K inetic Friction and A tom istic Instabilities in Boundary-Lubricated System s

Martin Aichele

Institut Charles Sadron, 6 rue Boussingault, 67083 Strasbourg, France and Institut fur Physik, Universitat M ainz, 55099 M ainz, G erm any

Martin H. Muser

Department of Applied M athematics, University of W estern Ontario, London, Ontario N 6A 5B7, Canada (D ated: January 8, 2022)

The contribution of sliding-induced, atom ic-scale instabilities to the kinetic friction force is investigated by molecular dynamics. For this purpose, we derive a relationship between the kinetic friction force F_k and the non-equilibrium velocity distribution P (v) of the lubricant particles. P (v) typically shows exponential tails, which cannot be described in terms of an elective temperature. It is investigated which parameters control the existence of instabilities and how they a ect P (v) and hence F_k . The elects of the interfaces' dimensionality, lubricant coverage, and internal degrees of freedom of lubricant particles on F_k are studied explicitly. A mong other results we in that the kinetic friction between commensurate surfaces is much more susceptible to changes in (i) lubricant coverage, (ii) sliding velocity, and (iii) bond length of lubricant molecules than incommensurate surfaces.

PACS num bers: 46.55.+d | Tribology and mechanical contacts, 81.40 Pq | Friction, lubrication and wear in materials science

I. IN TRODUCTION

The every-day phenom enon friction is of great practical and econom ical importance, which is one of the motivations to improve our understanding of tribological processes [1, 2]. Friction between two solids diers from that between a solid and a uid in that both static and kinetic friction appear nite, while the force between a solid and a uid vanishes linearly with sliding velocity v_0 at small v_0 . Static friction F_s is the externally applied force necessary to initiate relative sliding motion between two solids, whereas kinetic friction F_k is the force needed to maintain the sliding motion. Phenom enological friction laws, which date back to da V inci, Am ontons, and C oulom b [3], often provide a good description on the macroscopic scale.

The microscopic origins of kinetic friction are still a matter of debate, even though it has long been recognized that kinetic friction must be due to dynam ical instabilities [4, 5]. W hile there can be many di erent processes leading to instabilities, they all have in common that potential energy is converted abruptly into kinetic energy and ultim ately lost as heat [6]. A lthough instabilities can occur on m any di erent tim e and length scales, there has been an enhanced interest in identifying those that occur on atom ic scales. This quest is not only motivated by the m iniaturization of technical devices down to the nanom eter scale, but also by the desire to better understand macroscopic friction. The understanding of single-asperity contacts is needed as basis for the full description of m acroscopic friction, where the bulkm ediated coupling between contacts gives rise to additionale ects.

Load-bearing, sim ple-asperity contacts are often in the order of m icrons. A coording to Hertzian contact m echanics and generalizations thereof, the pressure is rather constant in the contact with the exception of the areas close to the circum ference, where pressure gradients are large. In the centre of the contact, m ost of the lubricant is squeezed out. One m ay assume that these boundarylubricated areas often account form ost of the energy dissipation when two solids are slid against each other, unless the solids are very compliant, in which case elastic instabilities m ay also contribute a signi cant am ount to the net dissipation. If wear was the m ain source of friction, m aterial would have to rub o from the surfaces m uch faster than observed experimentally [7]. Hydrodynam ic lubrication would likew ise result in values for friction orders of m agnitude too sm all, if it were assumed to be the dom inant dissipation process.

W hile the crucial role of surfactants for friction has certainly been recognized, relatively little attention has been paid to characterize dynamical instabilities in boundary lubricants. Most of the work on instabilities leading to friction is devoted to elastic processes, which are most simply described in the Prandtl-Tom linson (PT) model [8, 9]. In the PT model, an atom is pulled over a substrate by a spring that moves at constant velocity v_0 . If the spring sti ness is below a certain critical value, the atom 's instantaneous velocity can exceed v_0 by many orders of magnitude, see i.e. the discussion in Refs. 5, 6. This process results in non-vanishing F_k in the lim it of zero v_0 as long as thermal uctuations are absent. There is, how ever, a crucial di erence between instabilities in boundary lubricants and instabilities occurring in elastic manifolds that are modelled in term s of the PT m odel and related approaches such as the Frenkel-K ontorova m odel [10, 11]. In boundary lubricants, atom s are only weakly connected to each other and to the conning walls. As a consequence, bond breaking can occur, whereas in elastic models, bonds are treated as unbreakable. This seem ingly subtle di erence leads to di erent tribological behavior.

Two di erent avenues have been pursued in the recent past to study dynamics in boundary lubricants and its consequences for tribological properties. One is a minimalist approach, in which one single lubricant atom embedded between two shearing plates is considered [12, 13]. In the following, we will refer to this approach as the impurity limit. The other avenue incorporates a large ensemble of lubricant atoms [14, 15]. This approach can eventually include surface curvature and elastic deform ation of the surfaces making it possible to study what e ect the interplay of surface curvature and elastic deform ations have on dry or boundary-lubricated friction [16, 17].

In this paper, we intend to analyze what features of simplistic models appear robust as the level of complexity in the description of the boundary lubricant is increased. Since kinetic friction is intimately connected with instabilities, we focus on the analysis of instabilities. In a precedent paper by one of us [18], it was found that the existence of instabilities in the in purity limit and as a consequence the friction-velocity relationship $F_k(v_0)$ depends on the details' of the model. For instance, it was found that for 1D, commensurate interfaces, the sign of the rst higher harm onic in the lubricant-wall potential determ ines: (a) whether or not the atherm alkinetic friction remains nite in the zero-velocity limit, and (b) the exponent that describes the nite-velocity corrections by

$$F_{k}(v) = F_{k}(0) / v_{0}$$
: (1)

Note that Eq. (1) changes its form when therm all noise is included into the treatment, i.e., it becomes linear at small velocities [18]. Depending on the ratio of the relevant energies and temperature, therm all elects may be negligible down to very small values of v_0 .

W hile Ref. 18 is mainly focused on the impurity limit, we intend to extend the analysis in a system atic fashion to less idealized situations. For example, instead of sim ple spherical impurities, dimers and hexamers (6-mers) will be studied. Moreover direct interactions between lubricant particles will be included and the e ects of increasing coverage will be discussed. The central assum ption of our analysis is the existence of instabilities or 'pops' of certain degrees of freedom . A pop is a sudden, seem ingly erratic motion of a particle (or a collective degree of freedom) characterized by a velocity much larger than the associated therm al velocity or the drift. velocity of the atom . Pops heat the lubricant or alternatively they couple directly to the con ning solid walls, ie, by inducing phonons in the walls. They will eventually induce more dram atic e ects such as generation of dislocations or abrade the surfaces. However, as argued above, these extrem e processes are rare and hence presum ably they are not responsible for the main part of the energy dissipation. This is the motivation to concentrate on the energy transfer to the phonon bath that is due to

elem entary process in the lubricant. The underlying idea of the presented approach can be described as follows. Sliding-induced instabilities make the velocity probability distribution (PD) of the lubricant atom s deviate from the therm al equilibrium PD. This alters the balance of energy ow from and to the lubricant. The energy missing in this balance is provided by the external driving device.

In this paper, we will develop a simple kinetic theory that connects the energy dissipation with the velocity PD (Section II). A fler discussing the num erical techniques in Sec. III, we will apply the theory to models of boundary lubrication of various complexity. This will include both the impurity limit, which is discussed in Section IV, and more complex situations that include interaction between lubricant atoms (Section V). Section VI contains the conclusions.

II. THEORY

A. GeneralComments

Them ost fundam ental assumption in this paper is that the interaction between the lubricant atom i and the con ning wall can be decomposed into one conservative part $V_w\ (r_i)$ and one non-conservative term consisting of a damping force plus therm alnoise. $V_w\ (r_i)$ depends only on the di erence between the position r_i and the positions of top wall r_t and bottom wall r_b . It can be written as

$$V_{w}(r_{i}) = V_{b}(r_{i} r_{b}) + V_{t}(r_{i} r_{t});$$
 (2)

where depending on the model under consideration, the vectors r can be one-, two-, or three-dimensional. Unless otherwise noted, the relative motion of the walls is in posed externally, i.e., by constant separation (or constant bad) and constant relative velocity $v_0 e_x = (\underline{r}_t \quad \underline{r}_b)$ of the walls parallel to the sliding direction indicated by the unit vector e_x . We assume the norm alpressure variations to be small, which means that the coupling to each individual con ning (crystalline) wall is periodic parallel to the interface, i.e., it is periodic in the xy-plane.

In the theoretical part of our treatment, we assume that the non-conservative force $F_{t,i}^{(nc)}$ that a wall (here the top wall) exerts on the lubricant atom i consists of a simple, viscous damping term $t(\underline{r}_i - \underline{r}_t)$ plus a random force t(t), thus

$$F_{t;i}^{(nc)} = m_{it}(\underline{r}_i \ \underline{r}_t) + t(t); \qquad (3)$$

where the random force (t) is chosen such that detailed balance is obeyed when the external stress is absent. Thus the usual correlation of the random forces is assum ed, nam ely [19]

$$h_{t}(t)_{t}(t^{0}) = 2D_{t}m_{i}k_{B}T(t t^{0});$$
 (4)

where D is the physical dimension, $k_B T$ is the therm alenergy, and m_i them ass of lubricant atom i. R andom forces plus damping term m_i tv (we dub the sum therm ostat) m in ic the interactions with phonons and/or other excitations, which are not treated explicitly. Typically, the time scales associated with these excitations are short compared to the motion of a particle from one m inimum to another, which justi es the assumption of correlated random forces for our purposes. O f course, damping can and will be di erent norm al and parallel to the interface. How ever, this detail does not have any signi cant consequences for the conclusions presented in this paper. Sim ilarly, the explicit treatment of internal elastic deform ations does not alter the m a pr conclusions either.

W e will now be concerned with the derivation of a form alequation for the friction force. In any steady-state of the system, the average force on the upper wall (or the lower wall) must be zero. If the time average was dimensional from zero, the upper wall would be accelerated in contradiction to the steady-state assumption, as pointed out for instance, by Thom pson and Robbins [15]. The net force on the upper wall consists of three contributions: The externally applied force F_{ext} , the conservative force between lubricant and wall, and the non-conservative force done by the therm ostat. The external force F_{ext} does the work W ext on the upper wall given by

$$W_{ext} = dr_t F_{ext}$$
: (5)

The kinetic friction force F_k follows from that expression as it equals the work done on the system by external forces divided by the distance moved.

Since the conservative potential is assumed to be periodic, it cannot do any net work on a steady-state system and we may not consider it in our energy balance. This implies that the work must be done on the therm ostat. The power dissipated into the damping term is proportional to m $(\underline{r}, \underline{r}_t)^2$, however, parts of that contribution can be provided by the stochastic random force

(t). Hence, if we want to account only for the power P_{ext} that is dissipated into the damping term due to the externally applied force, we have to integrate over the velocity distribution P (v) but we have to subtract the contribution that is due to the random force. The latter contribution is very di cult to calculate. We assume that this heat ow from the random force into the impurity system is identical to that in therm alequilibrium, in which the equilibrium (M axwellBoltzm ann) distribution P_{eq} (v) applies. This yields

$$P_{ext} = N \quad m \quad v^2 fP (v) \quad P_{eq} (v)gdv; \quad (6)$$

where N is the number of lubricant atom s, v is the velocity of a particle relative to the center-of-m ass motion of the upper wall. The net external driving force (or in other words the kinetic friction force F_k) can now be associated with

$$\mathbf{F}_{k} = \mathbf{P}_{\text{ext}} = \mathbf{v}_{0} : \tag{7}$$

W e want to emphasize that Eqs. (5) through (7) allow one to calculate friction forces under m ore general conditions than those of our particular model, for instance, if the therm ostat only acts on the atom s in the outerm ost layers of the walls as e.g. em ployed in Ref. [20]. The approach can also be extended in a straightforw ard m anner if generalized form s of the therm ostat are employed such as in dissipative particle dynamics [21] or if the thermostat is based on a Mori Zwanzig formalism [22, 23]. The main limitation of Eq. (6) in the present context is that e ects due to heating of the walls are not included. Again, a m inor m odi cation would allow one to include heating of the walls into the presented fram ework as well. However, as we will mainly focus on small velocities, the m entioned e ects will be sm all and shall be neglected in the following.

Note that an alternative way of determ ining the friction force in the steady state is to time average the conservative plus the non-conservative force that the upper wall exerts on the lubricant. The observation that the work done by the conservative force is essentially zero does not imply that its time-average must be zero. A form al derivation of the conclusions from this section is given in the appendix.

B. E ect of Instabilities

As discussed in the introduction, the externally im posed relative motion of the con ning walls may induce sudden, dynam ic instabilities or pops' during which the particles' velocities greatly exceed both their therm al velocities and the relative sliding velocity v_0 of the walls. This means that at a time t+ t the atom does not nd a stable position in the O (v_0 t) vicinity of the old stable position at time t. The continuous trajectory ends at t and the particle has to move to the next mechanically stable position to resume its path. The particle will then pop into the next local potential minimum and for low sliding velocities, its peak velocity v_{peak} will be solely determined by the energy landscape and consequently $\lim_{v_0! 0^+} v_{peak} = v_0$ diverges. Its kinetic energy will be dissipated (e.g. by phonons) and lead to friction. This process will lead to a deviation of the velocity distribution P (v) from the therm alequilibrium distribution P_{eq} (v) valid for $v_0 = 0$. Fig. 1 shows such instabilities for a model system that is described in detail in Sect. III.

The velocity distribution P (v) and hence the friction force F_k can be calculated in principle, once the precise form of the lubricant's interaction is known. R isken's book on the Fokker-P lanck equation [19] gives an excellent overview of methods that allow one to treat models like ours, namely externally-driven systems that are mainly determ inistic but also contain a certain degree of therm al noise. An analytical approach remains di cult in our case, due to the potential's com plex time dependence. Therefore a di erent, phenom enological approach will be pursued.



FIG.1: Trajectory of a lubricant impurity in the xy-plane tagged between two incommensurate surfaces (at large load and sm all temperature). The relative velocities of the walls is $v_0 = 10^{-3}$. The positions are plotted every t = 0.5. The bar denotes 100 times the average drift distance per time interval t. The arrows indicate dynamical instabilities.

An instability will invoke a trajectory during which potential energy is abruptly converted into kinetic energy. The kinetic energy will then be dissipated into the thermostat, i.e., the phonon bath of the con ning walls. A fter som e tim e, which depends on the coupling strength to the therm ostat, the Maxwell-Boltzmann probability distribution (PD) will be resumed, provided no new instability has been invoked in the meantime. An instability will thus create a typical velocity PD that will show up as a tail in the Maxwell-Boltzmann PD. Unless the two con ning walls are identical and perfectly aligned (thus commensurate), there is a class of instabilities in which the energy lost during the 'pop' shows a broad distribution, see also Ref. 24. Every pop, characterized for instance by the energy dissipated, will contribute to P (v) in its own way. We assume that the net sum P_{tail} of all these individual tails shows exponential dependence on velocity, thus

$$P_{tail} / exp(Bjv v);$$
 (8)

where v is the average velocity of the impurity under consideration, typically v = $v_0e_x=2$, and B is a constant. The m otivation for this particular choice of P_{tail} partly stems from Jaynes' principle of information theory [25]. From it follows that the most likely normalized PD on [0;1) with given mean value about which we do not have more know ledge is the exponential distribution [26], thus an exponential ansatz for P_{tail} is plausible. Further restraints lead to deviations from an exponential form. W hile these considerations are heuristic, our choice of P_{tail} happens to be a quite accurate description for the velocity PD s of impurities between 2D, incom mensurate surfaces. This will be demonstrated later in the result section.

At small sliding velocity v_0 , the statistical weight of the tails must increase linearly with velocity. Hence, the

norm alized PD function for the x component is given by

$$P(v_{x}) = \frac{r}{\frac{m}{2 k_{B} \circ T}} 1 \frac{2A^{0}v_{0}}{B^{0}} e^{m(v_{x} v_{x})^{2} = 2k_{B}T} + A^{0}v_{0}e^{B^{0}jv_{x} v_{x}j}$$
(9)

Here A⁰, and B⁰ are phenom enological parameters that can (and will) depend on the externally applied load L that an impurity has to counterbalance, damping , and other parameters. However, they should depend only weakly on temperature T and sliding velocity v₀ at sm all T and sm all values of v₀. This is because A⁰v₀ is a measure for the rate of the fast processes (which should be proportional to v₀ at sm all v₀), while B⁰ characterizes the instability related velocity PD.For 1D system s B⁰ = B, the projection of a 2D exponential PD on one axis how – ever leads to di erent A⁰ and B⁰ [27]. Inserting Eq. (9) into Eqs. (6) and (7) and integrating over v_x yields the following friction force per impurity atom F_k=N :

$$\frac{1}{N}F_{k} = 4 m \frac{A^{0}}{B^{0}} - 2 \frac{A^{0}}{B^{0}}k_{B}T ; \qquad (10)$$

from which the friction coe cient $_{k} = F_{k} = (N_{wall}L)$ follows. (Here, L is the average load carried per atom belonging to an outerm ost wall atom, thus F_k and $N_{wall}L$ represent respectively the net friction force and the net load.) Of course, Eq. (10) can only be valid as long as Eqs. (8) and (9) give an accurate description of the nonequilibrium velocity PD and provided that the heat ow from the therm ostat into the impurities is close to the therm all equilibrium heat ow. At extremely small v_0 , two arguments show that the assumption of exponential tails cannot persist. First, the energy E diss that is dissipated during a pop has an upper bound, which in turn in plies an upper bound for the peak velocity. Second, close to equilibrium, therm al noise is su cient to invoke (multiple) barrier crossing and recrossing. The ratio of sliding and noise-induced instabilities becomes small, which in turn makes the non-equilibrium corrections be less signi cant.

Eq. (10) is based on the one-dimensional distribution functions P (v_x). For two-dimensional interfaces and boundary lubricants, the relevant PD is P (v_k) with $v_k = \frac{q}{v_x^2 + v_y^2}$. We want to note in passing that accumulating the histogram P (v_k) contains the same required information as P (v_x;v_y), however, it requires less data storage. This is why for 2-dimensional boundary lubricants, we monitor P (v_k) instead of P (v_x;v_y). Assuming rotational symmetry, Eq. (9) can be replaced with

$$P(v_k) = 2 v_k A v_0 e^{B v_k} + 1 \frac{2 A v_0}{B^2} P_{eq}(v_k); \quad (11)$$

where A and B are phenom enological ∞ e cients with sim ilar m eanings as their counterparts A⁰ and B⁰ in the one-dimensional description.

Inserting Eq.(11) into Eq. (6) yields:

$$\frac{1}{N}F_{k} = 12 \quad m\frac{A}{B^{4}} \quad 4 \quad \frac{A}{B^{2}}k_{B}T$$
(12)

The parameters A and B will be obtained by tting the PDs accumulated during MD simulations. If such ts turn out to be good approximations (and they do for incommensurate surfaces), then one has to ask into question descriptions of frictional interfaces that are based on locale ective temperature (and local pressure). Local effective temperatures would im ply G aussian rather than exponential velocity tails. A swe will argue later, this difference m ight matter with regard to chemical reactivity in a frictional interface.

O fcourse, the tswillnever be perfect, and one has to address the question whether one can obtain inform ation experimentally on A and B, i.e., by measuring F_k and the average kinetic energy of the lubricant atom s. We will therefore compare the values of F_k that are calculated directly (by averaging the force on the top wall) with those that are obtained indirectly with Eq. 12 after A and B are obtained through ts.

III. MAIN MODELAND METHODS

In this paper, we analyze the trajectories of atom s and m olecules embedded between two walls in relative sliding motion by means of molecular dynamics. Dierent models with varying degree of complexity are investigated ranging from rather simple, 1-dimensional impurity models to 3-dimensional systems, in which the interaction between the lubricant particles are taken into account. In the latter case, lubricant particles are not only simple atoms but may also represent short polymers. Here, we will only describe the methods relevant to the full 3-dimensional simulations, as all other cases only require 'dumbed-down' versions of that method or small alterations thereof, such as suppressing the interaction between lubricant atom s.

In ourm odel, lubricant atom s interact with each other and with wall atom s via a truncated Lennard Jones potential

$$V_{LJ}(r) = \begin{pmatrix} h & i \\ 4 & (=r)^{12} & (=r)^{6} + C; r < r_{c} \\ 0; r & r_{c} \end{pmatrix}$$
(13)

where r is the distance between two atoms. deness the energy scale and the length scale of the system. Both quantities are set to unity. A constant value C is added to the potential for inter-atom ic distances smaller than the cut-o radius r_c , which assures the continuity of the potential. r_c was chosen as the m inim um of the LJ potential, $r_c = r_{m in} = 2^{1-6}$, unless otherwise noted. This choice corresponds to a purely repulsive interaction and can be justiled by the observation that at large pressures the essential behavior is caused by the repulsion of the particles. The main e ect of including the attractive LJ contribution in the present context would be to add an adhesive pressure. Throughout the paper quantities are measured using LJ units, such as time in units of $t_{\rm LJ} = (m^{-2} =)^{l=2}$ and forces in units of = . A tom ic m asses m are also set to unity.

Both top and bottom wall lie in the xy plane and consist of N_{wall} discrete atoms arranged in the hexagonal (1,1,1) plane geometry of an fcc crystal. The nearest neighbor spacing d_{nn} in the walls is 1:20914 unless noted otherw ise. This choice of d_{nn} does not m atch with other length scales in the system . Moreover, the relatively large value for d_{nn} enhances the e ect of surface corrugation. In most simulations presented here, the norm al load is kept constant. The load L will be stated in terms of norm al load per atom in the upper wall, hence a unity norm al load corresponds to a pressure of about 0.79 in reduced quantities.

Commensurate wall geometries were realized by orienting the two walls in parallel, whereas incom mensurability was achieved by rotating the upper solid surface by 90. The use of periodic boundary conditions in the wall. plane required a slight distortion of the perfect hexagonal geometry in order to obtain two quadratic walls. Therefore, walls were not perfectly incom mensurate anym ore, but quasi-incom m ensurate (as every setup realized with nite number precision, strictly speaking). A wall unit cell consists of two atoms, at positions (0;0) and $(d_{nn}=2; \frac{1}{3}d_{nn}=2)$. By choosing the ratio of the wall unit cells in \$ and \$ close to the ideal value ¹ 3 this distortion was minimized. We do not use other relative wall rotations in the full 3-dim ensional simulations, as it was found in the study of sim ilar models that the in uence of the rotation angle is weak if it exceeds 5 [28]. We note that when two solids in an experim ent com e in contact they will most likely be incom mensurate, as it would take utm ost care to have two identical defect-free crystals and orient them perfectly. As detailed calculations show, elastic deform ations do not generally alter this arqum ent provided the solids are treated as 3-dim ensional objects [6, 29].

W hile our analysis is focused on simple uids, we include some work on small chain molecules in order to study aspects of molecular lubricants. To this end, we used a well established bead-spring model proposed by K remer and G rest [30], which models individual monom ers as LJ particles while chain connectivity is ensured by a FENE (nitely extensible nonlinear elastic) potential given by

$$V_{FENE} = \frac{1}{2} k_{ch} R_{ch}^2 \ln 1 (r=R_{ch})^2$$
; (14)

with $R_{ch} = 1.5$ and $k_{ch} = 30 = {}^{2}$ [30]. Typical values for hydrocarbons are 30m eV, 0.5nm, resulting in a typical time scale of t_{LJ} 3ps [30].

Simulations were done using a flh order Gearpredictor corrector algorithm (see e.g. [31]) with an integration step of dt = 0.005. To maintain constant tem perature, a stochastic Langevin therm ostat was em - ployed [32]. It consists of ideal white noise random forces and damping forces acting on all therm ostatted particles, which obey the uctuation-dissipation theorem [32]. A damping constant = 0.5 was used in all simulations. In the presence of instabilities, the precise choice of is usually quite irrelevant for friction forces at small velocities [33], i.e., for the choice $_{\rm b}$ = 0.5 and $_{\rm t}$ = 0 we may expect similar friction forces as for the perhapsmore natural choice of $_{\rm b}$ = $_{\rm t}$ = 0.25, if v₀ is su ciently small. How ever, in either case, one must ensure that the random forces satisfy the uctuation dissipation theorem.

A. 1D model system s

Here, we want to discuss and extend those results from Ref. 18 that are relevant to this study. In Ref. 18, the following potential V_t for the interaction between the in - purity and the (one-dimensional) top wall was employed

$$V_{t} = V_{t;0} \cos(2 (x x_{t})=b_{t}) + V_{t;1} \cos(4 (x x_{t})=b_{t}); \quad (15)$$

 b_t being the lattice constant of the upper wall. A similar in purity-wall coupling is used to describe the interaction for the bottom wall, how ever, the indicest (for top) have to be replaced with b (for bottom). This is a generalization of the interactions suggested in Refs. 12 and 13 in that a non-zero rst higher harm onic $V_{t,1}$ is considered in Ref. [18]. M oreover, the lattice constant of the bottom wall b_b is allowed to di er from that of the top wall b_t . We note in passing that Refs. 12 and 13 were concerned with the interplay between external driving and em bedded system, while Ref. 18 focused on the constantvelocity friction in such system s.

In Ref. 18, it was found that the behavior of the steadystate, low -velocity kinetic friction is surprisingly rich. For instance, athem al, zero-velocity friction turned out to vanish for $V_{t;1} = V_{b;1}$ 0. In that case, athermal, small-velocity friction can be described as a powerlaw F_k / v_0 with a non-universal exponent 0 < < 1. For $V_{t;1} = V_{b;1} > 0$, F_k remains nite as v_0 approached zero. The case of $V_{t;1} = V_{b;1} = 0$ is particularly intriguing, as the m in im a m ove at constant velocity $v_0=2$, then at some points in time (when there is perfect deconstructive interference of V_t and V_b), the location of the potential energy minim a make a phase jum p' by a distance $b_t=2$. This phase jump, however, does not result in signi cant energy dissipation, as the location from where the im purity stems and the location where the impurity ends up are symmetrically equivalent.

For incommensurate walls (b_t \notin b_b), the behavior is even richer. If the rst higher harmonic is not included, one wall exerts a maximum force on the impurity and drags the impurity along. As a consequence, F_k is linear in v_0 , which we call Stokes friction. For one certain



FIG.2: K inetic friction diagram for the inpurity limit in 1 dimension. $V_1=V_0$ is the ratio of rst-higher harmonic and fundamental harmonic in the lubricant-wall interaction. $b_s = b_0 = b_t$ is the ratio of the two lattice constants. On the solid line and the dashed line, athermalkinetic friction is described by a power law $F_k(v_0) / v_0$ with a non-universal exponent 0 < < 1. In the Stokes regime below the solid line, F_k / v_0 . In the Coulomb regime above the solid line, $F_k(v_0 ! 0)$ remains nite. The solid line is an interpolation between the circles, which relations in the parameter space that have been explicitly investigated.

value of the st higher harm onic $V_{t;1}$ (at a xed ratio $b_s = b_0 = b_t$), F_k can best be described as a power law in the lim it of small v_0 . For $V_{t;1} > V_{t;1}$, F_k remains nite in the lim it v! 0, again provided therm al uctuations are absent. Fig. 2 shows a friction diagram for the 1D, im purity-lubricant model. In order to yield a more complete picture than that given in Ref. [18], additional calculations have been carried out, in order to determ ine $V_{t;1}$ for di erent values of $b_s = b_b = b_t$.

For a more detailed discussion of the e ect of therm al uctuations and the occurrence of a Stokes friction regime in one dimension and its potential applications, we refer the reader to Ref. 18.

B. 2D model system s

1. M odel details

W e now allow the lubricant atom s to m ove within the xy-plane, but m otion norm alto the interface in z direction is still neglected. Eq. (15) must then be replaced with a new model potential. As in other studies, we consider the symmetry of the con ning walls to be triangular, i.e., (111) surfaces of an fcc crystal, for which the potential V_t between top wall and an impurity can be written as:

$$V_{t}(\mathbf{x}; z) = \bigvee_{g}^{X} V_{t}(g; z) \exp \operatorname{fig}(\mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}_{t}) g: \quad (16)$$

In Eq. (16), the sum goes over all two-dimensional reciprocal lattice vectors of the trigonal lattice g, x is the position of the lubricant particle in the xy plane and x_t the in-plane position of the (top) wall. z denotes the (xed) distance between (top) wall and in purity.

The Fourier coe cients $\nabla_t(g;z)$ between chemically non-bonding species often decay exponentially with jtjand increasing distance from the surface z, thus $\nabla_t(g;z)$ can be written as

$$\nabla_t (g;z) = \nabla_t (g;0) \exp f \frac{1}{2} j_2 j_2 g;$$
 (17)

where both parameters $V_t(q;0)$ and depend on the chemical nature of impurity atom and con ning wall. Potentials of this form are known as Steele potentials [34]. They have proven to describe the potential energy landscape of atoms on crystalline surfaces reasonably well [35]. The fundamental harmonic in this potential is related to the sm allest non-zero lattice vector g = (2 = 3; 0) and its ve symmetrically equivalent counterparts, which are obtained by rotating g successively by 60 . (Note that the distance between 'atom s' in the walls is set to unity, which diers from the choice for the full 3D simulation model, see Sect. III.) First higher harm onics are related to reciprocal lattice vectors that are the sum of a suitable pair of two di erent fundam entalg's and so on. The fundam ental harm onic will be dom inant at sm all bads, how ever, as the externalpressure increases (which makes z decrease), the relative in portance of higher harm onics will increase due to Eq. (17). The coupling between impurities and bottom wall is similar to that between impurities and top wall, how ever, the reciprocal vectors g are rotated an anwith respect to the top wall's g's. Two walls are qle called commensurate if is an integer multiple of 60. An equivalent 2D model without higher harm onics, was used recently by Daly et al. [24] for a study sim ilar to that presented here.

In the following, we will be concerned with an analysis of mechanically stable position for the impurity atoms and their motion as the walls slide against each other. The goal is to identify situations, where the trajectory of a mechanically stable position suddenly disappears, which would lead to a dynamical instability. Such an analysis was given for 1D lubricants in in Ref. [18], see Fig. 1 in that paper, and also in Ref. [24] for 2D system s. For our analysis, the bottom wall's lateral position x_b is kept xed, while xt ism oved in sm all constant in crem ents dx with jdx j dx = 10 5 to 10 2 . A fiter identifying an initial relative m in im um in the impurity wall potential $V_{iw} = V_b + V_t$, a steepest descent algorithm (we used the M athem atica function F indM in im um [] [36]) searches for one. If the distance d between the location of the new and the old minimum is greater than d = 0:1, we say that we identify a pop. W hile this choice of d is som ewhat arbitrary, we ensured that our conclusions rem ained unaltered when dwas varied in reasonable bounds and dx was further decreased. Since both m ethods em ployed in this study (simulations and steepest descent) are exact and identical within controllable errors, their results

mutually agree within these margins.

2. Commensurate W alls

Impurity atoms between commensurate walls only have a nite number of non-equivalent minima in their potential energy landscape. Once a minimum is identi-

ed, sym metrically equivalent minim a will exist at periodically repeated positions that follow from the lattice of the con ning walls. Various mechanically stable 'stacking' geometries can be envisioned for our walls of trigonal sym metry, for instance hexagonal close packed (hcp) and face cubic centered (fcc) type con gurations best characterized as respectively ABA and ABC layering structures. The boundary lubricant rejects the middle layer. While it does not correspond to an ideally crystalline layer, the probability for a lubricant atom to sit at a certain position would be indeed periodic, i.e. it would have a maximum in every single B position.

A s the two walls are slid with respect to each other, the situation is akin of the relative sliding of two commensurate, one-dimensional surfaces [18]. The trajectories' of m echanically stable positions bifurcate and recombine at certain relative, lateral displacem ents of the two solids, see Fig. 1a in Ref. [18]. This scenario invokes so-called continuous instabilities. The peak velocities during continuous instabilities tends to zero as v_0 tends to zero, how ever, this does not happen linearly. This will ultim ately lead to the following behavior if the walls are slid parallel to a sym m etry axis and the top wall is allowed to m ove freely in transverse direction: A fter every half lattice constant m oved, the system will convert from an fcc type structure to an hep type structure or vice versa. This behavior is also found in our 3D default system (see Fig. 3), in which impurities interact with wall atom s through Lennard Jones potentials rather than through Steele potentials. The situation changes, when the top wall is not allowed to move in the transverse direction, which was the choice in Ref. 24. In that case, particles will occupy positions with high potential energy, which leads to instabilities. In this study, how ever, we focus on the case of zero transverse force.

As the mechanically stable positions of the embedded in purities show no discontinuities, the kinetic friction force will tend to zero at small v_0 even if thermal uctuations are absent. From the comparison to the 1D model system s, one would expect a powerlaw behavior as in Eq. (1) with $F_k(0) = 0$. This behavior does not depend on the sliding direction. It is also observed for ratios of $V_t(g;z)=V_b(g;z)$ di erent from unity.

A central issue in the present paper is the question how robust the property of the simple impurity model is as more complexity is added to the model. In the present case, one may argue that lubricant atom swould be able to move in a correlated fashion up to a coverage of one monolayer. Above this coverage, the impurity model breaks down for obvious reasons.

3. Incom m ensurate W alls

In purity atom s between incom mensurate walls have an in nite number of inequivalent minima in their potential energy landscape for a given relative wall displacement. This means that at a given moment in time, it is impossible to nd two di erent positions where the value of the potential and all its derivatives are identical. Yet, the number of inequivalent trajectories of (meta) stable positions can be small, because in most cases, they will all be identical up to tem poral shifts when the walls are in relative sliding motion. See also the discussion of the dynam ics of the incom mensurate P randtl-Tom linson model by D.S.Fisher [33].

We analyze the instabilities by varying random ly the relative orientation between the two walls as well as the sliding direction . At this point, we are only concerned with the occurrence of instabilities, rather than with the (average) amount of energy dissipated during an instability. Instabilities between incommensurate walls are shown in Fig. 1.

Unless is close to an integer multiple of 60, we nd that the number of instabilities depends only weakly on and . If we chose the fundam ental harm onics ∇ (g) of both walls to be identical and the higher harm onics to be absent, then we nd on average one instability each time the upper wall has been m oved laterally with respect to the lower wall by a distance of 200 d_{nn}. Increasing the interaction strength for just one wall does not change the behavior until the ratio ∇_t (g)= ∇_b (g) or its inverse exceeds about 4.7. Above this threshold value, the m etastable positions and hence the particles follow the motion of just one wall and no instability occurs.

Like Daly et al. [24], we note that the instabilities are possible due to transverse motion of the impurities, see also Fig. 1. One of the issues Daly et al. also discussed was the question above which value of $\tilde{V}_t(q) = \tilde{V}_b(q)$ the lubricant particle remains pinned to the (top) wall. They reported a value of 4.5, while we nd a slightly higher value of 4.7, which essentially con m s the prediction. Furtherm ore, we also analyzed the e ects of rst higher harmonics, which were neglected in Ref. 24. Including the rst higher harm on ic q1 in addition to the fundam ental harm onics g_0 increases the num ber of instabilities, in particular for higher harm onics with positive sign. Thus, the occurrence of instability remains a robust feature of incom m ensurate walls. For a ratio V $(q_1)=V(q_0)=0:1$, the number of instabilities is increased by a factor of six. (At this ratio the absolute value of the second harm onic would be in the order of $0.01 \text{ V}(q_0)$, see Eq. (17), and will thus be neglected.) O nem ay argue that the observed increase in pops is related to an increase of incom m ensurability due to an additional (sm all) length scale.

C. 3D M odel

1. E ect of com m ensurability on PDs

We now turn to the analysis of the full threedim ensionalm odel, described in detail in Sect. III. Here, we also include the interaction between the lubricant atom s. However, as the coverage is only a quarter layer, the results remain alm ost identical to the ideal in purity limit. Despite these changes with respect to Section IV B, all argum ents discussed there rem ain valid under the new conditions. For instance, Fig. 3 shows the expected dynam ical behavior of two commensurate walls separated by lubricant in purities in sliding motion, i.e., an alteration of hop and foc type con gurations. Most in portantly, the trajectories of lubricant atom s become continuous for com m ensurate walls. It is instructive to compare Figs. 1 and 3. For completeness, we mention that the simulations in Figs. 1 and 3 were both done at an external load of L = 30 per top wall atom, a thermalenergy of T = 0.01, and relative sliding velocity of $v_0 = 10^{-3}$.



FIG.3: Trajectory of a tagged particle (solid line) and of the upper wall (dashed line) for a commensurate system. The upper wall is moved parallel to x at constant velocity. Horizontal lines are drawn at intervals $1=4^{1-3}$. For integer values of $x=d_{nn}$ the congurations can be identied as hop, for half-integer values as for congurations.

The di erent trajectories of the mechanically stable states result in qualitatively di erent velocity distributions, even in the presence of them al uctuations, which is shown in Fig. 4. It can be seen that the velocity PDs of in purities between incom mensurate walls can indeed be described with the non-equilibrium PD suggested in Eq. (9). It turns out that the PDs longitudinal (x) and transverse (y) to the sliding direction (x) are alm ost identical. We note in passing that the velocity PD norm al to the interface (z direction) is a ected much less than the



FIG.4: Probability distribution (PD) of the uid particles' xand y-velocity components for shearing velocities $v_0 = 10^{-4}$ and 10^{-2} for incommensurate and commensurate wall orientations at T = 10^{-2} and L = 30 for our standard system. A round the central M axwell-Boltzm ann PD wide tails develop upon shearing. The tails follow an exponential PD, see Eq. (9), which have similar m agnitude parallel and transversal to the sliding direction. For commensurate walls, the tails are suppressed by two orders of magnitude at $v_0 = 10^{-2}$ and disappear completely for the lower shear velocity $v_0 = 10^{-4}$, when the PDs becomes alm ost indistinguishable from the M axwell PD (not included).

in-plane PD s.

2. E ect of sliding velocity and tem perature on PDs

As the relative sliding velocity between the walls is changed by a factor of 100, the prefactor of the exponential tail scales with the same factor, as suggested in Eq. (9). The commensurate walls behave di erently. First, the non-equilibrium velocity distribution P (v) deviates from equilibrium much less than for incommensurate walls and it does not obey Eq. (9) as well. M ore im portantly, the tails of P (v) behave di erently from those of incom m ensurate surfaces under a change of sliding velocity. This di erence is due to the absence of instabilities for the commensurate system . At v_0 = 10 4 , the velocity PD for commensurate walls is almost identical to the equilibrium MaxwellBoltzmannPD, while at the sam evo, the PDs for incommensurate walls show distinct non-equilibrium tails. We employ a logarithm ic scale for the PDs, because the tails can hardly be discerned on a linear scale.

Further exam ination of the distribution functions for incommensurate surfaces as shown in Fig. 5 reveals that the coe cients A and B in Eq. (11) are approximately constant for a wide range of velocities and temperatures. The parameters can be easily read o the graphs: The slope of the tails equals B and the exponential of the y-axis intercept of a tted line through the tails equals Av_0 .



FIG. 5: Probability distribution ln P (v_k)=2 v_k at load L = 10:0 for temperatures T = 10⁻³, T = 10⁻², T = 10⁻¹ and sliding speeds $v_0 = 10^{-3}$, $v_0 = 10^{-2}$, and $v_0 = 10^{-1}$. At low in-plane velocities v_k a therm alpeak described by the M axwell-Boltzm ann PD (at T = 0:1 exem pli ed by a thick dotted line) dom inates, before the PD crosses over to exponentially distributed tails described in Eq. (11). The slope of the tails, B, is independent of both T and v_0 . The prefactor of the tail distribution is proportional to v_0 and changes at large temperatures.

The data for Fig. 5 were produced with load L = 10:0 for temperatures T = 10 3 :::10 1 and sliding speeds v_0 = 10 3 :::10 1 .

The present discussion is valid when the nonequilibrium tails are clearly visible such as in Fig. 5. It becomes invalid when v_0 reaches extremely small values, i.e., when the tails are starting to disappear under the central M axwell-Boltzm ann peak. Eq. (9) then ceases to be a good description of the PDs in that limit and Eqs. (9) through (12) are no longer applicable. However, the equation describing the heat-ow balance between therm ostat and con ned system, Eq. (6), is una ected by this argument and remains valid even in the limit v_0 ! 0.

3. E ect of load on PDs

The load dependence of the coe cients A and B was investigated as well. We show the e ect of load on the PDs for one of our model systems exem plarily in Fig. 6. M any sim ilar calculations were done for other loads, coverages, and sliding velocities with sim ilar results for incom m ensurate surfaces. In all cases, we found that A is roughly proportional to L ^{0:8}, while B is approximately proportional to L ^{0:4}.

From the norm alization factor of the central equilibrium peak in Eq. (12), one may infer that the ratio $A = B^2$ is a measure for the number of atom s far out of equilibrium and hence for the number of invoked instabilities. G iven the proportionalities $A / L^{0:8}$ and $B / L^{0:4}$,



FIG. 6: Probability distribution P (v_y) at T = 0.01, v_0 = 10³ and two di erent loads L = 1.0 and L = 10. The therm al equilibrium distribution P_{eq} is inserted for comparison.

this number remains constant when L is increased. Inserting the proportionalities A / L $^{0:8}$ and B / L $^{0:4}$ into Eq. (12) results in a small deviation from Amontons's law $F_{\rm k}$ / L at the microscopic level.

Potential di erences scale w ith L in low est order, thus we obtain for the energy dissipated in a pop E $_{\rm diss}$ / L / v². Hence, for exact proportionality, the width of the non-equilibrium tails was / L^{0.5}, respectively B / L ^{0.5}, yielding Am onton's law. This shows that B / L ; 0.5 is to be expected, while the precise value of the exponent will depend on the speci c system potentials.

The deviation in our system is due to a shift of the relative signi cance of low er- and higher-order harm on ics. This shift would presum ably be smaller if the repulsive forces were modeled with (slightly more realistic) exponentially repulsive forces [37].

Fig. 6 reveals that the exponential tails fall o less slow ly when the pressure is increased. Thus, large pressures in sliding contacts can dram atically increase the probability of large velocities, even though the lubricant's average kinetic energy hT_{kin} i (ore ective therm alenergy) m ay barely change. This favours the occurrence of rare events such as chem ical bond breaking, as it becomes m uch m ore likely that a bond is hit quasi-sim ultaneously by two high-velocity atom s. As the non-equilibrium PD s fallo less slow ly than the equilibrium PD s, bond breaking w ill occurm ore frequently in non-equilibrium than in equilibrium at a given therm alenergy hT_{kin} i. It w ill thus be di cult to assign a unique elective temperature that relects at the same time the reactivity of them olecules in the junction and the energy contained in the vibrations.



FIG. 7: Comparison between the friction coe cient $_{\rm k}$ as measured directly at the wall and calculated indirectly through the non-equilibrium velocity distributions P (v). In two cases, the true distributions P (v) were used. Taking into account both in-plane velocities $v_{\rm k}$ and velocities $v_{\rm 2}$ norm al to the interface results in perfect agreem ent. We also rst t-ted the PD s to Eq. (11), determ ined the coe cients A and B from the simulations and then calculated the kinetic friction force with Eq. (12). Quarter layer of lubricant, T = 0:001 and L = 30.

4. C om parison between calculated and m easured friction coe cients

The tof curves equivalent to those shown in Figs. 4 and 5 allows one to estimate the kinetic friction force F_k with the help of Eq. (12). This result can then be com pared to the friction force that is measured directly in the simulation. It turns out that such a comparison typically leads to an agreem ent within approxim ately 25 % accuracy, which can be improved by also taking into account the e ects of instabilities on the motion norm alto the surfaces. The deviation between the 'predicted' F_k 's and the directly measured F_k 's is due to the fact that the tails are not exactly exponential. This is particular in portant when the tem perature is large or vo extrem ely sm all. If we accum ulate the correct P (v)'s in the sim ulation and use Eq. (6) to predict F_k , the agreem ent between predicted and observed kinetic friction is alm ost perfect, also when v_0 tends to zero.

Fig. 7 shows the degree of agreem ent for one particular model system. One can see that the kinetic friction coe cients $_k$ as obtained from the full velocity PD, see Eqs. (6) and (7) agree perfectly quite well with the directly measured $_k$. Neglecting the contribution of the motion norm alto the surface results in an O (20%) underestimation of the friction force. Estimating $_k$ indirectly with the help of Eqs. (11) and (12) leads to an underestimation of about 25%.



FIG. 8: $_{k}$ of the commensurate standard system versus pulling velocity v_{0} at di erent norm al loads L and temperatures T. Note the logarithm ic scale for the y-axis. In all cases $_{k}$ vanishes with a power law v as v ! 0, except for T = 10³, L = 30 where a constant, sm all value seems to be reached.

5. E ect of tem perature

It was shown by He and Robbins [28] that the model system on which this study is based yields logarithm ic velocity corrections to the friction force F_k for incom m ensurate surfaces, provided the tem perature is positive and the sliding velocity is not too sm all, see also the discussion in Ref. [18]. Our simulation results of the v_0 corrections to Fk for incom m ensurate surfaces are not show n explicitly, however, they con m the results by He and Robbins, The basic reason for a logarithm ic-type correction had already been recognized by P randtl [8]. Due to therm al uctuations, the embedded atom s can jump over local energy barriers and the instabilities will be ignited prematurely. This reduces the necessary external force to maintain sliding, because it does not need to move the embedded atom all the way to the top of the energy barrier.

For commensurate surfaces, discontinuous instabilities are absent and therefore the e ect of thermal uctuations must be di erent. This issue is investigated in Fig. 8. Due to the large bads and the small temperatures employed, the linear-response regime is not necessarily reached at the sliding velocities v_0 accessible to the simulations, i.e., $v_0 = 10^{-5}$. Therefore, we obtain kinetic friction coe cients $_k$ that apparently vanish according to

$$k^{v_0} / v_0 ;$$
 (18)

with exponents 0.25 / / 1.

It is remarkable that a small change in tem perature has a rather strong e ect on F_k . For the small load L = 1, the exponent is approximately unity at tem perature $T = 10^{-2}$ and one may argue that the corresponding

 F_k (v_0) rejects a linear response curve. As T is lowered to T = 10 3 , a di erent exponent is obtained, rejecting non-equilibrium behavior. When the load is now increased by a factor of ten, the energy barriers also increase approximately by a factor of ten. Therefore the F_k (v_0) curves belonging to masses L $^\prime$ 10 should be considered far from equilibrium, i.e. athem al. This would favour exponents less than unity. However, this expectation is not true. Instead a Stokes-type friction is observed. The alm ost linear relation of F_k and v_0 for these largests loads (L = 100) m ay thus be an elect due to higher harm onics in the lubricant-wall potential. As one can see in the 1D, incommensurate system s, i.e., Fig. 2, the friction-velocity relationship can change qualitatively at certain critical values of the higher-order harm onics.

V. BEYOND THE IMPURITY LIM IT

So far, we have neglected the direct interactions between the impurities or the coverages were small enough in order to render the direct interactions negligible. This approximation is reasonable when the coverage is small and when the lubricant particles are simple spherical units without inner degrees of freedom. When either condition is violated, the energy landscape and hence the detailed characteristics of the instabilities will change. This in turn might lead to a qualitative change in the tribological behavior of the junction. In this section, we will study the applicability, the limitations and corrections of the impurity limit model that are due to the interactions between lubricant atom s.

A. Coverage e ects

W hen the lubricant coverage is close to or greater than one monolayer and the junction is sheared, particles will have to move in a correlated fashion. In order for one atom to jump to another mechanically stable site, its neighbor has to jump as well, etc. A detailed description of the dynamics will be very complicated, i.e., it may involve sliding of correlated blocks along grain boundaries and the form ation of dislocation-type structures [38]. Yet, the argument persists that instabilities and sliding induced deviations from the equilibrium velocity distribution function lead to friction.

Besides the correlated motion, some more details change when the coverage is increased. For example, pops also occur in the direction norm al to the interface with a similar agnitude as parallel to the interface. This is rejected in the probability distributions P (v) for the in-plane velocity $v_k = \frac{q}{v_x^2 + v_y^2}$ and the norm alcom ponent $v_2 = v_z$ of the uid particles, see Fig. 9. The system under consideration is incommensurate, the walls are separated by a double layer and the externally imposed load per wall atom is L = 30. Although the detailed



FIG.9: Distribution of the uid particles velocity in plane, (P (v_k)), and perpendicular to it, (P (v_2)), for an incommensurate system with two monolayers coverage at sliding velocities $v_0 = 10^{-4}$, 10^{-3} , and 10^{-2} . The central M axwell-Boltzm ann parts are shifted because of the normalization 1=2 v_k of P (v_k).



FIG.10: Coverage dependence of the dynam ic friction coe – cient $_{\rm k}$ of a system containing 0.25:::25 m onolayers of sim – ple liquid at T = 10² and L = 30. Commensurate system s (c) are denoted with open symbols, incommensurate walls (ic) with full symbols.

dynam ics of the lubricant atom s m ust be very di erent from those in the in purity lim it, Eqs. (9) and (11) provide again a reasonable description for respectively P (v_2) and P (v_k), i.e., a centralM axwell+B oltzm ann peak and a non-equilibrium exponential tail. Sim ilar curves, which are not shown explicitly, were obtained for a coverage up to 5 m onolayers.

As before, the kinetic friction force F_k is the integral over the deviation of the P (v)'s from the M axwell-Boltzm ann distribution, as stated in Eq. (6). F_k is shown for various coverages and sliding velocities in Fig. 10. Both commensurate and incommensurate systems are investigated and again their behavior is strikingly dierent.

W e start our discussion with the commensurate system. At a coverage of C = 0.25, results are very close to the impurity limit. Fk decays to zero with a powerlaw v where the exponent is less than one. As the coverage is increased to C = 0.5 or even C = 0.75, F_k decreases considerably less quickly with decreasing v_0 than in the impurity limit. The behavior remains strikingly di erent from Coulomb friction. This changes when the coverage reaches and exceeds one full monolayer. For coverages beyond double layers, the kinetic friction force even exceeds that of incom m ensurate system s. The prediction in Ref. 18 that commensurate systems should show smaller kinetic friction than incommensurate system must thus be limited to extreme boundary lubrication. Above one monolayer lubrication, this trends seem ingly turns around. Experim ents suggest that com mensurability leads to enhanced friction between mica surfaces lubricated by a double layer or more [39]. Unfortunately, no study is known to the authors in which a monolayer of lubrication or less was used between two (sm oothly) sliding com m ensurate walls.

At the smallest velocity investigated, $_{\rm k}$ increases by a factor greater than 200 for the commensurate case, when we increase the coverage from C = 0.5 to C = 2. The same change in coverage for incommensurate surfaces only yields a factor of two. Hence, incommensurate surfaces show much weaker coverage dependence than commensurate interfaces. O verall, there is relatively little change of $F_{\rm k}$ with coverage for incommensurate walls with the exception of C = 1.5. Due to the large load em – ployed, the 1.5 m ologers are squeezed into a single layer, which then essentially acts like a solid. This situation would not occur – or at least occur only for a short period of tim e – if the lubricant could ow out of the junction. W e conclude that the coverage dependence is weak for incommensurate walls.

B. E ects due to molecular bonds

M ost lubricant particles possess an inner structure. Here we will focus on the most simple generalization of the spherical molecules considered so far, namely dimers, and hexam ers (6-m ers). D imers would represent small linear molecules such as C_2H_6 , while hexam ers are representative of short, linear alkane chains. The dynam ics of the lubricant particles will change due to the additional internal degrees of freedom. A lternatively, one may argue that the dynam ics ofm onom ers is restricted because every monom er is constraint by at least one chem ically bonded neighbor.

W hile m onom ers only have translational degrees of freedom , dim ers also have rotational degrees of freedom . It is tem pting to speculate that 'rotational' instabilities can occur in addition to the 'translational' instabilities. Therefore, one m ight expect F_k to be larger for dim ers than for m onom ers. How ever, the rotational and translation m otion w ill not be independent of each other and



FIG.11: Dependence of the friction coe cient $_{\rm k}$ on the wall lattice constant d_{nn} for a quarter m onolayer of a dim er and a 6-m er with bond-length d_{m ol} = 0.967 for com m ensurate (c, open sym bols) and incom m ensurate (ic, full sym bols) orientation at sm all tem peratures T = 10⁻² and large loads L 30 (adjusted to yield identical pressures).

the coupling between them m ight reduce the e ect of a 'translational' instability. The question which e ect dom - inates can only be answered by analytical calculations or by m olecular dynam ics simulations. Simulation results for the kinetic friction force in a boundary-lubricated interface are shown in Fig. 11.

In Fig. 11, one can learn that the ratio = $d_{nn} = d_{mol}$ of the next neighbor spacing d_{nn} in the walls and the intra-m olecular bond length d_{m ol} plays an im portant role, particularly for commensurate surfaces. When the intram olecular bond length is close to the next-neighbor distance of wall atom s d_{nn} , F_k disappears as a power law with sliding velocity v_0 . This means that the 'interference' e ects between com mensurate walls persist and that no instabilities occur. Surprisingly, this is even observed for hexam ers. However, if $d_{m ol}$ diers from d_{nn} , instabilities also occur in boundary-lubricated systems, even for commensurate walls. These instabilities are invoked through the rotational degrees of freedom . W hile the m is t between $d_{m ol}$ and d_{nn} leads to C oulom b friction between com m ensurate walls, its value of F_k rem ains sm all as compared to the incommensurate case.

We now turn to the incommensurate walls. Interestingly, the smoother walls with $d_{nn} = 1.0$ produce higher kinetic friction than the walls with $d_{nn} = 1.2$, while the opposite is true for obvious reasons in the case of static friction F_s . The reason for the e ect in F_k is that the reduced nearest neighbor spacing leads to a higher rate of popping processes, as more atom s sliding past each other at a given v_0 while the energy gain in the pops is only slightly decreasing. This e ect can be veried by comparing the distributions of the particle velocities. The effect remains stable for all degrees of polymerization. The

high friction of a dimer is caused by the contribution of their fast rotations. This is revealed by the distribution of the bonds' angular velocities. D espite these trends, incommensurate systems prove again to be less susceptible to quantitative changes in the parameters that determ ine the details of the model than commensurate system s.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

K inetic friction requires the prevailance of instabilities (mechanical hysteresis) in a system . In the present paper, we have focused on instabilities in the trajectories of particles con ned between two walls, which are sheared against each other. W hen an instability is reached, the particle does not nd a local potential energy m in im um in its vicinity anym ore and is thus forced to \pop" into the next localm in im um it sees. At sm all sliding velocity v₀, this will lead to a high velocity, which depends solely on the energy landscape. The kinetic energy is gradually dissipated, resulting in a frictional force. We derived a relationship between the (non-equilibrium) velocity distribution function P (v) and the friction force F_k . The characteristics of P (v) and thus F_k depend only weakly on coverage, sliding velocity, load and other parameters for incom m ensurate surfaces.

In a generic setup, we rst used two Steele potentials re ecting two two-dimensional, triangular walls, which could be rotated with respect to each other to achieve an incommensurate system. We then computed numerically the adiabatic trajectory of a test particle. It was found that instabilities were a robust feature of the incommensurate system. Dierent o -symmetry wall rotations and inclusion of higher-order contributions to the Steele potential as well asymmetric interaction strengths of the walls did not alter the occurrence of the instabilities, but only a ected their frequency.

Including interactions between lubricant atoms does not change the existence of instabilities and hence the presence of C oulom b friction either. In contrast, the com m ensurability of the walls allowed for especially sm ooth trajectories of in purity atoms. The trajectories remain sm ooth when interactions between lubricant atoms are included up to a coverage of one m onolayer. A bove one m onolayer, the lubricant atoms do not m ove coherently any longer and instabilities are starting to occur within the lm. K inetic friction rises dram atically as a consequence.

W e speculate that coherent m otion sim ilar to the one just described m ay also be responsible for the behavior observed in a pioneering quartz crystaloscillator study by K rim and Chiarello [40]. They found that the friction between a solid m onolayer and a sm ooth surface was m uch sm aller than the friction between a uid m onolayer and the same surface. The reverse was reported for a rough surface. O f course, the m otion of a layer adsorbed on a m icrobalance is di erent from that of a "between"-sorbed layer, because in the rst case, there is no con ning top wall and sliding-induced wall interference e ects cannot occur. This is an important qualitative di erence, which prevents us from making a direct comparison of our simulations with the above-mentioned experiments. One may yet argue that commensurability can induce coherent motion of the lm, be it adsorbed or "between"-sorbed. This suppresses erratic pops, which ultimately lead to energy dissipation. Thus, if one assumes that lm and smooth substrate were commensurate, the small values for the kinetic friction force would not necessarily be in contradiction to the supposedly large static friction force. On the other hand for rough, disordered surfaces, a solid monolayer would not be able to move coherently, which would be consistent with its large friction as compared to a uid layer.

W e turn back to the discussion of the non-equilibrium velocity distributions. For incommensurate walls, the distribution consists of a central peak, which is essentially identical to the equilibrium velocity distribution, and of an additional non-equilibrium tails. These tails fall o only exponentially with v, which is slower than the exponential decay with v^2 in equilibrium systems. This observation is rather generic for incommensurate system s and independent of the lubricant coverage. A s the real velocity distribution function is qualitatively different from Gaussians, it seems futile to describe the interface in terms of an elective temperature. We argued that given a speci c kinetic energy associated with a lubricant (which could be used to de ne an e ective tem perature), the non-equilibrium system would be more likely to invoke chem ical bond breaking or other chem ical reactions.

Overall, the impurity model provides a good description of the typical characteristics of a boundarylubricated system. However, it is essential to study A suprising result of our study for incommensurate walls is that increasing the atom ic scale roughness of the walls m ay actually sometimes reduce the kinetic friction force.

It would be interesting to compare our predictions concerning the velocity distributions to experimental data. While scattering data from small, conned volumes is certainly notoriously di cult to obtain, recent advances have been made. Using ucrescence correlation spectroscopy, Mukhopadhyay et. al. [41] measured translational di usion in molecularly thin liquids conned within a surface forces apparatus. In the future, it might be possible to extend these studies to sliding situations so that velocity distributions can be measured.

A cknow ledgm ents

M A. is indebted to J.Baschnagel and M.Fuchs for numerous discussions and acknowledges support by the German Academic Exchange Service (DAAD, \Hochschulsonderprogram m III von Bund und Landern"), grant no.D/00/07994. We are also gratefulto the Bundesm inisterium fur Bildung und Forschung (BM BF) for partial support under grants no.D.IP.352-101 and 03N 6500.

- B.N.J.Persson, Sliding Friction: Physical Principles and Applications (Springer, Berlin, 1998).
- [2] B. Bhushan, Principles and Applications of Tribology (W iley, New York, 1999).
- [3] D. Dowson, History of Tribology (Longman, New York, 1979).
- [4] M. Brillouin, Notices sur les Travaux Scienti ques (Gauthiers-Vilars, Paris, 1909).
- [5] C.Caroliand P.Nozieres, Eur. Phys. J.B 4,233 (1998).
- [6] M. H. Muser, M. Urbakh, and M. O. Robbins, Adv. Chem. Phys. 126, 187 (2003).
- [7] E.G necco, R.Bennew itz, and E.M eyer, Phys.Rev.Lett. 88, 215501 (2002).
- [8] L.Prandtl, ZS.f. angew.M ath.u.M ech. 8, 85 (1928).
- [9] G.A.Tom linson, Phil. Mag. Series 7, 905 (1929).
- [10] Y.I. Frenkel and T.K ontorova, Zh.Eksp.Teor.Fiz.8, 1340 (1938).
- [11] O.M. Braun and Y.S.K ivshar, Phys. Rep. 306, 1 (1998).
- [12] M.G.Rozman, M.Urbakh, and J.K lafter, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 683 (1996).
- [13] M.G.Rozman, M.Urbakh, and J.K lafter, Phys.Rev.

E 54,6485 (1996).

- [14] P.A. Thompson and M.O. Robbins, Science 250, 792 (1990).
- [15] P.A. Thom pson and M.O. Robbins, Phys. Rev. A 41, 6830 (1990).
- [16] L.W enning and M.H.Muser, Europhys. Lett. 54, 693 (2001).
- [17] B. N. J. Persson, V. N. Sam oilov, S. Zilberm an, and A. Nitzan, J. Chem. Phys. 117, 3897 (2002).
- [18] M.H.Muser, Phys.Rev.Lett. 126, 224301 (2002).
- [19] H. Risken, The Fokker Planck equation (Springer, Heidelberg, 1984).
- [20] O.M. Braun and M. Peyrard, Phys. Rev. E 63, 046110 (2001).
- [21] P. Espanol and P. W arren, Europhys. Lett. 30, 191 (1995).
- [22] H. Mori, Prog. Theor. Phys. 33, 7526 (1965).
- [23] R.Zwanzig, Annu.Rev.Phys.Chem.16, 67 (1965).
- [24] C.Daly, J.Zhang, and J.B.Sokolo, cond-m at/0208393 (2002).
- [25] E. Jaynes, Papers on Probability, Statistics and Statisti-

calPhysics (Reidel, Dortrecht, 1982).

- [26] J. Honerkamp, Statistical Physics (Springer, Berlin, 1998), chap.2.5.
- [27] The projection $P(v_x) = P(v_x;v_y)dv_y = \exp[B^{D}v_x^2 + v_y^2]dv_y$ is in general only approximatively exponential. However, for su ciently large v_x , this approximation is very accurate and B^{0} with $\exp[B^{0}v_x]' P(v_x)$ is close to B.
- [28] G.He and M.O.Robbins, Tribol. Lett. 10, 7 (2001).
- [29] K.S. und M. Hirano, Surf. Sci. 283, 473 (1993).
- [30] K. Kremer and G. S. Grest, J. Chem. Phys. 92, 5057 (1990).
- [31] J. M. Haile, M olecular D ynam ics Simulation: E lem entary M ethods (John W iley and Sons, Inc., New York, 1997).
- [32] M. P. Allen and D. J. Tildesley, Computer Simulation of Liquids (Oxford Science Publications, Oxford, 1987).
- [33] D.S.Fisher, Phys. Rev B 31, 1396 (1985).
- [34] W . Steele, Surface Science 36, 317 (1973).
- [35] A. Patrykiejew, S. Sokolowski, and K. Binder, Surface Science Reports 37 (6-8), 207 (2000).
- [36] W olfram Research, Inc., FindM inim um [] in M athem atica (R), Version 4.1.0.0 for Linux.
- [37] M. H. Muser, L. W enning, and M. O. Robbins, Phys. Rev.Lett. 86, 1295 (2001).
- [38] B.N.J.Persson and P.Ballone, J.Chem. Phys. 112, 9524 (2000).
- [39] M.Ruths and S.Granick, Langmuir 16, 8368 (2000).
- [40] J.Krim and R.Chiarello, J.Vac.Sci.Technol.B 9, 1343 (1991).
- [41] A. Mukhopadhyay, J. Zhao, S. C. Bae, and S. Granick, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 136103 (2002).

APPENDIX A: RELATION BETWEEN VELOCITY DISTRIBUTION AND FRICTION

Consider a system in steady-state equilibrium with the follow ing underlying equation of motion

$$m x + m x = F_{b}(x) + F_{t}(x v_{0}t) + (t)$$
: (A1)

Here, we chose the same term inology as in Sect. II, i.e., $F_{\rm b}(x)$ denotes the force of the bottom wallon an impurity atom located at position x and v_0 is the velocity of the upper wallw ith respect to the substrate. We multiply Eq. (A 1) with x and average over a long time interval . We then interpret the resulting individual terms. They can be associated with the (average) power dissipated within the system or the (average) power put into the system . First, the average change of kinetic energy with time equals zero, namely

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{Z} dtm x \underline{x} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{Z} dt \frac{d}{dt} T_{kin}$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} [T_{kin} (t =) T_{kin} (t = 0)]$$
$$! \quad 0 \text{ for } ! \quad 1 \qquad (A2)$$

The second term is proportional to the time-averaged kinetic energy of the system with respect to the lower wall:

$$\int_{0}^{1} dtm \quad \underline{xx} = m h \underline{x}^{2} \mathbf{i}$$
$$= 2 h \Gamma_{kin} \mathbf{i}; \qquad (A 3)$$

 hT_{kin} i being the time-averaged or ensemble-averaged (steady-state) kinetic energy of an impurity. Thermostating also parallel to the top wall, e.g. by choosing

= t = b, requires a trivial modi cation of the reference system. The next term is the average work of the bottom wallon the impurity

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{Z} dt \underline{x} F_{b}(x) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{Z} dt \frac{d}{dt} V_{b}(x)$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} f V_{b}[k(t)] V_{b}[k(0)]g$$
$$! 0; \qquad (A 4)$$

which must vanish in any steady-state system . O fcourse, if the model was generalized such that (steady state) wear would occur, then the contribution discussed in Eq. (A 4) would indeed remain nite.

For the discussion of the next term in Eq. (A1), it is necessary to keep in mind that

$$\frac{d}{dt}V_t(x \quad v_0t) = F_t(x \quad v_0t) \underline{k} \quad v_0]: \qquad (A5)$$

This and the same considerations invoked for Eq. (A 4) yield

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_{0}^{Z} dt \mathbf{x} \mathbf{F}_{t} (\mathbf{x} \mathbf{v}_{0} t) = h \mathbf{F}_{t} i \mathbf{v}_{0} ; \qquad (A 6)$$

where hF_ti is the time-or ensemble-averaged force that the top wall exerts on an impurity. This force or depending on the de nition its projection onto the sliding direction can be associated with the kinetic friction force F_k .

The contribution due to the random force (t) is the most di cult contribution to calculate. However, if the system is close to local equilibrium form ost of the time, then the expectation value of $(t) \ge can be expected to be close to the value of this expression in therm alequilibrium. In equilibrium, it must compensate the expression discussed in Eq. (A 3), hence$

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{Z} dt \underline{x} (t) 2 h \Gamma_{kin} i_{eq}; \quad (A7)$$

where $hT_{\rm kin}\,i_{\rm eq}$ denotes the average kinetic energy in thermal equilibrium .

A seem bling all necessary term s, yields

2
$$(hT_{kin}i hT_{kin}i_{eq}) = F_k v_0$$
: (A8)

Note that Eq. (A8) is equivalent to Eqs. (6) and (7).