

Exact Results for a Spin-1 lattice

Sungkit Yip^x

^yInstitute of Physics, Academia Sinica, Nankang, Taipei 115, Taiwan

Abstract. We consider a lattice of spin-1 particles with a general pairwise interaction $\cos(S_1 \cdot S_{i+1}) + \sin(S_1 \cdot S_{i+1})^2$. We show that, for a large class of lattices with even number of sites, the ground state for the region $\frac{3}{4} < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$ belongs to total spin $S_{\text{tot}} = 0$, whereas the state of minimum excited energy but with finite S_{tot} belongs to $S_{\text{tot}} = 2$. These results are contrasted with the generalized Marshall theorems, applicable to a bipartite lattice and $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha < 0$.

PACS numbers: 75.10.-b, 75.10.Jm, 75.10.Pq

Submitted to: J. Phys. C : Solid State Phys.

^x yip@phys.sinica.edu.tw

1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider a lattice consisting of N spin $S = 1$, interacting with each other through a pairwise interaction. An example is the Hamiltonian for a one-dimensional spin-1 chain with nearest neighbor interaction,

$$H = \sum_l \left[J (\mathbf{S}_l \cdot \mathbf{S}_{l+1}) + K (\mathbf{S}_l \cdot \mathbf{S}_{l+1})^2 \right] \quad (1)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{J^2 + K^2} \sum_l \left[\cos(\theta) (\mathbf{S}_l \cdot \mathbf{S}_{l+1}) + \sin(\theta) (\mathbf{S}_l \cdot \mathbf{S}_{l+1})^2 \right] \quad (2)$$

where the sum is over the site labels l and the second relation defines θ . This Hamiltonian has been of intense interest in the theoretical studies on quantum magnetism [1, 2, 3, 4]. Here we shall demonstrate some exact properties of the ground states for this type of Hamiltonians. For definiteness, we shall confine ourselves to the Hamiltonian (1) (or its slight generalization (3) below) with N being even and finite unless otherwise stated. We shall be particularly interested in the region $K < J < 0$, i.e., $\frac{3}{4} < \theta < \frac{\pi}{2}$.

In a recent paper [5], we have pointed out that this region of θ is relevant to spin-1 Bosons trapped in an (optical) lattice in the regime of one particle, one orbital state per site, for suitable interactions between the Bosons. To see this, we generalize the standard derivation of the Heisenberg (exchange) Hamiltonian from the Hubbard model [6] to spin 1. Let the hopping between sites be t and the (repulsive) interaction for two particles on the same site be $U_0 (> 0)$ if their total spin is 0, and $U_2 (> 0)$ if their total spin is 2. (Note that they cannot have total spin 1 since we have identical Bosons and there is only one orbital per site.) Consider now two neighboring sites (say 1 and 2) with one Boson each. At $t = 0$ the states corresponding to different spin configurations are degenerate. For finite but small t we can perform perturbation to second order. The energies of the system can be classified according to the total spin S_{tot} for the two sites, and can be easily seen to be $4t^2 = U_0, 0$, and $4t^2 = U_2$ for total spin $S = 0; 1; 2$ respectively. Comparing these values with those of the general form of the spin Hamiltonian $E_0 + J (\mathbf{S}_1 \cdot \mathbf{S}_2) + K (\mathbf{S}_1 \cdot \mathbf{S}_2)^2$ for these two sites, and noting that $\mathbf{S}_1 \cdot \mathbf{S}_2 = -2; -1; 1$ for $S_{\text{tot}} = 0; 1; 2$ respectively, we find $J = \frac{2t^2}{U_2}$, $K = \frac{2t^2}{3U_2} - \frac{4t^2}{3U_0}$ and $E_0 = J - K$. Thus $K < J < 0$ if $0 < U_0 < U_2$. (E_0 represents an energy shift independent of the spin configurations and can thus be dropped from our discussions.) The case $0 < U_0 < U_2$ is applicable to ^{23}Na atoms. [7]

Our major results are collected as Theorems and Corollaries in Sec 2. In particular, we shall show that the ground state for the Hamiltonian (1) has zero total spin $S_{\text{tot}} = 0$. We also show that the excitation of lowest energy but with finite spin has $S_{\text{tot}} = 2$. Generalizations of our results to lattices other than one-dimensional chains are also possible. Some of them are mentioned in subsection 2.2.

For comparison, we shall, in section 3, discuss the case where $J < K < 0$ [$\frac{\pi}{2} < \theta < \frac{3\pi}{4}$]. This case applies to spin-1 Bosons in a lattice if the interaction

among Bosons is "ferromagnetic", ($U_2 < U_0$) as would be the case for ^{87}Rb atoms trapped in an optical lattice [5]. It is worth remarking here that spin-polarized ^{87}Rb in an optical lattice in the (Mott) regime of one particle per site has already been obtained in a recent experiment [8].

Our work generalizes the corresponding results for the Heisenberg ($J \neq 0, K = 0$) Hamiltonian. For a bipartite lattice and $J > 0$ (the antiferromagnetic Heisenberg model), the results are usually referred to as the Marshall theorems [6]. The proofs here follow similar ideas, but the details are very different (in particular, our results here do not rely on a bipartite lattice). Section 4 below contains a comparison between the two cases. Main results are summarized in section 5.

2. The region $K < J < 0$:

To ease the presentation we shall first consider a linear spin chain (subsection 2.1). Then we shall discuss more general lattice types (subsection 2.2)

2.1. Linear spin chain

We shall consider, for definiteness, a generalized form of eq (1):

$$\hat{H} = \sum_1^X 1 - (-1)^1 \sum_1^h J (S_1 - S_{1+1}) + K (S_1 - S_{1+1})^2 \quad (3)$$

where $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, i.e., with bond alternation (in strength but not in sign of the interaction). This is shown schematically in Fig 1. For convenience we shall also define $\alpha = 1 - \alpha$. α is thus proportional to the strength of the weaker bonds. For $\alpha = 0$ ($\alpha = 1$), Hamiltonian (3) reduces to that of (1). For $\alpha = 1$ ($\alpha = 0$), the Hamiltonian can be solved trivially since we have a collection of spin pairs with no interaction among different pairs, a fact that we shall take advantage of.

We shall use the 'Ising configurations' as our basis set. Each of these configurations is defined by specifying the spin projection along the \hat{z} direction for every site. Denoting the state at a site by $|j\rangle$; $|j\rangle$ according to $S_z = 1; 0; -1$, a typical Ising configuration, which will be represented by $|j\rangle$, is, e.g., $|j\rangle = |0+00\dots\rangle$ etc. We shall express any many body state $|j\rangle$ as a linear combination of these basis states, i.e

$$|j\rangle = \sum c_j |j\rangle \quad (4)$$

where the sum is over all $|j\rangle$. We shall also introduce the rotated basis, defined by, for all sites,

$$\begin{aligned} |j\rangle^0 &= |j\rangle \\ |j\rangle^0 &= |j\rangle \\ |j\rangle^0 &= |j\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

and also use the expansion

$$|j\rangle = \sum_0^X c_0 |j\rangle \quad (6)$$

The factor i in eq (5) should not alarm us. Since the z component of the total spin $M = S_{\text{tot},z}$ is conserved under H , for each given M , the number of sites l with $S_{z,l} = 0$ in the expansions (4) or (6) must be either even or odd for all j 's [for given M , the number of these sites can be only changed by replacing $+$ pairs (not necessarily nearest neighbours) by 00 , or vice versa].

Theorem 1: For the lowest energy state of H of a given M , the coefficients c_0 are non-negative for all $j > 0$ (apart from an overall common phase factor, a caveat that we shall not repeat).

Proof: The basic idea of the proof is similar to that of the Marshall theorem [6]. One regards the Schrodinger equation $H|j\rangle = E|j\rangle$ as the corresponding one for a tight-binding (hopping) Hamiltonian with the lattice points labelled by $j > 0$ ($j > 0$). Consider the general term in the Hamiltonian between sites l and $l+1$:

$$H_{l,l+1} = (1 - i) J (S_l - S_{l+1}) + K (S_l - S_{l+1})^2$$

This term affects only the spins l and $l+1$. When $H_{l,l+1}$ operates on an Ising configuration $|j\rangle$, spin configurations for $l^0 \notin l, l+1$ are unchanged. For simplicity, we shall suppress the configurations for all these l^0 . For the spin configurations of l and $l+1$, $H_{l,l+1}$ only makes 'hopping' among $|j+\rangle, |j0\rangle, |j-\rangle$; between $|j+\rangle$ and $|j0\rangle$, and between $|j-\rangle$ and $|j0\rangle$. In the first mentioned subspace ($|j+\rangle, |j0\rangle, |j-\rangle$), the matrix elements of $H_{l,l+1}$ are given by,

$$(1 - i) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ B & C \\ B & A \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} J+2K & J-K & K \\ J-K & 2K & J-K \\ K & J-K & J+2K \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ C \\ A \end{pmatrix} \quad (7)$$

In the second mentioned subspace ($|j+\rangle$ and $|j0\rangle$), they are

$$(1 - i) \begin{pmatrix} K & J \\ J & K \end{pmatrix} \quad (8)$$

with identical matrix elements for the third subspace ($|j-\rangle$ and $|j0\rangle$). $H_{l,l+1}$ is diagonal in $|j+\rangle$ and $|j-\rangle$.

In the rotated basis, the matrix (7) transforms to

$$(1 - i) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ B & C \\ B & A \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} J+2K & (J-K) & K \\ (J-K) & 2K & (J-K) \\ K & (J-K) & J+2K \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ C \\ A \end{pmatrix} \quad (9)$$

while the matrix (8) is unchanged. In our region of interest, $J-K > 0$, $K < 0$, $J < 0$, hence all hopping (off-diagonal) matrix elements are < 0 . It follows that the lowest energy state must have $c^0 > 0$ for all $j > 0$.

Corollary 1: If $\epsilon \neq 1$, the lowest energy state for given M has $c_0 > 0$ for all 0 's. It also follows that this state is unique for given M .

Proof: If $\epsilon \neq 1$ ($\epsilon > 0$), all hopping matrix elements are negative (non-zero). As in the case of the Heisenberg Hamiltonian [6], all Ising configurations are connected by (though multiple) hopping. By the same reasoning as in [6], the lowest energy state must have all coefficients c_0 non-zero; and moreover there can be only one such state.

Remarks: For $\epsilon = 1$ ($\epsilon = 0$) the system becomes a collection of spin pairs, with no interaction among pairs. For one pair, the energies E_S only depends on the total spin S and are given by

$$\begin{aligned} E_0 &= 2 [-2J + 4K] \\ E_1 &= 2 [-J + K] \\ E_2 &= 2 [J + K] \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

For $K < J < 0$, $E_0 < E_2 < E_1$. The minimum energy state is given by, for $M = 0$, $\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} [j_+ > + j_- > + j_0 >] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} [j_+ >^0 + j_- >^0 + j_0 >^0]$; for $M = 1$, $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [j_+ 0 > + j_0 >] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [j_+ 0 >^0 + j_0 >^0]$, and for $M = 2$, $j_+ + > = j_+ + >^0$. The first state has $S = 0$ and the latter two both belong to $S = 2$. These states obviously obeys the signs stated in Theorem 1.

For $N = 2N$ spins, the ground state has $S_{\text{tot}} = M = 0$ and is a collection of singlet pairs. For $M = 1$ (2), the lowest energy states have one pair of spins in the $S = 2$, $S_z = 1$ (2) state with the rest in the singlet states. These states are not unique due to the freedom of choice of which pair being the $S = 2$ pair.

Theorem 2: For a given M , the lowest energy state for $0 \leq M \leq 1$ has the same S_{tot} independent of ϵ .

Proof: For any given $\epsilon \neq 1$ we have already seen that the minimum energy state has $c_0(\epsilon) > 0$ for all Ising configurations 0 . For $\epsilon = 1$, we have $c_0(1) = 0$. Their overlap, given by $\sum_P c_0(\epsilon) c_0(1) = c_0(\epsilon)$, is non-vanishing. Hence they must have the same S_{tot} .

From the Remarks following Corollary 1, it follows that, for our region of ϵ ,

- (i) The lowest energy state in the $M = 0$ sector has $S_{\text{tot}} = 0$,
- (ii) the lowest energy state in the $M = 1$ sector and the lowest energy state in the $M = 2$ sector both have $S_{\text{tot}} = 2$.

Since the M sector contain states with $S_{\text{tot}} = M$, we have

Corollary 2:

- (a) The ground state for our region ($K < J < 0$) has $S_{\text{tot}} = 0$
- (b) The spin excitation ($S \neq 0$) with the smallest excited energy belongs to $S_{\text{tot}} = 2$.
- (c) Any state with $S_{\text{tot}} = 1$ has energy higher than the mentioned state in (b) if $\epsilon \neq 1$ ($\epsilon > 0$)

The lines of reasoning above can also applied to deduce some properties concerning states of large S_{tot} . The sector $M = 2N - 1$ has one state belonging to $S_{\text{tot}} = 2N$ and one belonging to $S_{\text{tot}} = 2N - 1$. The former state can trivially be written down and has $c_0 = 0$. Hence we conclude that $E_{2N} = E_{2N-1}$, and this later expression becomes a strict inequality if $\epsilon \neq 0$.

For odd N , similar considerations above show that the ground state has $S_{\text{tot}} = 1$.

The conclusions in this Corollary agree with known numerical results (e.g. [3]).

2.2. Other lattice types

As may already have been obvious, our Theorems and Proofs in the last subsection can be generalized to other lattice types. Moreover, these lattices need not be bipartite. A particular interesting case is as shown in Fig 1b. Here we are again considering a spin-1 lattice with pair-wise interactions $J(S_1 - S_j) + K(S_1 - S_j)^2$ with the strengths of the bonds proportional to, for full lines, 1, dashed lines, α , and dotted lines, β . ($\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ but not necessarily equal.) The case where all bond strengths are equal is contained in $\alpha = \beta = 1$. The arguments leading to Theorem 1 and Corollary 1 went through unchanged. If $\alpha = \beta = 0$, the system again reduces to the collection of pairs, and the Remarks subsection following Corollary 1 applies. By comparing the system of interest (with α, β both finite) with that with $\alpha = \beta = 0$, we can again prove Theorem 2 and Corollary 2 as before. It is worth mentioning that a triangular optical lattice can also be formed by three suitable laser beams propagating at angles $\pi/3$ with respect to each other, thus the discussions here is applicable also to a physical system.

3. The region $J < K < 0$

Similar arguments above can easily be generalized to the region $J < K < 0$ ($\alpha < \beta < \frac{3}{4}$) for Hamiltonian (3). In this case with $0 < \alpha < 1$, all hopping matrix elements are negative in the original (unprimed) basis, and thus the ground state for each M sector has $c > 0$. This result is in agreement with the common wisdom expressed in the literature (e.g., Ref [4]) that the ground state here has $S_{\text{tot}} = 2N$. These states, obtainable from $|j_+ + + + \dots\rangle$ by suitable number of lowering operators, indeed has $c > 0$ for all j_+ 's.

4. Comparison with Marshall theorems

For the antiferromagnetic Heisenberg ($J > 0, K = 0$) model on a bipartite lattice, the Marshall theorems hold. The basis employed in these theorems is defined by, for the A sublattice,

$$\begin{aligned} |j_+ \rangle_M &= |j_+ \rangle \\ |j_0 \rangle_M &= |j_0 \rangle \\ |j_- \rangle_M &= |j_- \rangle \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

and for the B sublattice,

$$\begin{aligned} |j_+ \rangle_M &= |j_+ \rangle \\ |j_0 \rangle_M &= |j_0 \rangle \\ |j_- \rangle_M &= |j_- \rangle \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

For our more general Hamiltonian (3), the matrix elements of H_{L+1} in this basis is given also by (9) for the $|j_+ \rangle, |j_0 \rangle, |j_- \rangle$ subspace while that for $|j_+ 0 \rangle$ and

$j_+ > 0$ (or $j_- > 0$ and $j_0 > 0$) becomes

$$(1) \quad \begin{matrix} & K & J \\ & & ! \\ J & K & \end{matrix} \quad (13)$$

Thus, for $J > 0, K < 0$ ($J - K > 0$ trivially) all "hopping" elements are negative. Thus the Marshall theorems can be generalized to the region $\frac{1}{2} < \frac{J}{K} < 0$ with the results (arguing as in [6] or as in Sec 2; note that in this region, for a system with two spins, one has $E_0 < E_1 < E_2$)

(aM) The ground state of (3) has $S_{\text{tot}} = 0$ and is unique (if $\epsilon \neq 1$)

(bM) The spin excitation with lowest energy has $S_{\text{tot}} = 1$.

(dM) Any state with $S_{\text{tot}} = 2$ has energy higher than the state mentioned in (bM) if $\epsilon > 0$.

For $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$, some hopping matrix elements vanish ($J = 0$). There are in general degeneracies for the minimum energy states for a given M . This fact can also be seen from the work of Parkinson [2].

5. Concluding remarks

We have proven some exact properties of lowest energy states for Hamiltonian of the type (3) with $K < J < 0$. We demonstrated that, for a large class of lattices (not necessarily bipartite) with even number of sites, the ground state belongs to total spin $S_{\text{tot}} = 0$, whereas the state of minimum excited energy but with finite S_{tot} belongs to $S_{\text{tot}} = 2$. The results derived here are relevant to spin-1 Bosons trapped in an optical lattice in the regime of one particle per site for suitable interaction between the Bosons.

References

- [1] For review , see, e.g., A eck I.1989 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 1 3047
- [2] Parkinson J.B.1988 J. Phys. C 21 3793
- [3] Fath G .and Solyom J.1993 Phys. Rev. B 47 872
- [4] Fath G .and Solyom J.1995 Phys. Rev. B 51 3620
- [5] Y ip S.K .2003 unpublished
- [6] Auerbach A .1994 Interacting Electrons and Quantum Magnetism (Springer-Verlag) Chapter 5
- [7] Ho T.L. and Y ip S.K ., Phy. Rev. Lett. 84, 4031 (2000)
- [8] Greiner M .et al2002 Nature (London) 411 918




Figure 1. (a) Schematic representation of the spin 1 chain with Hamiltonian (3). Thick (dotted) lines represent stronger (weaker) bonds, with strengths proportional to $1 + (1 - \alpha)$. (b) Schematic representation of a hexagonal spin 1 lattice.