

June 12, 2003

Theory of strongly phase fluctuating d-wave superconductors and the spin response in underdoped cuprates

Igor F. Herbut

Physics Department, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, British Columbia, Canada V5A

1S6

Abstract: General theory of d-wave quasiparticles coupled to phase fluctuations of the superconducting order parameter is discussed. In the charge sector the superfluid density is found to conform to the Uemura scaling. The spin susceptibility exhibits four distinct regimes with increasing frequency, and scales with the superconducting T_c , as observed.

Keywords: vortex fluctuations, underdoped cuprates, magnetic response

Fax: 1-604-291-3592, Email: iherbut@sfu.ca.

The intimate relationship between antiferromagnetism and d-wave superconductivity (dSC) has been one of the central themes in the physics of cuprates. Recently a new connection between the two has been put forward [1], [2], by which the antiferromagnetism is the result of the dynamical breaking of an approximate "chiral" symmetry of the standard dSC. The relevant interaction between the low-energy quasiparticles is provided by the quantum vortex fluctuations, which are assumed to increase with underdoping.

The low energy theory of d-wave quasiparticles and the phase fluctuations of the superconducting order parameter at $T = 0$ and in two dimensions may be written as [3] $S = \int d^3x \mathcal{L}$, $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_\psi + \mathcal{L}_{gauge} + \mathcal{L}_\phi$, where

$$\mathcal{L}_\psi = \sum_{i=1}^2 \bar{\Psi}_i \gamma_\mu (\partial_\mu - i a_\mu) \Psi_i, \quad (1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{gauge} = & \frac{1}{\pi} \mathbf{a} \cdot (\nabla \times \mathbf{A}_-) + \frac{1}{\pi} \mathbf{v} \cdot (\nabla \times \mathbf{A}_+) + \\ & 2K(\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{A})^2 + i\mathbf{J}_c \cdot (\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{A}), \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_\phi = \sum_{n=1}^2 [|(\nabla - i\mathbf{A}_n)\Phi_n|^2 + \mu^2 |\Phi_n|^2 + \frac{b}{2} |\Phi_n|^4]. \quad (3)$$

Ψ_i describe the neutral spin-1/2 quasiparticles (spinons) near the four nodes at $\pm \mathbf{K}_{1,2}$ [2], complex $\Phi_{1,2}$ create the fluctuating vortex loops associated with spin up and down, and \mathbf{a} , \mathbf{v} [4] and $\mathbf{A}_{1,2} = \mathbf{A}_+ \pm \mathbf{A}_-$ are the auxiliary gauge fields that facilitate the spinon-vortex coupling. \mathbf{J}_c is the charge current, and \mathbf{A} an electromagnetic gauge potential. The two quasiparticle velocities have been set to $v_F = v_\Delta = 1$ in (1). K is the bare superfluid density.

When $\langle \Phi_1 \rangle = \langle \Phi_2 \rangle \neq 0$, the above theory reduces to the QED_3 [4], [2], with the insulating spin density wave (SDW) as the ground state [5]. Here I focus on the superconducting state, in which $\langle \Phi_n \rangle = 0$. Integrating out \vec{v} and the virtual vortex fluctuations yields [3]

$$\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_\psi + \frac{6m}{\pi} \mathbf{a}^2 + \frac{\rho_{sf}}{2} \mathbf{A}^2 + iZ\mathbf{J}_c \cdot \mathbf{A} + O(\mathbf{J}_c^2), \quad (4)$$

with $\rho_{sf} = 48mK/(12m + 4\pi K)$. $Z = 12m/(12m + 4\pi K)$ is the charge renormalization factor. $m^2 = \mu^2 + O(b)$ is the uniform vortex susceptibility, assumed to be proportional

to doping. Since on dimensional grounds $m \sim T_c$, for $m \ll K$, $\rho_{sf} \sim T_c$, in qualitative agreement with the Uemura scaling. Furthermore, spin may be considered separated from the charge in Eq. (4). Upon integration over the massive \vec{a} , the spin sector becomes the 2+1 dimensional Thirring model. In the RPA approximation the spin susceptibility becomes [6]

$$\chi''(2\mathbf{K}_i \pm \mathbf{q}, \omega) = \left(\frac{12m}{\pi}\right)^2 \frac{N\Theta(\omega^2 - q^2)\sqrt{\omega^2 - q^2}}{(96m/\pi)^2 + \omega^2 - q^2}. \quad (5)$$

This describes the soft spin mode in the dSC, the condensation of which on the insulating side yields the SDW [1], [2].

Eq. (5) implies that for $\omega \ll T_c$, the maximum values of χ'' are located at four *diagonally* incommensurate wave vectors $\pm 2\mathbf{K}_{1,2}$. Due to their low intensity ($\sim \omega$) and narrowness, these ‘mother’ peaks should be very hard to observe, and indeed have not yet been detected. As the frequency increases, however, both the intensity and the width of the peaks grow. Restoring $v_F \gg v_\Delta$ in (5), and shifting the peaks to the vicinity of (π, π) , one finds the ‘mother’ peaks overlapping first at four *parallel* incommensurate positions, independent of frequency. With the further increase in frequency, the initial peaks at some point begin also to overlap at (π, π) , and for a while the commensurate response dominates. Assuming $2\mathbf{K}_1 = 0.465(2\pi, 2\pi)$ [7], and $v_F = 1.2 \text{ eV}\text{\AA}$ the energy of the ‘resonance’ may be estimated to $\sim 60 \text{ meV}$, not far from $\sim 40 \text{ meV}$ seen in slightly underdoped cuprates. Finally, at even larger ω the maximum in Eq. (5) shifts to a $q \neq 0$, which implies a weak redistribution of the commensurate peak to four parallel incommensurate positions, but this time with upward dispersion. The evolution of the spin response [6] is in agreement with the observations in underdoped YBCO [8].

This work has been supported by NSERC of Canada and the Research Corporation.

References

- [1] I. F. Herbut, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88 (2002) 047006.

- [2] I. F. Herbut, Phys. Rev. B 66 (2002) 094504.
- [3] I. F. Herbut, cond-mat/0302058.
- [4] M. Franz and Z. Tešanović, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87 (2001) 257003.
- [5] B. H. Seradjeh and I. F. Herbut, Phys. Rev. B 66 (2002) 184507.
- [6] I. F. Herbut and D. J. Lee, cond-mat/0305512.
- [7] H. A. Mook et al., Nature 395 (1998) 580.
- [8] M. Arai et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 83 (1999) 608.