M apping the Fermi velocity in the quasi-2D organic conductor $-(BEDT-TTF)_2I_3$

A.E.Kovalev, S.Hill, K.Kawano, M.Tamura, Y.T.Naito, and H.Kobayashi⁴

¹Department of Physics, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32611, USA

²Toho University, Funabashi, 274-8510, Japan

³Hokkaido University, Sapporo, 060-0810, Japan

⁴ Insitute for Molecular Science, Okazaki, Aichi 444–8585, Japan

(Dated: March 22, 2022)

W e dem onstrate a new m ethod for determ ining the Ferm ivelocity in quasi-two-dimensional (Q 2D) organic conductors. Application of a magnetic eld parallel to the conducting layers results in periodic open orbit quasiparticle trajectories along the Q 2D Ferm isurface. A veraging of this motion over the Ferm isurface leads to a resonance in the interlayer m icrow ave conductivity. The resonance frequency is simply related to the extrem al value of the Ferm ivelocity perpendicular to the applied eld. Thus, angle dependent m icrow ave studies enable a complete m apping of the Ferm ivelocity. W e illustrate the applicability of this method for the highly-2D organic conductor $-(BEDT-TTF)_2 I_3$.

PACS num bers: 71.18.+ y, 72.15 G d, 74.70 K n, 76.40.+ b

M icrowave spectroscopy has been utilized as a means of studying the electrodynam ic properties of m etals for well over half a century, especially resonant absorption in an external DC magnetic eld. Quasiparticles in such conductors usually move on closed periodic trajectories in reciprocal (k-) space, or cyclotron orbits in real space (we will always consider the motion in k-space, unless stated otherwise). When any period associated with this motion matches the period of the external electrom agnetic eld, so-called cyclotron resonance (CR) occurs if the condition $!_{c} > 1$ is satis ed, where $!_{c}$ is the cyclotron frequency and is the relaxation time; $!_c$ depends on the magnetic eld strength, and on the cy $clotron m ass (m_c)$ a characteristic of the Ferm i surface (FS) of the metal. In conventional metals, large Ferm i velocities (v_F 10° m/s) com plicate m atters to the extent that CR is norm ally only observed in the anom alous skin e ect regime. The theoretical foundations for this and m any other electrodynam ic properties of m etals have been m ly established [1].

In layered organic conductors, the FS may be either quasi-two-dimensional (Q 2D), quasi-one-dimensional (Q1D), or a combination of both. In the Q2D case, the FS is a warped cylinder with its axis perpendicular to the layers (see Fig. 1) while, in the Q1D case, the FS consists of a pair of warped sheets at $k_{\rm F}$. Because of this reduced dimensionality (and reduced $v_{\rm F}$ 10⁵ m /s) several new e ects in the microwave conductivity have been reported. One of these is the observation of multiple periodic orbit resonances (POR) in Q1D system s [2, 3, 4, 5]. In this situation, quasiparticles move under the external magnetic eld along open orbits. The motion is periodic because of the underlying periodicity of the crystal lattice. W hen the period of this motion coincides with the period of an appropriately polarized electrom agnetic eld, resonant m icrow ave absorption occurs, i.e. the AC conductivity attains a maximum . Recent studies have shown that multiple POR harm onics

m ay be observed, each one corresponding to a di erent fourier component of the warping of the Q 1D FS [5]. The POR frequencies are simply related to the magnetic eld strength, the crystal lattice parameters, and the Q 1D Ferm ivelocity (v_F). Indeed, this e ect provides the most direct method of measuring v_F in a Q 1D system, without making any assumptions about the underlying bandstructure [5].

Although a form of closed orbit CR has been reported for several Q 2D organic conductors, its origin is quite di erent from that in norm alm etals [2, 6]. E lectrom agnetic elds penetrate easily into the bulk of the sam ple for current excitation norm al to the layers [7], due to the very low conductivity in this direction (the anom alous skin e ect is impossible to achieve in the millimeter spectral range, even for the highest conducting direction); the typical interlayer skin depth at 50 G H z is about 50 100 m, i.e. comparable with the sample dimensions. Indeed, typical sample shapes and conductivity anisotropies result in a situation wherein the interlayer conductivity (zz) usually dom inates the electrodynam ic response [7]. Thus, it has been shown both theoretically [2, 6] and experim entally that the Q 2D closed orbit resonances are related to the nite warping of the FS, i.e. this e ect is analogous to the Q1D POR, albeit that the periodic motion normal to the layers is related to the underlying cyclotron motion which is predom inantly con ned to within the layers.

A new e ect appears if one aligns the magnetic eld within the layers of a Q 2D conductor, i.e. perpendicular to the Q 2D FS cylinder. In this case, the quasiparticle motion is principally open (except for a small fraction of the total electrons see Fig. 1a). This results in periodic motion along open orbits norm alto the layers. The period of this motion depends on the magnetic eld strength, B, and the velocity component (v₂) perpendicular to the eld. Consequently, the period depends strongly on the in-plane wavevector k_{xy} . How ever, as we

will show, averaging over the FS leads to the result that the extrem alperpendicular velocity (v_2^{ext}) dom inates the electrodynam ic response, giving rise to a singularity (a form of resonance) in the interlayer conductivity. The resonance occurs when the period of the electrom agnetic

eld m atches the periodicity of these extrem al quasiparticle trajectories. Thus, one can m ap out the Ferm ivelocity by this m ethod. This e ect was originally predicted by Peschanskii and Pantoja [8], albeit w ithin the anom alous skin e ect regime. In the following, we derive som e expressions for the real experimental case, where the skin depth is bigger or comparable to the sample size. U sing these calculations, we show that it is also possible to m ap out the Ferm ivelocity in this lim it.

W e consider an energy dispersion of the form :

$$E(K) = \frac{h^2 k_x^2}{2m_x} + \frac{h^2 k_y^2}{2m_y} \quad 2 \pm \cos(K K); \quad (1)$$

where m_x and m_y are the in-plane diagonal components of the elective mass tensor, R is the real space vector characterizing the interlayer FS warping, and $4t_2$ is the interlayer bandwidth. For a calculation of the interlayer AC conductivity [$_{zz}$ (!)] we use the Boltzm ann transport equation:

$$z_{z}(!) = \frac{e^{2}}{4^{3}} \begin{bmatrix} z & & & \\ & d^{3}\tilde{k} & \frac{\partial f(\tilde{k})}{\partial E(\tilde{k})} \\ & & z_{0} \\ & & & v_{z}(\tilde{k};t)e^{i!t}e^{t=} dt; \end{bmatrix} (2)$$

where is the quasiparticle relaxation time. First, we assume a T=0 limit, so that the derivative of the distribution function, f (k), may by replaced by $E_F \in k$), where E_F is the Fermi energy. Second, we neglect the part of the Lorentz force which depends on the interlayer velocity, v_z . Finally, we neglect the e ect of the closed orbits. This assumption is valid provided $P = \frac{1}{E_F} = 4t_2$ = T_P , where T_P is the smallest period

for the open orbits [9, 10]. From available Shubnikov-de H aas (SdH) and de H aas-van A lphen (dH vA) data for the title compound, the ratio $E_F = 4t_2$ is estimated to be larger than 10^4 , i.e. $-(B E D T - T T F)_2 I_3$ is highly two-dimensional. As we show later, $=T_P$ is of order unity; thus, the closed orbitsm ay by neglected. As a result, the interlayer conductivity can be written:

$$_{zz}(!) / \int_{0}^{Z_{l_{c}^{ext}}} \frac{1}{jv_{F}j} \frac{dS_{k}}{d!_{c}} \frac{1}{(1-i!-\frac{3}{2}+(!_{c})^{2}} d!_{c}; (3)$$

where dS_k is an element on the FS, $!_c = eB av_2 = h$ is the frequency associated with a given quasiparticle trajectory on the FS, and $!_c^{ext}$ is the extremal value of $!_c$ (a is the interlayer spacing, and v_2 is the in-plane velocity perpendicular to the applied magnetic ed).

Looking at Eq.3, one can see that $_{\rm zz}$ (!) will be dom – inated by the extrem al perpendicular velocity $v_{\rm ?}^{\rm ext}$ (see Fig. 1b), since dS_k =d! $_c$ diverges (i.e. $d!_c$ =dS_k ! 0) at these points on the FS. This leads to a resonance condition whenever ! = ! $_c^{\rm ext}$ ($_eBav_{\rm ?}^{\rm ext}$ =h), provided that ! > 1. M easurement of ! $_c^{\rm ext}$, as a function of the eld orientation within the xy-plane, yields a polar plot of $v_{\rm ?}^{\rm ext}$ (). The procedure for mapping the Ferm i velocity is then identical to that of reconstructing the FS of a Q 2D conductor from the measured periods of Yam a jioscillations [11]. A nalytically, assum ing one can measure $v_{\rm ?}^{\rm ext}$ (), it is then possible to generate the Ferm i velocity vF () using the following transformations (see also Fig.1b):

$$v_{\rm F} = \frac{q}{(v_{\rm P}^{\rm ext})^2 + v_{\rm k}^2}; \qquad = + \arctan \frac{v_{\rm P}^{\rm ext}}{v_{\rm k}};$$
$$v_{\rm k} = -\frac{dv_{\rm P}^{\rm ext}}{d}; \qquad (4)$$

O nem ay also calculate the conductivity explicitly from Eq. 3, for the parabolic energy dispersion given in Eq. 1:

$$z_{z} (!; B;) = z_{z} (0) \frac{1}{(1 \quad i! \quad \frac{3}{2} + (v_{xm}^2 \sin^2 + v_{ym}^2 \cos^2))(\frac{eaB}{h})^2};$$
(5)

Here, $\vec{B} = (\beta \cos ; \beta \sin ; 0)$, and $v_{xm} \& v_{ym}$ are the maximalFerm ivelocities along x and y respectively. For a magnetic eld sweep, the resonance condition becomes $\beta_{res} = h! = ea$ $(v_{xm}^2 \sin^2 + v_{ym}^2 \cos^2)$.

To observe this new type of POR, we chose the highly-

2D organic superconductor $-(BEDT-TTF)_2I_3$ [12]. Its FS m ay be calculated using a 2D tight binding m odel, resulting in a network of overlapping Ferm i cylinders [13], as shown in Fig.1c. The lattice periodicity results in a rem oval of the degeneracy at the points where the cylinders cross each other. Thus, the actual FS consists of a sm all Q 2D pocket (dark shaded area in Fig. 1c) and a pair of Q 1D open sections. However, the energy barrier separating these bands is relatively sm all, leading to magnetic breakdown at fairly low elds. Indeed, SdH and dH vA m easurements [14] are dominated by the large breakdown orbit (lightly shaded area in Fig. 1c). M eanwhile, m icrowave studies with the eld oriented perpendicular to the layers show very clear closed-orbit POR from both Q 2D sections of the FS without any noticeable evidence for the Q 1D part [15].

A smallplatelet shaped (0:7 0:4 0:12 mⁿ) single crystal of $-(\text{BEDT}-\text{TTF})_2 I_3$ was studied using a phase sensitive cavity perturbation technique (described elsewhere [16]). The sam ple was placed on the endplate of a cylindrical TE₀₁₁ cavity, and eld rotation in a plane perpendicular to the axis of the cavity (k highly conducting bc-plane of the sam ple) was achieved using a 7 T split-pair superconducting m agnet. A llm easurem ents were carried out at T = 4.5 K, above the superconducting transition tem perature (T_c= 3.5 K), and at a frequency of 53.9 G H z. A coording to published resistivity data [13], we estim ate a 54 G H z skin depth for currents perpendicular (parallel) to the layers of 53 m (4 m). Thus, we expect _zz to dom inate the losses in the cavity [7], as required in order to detect the new open orbit resonance.

In Fig.2 we plot the eld dependence of the microw ave absorption and phase shift (solid curves) for several eld orientations () within the highly conducting bc-plane of the sam ple. To understand the shapes of the curves, we treat the sam ple as a thin conducting plate of thickness d, subjected to a microw ave AC magnetic eld polarized within the plane of the plate. In this situation, the e ective polarizability of the sam ple has the form :

$$(!) = \frac{\tanh(kd=2)}{kd=2} \quad 1; \tag{6}$$

where $k = (i!_{0})^{1=2}$. The absorption is then proportional to the imaginary part of the (!), while the phase shift is proportional to the real part. While Eq. 6 is clearly only approximate, we not excellent agreement between the predicted and observed behavior; as an illustration, we have included to the = 54 data in Fig. 2. The resonances are rather broad (indicated by arrows), due to the fairly small! product (2). While it is quite dicult to accurately determine the resonance position from the absorption data, it is relatively easy to do so using the phase shift.

In the Fig. 3 we plot the experimentally determined v_2^{ext} () on a polar diagram. These values were deduced by three di erent methods: 1) from the maximum in the phase shift (); 2) from ts to the absorption curves (); and 3), from ts to the phase shift curves (). The dashed line is a t to the points deduced by method 1, and the solid line is the corresponding Ferm i velocity (from Eq.4). The value for v_{xm} is 1:3 10 m/s and v_{ym}

is 0.62 10 m/s. This anisotropy is in good agreement with the known anisotropy of the small Q 2D FS for – (BEDT-TTF)₂I₃ (dark shaded region in Fig. 1c). If one assumes a parabolic dispersion (Eq. 1), it is possible to compare our data with the band parameters determined for the large Q 2D – orbit from optical data by Tamura et al. [17]. In particular, we may estimate the elective mass along the c-direction as $m_c = hk_F^c = v_{xm}$ 2.5m_e, which compares to the value of 2.4m_e determined from the optical measurements [17].

Based on the known value, $S_k = 5.5 \quad 10^{18} \text{ m}^{-2}$, for the area of the sm all Q 2D section of the FS in k-space, we may estimate the momentum averaged cyclotron mass from the relation $m = h (S_k = S_v)^{1=2}$, where S_v is the area of the FS in velocity space (Fig. 3). This method gives 1:7m_e, while the experim ental value deduced from m the SdH and dHvA e ects is 1:9m_e [14], where m_e is the free electron mass; the value deduced from earlier closed orbit POR measurements is $2.2m_e$ [15]. The m assanisotropy (m $_x = m_v$) deduced from the present m easurements for the smallQ2DFS (dark shaded region in Fig. 1c) is 4. From ts to the data, we also estimate a relaxation time of 5 ps, or ! 2. Thus, our initial assumption to neglect closed orbits is fully justied.

In principle, one should also expect an open orbit resonance e ect from the Q1D FS sections (see Fig. 1c), as has recently been reported for several other lowdim ensional organic conductors [4, 5]. How ever, no clear evidence for such behavior was found. Indeed, our current and earlier [15] m icrow ave studies clearly show that the Q2D FS dom inates the electrodynam ic properties of $-(BEDT-TTF)_2I_3$. This likely suggests that the warping of Q1D FS is weaker than that of the Q2D section.

Finally, we comment on the e ect of a possible m isalignment of the eld rotation axis. Following Peschansky and Kartsovnik [10], we may assume that the conductivity will be dominated by the periodic motion along k_z , rather than by any cyclotron motion in the $k_x k_y$ -plane, provided sin $1=!_c^{\text{ext}}$ (is the mis-alignment angle). C learly, therefore, the accuracy of our sample alignment is enough to justify our procedure form apping the Ferm i velocity.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated a new type of m agneto-electrodynam ic resonance in a layered conductor with a Q 2D FS. The resonance is observed for a m agnetic eld applied parallel to the layers, resulting in periodic open quasiparticle trajectories norm alto the layers. The resonance frequency is simply related to the extrem al value of the Ferm i velocity perpendicular to the applied eld. Thus, by rotating the eld within the layers, one can m ap out the angle dependence of the Ferm i velocity. W e illustrate the applicability of this method for the highly-2D organic conductor $-(BEDT-TTF)_2I_3$, for which we obtain FS param eters which are consistent with published results.

This work was supported by the NSF (DMR 0196430,

DMR0196461 and DMR0239481). S.H.would like to thank the Research Corporation for nancial support.

E lectronic address: hill@phys.u .edu

- ^y New address: RIKEN, W ako, Saitam a 351-0198, Japan
- [L] A.A.Abrikosov, Fundam entals of the theory of m etals (Elsevier, Am sterdam, 1988).
- [2] S.Hill, Phys.Rev.B 55, 4931 (1997).
- [3] S.J.B lundell, A. A rdavan, and J. Singleton, Phys. Rev. B 55, R 6129 (1995).
- [4] A.Ardavan et al, Phys.Rev.Lett.81,713 (1998).
- [5] A.E.Kovalev, S.H ill, and J.S.Qualls, Phys. Rev. B 66, 134513 (2002).
- [6] R.H.M cK enzie and P.M oses, Phys. Rev. B 60, 11241 (1999).
- [7] S.Hill, Phys.Rev.B 62, 8699 (2000).
- [B] V.G. Peschansky and J.C.M. Pantoja, Low Temperature Physics 26, 494 (2000).
- [9] A.J.Shoen eld and J.R.Cooper, Phys. Rev. B 62, 10 779 (2000).
- [10] V.G. Peschansky and M.V.Kartsovnik, Phys. Rev. B 60, 11207 (1999).
- [11] M.Kartsovnik et al., J.Physique I2, 89 (1992).
- [12] R.Kato et al, Chem.Lett.p.507 (1987).
- [13] H.Kobayashiet al., J.M ater.Chem.5, 1681 (1995).
- [14] E.Balthes et al., Zeit.f.Phys.B 99, 163 (1996).
- [15] C.Palassis et al, Synth.M et. 120, 999 (2001).
- [16] M. Mola et al, Rev. Sci. Instrum. 71, 186 (2000).
- [17] M .Tam ura et al, J.Phys.Soc.Jpn.60, 3861 (1991).




FIG.1: (a) An illustration of the quasiparticle trajectories on a warped Q 2D FS cylinder for a eld oriented perpendicular to the cylinder axis; the warping has been greatly exaggerated for clarity. The resulting trajectories lead to a weak modulation of the quasiparticle velocities parallel to k_z and, hence, to a resonance in $_{zz}$. (b) The thick line shows $v_{\rm F}$ () according to Eq. 1; the right angle triangle illustrates the relationship between $v_2^{\rm ext}$ () and $v_{\rm F}$ () (see text and Eq. 4 for explanation). (c) The Ferm i surface of $-({\rm BEDT-TTF})_2\,I_3$ according to Ref. [13].




FIG.2: Field dependence of the m icrow ave phase shift (left) and absorption (right) for di erent eld orientations (). The solid curves represent the experim ental data, while ts to the 54 data are shown by open circles. A rrow s m ark the resonance positions (B $_{\rm res}$) determ ined from ts to Eq. 5; the asterisk m arks a possible Q 1D resonance.




FIG .3: Polarplot of $v_{\rm ?}^{\rm ext}$ () obtained by three di erentm ethods: (1) from the maximum phase shift (); (2) from ts to the absorption traces (); and (3), from the ts of the phase shift traces (). The dotted line is a t to the points deduced by m ethod 1, and the solid line is the resultant Ferm ivelocity, $v_{\rm F}$ ().