and M.G. Kanatzidis, J. Solid State Chem. 153, 321 (2000).
- ¹³ A.C. Larson and R.B. von D reele, Tech. Rep. LAUR 86-748, Los A lam os N ational Laboratory (2000).
- 14 L.M.Corliss, J.M.Hastings, W.Kunnmann, R.Thomas,

in the Zintl composition Ba_6Ge_{24} . The Zintl concept²³ involves valence counting to give a closed-shell bonding con guration. A ssum ing valence 3 for Fe, $Ba_6Ge_{21\frac{3}{4}}Fe_3$ is also a Zintlphase, and very close to the average com position found here. Thus it seems likely that E_F is near a band edge in thism aterial, and the sem iconductorm odel is appropriate.

There is considerable interest in the e ects of disorder on the magnetic behavior of magnetic sem iconductors;^{24,25,26} the conduction-electron m ediated interaction provides frustration, giving a non-collinear ordered state.²⁴ For the case of (G a,M n)N, the ground state appears to be a spin glass with no ferrom agnetism.²⁷ H ere we observe a ferrom agnetic transition and spin-glass state in the same material.

In sum m ary, a Ba₆G e₂₂Fe₃ clathrate was synthesized, exhibiting a ferrom agnetic $T_c = 170$ K.Below 110 K, a transition to a reentrant spin glass was evidenced by the onset of frequency-dependent ac susceptibility and a characteristic eld-dependent m agnetization.

A cknow ledgm ents

This work was supported by the Robert A.Welch Foundation, G rant No.A-1526, and by the NationalScience Foundation (DMR-0103455).

J.Zhuang, R.Butera, and D.M ukam el, Phys.Rev.B 31, 4337 (1985).

- ¹⁵ K. Binder and A. P. Young, Rev. M od. Phys. 58, 801 (1986).
- ¹⁶ J.A.M ydosh, Spin G lasses: an Experim ental Introduction (Taylor and Francis, 1993).
- ¹⁷ S.M ukherjee, R.R anganathan, and S.B.R oy, Phys.R ev. B 50, 1084 (1994).
- ¹⁸ M. Gabay and G. Toulouse, Phys. Rev. Lett. 47, 201 (1981).
- ¹⁹ L.D.Rakers and P.A.Beck, Phys.Rev.B 36,8622 (1987).
- ²⁰ W. Bao, S. Raym ond, S. M. Shapiro, K. Motoya, B. Fak, and R. W. Erwin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 4711 (1999).
- ²¹ C.M.W ynn, M.A.G^{rtu}, J.Zhang, J.S.M iller, and A.J. Epstein, Phys. Rev. B 58, 8508 (1998).
- ²² I. Zerec, A. Yaresko, P. Thalm eier, and Y. Grin, Phys. Rev. B 66, 045115 (2002).
- ²³ S.M. Kauzlarich, ed., Chemistry, Structure, and Bonding of ZintlPhases and Ions (VCH, 1996).
- ²⁴ J.Schliem ann and A.H.M acD onald, Phys.Rev.Lett.88, 137201 (2002).
- ²⁵ G. Zarand and B. Janko, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 047201 (2002).
- ²⁶ S.das Samma, E.H.Hwang, and A.Kaminski, Phys.Rev. B 67, 155201 (2003).
- ²⁷ S. Dhar, O. Brandt, A. Trampert, K. J. Friedland, Y. J. Sun, and K. H. Ploog, Phys. Rev. B 67, 165205 (2003).