A tom ic Bose-Ferm im ixtures in an optical lattice

M. Lewenstein¹, L. Santos¹, M. A. Baranov^{1,2}, and H. Fehrm ann¹
(1) Institut fur Theoretische Physik, Universitat Hannover, D-30167 Hannover, Germany and (2) RRC, Kurchatov Institute, Kurchatov square 1, 123182 Moscow, Russia

A mixture of ultracold bosons and ferm ions placed in an optical lattice constitutes a novel kind of quantum gas, and leads to phenomena, which so far have been discussed neither in atomic physics, nor in condensed matter physics. We discuss the phase diagram at low temperatures, and in the limit of strong atom-atom interactions, and predict the existence of quantum phases that involve pairing of fermions with one or more bosons, or, respectively, bosonic holes. The resulting composite fermions may form, depending on the system parameters, a normal Fermilliquid, a density wave, a super uid liquid, or an insulator with fermionic domains. We discuss the feasibility for observing such phases in current experiments.

Since the rst observation of Bose-Einstein condensation (BEC) in atom ic vapors [1], atom ic physics has been constantly approaching research topics traditionally associated with condensed matterphysics, such as the analysis of super uidity in BEC 2], or the on-going intensive search for the Bardeen-Cooper-Schrie er (BCS) superuid transition in ultracold atom ic Ferm igases [3, 4]. Recently, striking experim ental developm ents have driven a rapidly-growing interest on strongly correlated systems in atom ic physics. In this sense, the control of the interatom ic interactions via Feshbach resonances [5] has becom e particularly interesting, opening the way towards strongly-interacting gases [6]. Additionally, strong correlations are predicted to play a dominant role in lowdim ensional systems, such as 1D Bose gases (Tonks-Girardeau regime) [7], 1D Fermisystems (Luttinger liquid) [8], or rapidly-rotating 2D gases, where the physics resembles that of fractional quantum Halle ect [9].

The recent observation of the super uid (SF) to M ott Insulator (M I) transition in ultracold atoms in optical lattices [10], predicted in Ref. [11], constitutes up to now the most spectacular example of phenomena related to strongly-correlated atomic gases. In this experiment (performed with ⁸⁷Rb atoms), by changing the laser intensity and/or detuning, one can control the tunneling to neighboring sites as well as the strength of the on-site repulsive interactions, and therefore one is able to switch between the SF phase (dominated by the tunneling) and the M I phase with a xed number of atoms per site [10].

The possibility of sym pathetic cooling of ferm ions with bosons has lead to several recent experim ents on trapped ultracold Bose-Ferm imixtures [4]. So far, temperatures T 0.05 T_F have been obtained, where T_F is the Ferm i temperature at which the Fermi gas starts to exhibit quantum degeneracy (typically of the order of 10 K). A lithough the main goal of these experiments is to achieve the BCS transition in atom ic Fermi gases, several groups recently show a growing interest towards the physics of ultracold Bose-Fermi mixtures them selves, including the analysis of the ground-state properties, stability, excitations, and the elective Fermi Fermi interaction mediated by the bosons [12]. Additionally, new experimental developments of the ground-state properties are considered by the bosons [12].

opm ents have attracted the attention towards the behavior of these mixtures in 1D geom etries [13], and optical lattices [14, 15].

In this letter, we investigate a Bose-Ferm i lattice gas, i.e. a m ixture of ultracold bosonic and ferm ionic atom s in an optical lattice. This system is somewhat similar to the Bose lattice gas of Ref. [10], yet much more complex and with a richer behavior at low tem peratures. We discuss the lim it of strong atom -atom interactions (strong coupling regime) at low temperatures. Our main prediction concerns the existence of novel quantum phases that involve pairing of ferm ions with one or more bosons, or, respectively, bosonic holes, depending on the sign of the interaction between ferm ions and bosons [16]. The resulting composite ferm ions may form a normal Ferm i liquid, a density wave, a super uid, or an insulator with ferm ionic domains, depending on the parameters characterizing the system. At the end of this paper, we discuss the experim ental feasibility of the predicted phases.

The lattice potential is practically the same for both species in a ⁷Li-⁶Lim ixture, and accidentally very sim ilar for the 87Rb-40K case (for detunings corresponding to the wavelength 1064 nm of a Nd: Yaq laser [17]). Due to the periodicity of this potential, energy bands are form ed. If the temperature is low enough and/or the lattice wells are su ciently deep, the atoms occupy only the lowest band. O focurse, for ferm ions this is only possible if their number is smaller than the number of lattice sites (11ing factor F 1). To describe the system under these conditions, we choose a particularly suitable set of single particle states in the lowest band, the so-called W annier states, which are localized at each lattice site. The system is then described by the tight-binding Bose-Ferm i-Hubbard (BFH) model (for a derivation from a microscopic model, see Ref. [14]), which is a generalization of the ferm ionic Hubbard model, extensively studied in condensed matter theory (c.f. [18]):

$$H_{BFH} = \begin{array}{c} X \\ (J_{B} b_{i}^{y} b_{j} + J_{F} f_{i}^{y} f_{j} + h_{x};) \\ + X \\ \frac{1}{2} V n_{i} (n_{i} 1) n_{i} + U n_{i} m_{i}; (1) \end{array}$$

where b_i^y , b_j , f_i^y , f_j are the bosonic and ferm ionic creation-annihilation operators, respectively, $n_i = b_i^y b_i$, $m_i = f_i^y f_i$, and is the bosonic chem ical potential. The ferm ionic chem ical potential is absent in H $_{\rm BFH}$, since the ferm ion number is xed. The BFH model describes: i) nearest neighbor boson (ferm ion) hopping, with an associated negative energy, $I_{\rm B}$ ($I_{\rm F}$); in the following we assume $I_{\rm F} = I_{\rm B} = I_{\rm F}$, while the more general case of dierent tunneling rates will be analyzed elsewhere; ii) on-site repulsive boson-boson interactions with an associated energy V; iii) on-site boson-ferm ion interactions with an associated energy U, which is positive (negative) for repulsive (attractive) interactions.

In this letter we are interested in the strong-coupling U; V, which can be easily reached experim entally by increasing the lattice intensity. We rst analyze the case of vanishing hopping (J = 0). For the sim plest case of U = 0, at zero tem perature, the ferm ions can occupy any available m any-body state, since the energy of the system does not depend on their con guration. Bosons on the contrary are necessarily in the M I state with exactly n = [~] + 1 bosons per site, where [~] denotes the integer part of $\sim = -V$. For sm all $\psi \neq 0$, the system is only perturbatively a ected. However, if U > 0 (n 1)V, the ferm ions push is su ciently large, U > the bosons out of the sites that they occupy. Hence, localized composite ferm ions are formed, consisting of one ferm ion and the corresponding number of missing bosons (bosonic holes). Sim ilarly, if U < nV, the ferm ions will attract bosons to their sites, and again localized composite ferm ions are formed, but now consisting of one ferm ion and the corresponding number of bosons.

Fig. 1(a) shows the phase diagram of the system in plane, where $= U=V \cdot Q$ uite generally, for []+s> >[]+s 1, we obtain that sholes (or, for s < 0, s bosons) from with a single ferm ion a com posite ferm ion, annihilated by $f_i = (n s) \models n! (p_i^y)^s f_i$ $(\overline{n \vdash (n \quad s)!(p)} \quad sf_i)$. Since the maximal number of holes is limited by m, s must not be greater than m; it can, on the other hand, attain arbitrary negative integer values, i.e., we may have ferm ion composites of one ferm ion and many bosons in the case of very strong attractive interactions, < 0, and j j 1. In Fig. 1(a) the di erent regions in the phase diagram are denoted with Roman numbers I, II, III, IV etc, which denote the number of particles that form the corresponding composite ferm ion. Additionally, a bar over a Rom an number indicates composite ferm ions formed by one bare ferm ion

and bosonic holes, rather than bosons.

Although our composite ferm ions neither move, nor interact with each other (J=0), the phase diagram is quite complex. As a result, switching on a small, but nite hopping, leads to an amazingly rich physics. The latter can be investigated on the basis of an elective theory for composite ferm ions, which can be derived using degenerate perturbation theory (to second order in J) along the lines of the derivation of the told J model (see e.g. Ref. [18]). Remarkably, the resulting elective model is universal for all the distinct regions in the phase diagram in Fig. 1(a), and the corresponding Hamiltonian

$$H_{eff} = J_{eff} \begin{pmatrix} X & X \\ (f_{i}^{y}f_{j} + h_{x}c) + K_{eff} & m_{i}m_{j} \\ hiji \end{pmatrix} (2)$$

is determ ined by two e ective parameters describing: i) nearest neighbor hopping of composite ferm ions with the corresponding negative energy $J_{\rm eff}$; ii) nearest neighbor composite ferm ion-ferm ion interactions with the associated energy K $_{\rm eff}$, which may be repulsive (>0) or attractive (<0). In Eq. (2) we employ the number operator $m_i = f_i^y f_i$. This elective model is equivalent to that of spinless interacting ferm ions (c.f. [19, 20]), and, despite its simplicity, has a rich phase diagram. The coelient K $_{\rm eff}$ has the universal form

$$K_{eff} = 2\frac{J^{2}}{V} \frac{n(n+1)}{1+s} + \frac{(n+1)(n+1)}{1+s} + \frac{1}{s} n(n+1)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{s} n(n+1) (n+1) (n+1) (n+1); (3)$$

whereas the dependence of $J_{\rm eff}$ on J, V, and U, has different forms in di erent regions of Fig. 1 (a). E.g. for $0<\sim<1$, $J_{\rm eff}=J$ (in I), $2J^2=V$ (in II), $4J^2=j$ /y (in II), etc. The physics of the elective model is determined by the ratio =K $_{\rm eff}=2J_{\rm eff}$, and by the sign of K $_{\rm eff}$. In Fig. 1 (a) the subindex A (R) denotes attractive (repulsive) interactions.

The problem of nding the ground state of the BFH m odel is then reduced to the analysis of the ground state of the spinless Ferm im odel (2). In the case of a repulsive e ective interaction, $K_{eff} > 0$, and lling fraction close to zero, F 1, or one, 1 $_{\rm F}$ 1, the ground state of $H_{\rm eff}$ corresponds to a Ferm iliquid (a m etal), and is well described in the Bloch representation. In the considered cases, the relevant m om enta are small compared to the inverse lattice constant (the size of the Brillouin zone). One can thus take the continuous lim it, in which the rst term in Heff corresponds to a quadratic dispersion with a positive (negative) e ective m ass for particles (holes), while the second term describes p-wave interactions. The lattice is irrelevant in this lim it, and the system is equivalent to a Fermigas of spinless fermions (for F 1). Remarkably, this gas is weakly inholes (for 1 F teracting for every value of K $_{\rm eff}$, even when K $_{\rm eff}$! 1 .

The latter case corresponds to the exclusion of the sites that surround an occupied site from the space available for other ferm ions. As a result, the scattering length remains nite, being of the order of the lattice spacing. Therefore, 1 $_{\rm F}$ ($_{\rm F}$) acts as the gas parameter for the gas of holes (particles). This picture can be rigorously justi ed using renormalization group approach [20].

The weakly-interacting picture becomes inadequate nearhalf-lling, F! 1=2, and for large, where the effects of the interactions between ferm ions become important, and one expects the appearance of localized phases. A physical insight on the properties of this regim e can be obtained by using Gutzwiller ansatz (GA) [21], in which the ground state is a product of on-site states with 0 $_{
m i}^{\circ}$ (cos $_{
m i}$ =2jl $_{
m i}$ + sin $_{
m i}$ =2e $^{
m i}$) $_{
m i}$), and or 1 composites, which is in fact well-suited for describing the states with reduced m obility and, therefore, with small correlations between di erent sites. Such an approach allows to determ ine the boundaries of various quantum phases relatively well in 3D, 2D, and even 1D, but does not provide the correct description of correlations and excitations; these failures becom e particularly im portant in 1D, where, strictly speaking, the GA approach is inappropriate. For $K_{eff} > 0$ the GA approach maps H_{eff} onto the classical antiferrom agnetic spin model with spins of length 1, $S_i = (\sin_i \cos_i \sin_i \sin_i \sin_i \cos_i)$ [18]. The corresponding ground state is a spin-op (canted) antiferrom agnet [18, 19] with a constant density, provided $crit = (1 + m_z^2) = (1$ m_z^2), where the "m agnetization per spin" is $m_z = 2_F$ 1. W hen > the GA ground state of the classical spin model exhibits modulations of m z with a periodicity of two lattice constants. We expect that the employed GA formalism predicts the phase boundary $_{crit}$ accurately for $_{F}$ close to 1=2. Com ing back to the composite ferm ion picture, crit is a Ferm i liquid, while for > crit it is a density wave. For the special case of half $\lim_{x \to 0} f(x) = 1 = 2$, the ground state is the so-called checkerboard state, with every second site occupied by one composite ferm ion. One should stress that the GA value of crit is incorrect for lling factors F close to 0 or 1. In particular, the GA approach predicts that crit tends gradually to in nity and the density wave phase gradually shrinks as $_{\rm F}$! 0 or 1, i.e. 1 m_z^2 ! 0. As discussed above, an analysis beyond the GA approach shows the disappearance of the density wave phase already for a nite non-zero value of 1

The situation is dierent when the elective interaction is attractive, K $_{\rm eff}$ < 0, which in the spin description corresponds to ferrom agnetic spin couplings. In the GA approach the ground state for 0 > $\,$ 1 is ferrom agnetic and hom ogeneous. In this description, xing the ferm ion number means xing the z component of the magnetization M $_{\rm Z}$ = N (2 $_{\rm F}$ $\,$ 1). When j j 1, and $_{\rm F}$ is close to zero (one), i.e. low (high) lattice lling, a very good approach to the ground state




Figure 1: (a) Phase space as a function of $\,$ and $\,$ = U=V . See text for details on the notation; (b) Full phase diagram for the region 0 < $\,$ < 1, for $\,_{\rm f}$ = 0.4 and J=V = 0.02. D ifferent phases are present, including ferm ionic dom ains (FD), super uid (SF), Ferm i liquid (FL) and density-wave phase (DW).

is given by a BCS ansatz [22], in which the composite ferm ions (holes) of opposite m om entum build p-w ave Cooper pairs, \bar{x} (v_k)00 i_k ; \bar{x} + u_k]1;1 i_k ; \bar{x}), where v_k and u, are the coe cients of the Bogoliubov transform ation. The ground state becomes more complex for arbitrary $_{\rm F}$, and for approaching 1 from above. The system becomes strongly correlated, and the composite ferm ions in the SF phase may build not only pairs, but also triples, quadruples etc. The situation becomes simpler when 1. In the spin picture the spins form < then ferrom agnetic dom ains with spins ordered along the z-axis. In the ferm ionic language this corresponds to the form ation of dom ains of composite ferm ions ("dom ain" insulator). This mean-eld result is in fact exact.

Fig. 1 (b) shows the cases I, II and \overline{II} for 0 < with the predicted quantum phases. The observation of the predicted phases, constitutes a challenging, but defin itely accessible, goal for experiments. Systems of different dim ensionalities are now adays achievable by controlling the potential strength in di erent directions [23]. The conditions for the exclusive occupancy of the low-V; U, are ful lled for su ciently est band, and for J strong lattice potentials, as those typically employed in current experim ents [10] (10-20 recoil energies). Additionally, our T = 0 analysis is valid for T much lower than the smallest energy scale in our problem, namely the tunneling rate. This regime is de nitely accessible for su ciently large interactions. In typical experiments, the presence of an inhom ogeneous trapping potential leads to the appearance of regions of dierent phases [11,24], and it is crucial for the observation of M I phases [10]. The

inhom ogeneity controls thus the bosonic chem ical potential, which can also be tailored by changing the number of bosons in the lattice, regulating the strength of the lattice potential, and/or modifying the interatom ic interactions by means of Feshbach resonances [5]. We would like also to note that for J V, phases I, II and II are easier to study, since the ferm ions, or composite ferm ions, attain e ective hopping energies that are not too small, and can compete with the e ective interactions K eff. The predicted phases can be detected by using two already widely employed techniques. First, the removal of the con ning potentials, and the subsequent presence or absence of interferences in the time of ight image, would distinguish between phase-coherent and incoherent phases. Second, by ram ping-up abruptly the lattice potential, it is possible to freeze the spatial density correlations, which could be later on probed by means of Bragg scattering. The latter should allow to distinguish between hom ogeneous and modulated phases. An independent Bragg analysis for ferm ions and bosons should reveal the form ation of composite ferm ions.

In this Letter we have shown that the phase diagram for Bose-Ferm i lattice gases in the strong coupling lim it is enorm ously rich, and contains several novel types of quantum phases involving composite ferm ions, which for attractive (repulsive) Bose-Ferm i interactions are formed by a ferm ion and one or several bosons (bosonic holes). The predicted ground-state solutions include delocalized phases (metallic, super uid), and localized ones (density wave and domain insulator). The remarkable development of the experimental techniques for cold atomic gases allows not only for the observability of the predicted phases, but also for an unprecedented degree of control not available in other condensed matter systems.

In the 1D case, due to the leading role of uctuations, mean-eld theories become inaccurate. In 1D we can, however, use the W igner-Jordan transform ation to convert the elective model into the quantum spin 1=2 chain, the so-called X X Z model [19], with a xed magnetization m $_{\rm Z}=_{\rm F}$ 1=2, whose ground state is known exactly from Bethe Ansatz [25, 26]. The coel cient characterizing the spin coupling on the x y plane will then be $\rm J_{eff}=2$, whereas that in the z direction will be K $_{\rm eff}=4$.

Finally, we would like to stress that interesting physics is also expected when J is comparable to U and V. For nite J the phase diagram s should be extended to three-dimensions by adding the J=V axis, and will develop a lobe structure in the J=V plane, similar to that occurring in M I phases in the Bose-Hubbard model [19]. This analysis, as well as the studies of the excitations in this system, will be the subject of a separate paper.

We thank B.Damski, F.E ler, H.J.Everts and J. Zakrzewski for fruitfuldiscussions. We acknowledge support from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft SFB 407 and SPP1116, the RTN Cold Quantum Gases, IST Program EQUIP, ESF PESC BEC 2000+, Russian Founda-

tion for Basic Research, and the Alexander von $\operatorname{\mathsf{H}}\operatorname{\mathsf{um}}-\operatorname{\mathsf{boldt}}\operatorname{\mathsf{Foundation}}$.

- [1] M. H. Anderson et al., Science 269, 198 (1995); K. B.Davis et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 3969 (1995).
- [2] C. Ram an et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 2502 (1999); O. M. Morago et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 2056 (2000); M. R. Matthews et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 2498 (1999); K. W. Madison, F. Chevy, W. Wohlleben, and J. Dalibard, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 806 (2000); F. S. Cataliotti et al., Science 293, 843 (2001).
- [3] B. deM arco and D.S. Jin, Science 285, 1703 (1999); K. M. O'Hara et al., Science 298, 2179 (2002); C.A. Regal and D.S. Jin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 230404 (2003)
- [4] A. G. Truscott et al., Science 291, 2570 (2001); F. Schreck et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 080403 (2001); Z. Hadzibabic et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 160401 (2002); G. Modugno et al., Science 297, 2240 (2002); Z. Hadzibabic et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 160401 (2003).
- [5] S. Inouye et al., Nature (London) 392, 151 (1998); S.L. Comish et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 1795 (2000).
- [6] E.A.Donley et al., Nature 417, 529 (2002).
- [7] M .G irardeau, J.M ath. Phys. 1, 516 (1960).
- [8] A. Recati, P.O. Fedichev, W. Zwerger, and P. Zoller, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 020401 (2003).
- [9] N.K.W ilkin and J.M.F.Gunn, Phys.Rev.Lett.84, 6 (2000); B.Paredes, P.Fedichev, J.I.Cirac, and P.Zoller, Phys.Rev.Lett.87, 010402 (2001).
- [10] M . G reiner et al., N ature 415, 39 (2002).
- [11] D. Jaksch et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 3108 (1998).
- [12] M. J. Bijlsma, B. A. Heringa and H. T. C. Stoof, Phys. Rev. A 61, 053601 (2000); P. Capuzzi and E. S. Hemandez, Phys. Rev. A 64, 043607 (2001); A. A. Bus, S. A. Gardiner, F. Illum inati, and M. W. ilkens, Phys. Rev. A 65, 053607 (2002); L. V. iverit and S. G. iorgini, Phys. Rev. A 66, 063604 (2002); H. Pu, W. Zhang, M. W. ilkens, and P. M. eystre, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 070408 (2002); X. J. Liu, and H. Hu, Phys. Rev. A 67, 023613 (2003).
- [13] K.K.Das, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 170403 (2003); M.A. Cazalilla and A.F. Ho, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 150403 (2003).
- [14] A. Albus, F. Illum inati and J. Eisert, PhysRev. A 68 023606 (2003).
- [15] H.P.Buchler and G.B latter, Phys.Rev.Lett.91, 130404 (2003).
- [16] The form ation of composite ferm ions in gaseous Ferm i-Bose mixtures was considered recently for the case of attractive boson-ferm ion interaction by M. Yu. Kagan, D. V. E frem ov, and A. V. Klaptsov, cond-mat/0209481.
- [17] R.Grim m, M.W eidem uller and Y.B.Ovchinnikov, Adv. At. Mol. Opt. Phys., bf 42, 95 (2000).
- [18] A. Auerbach, Interacting Electrons and Quantum magnetism, (Springer, New York, 1994).
- [19] S. Sachdev, Quantum Phase Transitions, (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999).
- [20] R. Shankar, Rev. Mod. Phys, 66, 129 (1994).
- [21] W .K rauth, M .Ca arel, and J.P.Bouchard, Phys.Rev. B 45, 3137 (1992); K .Sheshadri et al., Europhys.Lett. 22, 257 (1993).
- [22] See e.g.P.G.de Gennes, Superconductivity in metals and

- alloys, W .A.Benjam in (1966).
- [23] W . Hansel et al., Nature 413, 498 (2001); F. Schreck et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 080403 (2001); A. Gorlitz, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 130402 (2001); M. Greiner et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 160405 (2001); S. Burger et al., Europhys. Lett. 57, 1 (2002).
- [24] G .G .B atrouniet al, Phys.Rev.Lett.89, 117203 (2002).
- [25] H.Bethe, Z.Phys. 71, 205 (1931).
- [26] J.D. Johnson, S.K rinsky, and B.M.M $\circ\!\!$ Ooy, Phys.Rev. A 8,2526 (1973).