

# Continuum in the spin excitation spectrum of a Haldane chain (erratum).

I. A. Zaliznyak<sup>1</sup>, S.-H. Lee<sup>2,3</sup>, S. V. Petrov<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physics, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, New York 11973-5000

<sup>2</sup>National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, Maryland 20899

<sup>3</sup>Department of Physics, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland 20742

<sup>4</sup>P. Kapitza Institute for Physical Problems, ul. Kosygina, 2, Moscow, 117334 Russia  
(March 22, 2024)

PACS numbers: 75.10.Jm, 75.40.Gb, 75.50.Ee

In Ref. [1] we reported inelastic neutron scattering study of the spin excitation spectrum in the  $S=1$  quasi-one-dimensional antiferromagnet  $\text{CsNiCl}_2$ . A cross-over from the coherent, single-particle magnon excitation at wavevectors close to  $q = \pi$ , to a continuum dominated by the two-particle states at small wavevectors,  $q < 0.5\pi$ , was clearly demonstrated by the measured intensities shown in the Fig. 2 of that paper. For the sake of comparison, the two-magnon lineshapes predicted from the nonlinear model by Aleck and Weston in Ref. [2] were also shown, by the dotted lines, in the figure.




FIG. 1. Corrected version of the Fig. 2 in Ref. [1]. Dotted lines which show the prediction of Ref. [2] were corrected.

It recently came to our attention that theoretical curves shown in Fig. 2 of our paper [1] are slightly incorrect. This is clear if one compares the onset of the dotted line in Fig. 2 with the 2-magnon boundary shown

in Fig. 3 of the paper, which is at lower energy. The error in Fig. 2 came about because an incorrect value of the Haldane gap, about twice the expected value of  $0.41J$ , was, by mistake, used for the single-particle dispersion in the formulas of Ref. [2]. As a result, the discrepancy between the prediction of the nonlinear model and the experimental results looks larger than it actually is.

Figure 1 here is the corrected version of the Fig. 2 of Ref. [1], and is now in agreement with the Fig. 3 of that paper. Clearly, there is also much better agreement between the data and the model prediction now (note the absence of an arbitrary intensity scaling). Nevertheless, the observed continuum still lies noticeably below the lowest energy of the two non-interacting purely 1D magnons, supporting our previous conclusion that there is an attraction between the quasiparticles which form the continuum states [1]. Such attraction is probably a result of the inter-chain coupling which leads to a 3D ordering in  $\text{CsNiCl}_2$ . On the mean field level it can be understood in a manner similar to the spinon attraction in the coupled  $S=1/2$  chains, if the excitations are the "spin-zero defects" of Ref. [3], as shown in Fig. 2 below.




FIG. 2. Attraction of the  $S^z = 0$  defects in the antiferromagnetic background, introduced by the mean field  $H_{MF}$  arising from the inter-chain ordering.

We thank Ian Aleck for pointing out this error, and F. Essler for encouraging discussions. This work was carried out under Contract DE-AC02-98CH10886, Division of Materials Sciences, US DOE. The work on SPINS was supported by NSF through DMR-9986442.

[1] I. A. Zaliznyak, S.-H. Lee and S. V. Petrov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 017202 (2001).

[2] I. Aleck, R. A. Weston, Phys. Rev. B 45, 4667 (1992).

[3] G. Gomez-Santos, Phys. Rev. Lett. 63, 790 (1989).