## Stripes and superconductivity in one-dim ensional self-consistent model

S.J.M atveenko
Landau Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kosygina Str. 2,
119334, Moscow, Russia
(March 22, 2024)

## A bstract

We show that many observable properties of high temperature superconductors can be obtained in the fram eworks of one-dimensional self-consistent model with included superconducting correlations. Analytical solutions for spin, charge and superconductivity order parameters are found. The ground state of the model at low hole doping is a spin-charge solitonic superstructure. Increasing of doping leads to the phase transition to superconducting phase. There is a region of doping where superconductivity, spin density wave and charged stripe structure coexist. The charge density modulation presents in the vicinity of vortices (kinks in the 1D model) in the superconducting state.

PACS numbers: 71.10 Fd, 74.72.h, 64.60.-i, 71.27.+ a

Recently discovered stripe phases in doped antiferrom agnets (cuprates and nickelates) [1] have attracted attention to the problem of coupled spin and charge order param eters in the electron system s. Theoretical [2{5] and experim ental [6{10] evidence indicate the possibility that their ground state exhibits spin and charge density waves (SDW and CDW), either com peting, or coexisting with superconductivity. Numericalmean-eld calculations [24] suggest a universality of the spin-charge multi-mode coupling phenomenon in repulsive electronic system s of di erent dim ensionalities. Fam ilies of the cuprate high-transition-tem perature superconductors show antiferrom agnetism and superconductivity. For the La $_2$   $_{x}$ S  $r_{x}$ C uO  $_4$ fam ily there is another ordering tendency - unidirectional charge-spin density wave, i.e. \stripes". Recent neutron scattering experiment of Lake et al shows that moderate magnetic eld makes uctuating stripes quasi static [6]. An important development in the theory of the cuprate superconductors is the prediction that in addition to antiferrom agnetism and superconductivity there is a tendency toward stripe ordering [2{4]. This prediction is comoborated by experim ents [1,11]. A recent neutron scattering experim ent shows that a moderate magnetic eld can turn a uctuating stripe order into a quasi static one in the optimum doped cuprates. [7] The vortex state can be regarded as an inhom ogeneous mixture of a superconducting spin uid and a material containing a nearly ordered antiferromagnet.

In this paper we present the one-dimensional elective model describing stripe phase at low hole doping and superconductivity state at higher doping. An exact analytical solution of the Hartree-Fock problem at and away from half-lling is found. This solution provides a unique possibility to investigate analytically the structure of the periodic spin-charge solitonic superlattice. It also demonstrates fundamental importance of the higher order commensurability elects, which result in special stability points along the axis of concentrations of the doped holes. Our theory predicts an amazing duality between the spin density wave and superconducting order, and implies the presence of stripes near a superconducting vortex, and superconductivity near a stripe dislocation. Though there is no long-range order in the purely one-dimensional system due to destructive in uence of uctuations, real cuprates are three-dimensional, and therefore, the long-range order survives in the ground state. Hence, we believe, that one-dimensional mean-eld solutions contain universal features of the stripe phase, which are stabilized in higher dimensions. Single-chain analytical solutions may be used as building blocks for the stripe and superconducting phase in quasi two (three)-dimensional system of parallel chains.

The H am iltonian H  $\,=\,$  H  $_0$  + H  $_s$  consists of two parts: the H ubbard H am iltonian with on-site repulsion U  $>\,0$ 

and the interaction part including superconducting correlations

$$H_{s} = \sum_{i}^{X} (i) c_{i,i}^{y} c_{i,i}^{y} + h_{x};$$
 (2)

where  $_{\rm s}$  is the superconducting order parameter, is the chemical potential. The case of the Hubbard model (1) was considered in details earlier [12]. The self-consistent analytical solution for the charge-spin solitonic superstructure was found as a function of a hole doping. It was shown that e ects of commensurability led to a pinning of stripe structure at rational lling points j 1j=m=n.

In the continual self-consistent approximation the elective Hamiltonian can be derived similar [12]. We obtain

$$H = {\overset{Z}{dxf}} {\overset{Y}{y}} = \frac{{\overset{Q}{dx}}}{{\overset{Q}{dx}}} {\overset{?}{x}} + (x) {\overset{Y}{y}} + +$$

$$(x) {\overset{Y}{y}} + + (x) {\overset{Y}{y}} + +$$

$$(x) {\overset{Y}{y}} + (x) {\overset{Y}{y}} +$$

where = 2 = is a dimensionless spin coupling constant,  $_{\rm s}$  is a dimensionless superconductor coupling constant,  $_{\rm z,x}$  are the Pauli matrices,  $_{\rm s}$  =  $_{\rm x}$   $_{\rm y}$ , = U=4t; the Plank constant is taken as unity, and the length is measured in the units of the lattice (chain) period a. In these units momentum and wavevector are dimensionless, and velocity and energy posses one and the same dimensionality. The vector  $_{\rm t}$  (  $_{\rm t}$ ; ) is defined in terms of the right-left-moving , which constitute the wave function:

$$(x) = {}_{+}, e^{ik_F x} + {}_{+}, e^{ik_F x}$$
 (4)

where = 1 for a spin " and # respectively. The Ferm i-m omentum is  $k_F = -2$ , where in the case of half-lling the average number of electrons per site equals = 1, i.e.  $k_F = -2$ . The slowly varying real functions (x) and (x) are dened as  $h\hat{r}(x)i = -(x)$ ,  $h\hat{S}^z(x)i = -(x)\cos(-x) = -1$ . The continual approximation requires that ;; s 1 (weak coupling limit). Note that the constraint = 2 = for the Hubbard model is not necessary in a general case, our results remain valid for independent ;; s 1.

Introduce and  $\sim$  as  $(x) = + \sim (x)$ ,  $\sim (x) dx = 0$ . Then the term y in Eq. (3) is the shift of the chem ical potential or the energy, and the term y can be excluded by the unitary transform ation (see [12,13])

(x) ! 
$$\exp(i - x^0)$$
 (x):

Under this transformation the spin order parameter modies as (x) !  $\exp(2i^{x} \sim dx^{0})$  (x).

We can diagonalize the total H am iltonian H = H $_0$  + H $_s$  by performing a unitary Bogolubov transformations

$$(x) = {x \choose n} u_n; (x) {x \choose n}; (x)$$
 (5)

which have the form

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{X} u_{n} u_{n} u_{n}^{+} v_{n}^{-} u_{n}^{-} u_{n}^{-$$

in terms of right and left components u, v de ned as

$$f(x) = f_+, e^{ik_F x} + f_+, e^{-ik_F x}; f = u;v:$$
 (7)

New operators ,  $^+$  satisfy the ferm ionic com mutative relations f  $_n$ ;  $^+$   $_m$ ;  $_0$ g =  $_m$ ;  $_n$  ;  $_0$ . The transform ations (5) must diagonalize the H am iltonian H:

$$H = E_g + X_{n > 0} + X_{n > 0}$$
 (8)

$$E_g = \sum_{n \le 0}^{X} {n + \sum_{n \le 0}^{Z} dx} \frac{jj^2}{j} + \frac{jj_s^2}{s} - \frac{1}{2}^2;$$

where  $E_q$  is the ground state energy and  $_n > 0$  is the energy of excitation n.

Following [14] we obtain the eigenvalue equations

$$\hat{H} = ;$$
 (9)

w here

 $^{T}$  = (u<sub>+</sub>; u; v<sub>+</sub>; v), and self-consistent conditions

$$(x) = 2 \qquad [(u_{+} u_{+} + u \ u \ )f + (v_{+} v_{+} + v \ v \ )(1 \ f)]$$
 (10)

$$_{s} = 2 _{s} ^{X} (1 2f) [v_{4} u_{+} + v u];$$
 (12)

where  $f = 1 = (\exp[=T] + 1)$ . We om itted spin indices since in our representation for wave functions all equations are diagonal over spin.

At rst, consider hom ogeneous state with constant = j jexp [i'], s = j s jexp [i's] and (x) = N=L. The average spin density has the form <  $\S$  > / Re(exp (2ik  $_F$  x). Neglecting trivial dependence on we obtain two branch spectrum

$$^{2} = k^{2} + (jj \ j \ s^{2})^{2};$$
 (13)

with wave functions u; v / exp[ikx] satisfying the sym metry relations

$$v_{+} = u \exp i[' \quad '_{s}]; v = u \exp i[' + '_{s}];$$
 (14)

The self-consistent equations read

$$j j = \frac{1}{T_{L}} \mathbb{F}_{+} + \mathbb{F} \quad ]; \quad j \quad s j = \frac{s}{T_{L}} \mathbb{F}_{+} \qquad \mathbb{F} \quad ]; \tag{15}$$

$$\frac{2}{1} = \log \frac{4^{\frac{2}{5}}}{|j|^{2}} + \frac{j}{5^{\frac{2}{5}}} + \frac{j}{5^{\frac{2}{5}}} \log \frac{j}{j} + \frac{j}{5^{\frac{2}{5}}} = \frac{1}{5^{\frac{2}{5}}}$$
(16)

The second equations is derived from the rst one by substitution ! s, \$ s. The m in im um of the ground state energy  $E_g$  is achieved at the state = 2  $_F$  exp[ 1= ],  $_s$  = 0 for the case >  $_s$ , and  $_s$  = 2  $_F$  exp[ 1=  $_s$ ], = 0 for the case <  $_s$ 

In general case parameters , s depend on the doping concentration h=j 1j. It is well known that the coupling constant monotonically decreases with doping from at = 1 to the value  $_0$ =2 in the limit j 1j = $_{V_F}$  (due to the absence of um klapp scattering at  $_{0}$ =1 (15]. If we suppose that superconducting part  $_{0}$ =2 (x) in the neighboring site repulsion (as considered for 2D C uO plane model)  $_{0}$ =3 (x) in then the self-consistent equation becomes  $_{0}$ =4 V h  $_{0}$  in  $_{0}$ 1; i.e.  $_{0}$ 1 (x) in the continual approximation. The coupling constant  $_{0}$ =2 V cos $_{0}$ =2 increases with hole doping h = 1 . If the ground state of undoped system is antiferrom agnet state ( >  $_{0}$ ), phase transition to superconducting state will take place at some doping  $_{0}$ =4 where =  $_{0}$ 5. Two phases (SDW and SC) with =  $_{0}$ 6 0 can coexist at this point. For detailed investigation of the phase transition more rigorous consideration of quantum uctuations is necessary.

So far we considered uniform state with ,  $_{\rm s}$  = const. Since symmetry relations between wave function components (14) are independent of absolute values (j j j , j), we assume that these relations are valid also in a general case of nonuniform order parameters. Substituting (14) to (9) we obtain in the case of constant phases ','  $_{\rm s}$  equations

$$[i_z \frac{d}{dx} + ^{\sim} _+ + ^{\sim} ]u = u;$$
 (17)

where  $u^T = fu_+$ ; u = g, v = g. These equations are eigenvalue equations for the Peierls model, were studied in [15,16]. The dependance on in (17) was excluded by means of wave function transformation  $u : v : expf : dxgu : v : The term dx^2=2 in the total energy <math>E_g$  is responsible for commensurate e ects and pinning of the system at rational doping (n = m = n) points [12].

Consider the system with > s. At = 1 the ground state is antiferrom agnet with constant = 0, = and  $_s$  = 0. As a result of doping kink states are formed with local level = 0 at the center of the gap 2. The single kink solution of (17) is  $^{\sim}_1$  = +  $_s$  =  $_0$  tanh (  $_0$ x + a=2),  $^{\sim}_2$  =  $_s$  =  $_0$  tanh (  $_0$ x a=2) with arbitrary shift a. The wave functions and the excitation spectrum read

$$u / ( + k + i_0 \tanh) e^{ikx} e^{-i = 4};$$
  $^2 = k^2 + {}^2;$  (18)

$$u_0; / \frac{\exp[i=4]}{\cosh^2}; = 0;$$
 (19)

where =  $_{0}x$  a=2. The order param eters,  $_{s}$  take form

$$_{s} = \frac{_{0} \sinh a}{2 (\cosh^{2} _{0}x + \sinh^{2} a=2)};$$
  $= \frac{_{0} \tanh _{0}x}{1 + \frac{\sinh^{2} a=2}{\cosh^{2} _{0}x}};$ 

For the case a = 0 we obtain  $_{s} = _{0} \tanh _{0}x$ ,  $/ 1 = \cosh^{2} _{0}x$ . It is a one stripe solution found in [12]. The shift 0 < a = 1 leads to the appearance of the region around

the stripe with  $_{\rm s}$  60, so that / 1= $\cos h^2$   $_{0}$ x,  $_{\rm s}$  / a= $\cos h^2$   $_{0}$ x. The quasiparticle spectrum is independent of a, therefore the equilibrium position a is dened by m in imization of the potential energy

W (a) = 
$$\frac{0}{a} = \frac{1}{a} = \frac{1}{\tanh a} + \frac{0}{4} = \frac{\frac{a}{\tanh a}}{\sinh^2 a}$$
: (20)

The m in im um of the energy (20) is reached at a = 0 for

$$\frac{s}{4j}$$
 2:5 < 0:

For small a the inequality < 0 is possible if and  $_s$  are not very close to each other (j  $_s$ j $^>$   $_s$ ). The nontrivial m in im um a  $\in$  0 exist only in the small region j  $_s$ j $^<$   $_s$  around the transition point =  $_s$ , where > 0. Stripe and superconductivity phases coexist in this region:  $_s$ ; ; (x)  $\in$  0. The equilibrium shift a is small a /  $^p$  if 1, but it 1 logarithm ically diverges a / log in the lim it  $_s$ ! .

So we obtain that an increasing of doping for the system with >  $_{\rm s}$ , < 0 at = 1 leads to the form ing of the periodic structure of charged kinks =  $_{\rm 0}$  tanh  $_{\rm 0}$ x, which acquires the form at h = j 1j y=  $_{\rm 0}$  [12]

$$p_{-}$$
  $p_{-}$   $p_{-$ 

where K (k) is the Elliptic Integral of the rst kind, sn (;;k) is the Jacobi elliptic function, j  $1j = {}_{0} = (2K (k) k)$ .

The superconducting order parameter order  $_s$   $\in$  0 appears in the considered case at somehigher doping level where becomes positive. In a small region j  $_s$  j  $^<$   $_s$  around the transition point =  $_s$ , where > 0, superconductivity and spin/charge orders coexist:  $_s$ ; ; (x)  $\in$  0. In the particular case of the model with = 0 this region is reduced to the point  $h = h_c$ . A more complicated analysis beyond the scope of the used mean eld approximation is required at this point to take into account strong quantum uctuations, including the zero mode due to the degeneration of the ground state with respect to the shift a of two sublattices.

The opposite region < s can be studied using the duality properties of the model. It is easy to see that eigenvalues n of equations (9) are invariant under transformation ! s. Indeed, if we simultaneously exchange ! s and u (x) !  $v_+$  (x) in Eq. (9) the Ham iltonian (9) is not changed (without unimportant terms with (x)). Therefore the ground state energy  $E_g$  in (8) is invariant under the transformations

Therefore we can apply obtained above solutions for the superconductivity phase. We not that in the region > 0 the ground state is superconductor with  $_s = const$ , = 0. The one-dimensional analogue of the vortex in two- or three-dimensional systems is the kink:  $_s = const$ ,  $_s = const$ ,

Due to the duality symmetry the charge density (x) has the same expression as for the kink in spin density wave. Therefore we obtain that the charge density is not zero in the vicinity of the kink

(x) 
$$\frac{1}{\cosh^2 _0 x} \cos(2 j 1)$$
: (21)

Sim ilar to the previous case ( >  $_{\rm s}$ ) we not that near the transition point (  $_{\rm s}$ ; > 0) a stripe structure can arise. In the lim it 0 < 1, j 1j  $_{\rm 0}$ = $v_{\rm F}$  we obtain

$$(x) / \sin j = 1$$
;  $(x) / \cos 2 j = 1$ ;  $(x) / \cos 2 j =$ 

To conclude, we have found the self-consistent mean—eld analytical solution for the ground state structure of the quasi-one-dimensional electronic system with spin, charge and superconducting correlations. We have found that for an appropriate choice of parameters the ground state is striped charge/spin density wave structure at low hole doping. The stripe con guration is pinned at rational points j=1j=m=n with the pinning energy  $/\exp(-Cn)$  substantial for small n, which can lead to the stability of the stripe picture. The phase transition to the superconductivity state takes place at some doping level. Both superconductivity and spin/charge density wave order parameters can coexist in a some small region near this point.

The model is self-dual: The eigenfunction equations are invariant with respect to transform ations \$ s, \$ s. Therefore properties of superconducting state can be derived from the low doping consideration. In particular, we obtained that charge stripes can exist as in low doping spin density wave state as in superconducting state in the vicinity of spatially nonuniform con gurations of s, for example, vertices (kinks in one dimension).

Though this one-dimensional model can be applied rather to quasi-one-dimensional systems than to high-tem perature quasi-two-dimensional anisotropic superconductors, it shows some properties peculiar to high-tem perature superconductors (one-dimensional stripe structure at low doping and superconductivity at a higher doping, etc.). Therefore our results can be useful for understanding of high-tem perature phenomenon. For describing anisotropic properties of real systems a two-dimensional model consideration is required to take into account an important contribution from nodal quasiparticles.

I thank A.V. Balatsky, I.M artin, S.J.M ukhin and J. Zaanen for stimulated discussions and K.M achida for a pointing relevant references out. IThe work was supported by RFBR grant No. 02-02-16354.

## REFERENCES

- [1] S-W . Cheong et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 67, 1791 (1991); C.H. Chen, S-W . Cheong, and A.S. Cooper, ibid. 71, 2461 (1993); J.M. Tranquada et al., Nature (London) 375, 561 (1995).
- [2] J. Zaanen and O. Gunnarson, Phys. Rev. B 40, 7391 (1989); V. J. Emery, S.A. Kivelson, and H. Q. Lin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 64, 475 (1990); C. Castellani, C. Di Castro and M. Grilli, ibid. 75, 4650 (1995).
- [3] K. Machida, Physica C 158, 192 (1989); M. Kato, K. Machida, H. Nakanishi and M. Fujita, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 59, 1047 (1990).
- [4] H. J. Schulz, J. Phys. (Paris) 50, 2833 (1989); Phys. Rev. Lett. 64, 1445 (1990).
- [5] I. Martin, G. Ortiz, A.V. Balatsky and A.R. Bishop, Int. J. Mod. Phys. B 14, 3567 (2000).
- [6] JM . Tranquada, et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 338 (1997).
- [7] B. Lake, et al, Science 291, 1759 (2001).
- [8] B. Lake, et al, Nature 415, 299 (2002).
- [9] V.F.M itrovic, et al, Nature 413, 501 (2001).
- [10] JE. Ho man, et al, Science 295, 466 (2002).
- [11] Y S. Lee et alPhys. Rev. B 60, 3643 (1999); H. Kimura et alPhys. Rev. B 61, 14366 (2000).
- [12] S.I.M atveenko, S.I.M ukhin, Phys. Rev. lett. 84, 6066 (2000).
- [13] S.I.Mukhin, Phys. Rev. B 62, 4332 (2000).
- [14] P.-G. de Gennes, Superconductivity of Metals and Alloys, Adison-Wesley Publ. Comp., 1966.
- [15] S.A. Brazovskii, JETP 78, 677 (1980); S.A. Brazovskii, S.A. Gordyunin, N.N. Kirova, JETP Lett. 31, 457 (1980).
- [16] S.A. Brazovskii, S.I. Matveenko, Sov. Phys. JETP 60, 804 (1984).