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#### Abstract

W e show that for any low est-Landau-level state of a trapped, rotating, interacting Bose gas, the particle distribution in coordinate space in a free expansion (tim e of ight) experim ent is related to that in the trap at the time it is tumed o by a sim ple rescaling and rotation. W hen the low est-Landau-level approxim ation is valid, interactions can be neglected during the expansion, even when they play an essential role in the ground state when the trap is present. The correlations in the density in a single snapshot can be used to obtain inform ation about the uid, such as whether a transition to a quantum $H$ all state has occurred.


$T$ here has recently been interest in states of trapped atom $s$ that are rotating near the critical frequency of a ham onic trap $\left[\underline{11}_{1}^{1}\left\{l_{1}^{\prime}\right]\right.$. W hen the atom $s$ are bosons, there is a vortex lattioe at $m$ oderate rotation rates [12 $]$, but at high rotation ratesquantum uctuations are predicted to $m$ elt the lattice $[$ $m$ any-body states that are related to those occurring for electrons in the quantum H alle ect $[1$ experim ental technique is to tum o the trap potential suddenly, and then take a snapshot of the cloud of atom s after it has expanded to $m$ any tim es its original size (a free-expansion or tim e-of ight experim ent). A question that arises is what inform ation can be extracted from such an im age of a quantum $H$ all state. In this note, we point out that in a relevant regim e, the density distribution in such a snapshot directly represents the density at the tim e of switch-o, but rescaled and rotated by 90 about the original rotation axis. This result is sim pler than the results of previous w ork on the free expansion of a Bose condensate in the G ross $P$ taevskii or Thom as Ferm i regim es, that can describe a Bose condensate or vortex lattice. W hile in a few cases the length scales of the density distribution are simply scaled up by a factor, $m$ ore generally the condensate function also evolves during expansion [1d $[1]$ ]. U sually, the form of the density distribution after a free expansion is not sim ply related to the initial density; rather, for noninteracting particles it is related to the $m$ om entum distribution. A though our result can be extracted from special cases of earlier analyses, the em phasis is di erent. W e emphasize that the neglect of interactions during the free expansion is justi ed whenever all the bosons can be assum ed to be in the low est Landau level (LLL) just before the $s w$ itch -0 , even though interactions $m$ ay be crucial in the highly-correlated ground state that exists before that time. C onsequently, the result applies to any LLL $m$ any-body state, not only a Bose condensate. (T hese points were also $m$ ade brie $y$ in $R$ ef. $\left[\begin{array}{l}1 \\ ]\end{array}\right.$ for the density expectation value.) W e also suggest how a snapshot of the particles after a free expansion can be used to obtain inform ation about the nature of the original state, as it constitutes a $m$ icroscope that enlarges the real-space
in age of the state.
W e begin by explaining the classical version of the problem, which willm ake the later quantum $m$ any-body treatm ent easily understandable. W e take particles of $m$ ass $M$ in a harm onic trap, so the particles $m$ ove in a three-dim ensional harm onic oscillator potential, w ith frequency $!_{3}$ for oscillation in the 3 direction, and !? for oscillation in the $1\{2$ plane. Thus in general our m odel has rotational sym $m$ etry about the 3 axis only. C onsider a single particle in this potential. The general form of an orbit is an ellipse centered at the center of the trap. H ow ever, we wish to focus on the singleparticle states referred to in the quantum case as the LLL. These are the states of low est kinetic energy for each positive value of the angularm om entum about the 3 axis. The corresponding classical orbits are circles in the $1\{2$ plane, circling the 3 axis in the positive direction. For such an orbit, the $m$ om entum when the particle is at $r=\left(x_{1} ; x_{2} ; x_{3}\right)$ is clearly $p=M \quad!\hat{n}_{3} \quad r$, where $\hat{n}_{3}$ is a unit vector in the 3 direction, and the angularm om entum
 at timet $=0$, at which $r=r_{0}, p=p_{0}$, the particle travels freely in a straight line. At time $t$ it $w$ ill be at
 $t$. H ence if we have a collection of particles all moving on such onbits, their distribution in the $1\{2$ plane a long tim et $1=!$ ? after rem oving the trap potential w illbe the sam e as it w as at $t=0$, except for a rescaling by ! ? $t$, and a rotation by $=2$ about the 3 axis. In this argum ent we have neglected the interactions betw een the particles once the trap potential is tumed O . W e will retum to this question after discussing the quantum case.
$W$ e now tum to the fully quantum $m$ echanical treat$m$ ent, beginning again $w$ th a single particle. Suppose the state at $\operatorname{tim} e t=0$ is $(r ; 0)=0(r)$. For the free $m$ otion, the solution is sim ple in $m$ om entum space. W e de ne the Fourier representation of the state by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(r ; t)=\frac{d^{3} k}{(2)^{3}} \sim(k ; t) e^{i k} r ; \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and sim ilarly for 0 . Then the state at timet is

$$
\begin{equation*}
(r ; t)=\frac{d^{3} k}{(2)^{3}} \sim_{0}(k) e^{i k} r i \sim k^{2} t=(2 M): \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

T he norm alized single－particle basis states for the LLL have w avefunctions

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{m}\left(z ; x_{3}\right)=\frac{z^{m} e^{\dot{z} j^{2}=\left(2 I_{3}^{2}\right)} x_{3}^{2}=\left(2 I_{3}^{2}\right)}{3=4 I_{?}^{m+1} I_{3}^{1=2} p} \overline{m!}: \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $z=x_{1}+i x_{2}$ ，the angularp om entum quantum num－ berm $=0,1,2, \ldots$ ，and $l_{?}=\overline{\sim \# \mathrm{M}!_{?}}, I_{3}=\overline{\sim \# \mathrm{M}!_{3}}$. $W$ e note that these states have theirm axim um am plitude on the circles in the $1\left\{2\right.$ plane of radius $\dot{\bar{z} j}=l_{?}{ }^{p} \bar{m}$ ， which is the same as that of the circular classical orbit w ith angular m om entum $\mathrm{m} \sim$ ．To calculate the Fourier transform $s$ ，it is useful to introduce the generating func－ tion

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{0}\left(z ; x_{3}\right)=e^{z=b} \quad \dot{z} j^{2}=\left(21_{2}^{2}\right) x_{3}^{2}=\left(21_{3}^{2}\right) ; \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

of which the $m$ th derivative $w$ ith respect to is propor－ tional to $u_{m}\left(z ; x_{3}\right)$ ．The Fourier transform of $h_{0}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { (2 })^{3=2} I_{?}^{2} I_{3} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{ik} \quad I_{?} \quad \mathrm{k}^{2} j^{2} I_{?}^{2}=2 \mathrm{k}_{3}^{2} I_{3}^{2}=2} \text {; } \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $k=k_{1}+i k_{2} \cdot U \operatorname{sing}\left(\underline{2_{2}}\right)$ ，at tim e $t$ ，the generating function evolves to

$$
\begin{align*}
& h\left(z ; x_{3} ; t\right)= \\
& \frac{e^{z=[b(1+i!? t)] \dot{\bar{z}} j^{2}=\left[21_{?}^{2}(1+i!? t)\right] x_{3}^{2}=\left[21_{3}^{2}\left(1+i!{ }_{3} t\right)\right]}}{(1+i!? t)\left(1+i!{ }_{3} t\right)^{1=2}}: \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

It follow s that if a general norm alized LLL initial state is written as $0\left(z ; x_{3}\right)=f(z) e^{\dot{J} j^{2}=\left(2 I_{j}^{2}\right)} x_{3}^{2}=\left(2 I_{3}^{2}\right)$ ，where $f(z)$ is complex analytic in $z$（for exam ple，$f$ can be a polynom ial），then at tim et it becom es

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(z ; x_{3} ; t\right)= \\
& \frac{f\left(\frac{z}{1+i!? t}\right) e^{\dot{j} j^{2}=\left[21_{2}^{2}(1+i!? t)\right] x_{3}^{2}=\left[21_{3}^{2}\left(1+i!{ }_{3} t\right)\right]}}{(1+i!? t)\left(1+i!{ }_{3} t\right)^{1=2}}: \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ he probability density is therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
& j \quad\left(z ; x_{3} ; t\right) J^{2}= \\
& \quad \frac{\text { jf }\left(\frac{z}{1+i!? t}\right) f e^{j} j^{2}=\left[n_{?}^{2}\left(1+!_{3}^{2} t^{2}\right)\right] x_{3}^{2}=\left[n_{3}^{2}\left(1+!_{3}^{2} t^{2}\right)\right]}{\left.\mathfrak{j}+i!? t)\left(1+i!{ }_{3} t\right)^{1=2}\right\}^{2}} \\
& \quad=\frac{0\left(\frac{z}{1+i!? t} ; p \frac{x_{3}}{1+!{ }_{3}^{2} t^{2}}\right)}{(1+i!? t)\left(1+i!{ }_{3} t\right)^{1=2}} \quad: \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

This is simply the initial probability density jof，with a rescaling and a rotation in the $1\left\{2\right.$ coordinates，and $x_{3}$ also rescaled．N otioe that the factor $1+i!$ ？$t$ describes the sam e rescaling and rotation of the initial com plex po－ sition $z_{0}=z=(1+i!$ ？$t)$ in the $1\{2$ plane as the $C$ artesian form ula $r=r_{0}+!$ ？trin $\quad r$ that appeared in the clas－ sical argum ent．W e should point out that our result is
a special lim iting case of expressions obtained by other m ethods［［10［12］${ }^{[12}$ ，on ignoring interactions and restricting to the LLL，and is im plicit in $\overline{1 r}_{1}$ ．

For large t（t $1=!$ ？, $1=!{ }_{3}$ ），we w ill be interested in $r$ of ordert，so de ne $V=r=t$ ．Ast！ 1 with $V$ xed， $(r ; t)$ itself is asym ptotic to

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{f\left(\frac{z}{i!? t}\right)}{i!? t\left(i!{ }_{3} t\right)^{1=2}} e^{j j^{2}\left[(i!? t)^{1}+(!? t)^{2}\right]=\left(2 I_{?}^{2}\right)} \\
e^{x_{3}^{2}\left[\left(i!{ }_{3} t\right)^{1}+\left(!3_{3} t\right)^{2}\right]=\left(2 L_{3}^{2}\right)}: \tag{9}
\end{gather*}
$$

In this lim it，the probability density（（G）becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{0 \frac{\mathrm{iz}}{!? t}{\frac{x_{3}}{!}{ }^{2}}^{2}}{(!? t)^{2}\left(!{ }_{3} t\right)}: \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he transform ations have sim pli ed to a rescaling by ！？t and a rotation by $=2$ in the $1\{2$ plane，and a rescaling by ！${ }_{3} t$ in the 3 coordinate．

T he long－tim e result can also be obtained by an appli－ cation of the stationary phase approxim ation to eq．（द） which gives the lim it $t$ ！ 1 with $V=r=t$ xed，which for a general state 0 is
$(r ; t) \quad \sim_{0} \frac{M r}{\sim t} e^{i M r^{2}=(2 \sim t) 3} \quad i=4 \quad \frac{M}{2 \sim t} \quad 3=2$ ；（11）
and for the probability density

$$
\begin{equation*}
j(r ; t) \jmath^{2} \quad \sim_{0} \frac{M r}{\sim t}^{2} \frac{M}{2 \sim t}^{3}: \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

These well－known expressions $m$ ean that at long tim es， the distribution in position space is determ ined by the initial m om entum distribution，as if the particle propa－ gated classically w ith velocity $\mathrm{V}=\sim \mathrm{k}=\mathrm{M}$ ．This result is fam iliar in optics as the Fraunhofer lim it of di raction， for exam ple in a two－slit experim ent．In the LLL case， using eq．（5ㅓT），the Fourier transform of the LLL in itial state is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sim_{0}(k)=(2)^{3=2} I_{?}^{2} I_{3} f(\quad i k \text { 虽 }) e^{j k j^{2} I_{?}^{2}=2 k_{3}^{2} I_{3}^{2}=2} \\
& \left.=(2)^{3=2} I_{?}^{2} I_{3} \text { o( } \quad \mathrm{ik} \text { 里; } ; \mathrm{k}_{3} I_{3}^{2}\right): \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ his show sthat for the LLL，the probability density in $k$ space is the sam e as that in position space，rescaled and rotated by $=2$ ．This corresponds both to the classical argum ent above，and to the fact that in the LLL，the $1\{$ 2 coordinates are canonically conjugate，so $\mathrm{x}_{1}=\mathrm{p}_{2} \mathrm{l}_{?}^{2}=\sim$ ， $\mathrm{x}_{2}=\mathrm{pl}_{?}^{2}=\sim$ ．U sing this in the stationary phase for－ mula，we recover eq．（1－10）．

B oth the exact and approxim ate treatm ents generalize trivially to the case of N non－interacting particles $w$ ith an initial state $0\left(r_{1} ;::: ; r_{N}\right)$ ，when it is a linear com－ bination of products of LLL single－particle states．This gives the joint probability density for all $N$ particles af－ ter the free expansion．This result also holds when the
initial state is described by a density $m$ atrix $w$ ithin the LLL, such as a state of therm al equilibrium, but we will not consider that in detail here.

A s a rst exam ple, consider a B ose condensate w th in the LLL. Its wavefunction at tim $e t=0$ is a product,

$$
Y_{j=1}^{\mathrm{N}} \quad 0\left(z_{j} ; x_{3 j}\right)
$$

for som e function 0 . In the $L L L$, if $f$ in 0 is $\mathrm{C}^{2}$ polynom ial, one can always factorize $0\left(z ; x_{3}\right) / a_{a}(z$ $\left.\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{a}}\right) \mathrm{e}^{\dot{z} j^{2}=\left(21_{2}^{2}\right) \mathrm{x}_{3}^{2}=\left(21_{3}^{2}\right) \text {, and then we have } \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{v}} \text { vortices at }}$ com plex positions $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{a}}$, $\mathrm{a}=1, \ldots, \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{v}}$. A fter a long free expansion, the w avefunction has the sam e product form, w ith the bosons condensed in

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Y } \\
& {\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\left.\left.\left.z \quad i(!? t) w_{a}\right] e^{\dot{z} j^{2}[(i!!t}\right)^{1}+(!? t)^{2}\right]=\left(2 L_{?}^{2}\right)
\end{array}\right.} \\
& \text { a } \\
& e^{x_{3}^{2}\left[\left(i!3_{3} t\right)^{1}+\left(!3_{3} t\right)^{2}\right]=\left(2 I_{3}^{2}\right)}: \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus the vortices will be clearly visible in an im age of the density in position space, provided the number of particles per vortex is large (see the discussion of such im ages below). This is the condition of large lling factor [5]'], which is the regim e in which a condensed ground state

A sa second exam ple, we consider the Laughlin state
 $s=1, \ldots, N . T$ he probability density after a long time $t$ is the same as at $t=0$, up to the usual tw o rescalings (the rotation can be ignored since the Laughlin state is rotationally invariant). This im plies that all the spatial correlations are preserved after free expansion for tim et.

In our treatm ent w e have com pletely neglected interactions during the expansion. A s justi cation for this, we note that, when the trap potential is present, the LLL approxim ation should be valid when the s-w ave scattering length $a$ and typical num ber density $n$ in the bulk of the uid satisfy $4 \sim^{2}$ an $=\mathrm{M}<2 \sim!$ ?, $\sim!_{3}$. This condition ensures that corrections due to quantum $m$ echanical $m$ ixing of non-LLL states into the ground state can be neglected. B ecause $n$ is reduced by centrifugale ects as the rotation rate increases, this condition is m ore likely to be satis ed at larger values of the total angular mo$m$ enta than at sm all. (Forquantum $H$ allstates, the lling factor $={ }^{3=2} 1_{?}^{2} l_{3} n \mathrm{~m}$ ust be of order around 10 or less
 $w$ ith the kinetic energies in the trap (w hich are typically $m$ any tim es $\sim!$ ? ), the ground statesm ay be highly correlated. T hat is because, if interactions are neglected, there arem any degenerate states of the sam e totalangularm o$m$ entum [1]-1]. (C lassically, the particles in the \LLL" orbits keep the sam e relative positions, up to a rotation, as they m ove, and so the interactions are resonant| over a long time they can have a large e ect.) The interaction energy scale is $4 \sim^{2} \mathrm{an}=\mathrm{M}$, and when the trap potential is
rem oved, $n$ decreases in the sam e way as the probability density, eq. (ig). H ence the interaction strength goes to zero after a tim e of orderm ax $(1=!$ ? ; $1=!3)$, and the correction to the wavefunction, $=$, due to the interaction term a long tim e after sw itch-o is sm all com pared w ith 1 if $4 \sim^{2}$ an $=\mathrm{M} \max \left(1=!\right.$ ? ; $\left.1=!3_{3}\right)<\sim$. This condition is essentially the same as that for the LLL approxim ation. Further, if the interactions are enhanced by the use of a Feshbach resonance (but stillw eak enough to use the LLL approx), then they can be greatly reduced at the sam e tim e that the trap is tumed 0 , im proving the accuracy of our neglect of interactions during the expansion.

Finally, now that we have shown how the free expansion of a LLL state acts as a wavefunction $m$ icroscope, we point out that even a single high-resolution snapshot taken after a free expansion of a highly-correlated state contains a lot of inform ation that can be used as a diagnostic tool for the $m$ any-body physics. Such a snapshot in principle gives a single typicalcon guration of all the particle positions. In view of the preceding discussion, we can discuss this in term s of the con guration at $t=0$, which is drawn from the joint probability density $\left.j 0\left(z_{1} ;::: ; z_{N}\right)\right\}^{f}$ wew illassum e the coordinates are projected to the $1\left\{2\right.$ plane, so we drop $x_{3}$ and work in two dim ensions (2D ) from here on]. In a highly correlated, incom pressible state such as Laughlin's, long-w avelength density uctuations are suppressed, and this can be seen even in a single snapshot, if the particle num ber is large com pared w th 1. For exam ple, such a snapshot is show $n$ in Ref. [1] [1]. It di ers $m$ arkedly from a random con guration. The correlations can be quanti ed by constructing the two-particle correlation function of the snapshot. $T$ his is just a histogram of the values of $r_{i} \quad r_{j}$ for all pairs of particles $i, j$ (迆 $w i l l$ be autom atically invariant under $r$ ! $r$ ). This can be com pared w th the two-particle quantum - (and therm al-) average correlation function $g(r)=h^{\wedge}(z)^{\wedge}\left(z^{0}\right)^{\wedge}\left(z^{0}\right)^{\wedge}(z) i=n^{2}\left(^{\wedge}(z)\right.$ is the 2D eld operator, and $\left.r=\dot{z} \quad z^{0} j\right)$ which has been calculated (usually in an edgeless geom etry) for various incom pressible uid ground states. We note that in the them odynam ic lim 止, $g(r)$ is nom alized so that it approaches 1 as r! 1 . Thewavefiunction has an ergodicIty property that ensures that even a correlation function constructed from a single sam ple (snapshot) reproduces the quantum /therm al-average $g(r)$, provided the particle num ber is large. Further, the Fourier transform of ng (r) is essentially the static (i.e. instantaneous) structure factors (q) $\left[\begin{array}{l}-1]\end{array}\right]$.

There are tw o basic results that can be extracted from the density or its correlations $g(r) m$ easured for a single snapshot. First, if one exam ines a subregion (say, a square) of area A of the uid and determ ines the particle number $N_{s} \quad N$ in this region, this number will uctuate as the subregion is m oved over a given snapshot, and also from one snapshot to another. If the
side of the square subregion is larger than the length we de ne below, but sm all enough that the $m$ ean $\overline{N_{s}}$ is N , then in an equilibrium state the uctuations $N_{s}$ will be of order $\left(N_{s}\right)^{2}=k_{B} T A d n=d$, and give an estim ate of the therm odynam ic com pressibility $\mathrm{dn}=\mathrm{d}$
( is the chem ical potential), if the tem perature $T$ is known. A ltematively, in the therm odynam ic lim it one has $\lim _{\mathrm{q}!}$ os $(\mathrm{q})=\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{T}=\mathrm{n}\right) \mathrm{dn}=\mathrm{d} \quad\left[1 \overline{7}_{1}\right]$. In the zerotem perature lim it, $\mathrm{dn}=\mathrm{d}$ goes to a nite (either zero or nonzero) value (except in the case of exactly-zero interactions in a Bose gas!), so these uctuations vanish. For a translationally-invariant uid in the LLL in the therm odynam ic lim it, there is a LLL-pro jected version $s(q)$ of $s(q)$, which is easily obtained from the latter [1] ]. At $T=0, s(q)$ vanishes faster than $q^{2}$ as $q!0$, and for incom pressible_ uids (those in which $\lim _{T}!0 d n=d=0$ ) it goes as $q^{4}$ [1] ]. The latter behavior is analytic, im plying that $g(r) \quad 1$ tends to zero rapidly at large $r$. At a nite tem perature, there $w$ ill be a correlation length , which diverges as T ! 0, and which is de ned by the property that $s(q) w$ ill cross over at $q \quad{ }^{1}$ from the $T>0$ behavior at q ! 0 to the $T=0$ behavior at larger q. N ote that in an incom pressible uid such as Laughlin's, diverges as $\quad e^{\mathrm{E}=\left(4 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{T}\right)}$ as T! 0, where E is the quasiparticle-hole excitation energy or \gap". The behavior at $q>{ }^{1}$ is the second property to look for using snapshots. $T$ hus from these correlation properties, it is possible in principle to distinguish an incom pressible quantum uid from a them ally-m elted vortex lattice.

In practice, there $w$ ill be both a resolution function convoluted w ith the particle positions, and the question of the accuracy w ith which the density is $m$ easured at any point (noise). H ow ever, our proposal utilizes longdistance correlations w here the spatial resolution should not be a problem, while the average over positions in a single snapshot alleviates the accuracy problem, and reduces the noise, which is presum ably uncorrelated.

W e would like to contrast our rem arks w ith a proposal of $S$ inova et $a l$. to $m$ easure the condensate fraction from the density pro le $\overline{\underline{p}} \overline{1}$. They point out that, because of analyticity properties in the LLL, the diagonal density $m$ atrix (i.e. the quantum expectation $\mathrm{hn}(r)$ i ofthe singleparticle density) determ ines the o -diagonaldensity matrix from which the condensate fraction can be de ned. $T$ heir form ula in our notation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.=\frac{\frac{1}{2}_{2}^{N^{2}}}{}{ }^{Z} d^{2} q \text { j̀ }(q)\right\} e^{j \mathcal{q}^{2} \overbrace{2}^{2}=4} ; \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{q})$ is the Fourier transform of hn (r)i. The nor$m$ alization is such that the $m$ axim um possible value of is $=1$, and is attained in a product state, as in eq. (142). W th the help ofexam ples $\left[\frac{19}{[9}\right]$, it becom es clear that when the num ber of vortices $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{v}}$, say in a vortex lattice state, is large, the integral is dom inated by large q values (up to jंjaround $\overline{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{v}}}$ ), which tend to be suppressed by multiplication by the Fourier transform of the spatial
resolution function. Further, even in a snapshot with perfect resolution, the particle density would be a sum of -functions at the particle positions, and would di er from the average density $\mathrm{hn}(\mathrm{r})$ i because of the presence of quantum (and more generally them al) uctuations. $T$ hese uctuations are larger relative to the $m$ ean, $h n(r) i$, at sm allvalues of , which is precisely the regim e ofgreatest interest. T hey also rem ain large at large q ( $\mathrm{s}(\mathrm{q}$ ) ! 1 [1] ]), whereas $n(q)!0[9]$, and (as for any noise in the determ ination of the density at r) they are enhanced in the integral by the factor $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{j} \mathrm{j}^{2}{ }_{2}^{2}}{ }_{2}^{2}=4$. These uctuations cannot be rem oved by averaging over space w thout destroying the large $q$ inform ation that is needed. It will be necessary to average over $m$ any snapshots to obtain the quantum average $\mathrm{hn}(r) \mathrm{i}$.

W hile we w ere com pleting this paper, a discussion with som e overlap w ith the second part of ours appeared [18 ${ }^{-1}$ '].
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