# M icroscopic W ave Functions of Spin Singlet and N em atic M ott States of Spin-O ne Bosons in H igh D im ensional B ipartite Lattices

Michiel Snoek and Fei Zhou

ITP, Utrecht University, M innaert building, Leuvenlaan 4, 3584 CE Utrecht, The Netherlands

(D ated: January 7, 2022)

We present microscopic wave functions of spin singlet M ott insulating states and nematic M ott insulating states. We also investigate quantum phase transitions between the spin singlet M ott phase and the nematic M ott phase in both large-N limit and small-N limit (N being the number of particles per site) in high dimensional bipartite lattices. In the mean eld approximation employed in this article we not that phase transitions are generally weakly rst order.

PACS numbers: 03.75 M n, 75.10 Jm , 75.45.+ j, 05.30 Jp

I. IN TRODUCTION

The recent observation of correlated states of bosonic atom s in optical lattices has generated much interest[1, 2]. A sknown for a while, when bosons in lattices interact with each other repulsively, they can be localized and form a M ott insulating state instead of a condensate [3, 4]. This phenom enon has been observed in the optical lattice experiment. By varying laser intensities of optical lattices, G reiner et al. have successfully investigated M ott states of spinless bosons by probing spin polarized cold atom s in optical lattices with a large potential depth [1, 2].

W e are interested in spin correlated M ott insulating states of spin-one bosons, especially spin-one bosons with antiferrom agnetic interactions. Som e aspects of spin correlated M ott insulating states were investigated recently. For an even number of particles per site, both spin singlet M ott insulators and nem atic M ott insulators were found in certain parameter regimes, while for high dim ensional lattices with an odd number of particles per site only nem atic insulating states were proposed [5]. In one-dimensional lattices, it was demonstrated that for an odd number of particles per site, M ott states should be dimerized valence-bond-crystals, which support interesting fractionalized quasi-excitations[6]. E ects of spin correlations on M ott insulator-super uid transitions have been studied and rem ain to be fully understood [7].

In this article, we analyse the m icroscopic structures of spin singlet M ott insulating states (SSM I) and nem atic M ott insulating states (NM I). We study, quantitatively, quantum phase transitions between these two phases in high-dimensional bipartite lattices. In the mean eld approximation, we demonstrate that for an even number of particles per site, the transitions are weakly rst order. The organisation is as follows. In section II, we present the general setting for the study of spin order-disorder quantum phase transitions. In section III, we present mean eld results on the quantum phase transitions in both sm all N and large N limits. In section IV, we discuss issues which are to be understood in the future.

#### II. ALGEBRA AND SETTING

A. The m icroscopic H am iltonian in the dilute lim it

The microscopic lattice Hamiltonian we employ to study spin correlated states of spin-one bosons is:

$$H_{m icroscopic} = \begin{pmatrix} X & & \\ t & ( {}_{k,m}^{y} {}_{l,m} + h.c. ) \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & + & \\ & & \\$$

Here  $\frac{y}{k_{m}}$  is the creation operator of a spin-one particle at site k with spin-index m = 0; 1. hkli indicates that the sum should be taken over nearest neighbours and S ( = x;y;z) are spin-one m atrix operators given as:

$$S^{x} = \frac{1}{p \cdot \frac{1}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1^{A} & S^{y} = \frac{1}{p \cdot \frac{1}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ i & 0 & A & S^{z} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & A \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \end{pmatrix}$$

U (k;l) and U<sup>s</sup>(k;l) are, respectively, spin-independent and spin-dependent interaction parameters between two bosons at site k and l.

In the dilute limit, which is dened as a limit where  $a^3$  1 (a is the scattering length and the average density), atom s scatter in s-wave channels. For two spinone atom s, the scattering takes place in the total spin S = 0;2 channels, with scattering lengths  $a_{0;2}$ . Interactions between atom s can be approximated as spin-dependent contact interactions [8]. In the lattice model introduced here, calculations yield

$$U (k; l) = E_{c kl}$$
 and  $U^{s} (k; l) = E_{s kl}$ : (2)

The parameters  $E_{\rm c}$  and  $E_{\rm s}$  are given by:

$$E_{c} = \frac{4 - 2(2a_{2} + a_{0})}{3M N}e; \quad E_{s} = \frac{4 - 2(a_{2} - a_{0})}{3M N}e; \quad (3)$$

where N is the average number of atom s per site, M is the mass of atom s and e is a constant.

#### B. Algebras

For the study of spin correlated states in lattices, it is rather convenient to introduce the following operators:

$$y_{k,x} = \frac{1}{\frac{p}{2}} (y_{k,1} y_{k,1})$$
 (4a)

$$y_{k,y} = \frac{1}{p-2} \left( y_{k,1} + y_{k,1} \right)$$
(4b)

where k again labels a lattice site. In this representation:

$$\sum_{k,m}^{y} S_{mn k;n} = \hat{S}_{k} \qquad i \qquad \sum_{k,k}^{y} k; \qquad (5)$$

; ; 2 fx;y;zg. The density operator can be expressed in a usualway:

$$\hat{k} \quad k; \quad k; \quad k; \quad (6)$$

Consequently the Hamiltonian is given as:

$$H_{latt} = \begin{array}{c} X \\ t \\ h_{kli} \\ h_{kli} \\ \end{array} (\begin{array}{c} y \\ k \\ k \end{array}) + \begin{array}{c} h_{kl} \\ h_{kli} \\ \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} h_{kl} \\ h_{kli} \\ \end{array} (\begin{array}{c} y \\ k \\ k \\ \end{array}) + \begin{array}{c} X \\ E_{c} \\ k \\ \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} X \\ E_{c} \\ k \\ \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} E_{s} \\ S_{k} \\ k \\ \end{array} (7)$$

where we have introduced the chem ical potential  $; \hat{S}_k^2$ is the total spin operator  $\hat{S}_k$ ;  $\hat{S}_k$ ; .

 $_{\rm k;}$  ( = x;y;z) are bosonic operators obeying the following commutation relations:

$$\begin{bmatrix} k; & j & l \\ k; & j & l \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} y & y & y \\ k; & j & l \\ j & l \end{bmatrix} = 0; \begin{bmatrix} k; & y & y \\ l; & l \end{bmatrix} = kl : (8)$$

Taking into account Eqs. 5,6,8, one can verify the following algebras:

$$[\hat{S}_k; i; j] = kli k;$$
 (9a)

$$[\hat{S}_{k};\hat{S}_{1}] = k_{1}i \qquad \hat{S}_{k} \qquad (9c)$$

$$[{}^{k}_{k}; l; l; ] = kl k;$$
 (9d)

$$\begin{bmatrix} k & j & j \\ k & j & j \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} y & y \\ k & k & j \end{bmatrix}$$
 (9e)

$$[\hat{S}_{1}; \hat{f}_{1}] = 0$$
 (9f)

O fparticular interest is the singlet creation operator

$$\frac{1}{p-6} \begin{array}{c} y & y \\ k; & k; \end{array} = \frac{1}{p-6} \left( \begin{array}{c} y & y \\ k;0 & k;0 \end{array} - 2 \begin{array}{c} y & y \\ k;1 & k; \end{array} \right) :$$
(10)

We nd the following properties for this operator:

$$[\hat{S}_{k}; \frac{y}{l}, \frac{y}{l}] = [\hat{S}_{k}; l, l] = 0;$$
 (11a)

$$[k; k; j] = 2_{kl} k; ; (11b)$$

$$[k; k; j] = kl(4^k + 6):$$
 (11c)

#### C. The on-site dynam ics

The total spin operator can be expressed as:

$$\hat{S}_{k}^{2} = \hat{k}(\hat{k} + 1) \qquad \begin{array}{c} y & y \\ k; & k; \\ k; & k; \\ \end{array} ; \quad (12)$$

So, eigenstates of the total spin operator have to be eigenstates of the "singlet counting operator"  $\begin{array}{ccc} y & y \\ k; & k; & k; \end{array}$ ,  $k; k; \cdot$ 

Dening the state  $n_{k,0}$  such that:

$$\sum_{k=k}^{n} n = n \sum_{k=0}^{n}; \quad y = y \\ k; \quad k; \quad k; \quad k; \quad k; \quad n = 0;$$
 (13)

we nd that wave functions of these eigenstates are:

$${}^{n}_{k,m} = C \left( {}^{y}_{k;} {}^{y}_{k;} {}^{m}_{k;0} {}^{n-2m}_{k;0} \right)$$
 (14)

where C is a norm alization constant. From Eq. (11c) it follows that:

$$y y k; k; k; k; k; k; k; m = (4m (n m) + 2m) k; m (15)$$

Using that  $_{k}^{n} _{km} = n _{km}^{n}$  we derive:

$$\hat{S}_{k}^{2} {}_{k,m}^{n} = (n \ 2m)(n \ 2m + 1)_{k,m}^{n}$$
: (16)

So  $S_k = n$  2m. Now if n is even,  $S_k$  is also even and when n is odd,  $S_k$  is odd too. For an even num – ber of particles per site N the excitations labelled by  $S_k = 0;2;4;:::;N$  are present, whereas for an odd num – ber of particles per site  $S_k = 1;3;5;:::;N$  are allowed. This re ects the basic property of the m any body wave function of spin-one bosons, which has to be symmetric under the interchange of two particles.

Solutions for spin correlated condensates with nite numbers of particles were previously obtained [9]; in the therm odynamical limit, these states evolve into polar condensates [8, 10, 11]. Also there, two-body scatterings were shown to lead to either "antiferrom agnetic" or "ferrom agnetic" spin correlations in condensates. Spin correlated condensates have been investigated in experim ents [12, 13].

#### ${\tt D}$ . The e ective H am iltonian for M ott states

In the limit when t  $E_c$ , atom s are localized and only virtual exchange processes are allowed. An elective H am iltonian in this limit can be derived in a second order perturbative calculation of the H am iltonian in Eq. 7:

Here  $J_{ex} = \frac{t^2}{2E_c}$ . In deriving Eq. 17, we have taken into account that  $E_s = E_c$ .

To facilitate discussions, we introduce the follow ing operator:

$$\hat{Q}_{k;} = {\begin{array}{c} y \\ k; \end{array}} {\begin{array}{c} k \\ k; \end{array}} {\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ k; \end{array}} {\begin{array}{c} y \\ k; \end{array}} {\begin{array}{c} k \\ k; \end{array}} {\begin{array}{c} (18) \end{array}$$

whose expectation value

$$Q' = \frac{h\hat{Q} \quad i}{h\hat{Q} \quad i_{ref}}$$
(19)

is the nem atic order parameter. The reference state  $ref = \frac{(n_p)^N}{N!}$  jyaci is a maximally ordered state. Choosing n = e<sub>z</sub>, we obtain:

$$h_{2}^{\wedge} \quad i_{ref} = N \stackrel{0}{\stackrel{1}{_{3}}} \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 1 \\ 0 \quad \frac{1}{_{3}} \quad 0 \quad A : \\ 0 \quad 0 \quad \frac{2}{_{3}} \quad (20)$$

Q varies in a range of  $\left[\frac{1}{2};1\right]$ .

In term softhe operator  $\hat{Q}$ , the elective M ott H am iltonian can be rewritten as (up to an energy shift):

$$H_{e} = E_{s} \begin{bmatrix} X \\ Tr\hat{\mathcal{D}}_{k}\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_{k} & \hat{\mathcal{Q}}_{k}\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_{k}^{y} \end{bmatrix} \quad J_{ex} \begin{bmatrix} X \\ Tr\hat{\mathcal{D}}_{k}\hat{\mathcal{Q}}_{l} \end{bmatrix}$$
(21)

Finally we de ne

$$=\frac{zJ_{ex}}{E_s}$$
 (22)

as a dimensionless parameter, which can be varied continuously; z is the coordination number of the lattice.

### E. The range of the physical param eters

From Eq. 3 it is clear that  $E_s$  and  $E_c$  depend on the density, number of atoms, the mass of atoms and scattering lengths. However, their ratio depends only on the scattering lengths. According to current estimates [14, 15], for sodium atoms this ratio is given as  $\frac{E_s}{E_c}$  9 1 $\hat{\mathcal{G}}$ . In this paper, we are interested in the limit  $E_s$   $E_c$ .

The parameter t can be varied independently by changing the depth of the optical lattice. A wide range is experimentally accessible; one can vary from the regime where t  $E_c$  to a regime where t  $E_s$ . We limit ourselves to M ott states (t  $E_c$ ), where all bosons are localized, but the ratio can have arbitrary values.

# III. PHASE TRANSITIONS BETWEEN SSMI'S AND NMI'S

#### A. Two particles per site

In the case of two particles per site , the on-site H ilbert space is six-dimensional, including a spin singlet state

$$\beta = 0; S_z = 0i = \frac{y - y}{p - 6} \beta i;$$
 (23)

and ve spin S = 2-states

$$p_{ij} = \frac{p_{ij}}{2} Q_{ij} y_{jj} p_{ij}$$
 (24)

where Q is a symmetric and traceless tensor with ve independent elements. All states in the H ilbert space are symmetric under the interchange of bosons; as expected, the states D is are orthogonal to  $\beta = 0$ ;  $S_z = 0$ i. It is convenient to choose the following representation of Q :

Q (n) = n n 
$$\frac{1}{3}$$
; (25)

with the director n as a unit vector living on  $S^2$ . States de ned by the director n form an over-complete set in the subspace spanned by ve S = 2 states.

W hen the hopping is zero, one notices that the H am iltonian in Eq.17 commutes with  $\hat{S}_k^2$ ; the ground state wave function is

$$ji = {}^{Y}_{k} j = 0; S_{z} = 0i_{k}:$$
 (26)

On the other hand, when E<sub>s</sub> goes to zero, the Ham iltonian commutes with  $\text{Tr}\hat{Q}_k$ ;  $\hat{Q}_1$ ; ] and the ground state wave function can be con rm ed as:

$$j = \sum_{k}^{Y} \frac{1}{3} \frac{2}{3} j (n) j_{k} + \frac{1}{p} \frac{3}{3} j = 0; S_{z} = 0 j_{k}:$$
 (27)

for any choice of the director n.

To study spin nem atic or spin singlet M ott states at an arbitrary , we introduce a trial wave function which is a linear superposition of singlet states and sym m etry breaking states:

$$ji = \cos jS = 0; S_z = 0i_k + \sin jQ$$
 (n) $i_k$ : (28)

Here  $\$  is a variable to be determ ined by the variational m ethod.

A straightforw and calculation leads to the following results:

$$E() = h H ji$$
 (29)

$$= 6E_{s} \sin^{2} \qquad z J_{x} \frac{2}{3} \left( \left( 2^{p} \frac{2}{2} \cos s \sin + \sin^{2} \right)^{2} \right)^{2}$$

$$Q' = \left( \frac{p}{2} \cos s \sin + \frac{\sin^{2}}{2} \right) \qquad (30)$$

In term  $s \circ f \mathcal{Q}$ , the energy can be expressed as:

$$E = 6E_{s} \frac{4}{9} + \frac{2}{9}Q' \frac{4}{9} \frac{2Q'^{2} + Q' + 1}{2Q'^{2} + Q' + 1} \frac{8}{3}zJ_{ex}Q'^{2};$$

which for Q 1 can be expanded as:

$$3E_{s} = \frac{8}{3}zJ_{ex} = \mathcal{Q}^{2} = \frac{3}{2}E_{s}\mathcal{Q}^{3} + \frac{39}{16}E_{s}\mathcal{Q}^{4} + \dots$$
 (31)



FIG.1: Energy (measured in units of  $E_s$ ) versus Q for various for N = 2. Curves from top to bottom are for = 0.97, 0.99, 1.0, 1.02.

The cubic term leads to a rst order phase transition in the mean eld approximation, which is similar to the situation in classical nem atic liquid crystals [17].

In FIG.1 the Q-dependence of the energy is plotted for various in the vicinity of a quantum critical point (m ean eld). For < 0.985, the energy has only one minimum at Q = 0 and correspondingly the ground state is a spin singlet M ott state. W hen 0.985 < < 1.0, in addition to the global minimum at Q = 0, there appears a local minimum at Q > 0, which represents a spin nematic m etastable state. W hen > 1.0 the solution with Q > 0 become a global minimum and the solution at Q = 0 is m etastable; consequently the ground state is a nematic M ott state. For >  $\frac{9}{8}$ , the solution at Q = 0 become substable is a nematic Q < 0 which we interpret as a new m etastable state (not shown in FIG.1).

The evolution of ground states as is varied, is sum – marized in FIG.2. As is clearly visible, the phase transition is a weakly rst order one. The jump in Q at the phase-transition ( = 1:0) is equal to  $\frac{1}{2}$ .

It is worth emphasising that a positive Q corresponds to a rod-like nem atic state; for Q = 1 the state is m icroscopically given by:

$$\frac{(n-y)^2}{2}$$
 pi: (32)

A solution with negative Q indicates a disk-like nem atic state; the m icroscopic wave function is

$$\frac{1}{2}$$
 y y  $(n$  y)<sup>2</sup>/2 Di: (33)

at  $Q = \frac{1}{2}$ . For  $n = e_z$  the wave functions are  $\frac{1}{2} \frac{y}{z} \frac{y}{z}$  Di and  $\frac{1}{2} \frac{y}{x} \frac{y}{x} + \frac{y}{y} \frac{y}{y}$  Direspectively.

We have also tried a ve-parameter variational approach, taking into account the fullon-site H ilbert space. In a slightly dierent representation we write the trial



FIG.2: The nem atic order parameter as a function of for N = 2. The phase transition takes place at = 1. Data along the black line represent ground states; the red line is form etastable states. Spheres with double-headed arrows are introduced to represent ordering in director n de ned in Eq. 25 in di erent M ott states. In spin singlet states, the director n is uncorrelated; in rod-like nem atic states, the director n is ordered and in disk-like states, the axis of the easy plane of the director n is ordered.

wave function as:

$$j = \begin{pmatrix} c_{xx} j_{x} x i_{k} + c_{yy} j_{y} y i_{k} + c_{zz} j_{z} z i_{k} \\ k \\ + c_{xy} j_{x} y i_{k} + c_{xz} j_{x} z i_{k} + c_{yz} j_{y} z i_{k} \end{pmatrix}$$
(34)

Here j  $i_k = \frac{1}{2} \frac{y}{k}$ ,  $\frac{y}{k}$ , Di (no sum mation) and j  $i_k = \frac{y}{k}$ ,  $\frac{y}{k}$ , Di. This results in the following expression for the energy:

$$E = E_{s} 4 (c_{xx}^{2} + c_{yy}^{2} + c_{zz}^{2} \quad c_{xx} c_{yy} \quad c_{xx} c_{zz} \quad c_{yy} c_{zz}) + 6 (c_{xy}^{2} + c_{xz}^{2} + c_{yz}^{2}) z J_{x} \quad 6 (c_{xx}^{4} + c_{yy}^{4} + c_{zz}^{4}) + 4 (c_{xy}^{4} + c_{xz}^{4} + c_{yz}^{4}) + 4 (c_{xx}^{2} c_{yy}^{2} + c_{xx}^{2} c_{zz}^{2} + c_{yy}^{2} c_{zz}^{2}) + 12 (c_{xx}^{2} c_{xy}^{2} + c_{xx}^{2} c_{xz}^{2} + c_{yy}^{2} c_{xy}^{2}) + 8 (c_{xy}^{2} c_{xz}^{2} + c_{xy}^{2} c_{yz}^{2} + c_{xz}^{2} c_{yz}^{2}) + 8 (c_{xx}^{2} c_{yz}^{2} + c_{xy}^{2} c_{yz}^{2} + c_{xz}^{2} c_{yz}^{2}) + 8 (c_{xx}^{2} c_{yz}^{2} + c_{yy}^{2} c_{xz}^{2} + c_{zz}^{2} c_{yz}^{2}) + 8 (c_{xx}^{2} c_{yz}^{2} + c_{yy}^{2} c_{xz}^{2} + c_{zz}^{2} c_{xy}^{2}) + 8 (c_{xx}^{2} c_{yz}^{2} + c_{yy}^{2} c_{xz}^{2} + c_{zz}^{2} c_{xy}^{2}) + 8 (c_{xx}^{2} c_{yz}^{2} + c_{yy}^{2} c_{xz}^{2} + c_{zz}^{2} c_{xy}^{2}) + 8 (c_{xx}^{2} c_{yy}^{2} + c_{xx}^{2} c_{xz}^{2} + c_{yy}^{2} c_{xz}^{2} + c_{yy}^{2} c_{xz}^{2} c_{xz}^{2}) ; (35)$$

The conclusions are alm ost the same and sum  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{m}}$  arized below :

i) For < 0.985. the only minimum is at  $c_{xx} = c_{yy} = c_{zz} = \frac{p}{p-2}$ , c = 0 for  $\epsilon$ .

ii) At = 0:985 additional localm inim a appear.

iii) At = 1 a rst order phase transition takes place.

iv) For  $\frac{8}{9}$  > 1, the global minimum is at  $\mathcal{Q}$  > 0, but the  $\mathcal{Q}$  = 0-solution remains to be a local minimum.

v) At  $=\frac{9}{8}$  the solution at  $c_{xx} = c_{yy} = c_{zz} = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{3}}$  becomes unstable.

vi) However, the disk-like  ${\it Q} <$  0-solution appears in this case as a saddle point.

# B. An even num ber of particles per site

For a large num ber of particles per site, it is convenient to introduce the following coherent state representation:

jn; 
$$i = p \frac{1}{2 N} \prod_{m=N}^{NX} \exp(im) \frac{(n)^{m} y}{2(m-1)!}$$
 (36)

where the director n is again a unit vector on  $S^2$  given by ( $\cos \sin ; \sin \sin ; \cos$ ). In this representation

$$^{\wedge} = \frac{i \frac{\theta}{\theta_{k}}}{\theta_{k}} \tag{37}$$

$$\hat{S} = in \quad \frac{\theta}{\theta n} \tag{38}$$

$$\hat{S}^2 = \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{\theta}{\theta} \sin \theta + \frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta^2} \frac{\theta^2}{\theta^2}$$
 (39)

$$\hat{Q} = N n n \frac{1}{3}$$
(40)

The Hamiltonian in Eq. 7 can be mapped to a Constrained Quantum Rotor Model (CQR), describing the dynamics of two unit vectors ( $n;e^i$ ) on a two-sphere and a unit circle:

 $H_{CQR} = \begin{array}{c} X \\ t \\ n_k \\ n_{k \parallel i} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} X \\ n_k \\ k \end{array} \begin{array}{c} X \\ n_k \\ n_{k \parallel i} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} X \\ n_k \\ k \end{array} \begin{array}{c} X \\ n_k \\ n_k \\ k \end{array} \begin{array}{c} X \\ n_k \\ n_k \\ n_k \end{array} \begin{array}{c} X \\ n_k \\ n_k \\ n_k \end{array} \begin{array}{c} X \\ n_k \\ n_k \\ n_k \end{array} \begin{array}{c} X \\ n_k \\ n_k \\ n_k \end{array} \begin{array}{c} X \\ n_k \\ n_k \\ n_k \\ n_k \end{array}$ (41)

t = N t. The CQR-m odel has been introduced to study spin-one bosons in a few previous works and we refer to those papers for detailed discussions [5, 6, 16]. For M ott states the e ective H am iltonian can be found as:

In general, we choose the on-site trial wave function to be:

$$(\mathbf{n}_k) = \mathbf{C} \exp \frac{\mathbf{h}_k}{2} (\mathbf{n}_k \otimes \mathbf{n})^2 :$$
(43)

C is a normalization constant. When ! 0 this yields an isotropic state  $Y_{00}$   $(n_k)$ , which indicates a spin singlet state. When !+1,  $n_k$  is localized on the two-sphere in the vicinity of  $n_0$ , representing a rod-like nem atic state and when ! 1,  $n_k$  lies in a plane perpendicular to  $n_0$ , corresponding to a disk-like spin nem atic state. Moreover this wave function has the following property:

 $(n_k) = (n_k)$  as is required for an even number of particles per site [16].

Choosing  $n_0 = e_z$  this gives:

$$(k; k) = C \exp \frac{2}{2} \cos^2 k$$
 (44)

The expectation value of the H am iltonian in this state is:

$$E = E_{s} \frac{3}{4} \frac{1}{2} + \frac{3e^{p} \frac{j}{j}}{2^{p} \frac{j}{Er^{p} \frac{j}{j}}} z_{Lx} \frac{12e^{2}}{4e^{p} \frac{p}{j} \frac{p}{j}(3+2)Er^{p} \frac{j}{j}j}{8 \frac{2Er^{2^{p}} \frac{j}{j}}{2^{p} \frac{j}{j}}}$$

in which Er [x] is the complex error function de ned by Erf[ix]=i. In a series expansion for 1, the result is:

$$\frac{zJ_{ex}}{3} + \frac{2}{15}E_{s} + \frac{8}{675}zJ_{ex} + \frac{4}{315}E_{s} + \frac{32}{14175}zJ_{ex} + \frac{8}{4725}E_{s} + \frac{32}{165375}zJ_{ex} + o(10)$$
(45)

The energy as a function of at dierent is plotted in FIG. 3, which is qualitatively the same as FIG. 1 for two particles per site. When < 9:96, the energy as a function of has only one (global) m inimum, which corresponds to a spin singlet ground state. When

> 9:96, in addition to the global m inimum, there appears a local m inimum at > 0.At =  $_{c}$  = 10:0965, these two m inim a become degenerate, signifying a phase transition. At >  $_{c}$ , the solution at = 0 becomes a local m inimum indicating a metastable spin singlet state,



FIG.3: Energy (in units of  $E_s$ ) as a function of for various (N = 2k 1). From top to bottom are curves for = 9:9, 9:96, 10, 10:0965, 10:3.

whereas the global m in in um at > 0 corresponds to a nem atic ground state. As further increases, the solution at = 0 becomes unstable and a local m in im um occurs at < 0, while the global m in im um rem ains at > 0. Following discussions on Eqs. 32,33 we interpret the < 0 solution as a metastable disk-like spin nem atics.

For the trial wave function in Eq. 45 the new atic order parameter can be calculated as:

$$Q = \frac{h \hat{Q} ji}{hl \hat{Q} jl i}$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \frac{3}{4} + \frac{3e}{2 j Er jj}$$
(46)

W hen  $\mathcal{Q}$  is small, we obtain an expression of the energy in term s of  $\mathcal{Q}$ :

$$E_{Q} = \frac{zJ_{ex}}{3} + \frac{15}{2}E_{s} - \frac{2}{3}zJ_{ex} - Q^{2} - \frac{75}{14}E_{s}Q^{3} + \frac{1275}{98}E_{s}Q^{2} - \frac{12}{14}E_{s}Q^{3} + \frac{1275}{98}E_{s}Q^{2} - \frac{12}{14}E_{s}Q^{3} + \frac{12}{14}E_{s}Q^{3} + \frac{12}{14}E_{s}Q^{3} + \frac{12}{14}E_{s}Q^{3} - \frac{12}{14}E_{s}Q^{3} + \frac{12}{14}E_{s}Q^{3} - \frac{12}{14}E_{s}Q^{$$

The jump in  $\mathcal{Q}$  at the phase transition is equal to 323377.

The evolution of ground state wave functions and results on quantum phase transitions are summarized in gure 4, where the nem atic order parameter is plotted as a function of .

# C. An odd num ber of particles per site

At last, we also present results for an odd number of atom s per site. The main di erence between this case and the case for an even number of particles per site is that at zero hopping limit in the former case there is always an unpaired atom at each site. Consequently in the mean eld approximation, we only nd nem atic M ott insulating phases. We expect this approximation



FIG.4: Nem atic order parameter as a function of for N = 2k(1). A long the black lines are ground states; along the red lines are metastable states. (See also the caption of FIG. 2.)

to be valid in high dim ensional lattices but to fail in low dim ensions, especially in one-dim ensional lattices where long wave length uctuations are substantial. Here we restrict ourselves to high dim ensional lattices only.

For large N a trial wave function which interpolates between spin singlet states (dim erized) and nem atic states can be introduced as:

$$\operatorname{cdd}(\operatorname{fn}_{k} g) = \overset{Y}{\operatorname{C}}(O; ) (O(n_{k} \quad \mathfrak{g})(n_{1} \quad \mathfrak{g}) + (n_{k} \quad \mathfrak{g}))$$

$$\operatorname{hkli}_{p}$$

$$\exp\left[((n_{k} \quad \mathfrak{g})^{2} + (n_{1} \quad \mathfrak{g})^{2})\right]: (48)$$

hklip denotes that the sum mation should be taken over parallely ordered pairs of nearest neighbours k and l covering the lattice. C (O; ) is a norm alization constant. The solution with O = 0; = 0 corresponds to a dimerized valence bond crystal state; and solutions with  $O \notin 0$ , or  $\notin 0$  represent nem atic states.

It is straightforward, but tedious to compute the energy of these states. M inim izing it for various values of

for d = 3 gives the results shown in FIG.5 and 6. Up to = 1 no phase transitions are found in the mean eld approximation; and ground states break both rotational and translational symmetries [18].

At very small , the on-site Hilbert space is truncated into the one for a spin-one particle[6]. The reduced Ham iltonian in the truncated space is a Bilinear-Biguadratic model for spin-1 lattices

$$H_{bb} = J_{(k,b)}^{X} [\cos S_{k} \quad S_{k} \quad S_{k} \quad S_{k}^{2}]; S_{k}^{2} = 2; (49)$$

in general varies between 3 = 4 and = 2. We therefor expect ground states at small limit should still exhibit nem atic order (i.e.  $0 \neq 0$ ).

It is worth emphasizing that conclusions about small lim it arrived here are only valid in high dimensional



FIG.5: The value of 0 as a function of (N = 2k + 1 = 1).



FIG.6: The value of as a function of (N = 2k + 1 - 1).

bipartite lattices. In low dimensional lattices, states of correlated atom s in this lim it was discussed recently and ground states could be rotationally invariant dimerizedvalence-bond crystals[6].

#### IV. CONCLUSIONS

W e have studied them icroscopic wave functions of spin nem atic and spin singlet M ott states. B oth disk-like and rod-like spin nem atic states were investigated. W e also have analysed quantum phase transitions between the spin singlet M ott insulating state and the nem atic M ott insulating state. W e show that in them ean eld approxim ation, the phase transitions are weakly rst order ones. T hus, we expect that uctuations play a very in portant role in these transitions and the full theory on quantum phase transitions rem ains to be discovered.

On the other hand, we have estimated uctuations in di erent regimes of the parameter space. We found that uctuations are indeed smallaway from the critical point, at either small hopping or large hopping limit for an even number of particles per site. For the small hopping limit, uctuations are proportional to , while for large hopping limit they can be estimated as being proportional to  $\frac{1}{2}$ . For an odd number of particles per site, uctuations are small only at large hopping lim it and are signi cant at small hopping lim it. The later fact in plies a large degeneracy of M ott states at zero hopping lim it which was emphasised in the discussions on low dimensional M ott states. The physics in this lim it remains to be fully understood.

In the context of antiferrom agnets, spin nem atic states have also been proposed [19, 20, 21]. Collective excitations in atom ic nem atic states should be similar to those studied in previous works and we refer to [19, 20, 21] for details.

#### V. ACKNOW LEDGEMENT

This work is supported by the foundation FOM, in the Netherlands under contract OOCCSPP10m 02SIC25 and NW O-MK projectnum te 00PR1929; MS is also partially supported by a grant from U trecht U niversity.

N ote added: At a later stage of this work, we received a copy of the manuscript by A. Im am bekov, M.Lukin and E.Dem ler where sim ilar conclusions have been arrived.

# APPENDIX A:AN ALTERNATIVE DESCRIPTION

A Itematively, one can also carry out the calculations in section III, using the following operator:

De ning the more conventional order param eter [19]

Ô

$$\tilde{Q}_{2} = \frac{h \hat{Q}_{2}; \circ i}{h \hat{Q}_{2}; \circ i_{ref}}; \qquad (A2)$$

we obtain the following results for the trial wavefunction in Eq. 28:

$$\mathfrak{Q}_{2} = \frac{r}{\frac{3}{2}} \sin^{2} \frac{r}{2} \frac{r}{2} \frac{r}{3} \mathfrak{Q}_{2}^{2} = 6E_{s} \frac{2}{3} \mathfrak{Q}_{2}^{2} \frac{r}{2} \frac{r}{2} \frac{r}{3} \mathfrak{Q}_{2}^{2} \frac{r}{2} \frac{r}{3} \mathfrak{Q}_{2}^{2} \mathfrak{Q}_{2}^{2} + r \frac{1}{2} \frac{2}{3} \mathfrak{Q}_{2}^{2} \mathcal{A}^{2} = 2^{p} \overline{6E}_{s} \frac{16}{3} \frac{r}{2} \frac{2}{3} \mathfrak{Z}_{2} \mathfrak{Q}_{2} \frac{r}{3} \frac{r}{3} \mathfrak{Q}_{2}^{2} \mathfrak{Q}_{2}^{2} + \frac{16}{3} \frac{r}{2} \frac{2}{3} \mathfrak{Z}_{2} \mathfrak{Q}_{2}^{2} + \frac{28}{9} \mathfrak{Z}_{2} \mathfrak{Q}_{2}^{2} + \mathfrak{Q}_{2}^{2} \mathfrak{Q}_{2}^{5=2} \right)$$

- [1] M .G reiner et al., Nature 415, 39 (2002).
- [2] M .G reiner et al. Nature 419, 51 (2002).
- [3] M.P.A Fisher, P.B.W eichman, G.Grinstein and D.S. Fisher, Phys. Rev. B. 40, 546 (1989).
- [4] D.Jaksch et al. Phys. Rev. lett. 81, 3108 (1998).
- [5] E. Dem ler and F. Zhou, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 163001-1 (2002)
- [6] F. Zhou, cond-m at/0207041; F. Zhou and M. Snoek, to appear (June, 2003).
- [7] S.T suchiya and S.K uhira, cond-m at/0209676
- [8] T.L.Ho, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 742 (1998).
- [9] C K. Law, H. Pu and N P. Bigelow, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 5257 (1998).
- [10] T. Ohm i and K. Machinda, Journal of the Physical Society of Japan 76, 1822 (1998).
- [11] Y.Castin and C.Herzog, cond-m at/0012040
- [12] D.Stam per-Kum et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 2027 (1998).
- [13] J. Stenger et a., Nature 396, 345 (1998).
- [14] J.P.Burke Jr., C H.G reen and JL.Bohn, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 5257 (1998).
- [15] C.V. Ciobanu, S.K.Y ip and T.L.Ho, Phys. Rev. A. 61, 033607 (2002).

which lead to the same conclusions as in section III. How – ever, in terms of the order parameter de ned in Eq. A2, the rod-like and disk-like structures shown in FIG 2 and FIG 4 are less obvious.

In the case of a large number of particle per site, the order parameter introduced here has the same expectation value as the operator in Eq. 40.

- [16] Fei Zhou, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 080401-1 (2001); cond-m at/0108473, to appear in Int. Jour. of M od. PhysB, (June, 2003).
- [17] P.G. de Gennes, The physics of liquid crystals, Oxford University Press, 1974.
- [18] At the tim e of subm ission, we noticed that nem atic states for one particle per site were also adressed very recently in S K.Y ip, cond-m at/0306018. The 3D results there are consistent with the mean eld results in Section III C. However, most one-dimensional states proposed in that paper break the rotational symmetry and are unlikely to be candidates for true ground states. Som e general discussions on one-dimensional M ott states of spin-one bosons can be found in [6].
- [19] A F.Andreev and IA.Grischuk, Zh.Exp.Teor.Fiz.87, 467 (1984) [Sov.Phys.JETP 60 (2), 267 (1984)].
- [20] A.V. Chubukov, J. Phys. Cond. M at. 2, 1593 (1990); Phys. Rev. B 43, 3337 (1991).
- [21] P.Chandra, P.Colem an and A.I.Larkin, J.Phys.Cond. M at. 2, 7933 (1990).