Mean-eld analysis of the stability of a K-Rb Ferm i-Bose mixture

M. Modugno, 1,2 F. Ferlaino, F. Riboli, G. Roati, G. Modugno, and M. Inguscio LENS - Dipartimento di Fisica, Universita di Firenze and INFM Via Nello Carrara 1, 50019 Sesto Fiorentino, Italy
2BEC-INFM Center, Universita di Trento, 38050 Povo, Italy
(Dated: March 22, 2024)

We compare the experimental stability diagram of a Ferm i-Bose mixture of  $^{40}$ K and  $^{87}$ Rb atoms with attractive interaction to the predictions of a mean-eld theoretical model. We discuss how this comparison can be used to give a better estimate of the interspecies scattering length, which is currently known from collisional measurements with larger uncertainty.

PACS numbers: 03.75 Ss, 05.30 Hh, 32.80 Pj

The production of degenerate Ferm i-Bose m ixtures of atoms [1, 2, 3, 4] has opened new directions in the eld of ultracold atom ic gases, with relevant implications for the achievem ent of ferm ionic super uidity. Collisional interactions between bosons and ferm ions have a twofold role: they allow for an e cient sympathetic cooling of the ferm ions into quantum degeneracy, and they a ect the properties of the degenerate mixture. In case of a large boson-ferm ion attraction, the most important predicted e ects are mean-eld instabilities [5, 6, 7] and boson-induced interactions between ferm ions [8], besides a general modication of the properties of the two individual com ponents [9, 10, 11]. We have recently observed the collapse of the Fermigas in a  $^{40}$ K  $^{-87}$ Rb m ixture [12], which is indeed characterized by a large attractive interaction between the components.

In our study of the collapse we found that the order of magnitude for the critical atom numbers is in agreement with the predictions of a mean-eld model for spherical geometry [6].

In this work we investigate the stability diagram of the K-Rb system, and we make a quantitative comparison of the experimental ndings with mean-eld theory taking into account the actual trapping potential for the atoms. We not that the mean-eld model is able to reproduce the critical atom numbers for collapse using a value for the boson-ferm ion scattering length  $a_{\rm B\,F}$  that is in good agreement with the one we determined through the study of cold collisions [13]. Moreover, we show how the comparison of theory and experiment on the stability diagram can be used to determine the scattering length with a much lower uncertainty than that determined from collisional measurements.

The Ferm iBose mixtures of <sup>40</sup>K (ferm ions) and <sup>87</sup>Rb (bosons) atoms are produced by sympathetic cooling in a magnetic potential [4]. Both species are trapped in their low - eld-seeking states with largest magnetic moment,

which experience potentials of the kind

$$V_{B}(x) = \frac{1}{2} m_{B} !_{B?}^{2}(x^{2} + y^{2}) + !_{Bz}^{2}z^{2}$$
 (1)

$$V_F (x) = \frac{1}{2} m_F !_{F?}^2 (x^2 + (y y_0)^2) + !_{Fz}^2 (z z_0)^2$$
:

The largest sam ples that we are able to cool to quantum degeneracy amount to about 2  $\,10^5$  bosons coexisting with 5  $\,10^4$  ferm ions. The atom numbers for both species can be adjusted separately by controlling the loading and the evaporation procedures. The coldest Ferm i gas observed have a temperature of about 0.2T\_F , and the m inim um temperature of the bosons that we are able to measure from the non-condensed fraction is limited to about 0.6T\_c.

In the mean-eld model that we use to describe this Ferm  $i\!-\!B$  ose system, the ground state is determined by the solution of G ross-P itaevskii (GP) equation for the bosons, coupled to the Thomas-Ferm i equation for the ferm ions [5,6]

$$\frac{h^2}{2m_B}r^2 + V_B + g_{BB}n_B + g_{BF}n_F = B$$
 (2)

$$n_{\rm F} = \frac{(2m_{\rm F})^{3=2}}{6^2 h^3} (_{\rm F} V_{\rm F} g_{\rm BF} n_{\rm B})^{3=2}$$
 (3)

where  $n_B = j^2 j$  and  $n_F$  are the boson and ferm ion densities respectively, and (x) is the condensate wave function. The boson-boson and boson-ferm ion interactions are described by the coupling constants  $g_{B\,B} = 4 h^2 a_{B\,B} = m_B$  and  $g_{B\,F} = 2 h^2 a_{B\,F} = m_R$  in terms of the s-wave scattering length  $a_{B\,B}$  and  $a_{B\,F}$ . Here  $m_{B\,F}$  are the atom ic m asses and  $m_R = m_B m_F = (m_B + m_F)$  is the reduced m ass.

P resent address: INFM - D ipartim ento di Fisica, Universita di Trento, V ia Som marive 14,38050 Povo, Italy

In the case of the rubidium potassium mixture, the best experimental estimates of the scattering lengths are  $a_{B\,B}=98.98~0.02~a_0~[15]$  and  $a_{B\,F}=410~80~a_0~[13]$ . The difference in the uncertainties for the two quantities stems from the different techniques used, which is a combination of photoassociation and Feshbach spectroscopies in the rst case, and measurement of cold collisions in the second case. Although the investigation of cold collisions is the easiest way to measure the interactions in an ultracold gas, it is often accompanied by a rather large uncertainty. Indeed, what one measures in the experiment is a collisional rate, which at ultralow temperature has a dependence

$$/ N a^2$$
 (4)

on the atom number N and on the scattering length a. The absolute atom number, in the case of a mixture of two species, cannot be determined with an accuracy typically better that 40%, giving rise to a 20% uncertainty on the scattering length.

By solving equations (2)–(3) we can investigate the ground state and the stability of the system against collapse. The equations are solved with an in aginary-time evolution, embedded in an iterative scheme, as in [6]. The stability is checked by requiring that energies, chemical potentials and central densities converge to the nal value, with a precision at least of  $10^6$ . In Fig. 1 we show a typical ground state con guration, calculated with the nominal values  $a_{\rm BF} = -410 \, a_0$ ,  $N_{\rm B} = 7.5 \, 10$ ,  $N_{\rm F} = 2 \, 10$ . As already discussed in Refs. [5, 6], the mutual attraction results in an enhancement of the density of both species in the volume of overlap. We note that the gravitational sag, that shifts the centers of the two clouds, reduces the elect of the mutual attraction with respect to the concentric case studied in Ref. [6].

The deform ed ground state of the system is predicted to lead to a series of e ects that can be described within a mean-eld approach, including a modication of the frequencies of collective excitations [9, 11] and of the expansion of the two clouds from the trap [10]. We actually observed the latter e ect already from the rst experiments [4], where we had an evidence of a modied expansion of the condensate in presence of the Fermigas.

If the atom numbers are increased above some critical values, an instability occurs. In our model, accordingly to the study reported in Refs. [6, 16] the signature of the instability is the failure of the convergence procedure during the iterative evolution toward the ground state of the system. In particular, the onset of instability is characterized by an inde nite growth of central densities which triggers the simultaneous collapse of the two species. We note that the investigation of the actual dynamics after the system has been driven into the unstable region would require a description that goes beyond Eqs. (2)-(3), in a similar fashion to what happens during the collapse of a single Bose-E instein condensate with attractive interaction [17, 18]. Here we will not discuss these aspects, since we are concerned with the determination




FIG. 1: Density pro less along the vertically direction (a) and contour levels in the (y z) plane (b) of the ground-state of the Ferm i-Bose m ixture, for  $a_{\rm B\ F}=-410\,$   $a_0$ , N  $_{\rm B}=7.5\,$   $10^4$ , N  $_{\rm F}=2\,$   $10^4$ .

of the critical values for the onset of instabilities only.

In the experiment we observe the instability of the Ferm i-B ose system as the number of condensed bosons in increased by evaporation, at a xed number of ferm ions [12]. The signature of the instability is a sudden loss of most of the ferm ions from the magnetic trap, which we attribute to a largely increased three-body recombination in the collapsing sam ples. Here we are interested just in the critical atom numbers, but we note that further experiments, for example using a Feshbach resonance to tune  $a_{\rm B\,F}$  [13], will be necessary to study the collapse dynam ics of the system .

To compare the predictions of the mean-eld model to the experimental ndings on the instability, we have built a stability diagram, shown in Fig. 2. Here we report in the plane N $_{\rm B}$  N $_{\rm F}$  the condensate and ferm ion atom numbers that we were able to measure in the experiment for stable samples, and compare them with the calculated critical line for instability. We note that all the data points refer to samples at temperatures of the order of 0.2–0.5T $_{\rm F}$  for the Fermi gas, and with almost no detectable thermal fraction for the BEC. In the model, the occurrence of instability depends on both N $_{\rm B}$ , N $_{\rm F}$ , and also on the value of the scattering length  $a_{\rm BF}$ . In




FIG. 2: Region of stability of the Ferm i-Bose m ixture, as a function of the number of atoms. The black dots are the experimental points; lines are the theoretical prediction for the boundary between the stable (left) and collapse (right) regions, for three values of the inter-particle scattering length (in units of the Bohr radius  $a_0$ ):  $a_{\rm B\,F}=380\,a_0$  (dotted line),  $a_{\rm B\,F}=395\,a_0$  (continuous line),  $a_{\rm B\,F}=410\,a_0$  (dasheddotted line). The marked dots are found very close to the instability (see text).

Fig. 2 we have therefore plotted a fam ily of critical lines by varying  $a_{\rm B\,F}$  around the nom inal value. Note that the position of the critical line depends quite strongly on the value of  $a_{\rm B\,F}$ , and it shifts toward larger atom numbers for decreasing magnitudes of  $a_{\rm B\,F}$ . Although the critical line calculated for the nominal value  $a_{\rm B\,F}=-410~a_0$  is in rather good agreement with the experimental observation, the one calculated for  $a_{\rm B\,F}=-395~a_0$  better to the data. In the experiment we observed the collapse of the Fermigas for number pairs close to the two marked data points [19], which appears to be actually the region of achievable number pairs closest to the instability.

The strong dependence on the criticality on  $a_{B\;F}$  that we nd in the mean-eld analysis is in accordance with the scaling law for the critical number of condensate atoms

$$N_{B}^{\text{crit}}$$
  $\dot{a}_{BF}$   $\dot{j}$  (5)

with = 12, discussed in [5, 7]. Here we have veri ed that this scaling behavior perfectly to the numerical solutions of Eqs. (2)-(3) in case of a simplified concentric spherical configuration, by using an average trapping frequency as usually found in literature [6, 20], as shown in Fig. 3. In this calculation we have kept the number ratio N $_{\rm B}$ /N $_{\rm F}$  xed, which corresponds to move along a straight line passing from the origin in the diagram of Fig. 2. This calculation also shows that the 3D geometry of the experiment results in a renormalization of the scaling exponent,  $_{\rm 3D}$  ' 11, besides an elective increase (in modulus) of the critical scattering length, of the order 2%, due to the presence of gravity. In fact, the elect of the gravitational shift is a reduction of the density




FIG. 3: Behavior of the critical number of atom s N  $_{\rm B}$  as a function of the scattering length  $a_{\rm B\,F}$ , for N  $_{\rm B}$  = 4.25 N  $_{\rm F}$  (triangles) and N  $_{\rm B}$  = 8.5 N  $_{\rm F}$  (circles). The numerical solutions of the mean-eld equations (symbols) are compared with the scaling law in Eq. (5) with exponent = 12 (continuous line) and = 11 (dashed line). Filled and empty symbols refer respectively to the full 3D geometry and to the simplied spherically symmetric case discussed in the text.

enhancements due to the attractive interaction, with respect to the case in which the to species are trapped by concentric potentials.

This analysis suggests the possibility of using a comparison of the experimental and calculated critical atom numbers to extract a precise information on the value of the scattering length for our K-Rb mixture. To account for the uncertainty in the determination of atom numbers in the experiment, in Fig. 4 we have plotted the same data points of Fig. 2, expanding or compressing both scales by 40%, and compared them with the same family of critical lines.

By taking the critical lines that best twith the observation in these two limiting cases, we can extract the following mean-eld estimate for  $a_{\rm B\,F}$ 

$$a_{BF}^{mf}$$
 ' 395 15  $a_0$ : (6)

Note how the dependence of  $a_{B\,F}$  on the number of atoms of Eq. 5, stronger than that of Eq. 4, results in a 5-fold smaller uncertainty on the scattering length determined by the stability analysis than that obtained with collisional measurements. We expect this meaneld prediction for  $a_{B\,F}$  in Eq. (6) to be rather robust, since the 40% experimental uncertainty on the number of atoms should be quite larger that the possible discrepancy between the GP theory and the actual behavior of the system, as recently discussed for the case of a single  $^{85}$ Rb Bose-Einstein condensate with attractive interaction [21, 22, 23].

The assumption of the same scaling for boson and ferm ion atom numbers due to experimental uncertainty is not unrealistic. Indeed, the possible sources of systematic errors in the atom number calibration are residual magnetic elds, non perfect polarization of the probe




FIG. 4: Region of stability of the Ferm i-Bose mixture, as a function of the number of atoms. To keep into account the experimental uncertainty on the number of atoms these have been increased or reduced by a 40%, respectively in (a) and (b). Continuous lines are the theoretical prediction for the boundary between the stable (left) and collapse (right) regions, for three values of the inter-particle scattering length (in units of the Bohr radius  $a_0$ ):  $a_{\rm B\,F}=380\,a_0$  (dotted line),  $a_{\rm B\,F}=395\,a_0$  (continuous line),  $a_{\rm B\,F}=410\,a_0$  (dashed-dotted line).

beam , or im proper calibration of the im aging m agni cation. W hen using a simultaneous im aging scheme [4], all these factors a ect in the same way the measured atom numbers for bosons and ferm ions.

We note that recently it has been proposed a beyond mean-eld approach, including the second order correction in the scattering length  $a_{\rm B\,F}$ , that should provide important modi cations to the mean-eld results [20]. Nonetheless we have verified that the inclusion of this term stabilizes the system in the range of atom numbers considered here for any value of the scattering length, thus forbidding the collapse, in contrast with the experimental ndings. Higher order terms might therefore be important to provide a correct beyond mean-eld description, although at this stage it is not clear whether this could a ect the critical number of atoms for collapse.

In conclusion, our mean—eld analysis of the stability of the K-Rb Ferm i-Bose m ixture shows the possibility of an independent determination of the relevant scattering length of the system. The strong dependence of the critical atom numbers on the scattering length allows to largely reduce the elect of the experimental uncertainty. The value we determine for  $a_{\rm B\,F}$  is in very good accordance with that found from collisional measurements. We note that an independent, more precise determination of  $a_{\rm B\,F}$ , for example using Feshbach spectroscopy [13], would be useful to test the validity of the mean—eld theory for strongly interacting Fermi-Bose mixtures, and to asses the importance of beyond mean—eld elects.

## A cknow ledgm ents

This work was supported by M IUR, by EC under contract HPRICT1999-00111, and by INFM, PRA \Photonmatter". We acknowledge useful discussions with A.Albus and F. Illuminati.

<sup>[1]</sup> A.G. Truscott, K.E. Strecker, W. I.M. cAlexander, G. B. Patridge, and R.G. Hulet, Science 291, 2570 (2001).

<sup>[2]</sup> F. Schreck, L. K haykovich, K. L. Corwin, G. Ferrari, T. Bourdel, J. Cubizolles, and C. Salomon, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87,080403 (2001).

<sup>[3]</sup> Z. Hadzibabic, C. A. Stan, K. Dieckmann, S. Gupta, M. W. Zwierlein, A. Gorlitz, and W. Ketterle, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 160401 (2002).

<sup>[4]</sup> G. Roati, F. Riboli, G. Modugno, M. Inguscio, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 150403 (2002).

<sup>[5]</sup> K.Molmer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 1804 (1998).

<sup>[6]</sup> R.Roth, Phys.Rev.A 66, 013614 (2002).

<sup>[7]</sup> T.M iyakawa, T. Suzuki, and H. Yabu, Phys. Rev. A 64, 033611 (2001).

<sup>[8]</sup> H. Heiselberg, C. J. Pethick, H. Smith, and L. Viverit, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 2418 (2000); M. J. Bijlsma, B. A. Heringa, and H. T. C. Stoof, Phys. Rev. A 61, 053601 (2000).

<sup>[9]</sup> T.M iyakawa, T. Suzuki, and H. Yabu, Phys. Rev. A 62, 063613 (2000).

<sup>[10]</sup> X .-J. Liu and H . Hu, cond-m at/0212169.

<sup>[11]</sup> H. Hu, X.-J. Liu, and M. M odugno, cond-m at/0301182, to appear on Phys. Rev. A (2003).

<sup>[12]</sup> G. Modugno, G. Roati, F. Riboli, F. Ferlaino, R. J. Brecha, and M. Inguscio, Science 297, 2240 (2002).

<sup>[13]</sup> A. Sim oni, F. Ferlaino, G. Roati, G. Modugno, M. Inguscio, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 163202 (2003).

<sup>[14]</sup> G.Modugno, M.Modugno, F.Riboli, G.Roati, M.Inguscio, Phys.Rev.Lett.89,190404 (2002); F.Riboli and M.Modugno, Phys.Rev.A 65,063614 (2002).

<sup>[15]</sup> E.G.M. van Kempen, S.J.J.M.F.Kokkelmans, D.J. Heinzen, and B.J.Verhaar, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 093201 (2002).

<sup>[16]</sup> R.Roth and H.Feldm eier, Phys.Rev.A 65,021603(R)
(2002).

<sup>[17]</sup> Y .K agan, A .E .M uryshev, and G .V .Shlyapnikov, Phys.

- Rev.Lett.81,933 (1998).
- [18] C.A.Sackett, H.T.C.Stoof, and R.G.Hulet, Phys. Rev.Lett.80,2031 (1998).
- [19] Those two points represent the last stable samples along to two evaporation routes that led to the collapse, in the series of measurements described in [12].
- [20] A. P. Albus, F. Illum inati and M. W ilkens, cond-m at/0211060.
- [21] C.C.Bradley, C.A.Sackett, and R.G.Hulet, Phys. Rev.Lett.78,985 (1997).
- [22] F.Dalfovo, S.Giorgini, L.P.Pitaevskii, S.Stringari, Rev. Mod.Phys.71,463 (1999).
- [23] See C.M. Savage, N.P.Robins, and J.J.Hope, Phys. Rev.A 67,014304 (2003), and references therein.