

# How do sound waves in a Bose-Einstein condensate move so fast?

P. M. Stevenson

T. W. Bonner Laboratory, Physics Department  
Rice University, P. O. Box 1892, Houston, TX 77251-1892, USA

## Abstract:

Low momentum excitations of a dilute Bose-Einstein condensate behave as phonons and move at a finite velocity  $v_s$ . Yet the atoms making up the phonon excitation each move very slowly;  $v_a = p/m \rightarrow 0$ . A simple "cartoon picture" is suggested to understand this phenomenon intuitively. It implies a relation  $v_s = v_a N_{ex}$ , where  $N_{ex}$  is the number of excited atoms making up the phonon. This relation does indeed follow from the standard Bogoliubov theory.

In a dilute, non-ideal Bose-Einstein (BE) condensate the spectrum of quasiparticle excitations is linear at low momentum:  $E(p) \approx v_s p$ . Thus, long wavelength excitations are phonons | quantized sound waves | that travel at speed  $v_s$ . The standard Bogoliubov theory gives this result and it is also expected on general grounds since hydrodynamics applies to any medium at long length scales.

However, this familiar result has a puzzling aspect to it. Very low momentum atoms move very slowly while very low momentum phonons move at a finite speed  $v_s$ . But how can a phonon in a dilute medium move so much faster than the atoms that make it up? Surprisingly, this issue seems not to be addressed in the literature. The purpose of this brief note is to offer an intuitive explanation.

It is important to stress that the puzzle arises because we are considering a dilute medium. In solids and liquids, where the atoms are "touching" (separations less than the interaction range), a tiny motion of one atom can be transmitted almost immediately to its neighbours, allowing compression and other waves to move at speeds much greater than the speed of the atoms themselves. However, in a dilute gas with only short-range interactions (and theoretically the interatomic potential can be replaced with a delta-function pseudopotential [1]) disturbances can only be communicated onwards by the atoms themselves travelling from one collision to the next. Thus, the disturbance should propagate no faster than the atoms' own speeds. Indeed, for an ordinary, classical gas the speed of sound is of order the average speed (r.m.s. velocity) of the atoms for this very reason.

Of course, the above intuitive argument is inherently classical. One may immediately observe that it can fail in the BE-condensate case because the atoms are not localized entities | each atom's wavefunction spreads out over distances much greater than the interatomic spacing. While that observation is enough to remove any logical paradox it only shows that the trick is possible; it does not really explain how the trick is done. The aim here is to offer a more tangible intuitive picture. (It should be recognized, however, that any intuitive explanation of an inherently quantum phenomenon will necessarily involve an element of "quantum mystery" that is not satisfactorily explicable in classical terms.)

In the standard theory of a uniform dilute Bose gas [1, 2] the quasiparticle annihilation operators  $b_k$  are related to the atom annihilation operators  $a_k$  by a Bogoliubov transformation:

$$a_k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{v_s^2 k^2}{c^2}}} b_k + \frac{v_s k}{c} b_k^\dagger \quad ; \quad (1)$$

with

$$\epsilon_k = 1 + \frac{p^2}{2m} + \frac{p^4}{4m^2 na}; \quad k^2 = (8\pi na); \quad (2)$$

where  $n$  is the number density and  $a$  is the scattering length. (We set  $\hbar = 1$ .) The quasiparticle spectrum is obtained as

$$\epsilon(p) = \frac{1 + \frac{p^2}{2m}}{1 - \frac{p^2}{4m^2 na}} \epsilon_0(p) \quad (3)$$

where  $\epsilon_0(p) = \frac{p^2}{2m}$  is the original atom spectrum. The resulting Bogoliubov spectrum is

$$\epsilon(p) = \frac{p^2}{2m} \frac{p^2}{p^2 + 16\pi na} \quad (4)$$

Thus, a low-momentum quasiparticle moves at a finite speed  $d\epsilon/dp = \frac{p}{4m^2 na} = v_s$ .

Due to interactions the ground state, even at zero temperature, does not have all the atoms in the  $p = 0$  mode [1, 2]. The number of non-condensate atoms is

$$N - N_0 = \sum_{k \neq 0} a_k^\dagger a_k \quad (5)$$

Substituting (1) and using the fact that the ground state is annihilated by  $b_k$  gives

$$\langle 0 | a_k^\dagger a_k | 0 \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{p^2}{k^2} \right); \quad (6)$$

(which leads to the familiar result for the depletion  $1 - N_0/N = \frac{8}{3} \pi a^3 n$ .) A similar computation in a one-quasiparticle excited state  $|p\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (b_p^\dagger + b_{-p}^\dagger) |0\rangle$  gives

$$\langle p | a_k^\dagger a_k | p \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{p^2}{k^2} + \frac{p^2}{p^2} + \frac{p^2}{p^2} \right); \quad (7)$$

which shows that an extra number  $N_{ex}$  of atoms have been excited from the condensate into the  $p$  and  $-p$  modes. Specifically,

$$N_{ex} = N_+ + N_- = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{p^2}{4m^2 na}} + \frac{1}{1 - \frac{p^2}{4m^2 na}}; \quad (8)$$

Note that  $N_+ = N_- + 1$ , giving a net momentum  $p$  to the whole excitation.

When  $p$  is large one has  $\frac{p^2}{4m^2 na} \rightarrow 0$ , so that  $N_{ex} \rightarrow 1$ . Thus, a high-momentum quasiparticle is essentially a single moving atom. For small  $p$ , however, one has  $\frac{p^2}{4m^2 na} \rightarrow 1$ , so that  $N_{ex}$  becomes very large. Thus, a low-momentum quasiparticle (a phonon) is a coherent state of many atoms with momenta  $p$  and  $-p$ .

Now we ask: What are all these excited atoms doing? ...and how does the collective excitation of slow-moving atoms manage to move so fast? As an answer we suggest the picture in Fig. 1.



Figure 1: Cartoon picture of a phonon. Solid arrows represent excited atoms with momentum  $p$  or  $-p$ . Dashed arrows represent condensate atoms.

This picture shows a series of "creations" (where two condensate atoms scatter into  $p$  and  $-p$  atoms) and "annihilations" (where a  $p$  and a  $-p$  atom scatter back into two condensate atoms). (Each "creation" event "borrows" some energy that is repaid in the subsequent "annihilation" event.) The "creations" occur in rapid succession from left to right, resulting in an apparent motion at high speed. The situation can be seen more clearly in the spacetime picture, Fig. 2, in which each individual leg has a steep slope (the slope being the inverse of the atom speed), but the overall zig-zag path has a shallow slope (corresponding to the high sound speed).




Figure 2: Spacetime picture

Of course, this picture is not to be taken too literally since it assigns definite trajectories to quantum mechanical particles. What we have in mind is that path in Fig. 2, together with a set of similar, but more irregular zig-zag paths having the same average slope, form the class of paths that dominate the multiparticle path integral for the system. Note that

the arrangement of "creation" and "annihilation" events in quick succession requires a sort of "conspiracy" – but one that is possible given the quantum coherence of the condensate as a whole. (This "conspiracy" represents the element of "quantum mystery" referred to earlier as unavoidable in any attempt to intuitively explain an inherently quantum-mechanical phenomenon.)

Let us examine some consequences of the picture in Fig. 2 (taking it literally, despite our previous caveat). At any given instant, corresponding to the thin horizontal line, there are many excited atoms present; half left-moving and half (plus one extra) right-moving. If neighbouring creation events are separated in space by  $L$  and separated in time by  $\tau$  then the overall speed of the zig-zag path – the sound speed – is  $v_s = L/\tau$ . Let the time interval between a "creation" and a subsequent "annihilation" be  $T$  (with  $T \gg \tau$  when  $N_{ex} \gg 1$ ). Each atom covers a distance  $L=2\tau v_s$  in time  $T$ , giving an atom speed of  $v_a = \frac{L}{T}$ . Hence the ratio  $\frac{v_s}{v_a} = \frac{L}{2\tau T} = \frac{2T}{N_{ex}}$ . That is, the spacetime picture implies, for low momentum, the formula

$$v_s = v_a = N_{ex} : \quad (9)$$

Since the velocities follow from differentiating the respective spectra, and since  $N_{ex}$  is given by (8), the above equation is

$$\frac{dE(p)}{dp} = \frac{1 + \frac{2}{p}}{1 - \frac{2}{p}} \frac{dE}{dp} : \quad (10)$$

This relationship indeed follows from (3) after some algebra, employing the properties of  $p$  of (2). In fact Eq. (10) is true at all  $p$ , although the connection with our "cartoon picture" is valid only for small  $p$ .

The preceding considerations do not fix the absolute length scale. However, since Fig. 1 does indeed look like a density undulation with a wavelength  $L$  we would expect  $L$  to be  $2\pi/p$  for momentum (wavenumber)  $p$ . We can justify this relation, in order-of-magnitude terms, as follows. Each "creation" event "borrows" an energy  $2E(p) = p^2/m$ , which is only "repaid" at the subsequent neighbouring "annihilations" a time  $T$  later. In the usual way this violation of local energy conservation can hide under the uncertainty principle provided  $T \sim 1/(2E)$ . This in turn implies that  $L \sim 2T \times \frac{2m}{p^2} p$ , and so  $L$  is of order  $1/p$ , as expected.

Experiments, notably by Ketterle's group [3, 4], have directly verified sound propagation in BE condensates. In such atom-trap experiments the longest wavelengths accessible are limited by the diameter of the cloud, hence  $p_{min} \sim \pi/R$ , where  $R$  is the Thomas-Fermi radius of the cloud in the appropriate direction. This consideration yields an upper

limit

$$\frac{v_s}{v_a} \approx 0.22 \frac{R}{\lambda} \quad (11)$$

where  $\lambda = \frac{h}{m v_a}$  is the healing length, related to  $v_s$  by  $v_s = \frac{h}{m \lambda}$ . The ratio of length scales may also be written as [5, 2]

$$\frac{R}{\lambda} = \frac{R^2}{a_{osc}^2} = \frac{a_{osc}^2}{2}; \quad (12)$$

where  $a_{osc} = \frac{h}{m \omega}$  is the nominal trap size, set by the angular frequency  $\omega$  of the harmonic trapping potential.

For a typical  $^{87}\text{Rb}$  trap with central density  $n = 10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ ,  $\omega = 2\pi \times 150 \text{ Hz}$ , and  $a = 5.3 \text{ nm}$  one finds that  $v_s/v_a \approx 20$ . For the long  $^{23}\text{Na}$  trap of Ref. [3] with  $2R = 450 \text{ nm}$  and  $a = 0.2 \text{ nm}$ , the maximum velocity ratio is about 250. However, since the length of the pulses created was only about  $20 \text{ nm}$ , the actual  $v_s/v_a$  ratio for the phonon states studied was around 20. That is still well into the region where the "puzzle" of  $v_s \neq v_a$  is an issue.

#### Acknowledgements

I thank Randy Hulet for helpful comments. This work was supported in part by the Department of Energy under Grant No. DE-FG 05-97ER 41031.

#### References

- [1] K. Huang, Statistical Mechanics, 2nd ed. (John Wiley, New York, 1987), Sect. 13.8.
- [2] C. J. Pethick and H. Smith, Bose-Einstein Condensation in Dilute Gases, Cambridge University Press, (Cambridge UK, 2002), Sect. 8.1
- [3] M. R. Andrews et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79, 553 (1997); Erratum 80, 2967 (1998).
- [4] J. M. Vogels et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 060402 (2002).
- [5] G. Baym and C. J. Pethick, Phys. Rev. Lett. 76, 6 (1996).