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#### Abstract

C lassical ground state of the isotropic $H$ eisenberg spin $H$ am iltonian on a prim itive B ravais lattice is known to be a single-Q planar spin spiral. A uniaxial anisotropy and extemalmagnetic eld distort this structure by generating the higher-order Fourier harm onics at wave-vectors nQ in the spatial spin con guration. T hese features are not captured in the form alism based on the Luttinger$T$ isza theorem, where the classical ground state energy is $m$ inim ized under the $\backslash w e a k$ "condition on the length of the spins. W e discuss why the correct solution is lost in that approach and present an altemative $m$ icroscopic treatm ent of the problem. It allow $s$ to nd the classical ground state for generalQ forboth easy-axis and easy-plane uniaxial second-order anisotropy, and for any orientation of the $m$ agnetic eld, by treating the e ect of anisotropy (but not the eld) as a perturbation to the exchange structure. A s a result, the classical ground state energy, the uniform m agnetization, and the $m$ agnetic $B$ ragg peak intensities that are $m$ easured in experim ent, are calculated.


PACS num bers: $75.10 \mathrm{Hk}, 75.25+\mathrm{z}, 75.50 \mathrm{Ee}$

## I. IN TRODUCTION

For more than three decades, helical spin structures have been a subject of intensive studies. H aving been discovered theoretically in the pioneering works of Y oshim ornt and V illain $n_{1}^{n}$, they were found experim entally in a large variety of $m$ aterials. M ost extensive work was devoted to the investigation and explanation of di erent ordered phases and phase transitions in the rare-earth $m$ etals from T b to Tm . These phases were shown to result from the intricate distortion of the incom $m$ ensurate, alm ost ferrom agnetic exchange helim agnet by tem perature and strong crystal eld an isotropiesith H ow ever, for the reason discussed below, a classical treatm ent, nally generalized by Lyons and $K$ aplan ${ }^{515}$, predicts no such distortion in the ground state of the quasi-isotropic $H$ eisenberg H am iltonian at $\mathrm{T}=0$.

A simple but nontrivial exam ple of the commensurate spiral is a triangularm agnetic ordering found in the hexagonalantiferrom agnets of CN iC $l_{3}$ type. Theirm agnetic structure consists of six sublattices, three in each hexagonalplane at an angle 120 to each other, whereas spins in the adjacent planes are antiparallel. Such com pounds have recently attracted $m$ uch attention because of their pronounced quasi-one-dim ensional nature (exchange between the adjacent spins along the hexagonal axis is much stronger than, that between the neighbors in the plane). Experim ents ${ }^{6}$ deviations of the staggered $m$ agnetization and susceptibility in these $m$ aterials from the results of the $m$ ean eld (MF) calculations which should be valid for classical spins, $S$ 1, and thus the im portance of quantum uctuations. T herefore, these are also excellent exam ples of quantum helim agnets which also have been recently
 et. all ${ }^{13}$, calculated classical spin reorientation process in $m$ agnetic eld (see also Ref. 1 (1) and the antiferro$m$ agnetic resonance spectra in the hexagonal antiferrom agnets of C sN iC $l_{3}$ type on the basis of six-sublattice m odel. It appears that even for six sublattioes the problem is very di cult to handle in this way, and essentially no explicit analytical results can be obtained for the spin-w ave spectrum. Ourm otivation in the present work was to develop a sim pler and m ore general procedure for nding the classicalground-state spin con gurations, which w ould allow to perform reasonably unsophisticated spin-w ave calculations. $W$ e, adopt the approach sim ilar to that used by $N$ agam iya $a^{31}$ and $K$ aplan $n^{4 / 4}$. Essentially, it is extension of-the tierative procedure rst suggested by Cooper et all for the easy-plane, alm ost ferrom agnetic spirals, to a general helim agnet. However, keeping in $m$ ind its application to the com $m$ ensurate antiferrom agnets, we will neither discuss here the incom $m$ ensurability e ects, nor changes in the ordering wave-vectore by the anisotropy and $m$ agnetic eld that occur for general $Q$ position. T hese problem s, as well as the incom $m$ ensurate-com $m$ ensurate transitions, were treated elsew here, in- the fram ew ork of the phenom enological approach

W e start from the follow ing spin Ham iltonian, which describes the low-tem perature $m$ agnetic properties of a large class of crystals where spins of the $m$ agnetic ions are localized at lattice sites,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}={ }_{i ; j}^{X} J_{i j} S_{i} S_{j}+D{ }_{i}^{X}\left(S_{i}^{z}\right)^{2} \quad H^{X} S_{i}: \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ere the rst term is the $H$ eisenberg exchange interaction, the second term describes the low est-order uniaxial
anisotropy, and the last term is the Zeem an energy ( is the gyrom agnetic ratio). The anisotropy arises from the $m$ agnetic spin-spin and spin-orbit interactions. Hence, the constant D incorporates a sm all relativistic ratio $(\mathrm{v}=\mathrm{c})^{2}$, where v is the average velocity of the electron in the atom, and $c$ is the velocity of light. $T$ his constant is usually considered to be $s m$ all in com parison $w$ th the exchange coupling constants $J_{i j}$ w hich are of the electrostatic origin. This condition, how ever, can be violated in the quasi-low-dim ensionalm agnetic $m$ aterials, where the superexchange path along som e directions is indirect and the corresponding constants $J_{i j}$ are very sm all. A lthough such cases, as wellas singlet ground state system s in which $D$ is intrinsically very large $m$ ay also be of interest, in the present paper we w ill alw ays im ply condition $\ddagger j \quad j_{i j} j \notin 0$ ful lled. $W$ e also restrict our attention to the cases in which sum $m$ ation in ( $\mathbf{I I}_{1}$ ) is perform ed over a single $B$ ravais lattioe $w$ th $N$ sites.

In the spin-w ave theory, the ground state and the excitation spectrum of quantum H am iltonian (11) are obtained in a sem iclassical approxim ation, based on $1=S$ expansion. The starting point for such calculation is to $n d$ an equilibrium spin con guration $w$ ith the $m$ inimum ground state ( $\mathrm{G} S$ ) energy $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{G} ~}$ in the classicallim it, S ! 1 . In the case of the isotropic H eisenberg H am itonian, a rather com plete spin-w ave theory describing
 H ow ever, the problem becom es extrem ely com plicated if the anisotropy w hich exists in realcom pounds is included together $w$ th the $m$ agnetic eld. In this case, even for classical helim agnets, very little general results were actually obtained. Som e particular cases were successfiully treated in Refs. ${ }_{1}^{2}$ and hardly usable expressions (in part, because an attem pt to account for the six-fold anisotropy, in addition to the easy-plane anisotropy, $m$ ade problem $m$ uch $m$ ore com plicated). H ere we present calculation of the ground
 ferent orientations of the $m$ agnetic eld, and taking into account the anisotropy (but not the eld) as a rst-order perturbation of the exchange H am iltonian.

## II. FA ILUREOFTHEWEAKCONDITION

It is known that ground state of a system of equivalent classical spins on a sim ple $B$ ravais lattice, in the exchange approxim ation, is a at spin spiral described by a wave-vector $Q$ (this includes ferrom agnetism ( $Q=0$ ) and antiferrom agnetism ( $\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{K}=2$ ) as particular cases). A rigorous proof of this result is obtained by solving the $m$ athem atical problem of nding the absolute $m$ inim um of the function ([1]), which depends on 3 N classical variables $S_{i}$, under $N$ conditions,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{i}^{2}=S^{2} ; 8 i ; \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

im posed on the lengths of the classical spins. This problem is solved by introducing $N$ Lagrange multipliers ${ }_{i}$.

Sw itching to the Fourier representation to use the lattice translationalsym $m$ etry, one obtains the follow ing system of equations for spin con guration which $m$ inim izes the H am iltonian

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{k^{0}} S_{k k}{ }^{0}=S_{k ; 0}: \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

$H$ ere $J_{k}, S_{k}$, and $k$ are the lattioe Fourier transform $s$ of the functions $J_{i j}, S_{i}$, and ${ }_{i}$, respectively; $e_{z}$ is a unit vector along $z$ direction, and $k ; 0_{-}$is a three-dim ensional K ronekker sym bol. From Eq. (3-1) we easily obtain the classical ground-state energy as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\mathrm{~N}} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{GS}}={ }_{0} \mathrm{~S}^{2} \quad \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{~S}_{0}: \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

There are tw o points to note here. F irst, E q. ( $\overline{3} \overline{-})$ is a com plicated inhom ogeneous system of nonlinear equations, forwhich no generalsolution is easily found. Second, the resultant ground-state energy explicitly depends only on the values of 0 and $S_{0}$ (on the latter only if $H \in 0$ ), so all $k$ for $k \in 0$ seem to be irrelevant. This fact encourages one to look for the solution for which the Lagrange multipliers have the form $k=0 \mathrm{k} ; 0$ and the system is largely linearized. Evidently, such procedure is equivalent to taking into account only one of the N conditions given by the second relation in Eq. (3i), or

${ }_{i} S_{i}^{2}=N S^{2}$. This standard approach was form alized by Lyons and K aplan! ${ }^{51}$. Then, the solution is easily found in the form of a single-Q spiral,

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{S}_{0} \mathrm{k} ; 0 \\
+\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{Q}} \mathrm{k} ; \mathrm{Q}+\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{Q}} \mathrm{k} ; \mathrm{Q} ;  \tag{5}\\
0=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{Q}}=\mathrm{Nm} \operatorname{minf} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~g} ;
\end{array}
$$

which is actually a correct result for $D \quad 0$ and $H$ along the $z$ axis. In this case one can easily choose vectors $S_{0}$ and $S_{Q}$ in $\left(\bar{S}_{1} \overline{1}\right)$ that satisfy allN \strong" conditions $\left.\overline{-2}\right)$ forany $D$, or forany direction ofthe eld, ifD $=0$. Thus, according to the Luttinger- T isza theorem, the resultant
 the energy ( IIn $_{1}^{1}$ ) under the \strong" conditions (21).

U nfortunately, except for the above im portant but sim ple cases, sim ple solution obtained in this w ay appears to be physically $m$ eaningless. For exam ple, for the negative (easy-axis) anisotropy, the $\mathrm{H}=0 \mathrm{~m}$ agnetic structure predicted w th the help of the \w eak" condition is a collinear con guration w ith spins of varying length, parallelto the z axis ${ }^{\frac{4_{1}}{1}} \mathrm{~T}$ his prediction, in particular, contradicts the experim ental data in $\mathrm{CSNiC} l_{3}$ and related compounds, where the easy-axis anisotropy is know $n$ to align the spin plane parallel to $z$-axis and to distort slightly the perfect 120 exchange structure, initially described by a spiral w ith $Q=\left(\frac{1}{3} ; \frac{1}{3} ; 1\right)$, in reciprocal lattice units (rlu). In fact, the \incorrect" collinear phase, corresponding to
the longitudinal helim agnet, also appears in C sN iC $\mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{l}_{1} \text {, in }}$ the narrow tem perature intervalbetw een the tw $\circ \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{I}_{1}^{18}$.
$T$ he reason for this contradiction and inconsistency of the trick with the \weak" condition is the follow ing. It is easy to see, that by weakening the conditions on the spin length one $m$ ay obtain additional $m$ inim a that do not satisfy Eq. $\left.\bar{Z}_{1}\right)$. These artifacts are thrown aw ay by verifying all the conditions explicitly. H ow ever, som e extrem a of the initial problem (3) are also lost in this way. This can be visualized by considering the energy surface ( $\overline{11}$ ) in the space of spin com ponents, cut through by the \physical" m anifold (2l). If the realsolution, ie the globalm inim um, is one of the intersection points, it will be inevitably $m$ issed by em ploying the \weak" condition, since it is not an extrem um of the function $\left[\left[_{1}\right)\right.$ in the extended space.

## III. TRANSFORMATION TOTHELOCAL AXES

In order to perform the spin-w ave calculation, one denes the local coordinate axes, $x_{1} y_{i} z_{i}$, such that classical spin at a site ipoints in $z_{i}$ direction. The spin operators are then transform ed to these axes and expanded into a series in Bose operators, eg using $H$ olstein $P$ rim ako transform ation. Because the local axis $z_{i}$ is a classical equilibrium direction for spin at site $i$, the linear in spin deviations (ie, in operators $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{i}}^{+}$; $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}$ ) term s are absent in the resultant boson H am iltonian. Starting from this point, one can develop a slightly di erent procedure to nd the classical ground state of the H am iltonian (171) and its sub-
sequent decom position into a series in B ose operators. $F$ irst, we transform the spins from the crystallogra cxyz fram $e$ to the localcoordinate axes $x_{i} y_{i} z_{i}$. In general, th is is achieved by two rotations: rst, by an angle ${ }_{i}$ around the $y$ axis, and then by an angle $i$ around the new $x_{i}^{0}$ axis, as show $n$ in $F i g$. 1. In the resultant local fram e the spin operators are

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{kT}_{2 ; \mathrm{i}} \mathrm{k} \quad \mathrm{kT} ; \mathrm{i} \mathrm{k} \quad \mathrm{~S}=\mathrm{kT}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{k} \quad \mathrm{~S} ; \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here the transform ation $m$ atrices are de ned by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{kT}_{1 ; \mathrm{i}} \mathrm{k}=\begin{array}{ccc}
\cos & 0 & \sin _{\mathrm{i}} \\
0 & 1 & 0
\end{array} \quad \text {; } \\
& \sin _{i} 0 \cos _{i} \\
& k T_{2 ; i} k=\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \cos & \sin _{i} & : \\
0 & \sin & & \\
\cos &
\end{array}  \tag{7}\\
& 0 \sin i_{i}^{c o s} i
\end{align*}
$$

The transform ed H am iltonian becom es,

$$
\hat{H}=\underbrace{X}_{i ; j} J_{i j} S_{i} T_{i j}^{(e x)} S_{j}+D^{X} S_{i} T_{i}^{(a)} S_{i}
$$

Here the $m$ atrices $T_{i}^{(a)}$ and $T_{i j}^{(e x)}$, which de ne the bilinear in spin com ponents form s com ing from the anisotropy and the exchange coupling, respectively, are given by

$$
T_{i j}^{(a)}=\begin{array}{ccc}
\sin ^{2} i_{i} & \sin i \sin i \cos i & \cos i \sin i \cos i \\
\sin i \sin _{i} \cos i & \sin ^{2} \cos ^{2} \cos _{i} & \sin i \cos i \cos ^{2} i \tag{9}
\end{array}
$$

and,
$w_{i j}={ }_{i}{ }_{j}$. The angles $i$ and $i m$ ust be chosen to the linear, in $a_{i}^{+} ; \hat{a}_{i}$, contribution to the $H$ am iltonian so as to cancel the term $s S_{i}^{\times} S_{j}^{z}$ and $S_{i}^{y} S_{j}^{z}$ which give rise ( $\left.\underline{l}_{1}\right)$. This leads to the follow ing system of 2 N equations:

```
X
```



```
Xi
    2Jij (cos j Sin i sin jcos icos ij)
    i
```




F IG . 1: Transform ation to the local axes for the distorted exchange spiral.
where $\sim==S$. Evidently, this system for the angles ${ }_{i}$ and $i$ cannot be solved for arbitrary D and H.As it was $m$ entioned above, only the cases $D=0$ and $D>0, H \mathrm{kz}$ can be treated explicitly $\mathrm{l}^{1411}$. H ow ever, we can start $w$ ith the $H$ eisenberg exchange $H$ am iltonian in a $m$ agnetic eld
as a zero approxim ation and then look for the corrections, expanding them in powers of D. A very sim ilar approach was developed by A ndreev and M archenko르… in the fram ew ork of the phenom enological Lagrangian theory. T hey found a rather sim ple and general solution of the problem in the leading order of perturbation.

## IV. EASY-AXIS AN ISOTROPY (D < O)

$F$ irst, we consider the $m$ ore com plicated case of the easy-axis anisotropy, which leads to the $m$ ost generaldistortion of the exchange structure. W ithout the loss of generality we can set the $m$ agnetic eld to lie in the $y z$ plane. In this case the \right" unperturbed spiralshould contain the z axis already at $\mathrm{H}=0$. Therefore, we look for the solution of Eq. (11 11) in the form,

$$
\begin{array}{lc}
i & =Q r_{i}+i  \tag{12}\\
i & 0+\quad \sin 0=\frac{\sim H}{2\left(J_{0} \quad \zeta\right)}:
\end{array}
$$

In $m$ ost cases we will consider only the leading (ie, the low est order in D ) corrections ir i. Thus, substituting (121) into (111), it is su cient to expand the trigono$m$ etric functions to the rst order in i, $i$. A s a result, we obtain the follow ing equations for the deviations

```
X
    \(2 J_{i j}\left(\cos 0 \cos \left(Q r_{i j}\right) \quad i \quad \sin 0 \sin \left(Q r_{i j}\right) \quad i\right)\)
    i
    \(=2 J_{Q} \cos 0 \quad j+D \cos 0 \sin \left(2 Q r_{j}\right) \quad \sim H_{z}\left(\cos \left(Q r_{j}\right) \quad j+\sin \left(Q r_{j}\right)\right) ;\)
X
    \(2 J_{i j}\left[\left(\cos ^{2} \quad 0+\sin ^{2} 0 \cos \left(Q r_{i j}\right)\right) \quad i+\sin 0 \cos 0 \sin \left(Q r_{i j}\right) \quad i\right]\)
i
    \(=2\left(J_{0} \sin ^{2} 0+J_{Q} \cos ^{2} 0\right) \quad j+D \sin 0 \cos 0\left(1+\cos \left(2 Q r_{j}\right)\right)\)
        \(\left(\sim H_{y} \sin 0+\sim H_{z} \cos 0 \cos \left(Q r_{j}\right)\right) \quad j+\sim H_{z} \sin 0 \sin \left(Q r_{j}\right) \quad j\)
```

which are rather easy to solve. Indeed, upon convolution w ith $J_{i j} \cos \left(Q r_{i j}\right)$, the variations $i$ and $i$ should $y$ ield the trigonom etric functions $\cos \left(Q r_{j}\right), \sin \left(Q r_{j}\right)$, $\cos \left(2 Q r_{j}\right), \sin \left(2 Q r_{j}\right)$, etc, which $m$ ust cancel the right-
 in the form of an expansion, $i={ }_{n}\left(n \cos \left(n Q r_{i}\right)+\right.$ $n \sin \left(n Q r_{i}\right)$ ), where the order of the coe cients $n, n$ is $H^{n}$ and $D j^{n=2}$.

An im portant rem ark is due here. O ne can also look for the solution of Eq. (11 $\left.1_{1}^{\prime}\right) w$ th $i=+Q r_{i}+i_{i}$ instead of Eq . (12'). It di ens by rotation of the arbitrarily chosen \ rst" spin, and thus of the whole spin structure, by an angle $w$ thin the plane of the spiral. Evidently, in the leading approxim ation (to the rst or-
der in D ) all such structures have the sam e energy, as it was the case for the pure exchange. This fact re ects the rem aining continuous degeneracy of the ground state w ith respect to such rotations. M oreover, by perform ing the corresponding expansions, it can be shown in a straightforw ard algebraic $w_{p} \beta y$ that this degeneracy exists for allorders in $H$ and $\equiv$ jup to $n$ which satis es the condition ne 0 , if such $n$ exists. This condition $m$ eans that spin structure is a com $m$ ensurate spiral and can be described in term $s$ of $n$ sublattiges. In this case the continuous degeneracy corresponding to spin rotationsw ithin the spiralplane is lifted in the order $H^{n}$ and $D \mathrm{j}^{\mathrm{n}=2}$. The ground state preserves only n-fold degeneracy corresponding to the arbitrary choice of the \ rst"
spin, ie, to the rotation ofallspins in the exchange structure by an angle ( 2 m ) $=\mathrm{n}$ in the plane of the spiral. In the case of the incom $m$ ensurate helim agnet, where this discrete sym $m$ etry is absent, the continuous degeneracy of the ground state is not lifted.

T he above conclusions can be established from very general argum ents. Evidently, a perturpation of the n sublattjpe exchange spiral by adding $D{ }_{i} \cos ^{2}\left({ }^{( } i_{i}\right)$ and $H \quad i \cos (+i)$ to the exchange $H$ am iltonian is invariant $w$ ith respect to the transform ation ! + ( 2 m ) $=\mathrm{n}$. Thus, the perturbed ground-state energy should not contain harm onicsbelow $\cos (\mathrm{n})$ and $\sin (\mathrm{n})$. H ence, explicit dependence on the angle in its Taylor expansion w ith respect to the perturbation param eters D and H only appears in the $\mathrm{n}=2$-th and n -th order term S , respectively. A nother w ay to estab,lish this fact is based on exchange sym $m$ etry argum ent ${ }^{1} 19$. Exchange structure w ith n sublattioes has an n -fold rotation axis perpendicular to the spin plane. $T$ hus, the low est-orderperturbation of the exchange energy which has appropriate sym $m$ etry and which lifts such rotations must be proportional to $\cos (\mathrm{n})$. In the case of the uniaxial anisotropy which already contains the tw o-fold sym $m$ etry, it is achieved in the $n=2$-th order of perturbation. M agnetic eld, on the other hand, can lift the n-fold rotation axis characteristic of exchange spiral only in the $n$-th order of perturbation. W ith the continuous GS degeneracy rem oved, the energy gap appears in the corresponding (form erly) Goldstone mode. This gap is induced by an le ective eld" $D^{n=2}$, or $H^{n}$, and, therefore, is of the order D $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{=}}$, and $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{n}=2}$, respectively.
This situation was closely studied in the hexagonal C sN iC $l_{3}$-type antiferrom agnets, which are our reference in the present paper. It was show $n$, that in the easy-axis case the structure w ith $=0$, described by Eqs. (12 $2_{1}^{1}$ ), is stabilized by the anisotropy in the third order of perturs, bation, and that the $m$ agnon gap $\quad D j^{3=2}$ appears ${ }^{20}, 211$ For the easy-plane antiferrom agnetic spiral in the transverse eld the situation is di erent (as we disquiss later), and the structure $w$ ith $==2$ is realized $1^{1214} n^{1}$. Below, we consider the solution (12), which is stabilized by the easy-axis anisotropy, $D<\overline{0}$, for tw o im portant orientations of the $m$ agnetic eld.
A. M agnetic eld perpendicular to $z$-axis

This eld orientation gives rise to the most general distortion ofthe exchange spiral. D eviations of the angles satisfying (13) are found in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& i=\# \sin \left(2 Q r_{i}\right) ; \quad i=\frac{D}{2\left(J_{0} \mathrm{~W}\right)} \operatorname{tg} 0+\prime \cos \left(2 Q r_{i}\right) ; \\
& \#=\frac{D}{J_{3 Q} \overleftarrow{W}}+\frac{D}{J_{2 Q} \overleftarrow{W}^{2}} \operatorname{tg}^{2} 0 ;^{\prime}=\frac{D}{J_{2 Q}} \operatorname{tg} 0: \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

N ote, that according to these expressions, the an isotropy causes a small modulation of the spiral winding angle, ( $\left(r_{i}\right)$, which propagates as $2\left(Q r_{i}\right)$. This naturally results in appearance of the harm onics at wavevectors $2 Q$ and $3 Q$ in the lattioe Fourier transform of the ground-state spin arrangem ent, in agreem ent $w$ ith the early results of N agam iya et $\mathrm{al}, 1{ }_{1}^{13}$ and C ooper and Elliott ${ }^{4}{ }^{51}$. A nother point to be mentioned here is that sm all variations ( $\left(1 \underline{1}_{1}^{1}\right)$, which are treated here in the linear approxim ation, actually contain term swhich increase $w$ ith the $m$ agnetic eld (the $m$ ost dram atic is the second term in \#, proportional to $\mathrm{H}^{2}$ ). Therefore, although these expressions are valid up to very high elds, they fail near the spin- ip transition, at

$$
\sim H_{s} \quad 2\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\left(\begin{array}{|}
6
\end{array}\right. & \text { あ) } \quad 1+\frac{D}{2\left(J_{2 Q} \text { б }^{2}\right)}: ~
\end{array}\right.
$$

In fact, this failure is indicative of a phase transition to a new spin arrangem ent of the \fan" type, that was considered in detail by $N$ agam iya et alll A sim ple way to study this transition and the behavior of the system in the vicinity of the spin- ip eld $H_{3}$, is to expand the angles $i$ in small deviations from $=2$. This approach was applied in R ef.14, where the eld-induced transitions in the easy-plane triangular antiferrom agnet $\mathrm{CsM} \mathrm{nB} r_{3}$ were studied.

The classical ground-state energy corresponding to the spin arrangem ent of the globalm inim um found above can be easily calculated from Eqs. (7, $\overline{1})\{(10)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{G S} & =N^{2} S_{Q}+\frac{1}{2} D \quad\left(\begin{array}{l}
\omega \\
\\
\end{array}\right. \\
& \left.=N S^{2} \quad J_{Q}+\frac{1}{2} D\right) \sin ^{2} 0 \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have introduced the transverse spin susceptibillty per site, as follow s,

$$
\begin{align*}
? & =\frac{1}{2\left(J_{0} \omega_{2}\right) \mathrm{D}} \\
& =\frac{1}{2\left(\mathrm{~J}_{0} \overleftarrow{\sigma}^{2}\right)} 1+\frac{\mathrm{D}}{2\left(\mathrm{~J}_{0} \overleftarrow{W}^{2}\right)}+O D^{2}: \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

Spin structures are usually studied in neutron scattering experim ents, by $m$ easuring the intensities of the $m$ agnetic $B$ ragg peaks. At each $k$, these are proportional to the structure factor, ie the square of the absolute value of the corresponding Fourier transform of spin density, $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{k}}$, which, therefore, can be called a B ragg am plitude. T hus, for com parison $w$ ith the experim ent, it is im portant to calculate the nonzero B ragg am plitudes $S_{k}$ which result from the solution ( $\left.\mathbf{1}_{1}^{\prime} \overline{4}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$. Introducing the unit vectors $e_{x}$
and $e_{y}$ along the $x$ and $y$ axes，respectively，we obtain，

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{0}=e_{y} S \sin 0 \quad 1+\frac{\mathrm{D}}{2\left(\mathrm{~J}_{0} \mathrm{~W}\right)}=\text { ? ( } \mathrm{H} \text { ) ; } \\
& S_{Q}=\frac{1}{2}\left(e_{z} \quad i e_{X}\right) S \cos 0 \quad 1 \frac{D}{2\left(J_{0} \quad \omega_{Q}\right)} \operatorname{tg}^{2} 0 \\
& \left(e_{2}+i e_{x}\right) S \cos 0 \frac{D}{4\left(J_{3 Q} \overleftarrow{Q}^{2}\right)}+\frac{D}{2\left(J_{2 Q} \overleftarrow{W}^{2}\right)} \operatorname{tg}^{2} 0 \quad ; \\
& S_{2 Q}=e_{y} S \sin 0 \frac{D}{2\left(J_{2 Q} \text { あ }^{2}\right)} \text {; } \\
& S_{3 Q}=\left(e_{z} \quad i_{2}\right) S \cos 0 \frac{D}{4\left(J_{3 Q} \quad \omega_{Q}\right)}: \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

In calculating the B ragg intensities，$I_{k} \quad \dot{j}_{k}{ }^{\rho}$ ，one has to keep in $m$ ind that vectors $S_{Q}$ and $S_{3 Q}$ have a nonzero im aginary part．

> B. M agnetic eld along z-axis; low elds

Th is case seem s to be less com plicated，since the dis－ tortion of the exchange spiral $(\underline{\underline{p}})$ ）occurs only at low elds， $H<H_{c} \quad \bar{D} j$ ，and is such that it leaves the spins co－planar．This $m$ eans that $i=0$ ，and that the second equation in（11）and in（13）is autom atically satis ed． The rst equation is reduced to a rather sim ple relation， from which，to the leading order in $D$ and $H$ ，the sm all deviations i are，

$$
\begin{align*}
i & =\# \sin \left(2 Q r_{i}\right)+\sin \left(Q r_{i}\right) ; \\
\# & =\frac{D}{J_{3 Q} \quad \overleftarrow{W}} ; \quad=\frac{\sim H}{J_{0}+J_{2 Q} \quad 2 \zeta}: \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

It is clear from these expressions，that a leading order is insu cient for our approxim ation．To be consistent to the rst order in the anisotropy constant D，one has to Berform the expansions to the second order in

H
$\bar{D} \mathrm{j}$ ．Such im proved procedure leads to the follow ing corrected expression for \＃，

$$
\begin{equation*}
\#=\frac{D}{J_{3 Q}}+2 \frac{J_{2 Q}}{J_{3 Q}} \frac{\bar{W}}{\bar{W}} \quad \frac{1}{4}: \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The corresponding ground－state energy and the parallel susceptibility per spin are

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{G S} & =N S^{2} J_{Q}+\frac{1}{2} D \quad \frac{(\sim H)^{2}}{4\left(J_{0}+J_{2 Q} 2 \sigma\right)} ; \\
k & =\frac{1}{2\left(J_{0}+J_{2 Q} \quad 2 あ\right)} ; \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

so that we can rew rite，$=2_{\mathrm{k}}(\sim \mathrm{H})$ ．The B ragg am－ plitudes are also easy to calculate，

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{0}=e_{z} S \frac{\sim H}{2\left(J_{0}+J_{2, Q} \quad 2 J_{Q}\right)}=k_{k} \quad H ;
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\frac{i}{2} e_{x} S \frac{1}{2}{ }^{2} \frac{D+{ }^{2}\left(J_{2 Q} \text { あ }\right)}{J_{3 Q}{ }^{\text {あ }}} \text {; } \\
& S_{2 Q}=\quad\left(e_{2} \quad i e_{2}\right) S \frac{\sim H}{4\left(J_{0}+J_{2 Q} \quad 2 \zeta\right)} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{kH}\left(e_{\mathrm{z}} \quad \mathrm{iex}_{\mathrm{k}}\right) \text {; } \\
& S_{3 Q}=\left(e_{z} \quad i e_{x}\right) S \frac{D+{ }^{2}\left(J_{2 Q} \quad \text { あ }\right)}{4\left(J_{3 Q} \quad \text { あ }\right)}: \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

A ctually，we can calculate the hom ogeneous com ponent ofthe spin density， $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ ，（ie them agnetization）w ith better accuracy than in Eq．（2 $\mathbf{2 1}_{1}^{1}$ ），to $\quad \mathrm{D} \mathrm{j}^{3=2}$ ．To be com pletely consistent in this order，one should add to $i$ term $s$ like $\sin \left(3 Q r_{i}\right)$ ，w ith $\quad D^{3=2}$ ．H ow ever，retained in the rst order，these term swould not contribute to $S_{0}$ ．For our punpose it is therefore su cient to take $i$ in the form（18），and sim ply retain $m$ ore term $s$ in the subse－ quent expansions．In this way we obtain the following correction to $S_{0}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& +(4 \sim H)^{2}{ }_{k}^{3}\left(J_{2 Q} \quad \text { あ }\right) \frac{J_{2 Q}}{J_{3 Q}} \frac{\text { あ }}{\text { あ }} \quad \frac{1}{2}:  \tag{22}\\
& \text { ! \# }
\end{align*}
$$

This expression includes the leading nonlinearity of the $m$ agnetization，which is often im portant for com parison w ith experim ent．

C．M agnetic eld along z－axis；high elds
$T$ his is the sim plest and the best－know $n$ case．At som e critical eld，$H_{C} \quad 叩 j$ ，a usual spin－op transition takes place，and structure becom es the sam e as that of the $m$ agnetized exchange spiral，$(\underline{5})$ ，

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{k} & =e_{z} S \sin 0 k_{; 0}+\left(e_{x}+i e_{y}\right) S \cos 0 k ; Q \\
& +\left(e_{x} \quad i e_{y}\right) S \cos 0 k ; Q \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

In this case，

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{G S} & =N^{2} S^{2} \quad \frac{(\sim H)^{2}}{4\left(J_{0} \quad \overleftarrow{W}+D\right)} \\
\sin 0 & =\frac{\sim H}{2\left(J_{0} \quad \overleftarrow{W}+D\right)}: \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

These expressions hold up to a fullsaturation. T he corresponding spin- ip eld, $\mathrm{H}_{3}$, is de ned from the condition
 the ground state energy, one obtains the follow ing universal form ula for the spin- op eld H ,

> V. EASY PLANEAN ISOTROPY (D >0)

For the easy-plane H eisenberg helim agnet, spin reorientation in $m$ agnetic eld reveals no new features in addition to those described in the previous section. A 11 spin con gurations occurring in this case have their exact analogs for the easy-axis helim agnet. Therefore, it is su cient to establish the correspondence betw een the cases. The simplest case is that of $m$ agnetic eld directed along the $z$ axis. The spin arrangem ent in this case appears to be exactly the sam e as in Sec. 4.3, and is described by the sam e expressions, Eqs. (23]) and (214'). $H$ ow ever, here we $m$ ust keep in $m$ ind that constant $D^{-}$is now positive.

If the eld is applied in the easy plane, eg along the x axis, the spin arrangem ent in low elds is sim ilar to that
described in Sec. 42. This correspondence, how ever, is not as direct as the one above. Since all spins lie in the sam e plane, the solution of Eqs. (11) is obtained with $i==2$ and $i=Q r_{i}+i$. The rst equation is then autom atically satis ed, while the second one, for i, becom es exactly the sam e as equation for $i$ in the case of a small eld along the $z$-axis in the easy-axis case, $D<0, H \mathrm{kz}, \mathrm{H}<\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{c}}$, but with $\mathrm{D}=0$. Since now the sym $m$ etry breaking in the (easy) spin plane is di erent, we m ust consider tw o possible solutions.
A.F irst, we assume $i=\sin Q r_{i}+\# \sin 2 Q r_{i}$,which is exactly the sam e as considered in Sec.42. In this case we nd that and \# are given by Eqs. (18) and (19), but w th $\mathrm{D}=0$. It is clear from the transform ation Eq. (7) that the resultant Fourier com ponents of the spin density are given by the sam e expressions (21), only $w$ th $e_{x}$ and $e_{z}$ replaced by $e_{y}$ and $e_{x}$, respectively. Evidently, such solution can be stabilized by the $m$ agnetic eld in the case of a nearly ferrom agnetic helim agnet, where spins tend to align along the eld direction.
B. Now consider the solution $w$ ith $i=2+$ $\cos Q r_{i} \# \sin 2 Q r_{i}$. Param eters and \# are again given by Eqs. (18) and (19). A s discussed above, in our approxim ation this solution is degenerate $w$ ith the previous (A ), and di ers from it only by the phase m ultipliers in B ragg am plinudes,

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{2 Q}=\left(e_{x} \quad i_{Q}\right) S \frac{\sim H}{4\left(J_{0}+J_{2 Q} \quad 2 \omega_{Q}\right)}=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{k} H\left(\begin{array}{ll}
e_{x} & \left.i_{Q}\right)
\end{array}\right) ; \\
& S_{3 Q}=\left(e_{q}+i e_{x}\right) S^{2} \frac{J_{2 Q}}{4\left(J_{3 Q}\right.} \frac{\text { あ }}{\text { б })}: \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

It was shown by Chubukovil for the case of a sixsublattioe com $m$ ensurate helim agnet $\mathrm{CsM} \mathrm{nB} r_{3}$, that it is a type-B structure that is stabilized by $m$ agnetic eld in the sixth order, $H^{6}$. A non-zero frequency, corresponding to the $m$ agnon gap $H^{3}$, appears in the $m$ agnetic resonance spectrum . M agnetic eld favors this solution for an antiferrom agnetic spiral because in this case it tends to align all spins penpendicular to its direction. In a general case of $n$-sublattioe antiferrom agnetic spiral, structure (2) is stabilized by the $m$ agnetic eld in the $n$-th order, as we have discussed in Sec. 4.

Above the spin- op transition which occurs for $H$ ? $z$ and $D>0$ at sam e $H_{c}$, given by ( 2 혼), the spin structure
becom es exactly the sam e as that discussed in Sec. 4.1 (assum ing $H \mathrm{ky}$ ), and is described by the sam e expressions, but with $\mathrm{D}>0$.

## VI. CONCLUSION

In this paper we presented a general pertutbative treatm ent of the spin reorientation process for a weakly an isotropic spin spiral described by the classical H eisenberg spin $H$ am iltonian (1) in a magnetic eld. The calculations were perform ed up to the rst order in the an isotropy constant D. P reviously, each particular case
of this generalproblem wastreated individually, typically in the fram ew ork of them ulti-sublattice m odel. $M$ any exam ples of such approach are found in the literature devoted to calculating the spin reorientation in , \triangular" antiferrom agnets on hexagonal lattice 1,14 A part from the loss ofgenerality, in $m$ any im portant non-trivialcases such calculations are very di cult and lead to involved form ulas which depend on a particular setup of the exchange couplings. A s a result, in $m$ ost cases neither the spin-w aves spectrum, nor even the $m$ agnetic resonance frequencies, can be calculated in an explicit analytical form on the basis of the sublattioe $m$ odel. In contrast, the description presented here is quite general, and the results are rather sim ple. They provide a solid basis for spin-w ave calculations and for the estim ates of the spin-
uctuation contribution to the ground-state energy which can often be very im portant (even for selecting the correct ground state). A lthough here we calculated the spin con gurations only for two principal orientations of the $m$ agnetic eld, the derivation can be easily generalized for any eld orientation. To this end, one must simply retain all relevant term $s$ in Eqs. (11), (13), and adm ix the $\sin \left(Q r_{i}\right)$ and $\cos \left(Q r_{i}\right)$ rotations to the angle variations in $i$. Thus, for exam ple, som e results reported in Ref. 11411 can be obtained in a m ore generalw ay.

A s discussed above, one can treat the $m$ agnetic structures and the long-w avelength spin dynam ics with in the perturbation approach based on exchange approxim ation in the fram ew ork of the phenom enological $m$ acroscopic Lagrangian, theory, as was proposed by A ndreev and $M$ archenko ${ }^{191}$. For the system s described by the H am iltonian (1) the leading relativistic corrections are equivalent to our treatm ent of the anisotropy. Them acroscopic
theory has the advantage of being less sensitive to the uctuation e ects (the $m$ ean- eld ground state is chosen better, to partially account for the uctuations). H ow ever, it also has two substantial disadvantages in com parison $w$ th the $m$ icroscopic description presented here. First, it is not aim ed at (and is not suitable for) calculating a m icroscopic spin-density distribution, or a spinwave spectrum in the whole B rillouin zone. Therefore, the results cannot be directly com pared w ith neutron scattering experim ents which are the $m$ ost sensitive and powerful tool for studying spin system s. Second, to reproduce the higher-order e ects, such as nonlinearm agnetization given by Eq. (222), m acroscopic theory requires introducing additional phenom enological constants. It is clear, that $m$ icroscopic theory which is developed here in the classical-spin approxim ation is far from being com plete, and requires additional treatm ent of quantum corrections. This point, as well as calculation of the spinwave spectra, are beyond the scope of this paper, and will be sub jects of our further studies.
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