Chiral Sym metry and Collective Excitations in p-wave, d-wave and f-wave Superconductors

Tadafum iOhsaku

Research Center for Nuclear Physics, Osaka University, Ibaraki, Osaka, Japan.
(November 2, 2021)

Abstract

We discuss the origin of charge density wave (CDW) and spin density wave (SDW) in p-wave, d-wave and f-wave superconductors. To describe the low-energy quasiparticle excitation of p-wave case, we introduce a two-(one for time and one for space) dimensional massless Dirac model. After the non-Abelian bosonization is performed, the charge and spin density waves emerge from the model. By using this scheme, we try to explain the characteristic aspect of phase diagrams of various compounds, oxides and organic superconductors. The purpose of this paper is to make an argument that the dimensionality of the nodal excitation in superconductors plays an important role in the determination of the structure of the phase diagram.

M otivated by recent experim ental discoveries of the coexistence of antiferrom agnetic and superconducting orders [1], Franz and Tesanovic, and independently Herbut found that the chiral sym m etry and its dynam ical breaking (dynam ical chiral sym m etry breaking, D SB) is realized in d-wave copper oxide superconductors [2]. They introduced a four-component Dirac eld to describe the nodal excitation of quasiparticles in d-wave superconductors,

and derived a low energy elective theory. They considered the coupling between the quasiparticles and luctuating vortices of the system by a gauge interaction. Then the low energy
elective theory becomes the three-(one for time and two for space) dimensional two-avor
massless quantum electrodymanics (QED_3). The massless QED_3 has a chiral symmetry;
The Lagrangian is invariant under e^{i_5} . It is a famous fact that the four-component e^{i_5} and e^{i_5} are famous fact that the four-component e^{i_5} and e^{i_5} are famous fact that the four-component e^{i_5} are famous famous fact that the four-component e^{i_5} are famous fam

The essential part of their discussions and conclusions, especially about the phenom enon of D SB can also be obtained by the following Lagrangian:

$$X^{2}$$
(_ni @ _n + G₀⁽³⁾[(_n _n)² + (_ni₅ _n)²]): (1)

Here, we take the same denition of $_{\rm n}$ as that of the QED $_{\rm 3}$ m odel given by Franz-Tesanovic and Herbut. This model is simple, and at least for studying the D SB, the calculation is easier (though we have to introduce a cuto) than the gauge theory, QED $_{\rm 3}$. It is clear from their logic, the QED $_{\rm 3}$ model can be applied to all d-wave superconductors (not only to copper oxide but also to d-wave organic superconductors). Our four-ferm im odel (1) can also be applied to all d-wave superconductors. By introducing the local one-particle density matrix Q(x) = h(x) (x)i, we proceed to perform the group-theoretical classication for the order parameter developed from our theory [4-7]. Q(x) is a 4-4 matrix, then we can expand it by 16-dimensional complete set of gamma matrices:

$$Q = Q^{s} \hat{1} + Q^{V} + Q^{T} + Q^{A}_{5} + Q^{P} \hat{1}_{5}$$
 (2)

Here S, V, T, A and P denote the scalar, vector, tensor, axial vector and pseudoscalar,

respectively. In fact, the dynam ical mass discussed by Franz-Tesanovic and Herbut corresponds to the scalar density Q^S . If we exam ine each component of the matrix Q more in detail, we can discuss the possibility of the appearances of other types of order. Now we study the problem, and intend to publish our results elsewhere.

On the other hand, a low-energy elective theory for point-like-node p-wave superconductors (similar to the case of the ABM (Anderson-Brinkman-Morel) state) becomes a two-dimensional (one for time and one for space) massless Dirac fermion model: The system has two Fermi points in a special direction in momentum space, and quasiparticles are easily excited near the Fermi points. If we describe the low-energy long-wavelength excitation by $_{\rm R}$ (z)e $^{{\rm i}k_{\rm F}\,z}$ + $_{\rm L}$ (z)e $^{{\rm i}k_{\rm F}\,z}$, (here, R denotes a right mover, L denotes a left mover and denotes a spin quantum number) we will obtain a two-avormassless Dirac fermion model:

Here, we take the de nition of the two-component D irac eld as $= (_R (z);_L (z))$. The gam ma matrices are given by $_0 = _1$, $_1 = _1 _2$, $_5 = _0 _1 = _3$. The excitive Lagrangian (3) also has the chiral sym m tery. If we consider a chiral invariant four-body contact interaction, its mathematical form is severely restricted. Add a chiral invariant interaction to the D irac kinetic term (3), we get

$$X^{2}$$
 ($_{n}i$ @ $_{n} + G_{0}^{(2)}[(_{n} _{n})^{2} + (_{n}i_{5} _{n})^{2}])$: (4)

Any continuous symmetry in one-dimension cannot be spontaneously broken [8]. In the one-dimensional case, the non-Abelian bosonization procedure [9 14] should be employed. After incorporate the band multiplicity in our model, our Hamiltonian will be decoupled to three sectors: U (1) (charge), SU (2) (spin) and SU (N) (orbital or band multiplicity). Then we can write down the bosonized Hamiltonian in the Sugawara form [15]:

$$H = H_{U(1)} + H_{SU(2)} + H_{SU(N)};$$
 (5)

$$H_{U(1)} = 2 v_{charge} dx (: J_R J_R :+ : J_L J_L :+ G : J_R J_L :);$$
 (6)

$$H_{SU(2)} = \frac{2}{2+N} v_{spin} dx (: J_R^a J_R^a : + : J_L^a J_L^a : G : J_R^a J_L^a :);$$

$$H_{SU(N)} = \frac{2}{2+N} v_{orb} dx (: J_R^A J_R^A : + : J_L^A J_L^A :);$$

$$(8)$$

$$H_{SU(N)} = \frac{2}{2+N} v_{orb} \int_{A=1}^{N_X^2 - 1/Z} dx (:J_R^A J_R^A :+ :J_L^A J_L^A :);$$
 (8)

In the expression given above, the spin-charge-orbital separation was occured. By using the conform al eld theoretical techniques with renormalization group approach [12,13], we can predict that the excitation in each sector becomes gapless (massless) or gapful (massive) [13]. Then we determ ine what kind of order (CDW, SDW and "orbital wave") will em erge. For example, when the spectrum of the charge sector is massless, CDW will arise, while it is massive, CDW will not appear. It is clear from our discussion, this model can be applied to all systems which have point-like p-wave nodes. To exam ine the physics of CDW, Sakita et al. used the same Lagrangian with (4). They discussed the chiral symmetry of the Lagrangian to study the CDW [16]. The most important point in our discussion is in the following logic: The excitation of p-wave superconductors will be described by the chiral invariant model, and when a kind of perturbation (interaction between particles) is applied, CDW or SDW may appear/disappear. Because of the dimensionality of the nodal excitation in p-wave systems, CDW and/orSDW can appear. Our context in this paper is di erent from that of Su and Sakita.

It should be emphasized that our theory, combined (1) with (4), can explain SDW, CDW and other possible phases, while a phenom enological Landau-Ginzburg-type SO (5) model introduced by Zhang [17] can only explain antiferrom agnetic phase, superconducting phase and coexistense of them .

Let us consider various superconducting systems of real substances. Recently, some experim ents found the existence and/or coexistence of CDW, SDW and other ordered state in som e superconductors. For example, the coexistences of CDW and SDW in (TM TTF)2Br, $TTF)_2MHg(SCN)_4$ (non- $(TM TSF)_2PF_6$ (p-or f-w ave superconductor) and (BEDT pure s-wave) were observed. The phese diagram of (BEDT TTF)3C 12 (H2O)2 has a CDW phase neighbor a superconducting phase. The importance of charge uctuation with fer-

rom agnetic spin uctuation in Sr₂RuO₄ (p-or f-wave superconductor) was pointed out by Takim oto [18]. Kuroki et al. perform ed a theoretical investigation about the e ect of the coexistence of CDW and SDW in (TMTSF)₂PF₆ [19]. Neighbor the superconducting phase of UGe, (p-or fwave superconductor), there is a CDW /SDW coexistent phase. We recognize almost all of these substances are p-or f-wave superconductors. We speculate that the CDW phase or CDW /SDW coexistent phase may emerge by the mechanism of the generation of chiral m ass in two-dimensional system, or by collective excitations of charge and spin in one-dimensinal system. We suppose the pairing symmetry of the superconducting phase in (BEDT $TTF)_3C \frac{1}{2} (H_2O)_2$ is a p-wave type (though there is no experimental report about it). We would like to make an argument that p-wave, d-wave and f-wave superconductors generally have the SDW /CDW instability. To the contrary, swave superconductors do not have such kind of instability. Usually, the phase diagrams of p-wave, d-wave and f-wave superconductors have several ordered phases, while the phase diagram of s-wave should become a simple one. The chiral symmetry arises from the nodal structure of superconducting gap, and play the key-role in the coexistence/com petition of various phases in phase diagram s of superconductors.

Finally, we wish to make a comment on the connement deconnement transition (CDT) in superconductors. In quantum chromodynamics (QCD), quark connement occurs at low-energy low-density state, and the DSB is realized, dynamical mass is generated. At high-density state, the quark-deconnement occurs and the color-superconductivity will be realized [20,21]. Similar to this case, the connement will be realized at low-energy in QED3, while deconnement will occur at high-density state [22]. There is a similarity between the phase diagram of copper oxide and that of QCD: We speculate SDW corresponds to DSB phase, while superconductivity corresponds to color-superconductivity. It is case that there are several similarities between QED3 and QCD4. Therefore, there is a possibility to understand the phase diagram of copper oxide by the concept of CDT. We suppose both the DSB and CDT are universal phenomena in various condensed matter.

REFERENCES

- ¹ For exam ple, G abovich et al. Phys. Rep. 367, 583 (2002).
- ² M. Franz and Z. Tesanovic, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 257003 (2001), I. F. Herbut, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 047006 (2002), Z. Tesanovic, O. Vafek and M. Franz, Phys. Rev. B 65, 180511 (2002), M. Franz, D. E. Sheehy and Z. Tesanovic, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 257005 (2002), O. Vafek, Z. Tesanovic and M. Franz, cond-m at/0203047, M. Franz, Z, Tesanovic and O. Vafek, Phys. Rev. B 66, 054535 (2002), I. F. Herbut, Phys. Rev. B 66, 094504 (2002), D. J. Lee and I. F. Herbut, Phys. Rev. B 66, 094512 (2002), B. H. Seradjeh and I. F. Herbut, cond-m at/027221, G.-Z. Liu and G. Cheng, Phys. Rev. B 66, 100505 (2002). T. Perag-Barnea and M. Franz, cond-m at/0209301.
- ³R.D.Pisarski, Phys.Rev.D 29, 2423 (1984), T.Appelquist, M.J.Bowick, E.Cohler and L.C.R.W ijewardhana, Phys.Rev.Lett. 55, 1715 (1985), T.W.Appelquist, M.Bowick, D.Karabali and L.C.R.W ijewardhana, Phys.Rev.D 33, 3704 (1986).
- ⁴ T.Ohsaku, Thesis, Department of Physics, Osaka University (2000).
- ⁵ T.Ohsaku, Phys. Rev. B 65, 024512 (2002).
- ⁶ T.Ohsaku, Phys. Rev. B 66, 054518 (2002).
- $^7\,\mathrm{T}$. O hsaku, cond-m at/0209352, subm itted for publication.
- 8 S.Colem an, Commun.M ath. Phys. 31, 259 (1973).
- 9 E.W itten, Commun.Math.Phys. 92, 455 (1984).
- ¹⁰ A.M. Polyakov and P.B.W iegmann, Phys. Lett. 131B, 121 (1983), Phys. Lett. 141B, 223 (1984).
- ¹¹ I.A eck, Nucl. Phys. B 265, 409, 448 (1986).
- ¹² V.G.Knizhnik and A.B.Zam olodchikov, Nucl. Phys. B 247, 83 (1984), A.B.Zam olodchikov and V.A.Fateev, Sov.J.Nucl. Phys. 43, 657 (1986).

- ¹³ A.M. Tsvelik, Quantum Field Theory in Condensed Matter Physics (Cambridge University Press, New York, 1995).
- ¹⁴ P.B.W ieom ann, Phys. Rev. B 59, 15705 (1999).
- ¹⁵ H. Sugawara, Phys. Rev. 170, 1659 (1968).
- ¹⁶ Z.-b. Su and B. Sakita, Phys. Rev. Lett. 56, 780 (1986), Phys. Rev B 38, 7421 (1988), B. Sakita and K. Shizuya, Phys. Rev. B 42, 5586 (1990).
- ¹⁷ S.-C. Zhang, Science, 275, 1089 (1997).
- ¹⁸ T. Takim oto, Phys. Rev. B 62, R14641 (2000).
- $^{19}\,\mathrm{K}$. K urokiet al., cond-m at/0006218.
- ²⁰ M . Iwasaki and T . Iwado, Phys. Lett. B 350, 163 (1995).
- ²¹ M. Alford, K. Rajagopal and F. Wilczek, Phys. Lett. B 422, 247 (1998), R. Rapp, T. Schaefer, E. V. Shuryak and M. Velkovsky, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 53 (1998).
- ²² P.M aris, Phys. Rev. D 52, 6087 (1995).