Radiation induced zero-resistance states in GaAs/AlGaAs heterostructures: Voltage-current characteristics and intensity dependence at the resistance minima

R.G.Mani, V.Narayanam urti, K.von Klitzing, J.H.Smet, W.B.Johnson, and V.Umansky Itarvard University, Gordon McKay Laboratory of Applied Science, 90 xford Street, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA Max-Planck-Institut für Festkorperforschung, Heisenbergstrasse 1, 70569 Stuttgart, Germany Laboratory for Physical Sciences, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20740, USA Braun Center for Submicron Research, Weizmann Institute, Rehovot 76100, Israel (Dated: April 14, 2024)

High mobility two-dimensional electron systems exhibit vanishing resistance over broad magnetic eld intervals upon excitation with microwaves, with a characteristic reduction of the resistance with increasing radiation intensity at the resistance minima. Here, we report experimental results examining the voltage - current characteristics, and the resistance at the minima versus the microwave power. The indings indicate that a non-linear V I curve in the absence of microwave excitation becomes linearized under irradiation, unlike expectations, and they suggest a similarity between the roles of the radiation intensity and the inverse temperature.

PACS numbers: 73.21.-b,73.40.-c,73.43.-f, 78.67.-n; Journal Ref: Phys. Rev. B 70, 155310 (2004)

IN TRODUCTION

Vanishing resistance induced by electrom agnetic wave excitation in the ultra high-mobility 2-dimensional electron system (2DES), at low temperatures (T) and modest magnetic elds (B), has suggested the possibility of novel non-equilibrium zero-resistance states (ZRS) in the 2DES.[1] Remarkably, in this eect, vanishing resistance does not produce plateaus in the Hall resistance, although the diagonal resistance exhibits activated transport and zero-resistance states, similar to quantum Hall eects (QHE).[1, 2, 3, 4, 5]

A surge in theory has already produced a physical fram ework for viewing this extraordinary phenom enon, with testable predictions for further experiment. [6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30] A class of hypothesis attributes radiation induced resistance oscillations to B-dependent enhancem ent/reduction of the current.[6, 8, 10, 12] An oscillatory density-of-states, an electric eld, and impurity scattering appear to be the key ingredients in theory, which indicates negative resistivity for su ciently large radiation intensities.[6, 8, 12] The modeling by Durst and co-workers, [8] which is similar to the work by Ryzhii, [6] reproduced the period and, approxim ately, the phase reported in Ref.1,[1] although there was variance between theory and experiment so far as the realization of negative resistivity was concerned.[6, 8] A possible physical instability for a negative resistivity state, meanwhile, led to the conjecture by Andreev et al. of a current dependent resistivity, and the formation of current domains, along with a scenario for realizing zero-resistance in m easurem ent.[9] These works taken together seem to provide a path for realizing radiation-induced oscillatory m agnetoresistance and zero-resistance.[6, 8, 9] Yet, there do occur real di erences between the theoretical modeling and experiment. For example, the type of scattering

potential invoked in the Durst et al. theory di ers from the experim ental situation, where measurements involve low-disorder, extremely high mobility specimens including mostly small angle scattering. [1, 8] In addition, the current domain picture of Andreev et al. includes boundary conditions, [9] which appear dicult to realize in the Hallbar type specimen. [31]

A complementary approach, [12] which modeled the specim en as a tunnel junction, also realized magnetoresistance oscillations with a period and phase that were approximately consistent with Ref. 1.[1, 12] This theory indicated, in addition, an N-shape current-voltage (I V) characteristic in a regime of radiation-induced negative conductivity, analogous to Andreev et al.[9, 12] Subsequent theoretical work by Bergeret, Huckestein, and Volkov provided clarication and proposed, in the strong B-eld limit, an S-shape I V characteristic for Hall bar devices and a N-shape I V characteristic for the Corbino device, [17] the geometry dependence following from the boundary conditions in the 2DES. These works im pressed the idea that I V characteristics of the ZRS, which appear calculable from theory, might serve as an incisive and con m ing probe of the underlying physics.

In short, the possible transformation of a predicted negative resistivity/conductivity state, into the vanishing resistance state reported by experiment, in a strong B-eld limit where vanishing resistance and vanishing conductance are equivalent, and the associated I V characteristics, appear to be issues in the theoretical discussion. [1, 2, 6, 8, 9, 10, 12, 14, 16, 17]

In comparison, experiment indicates a ZRS which is approached exponentially vs. T, following R_{xx} exp(= k_BT), where is an activation energy.[1, 2] By invoking an analogy to QHE, where large amplitude (Shubnikov-de Haas) resistance oscillations show similar ZRS while exhibiting activated transport,[4] such transport behavior has been cited as evidence for a radiation—

induced gap in the electronic spectrum .[1]

Shi and X ie have argued that a negative conductance instability could induce a state transition and a new electronic phase, which includes a physical rearrangement of the system .[12, 16] It appears that this possibility could also be addressed by comparing experiment with model predictions. 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30] Thus, we exam ine the radiation induced vanishing resistance states and associated voltage-current (V I) characteristics in ultra high m obility G aA s/A IG aA s heterostructures. In a Hallgeom etry, we dem onstrate that the non-linear V curve at B = $(4=5)B_f$ in the absence of radiation becom es linearized as R $_{xx}$! O under the in uence of radiation, to relatively high currents. The power variation of R_{xx} at the minima is also reported and these data suggest that a variable such as log (P), where P is the radiation power, m ight play a role that is approxim ately analogous to the inverse temperature in activation studies. The observed di erences between experiment and available model predictions seem to suggest that additional physics might be involved in the ZRS that is observed in the irradiated-2DES.

EXPERIM ENT

Experim ents were carried out on Hall bars, square shaped devices, and Corbino rings, fabricated from G aA s/A IG aA s heterostructures. A fter a brief illum ination by a red LED, the best material was typically characterized by an electron density, n (4.2 K) cm 2 , and an electron m obility (1:5 K) upto 1:5 cm²= V s. Low frequency lock-in based electrical measurem ents were carried out with the sample mounted inside a waveguide and immersed in pumped liquid He-3, over the T-range 0:4 Τ 3 K. Lock-in based V m easurem ents were carried out by quasi-statically ram ping the excitation voltage in the ac constant-current circuit. Electrom agnetic (EM) waves in the microwave part of the spectrum, 27 f 170 GHz, were generated using various tunable sources. The radiation intensity was set at the source and subsequently reduced using variable attenuators for the reported experim ents at 119 GHz, where the power in the vicinity of the sample is estim ated to be less than 1 m W .M easurem ents at 50 G H z were carried out using a signal generator with calibrated output power.[32] Thus, the source output power at 50 GHz is given in absolute units.

RESULTS

Fig. 1 shows the magnetoresistance measured with (w/) and without (w/o) electromagnetic wave excitation for B 0:34 Tesla. This specimen satisfies the




FIG. 1: (Color online) (a) The magnetoresistance R_{xx} in a GaAs/AlGaAs heterostructure device with (w/) and without (w/o) m icrow ave excitation at 119 GHz. W ithout radiation. Shubnikov-de H aas (SdH) oscillations are observable in the resistance about $(4=5)B_f$. Radiation reduces the resistance R_{xx} and elim inates the SdH oscillations in the vicinity of (4=5)B f and $(4=9)B_f$. The star m arks the B - eld at which V_{xx} vs. I m easurem ents are reported (see Fig. 2). (b) A plot of the resistance data of (a) versus the norm alized inverse m agnetic eld dem on strates periodicity, with period, of the radiation induced oscillations in B 1 = . The curves with-and withoutradiation intersect in the vicinity of integral and half-integral values of the abscissa. (c) A plot of the dark (w/o radiation) R_{xx} data of (a) versus $B^{-1} = S_{dH}$ helps to determ in the phase of the Shubnikov-de H aas oscillations. Here, sdH is the period of the SdH oscillations. Note that SdH resistance m in im a occur at integral values of B 1 = $_{\text{S}\,\text{dH}}$, suggesting that R $_{\text{xx}}$ is proportional to $\cos(2 F_{SdH} = B)$, where $F_{SdH} = \frac{1}{SdH}$.

strong eld condition, $!_{\rm c}$ > 1, for B > 1 m Tesla. Here $!_{\rm c}$ is the cyclotron frequency and is the transport relaxation time. Fig. 1(a) indicates SdH oscillations, which are visible down to B 0:1 Tesla at 0:7 K, in the absence of radiation. The application of radiation induces oscillations, [33, 34, 35, 36] and reduces the resistance over nite B—intervals in the vicinity of B = [4=(4j+1)]B_f, where B_f = 2 fm =e,[1,33,36] m

is the e ective mass, [37] e is the charge of the electron, and j=1,2,3,... Indeed, ZRS are visible over broad B-intervals in the vicinity of (4=5)B_f and (4=9)B_f, as the SdH oscillations are suppressed about the ZRS by the radiation. [1, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42]

In Fig. 1(b), the data of Fig. 1(a) have been plotted as a function of the normalized inverse magnetic eld, where the normalization factor, , is the period in B 1 of the radiation-induced resistance oscillations.[1] Fig. 1 (b) indicates that the photoexcited (w/radiation) data cross the dark (w/o radiation) data at integral and halfintegral values of the B 1 = , when B 1 = 2 (see also Fig. 3(a) of Ref. 1). At these B 1 = , the photon energy hf spans an integral, j, or half integral, j + 1=2, cyclotron energies. The crossing feature in the vicinity of integral B 1 = appears to be in agreement with the theoretical prediction. [8, 12] Shi and X ie have suggested that, when 2 f=! c = j, the conductivity in the presence of radiation, , equals the conductivity in the absence of radiation, dark, i.e., = dark.[12] Remarkably, the data suggest the same behavior at j+ 1=2. The data of Fig. 1 (b) also show that resistance minima occur about j + 1=4, while higher order resistance m axim a occur about j + 3=4. Experim ent suggests that the resistance maxima generally obey this rule for integral j, excepting j = 0, where phase distortion associated with the last peak seems to shift it from the 2 f= $!_c$ = 3=4 to approxim ately 0:85 (0:03) (see Fig. 3(a)).

In order to compare the relative phases of radiationinduced resistance oscillations and the SdH e ect, SdH oscillations have been shown in a normalized B 1 plot in Fig. 1 (c), where the normalization factor $_{S\,dH}$ is the SdH period in B 1. In Fig. 1 (c), the resistance m in im a of SdH oscillations appear at integral values (j = 1;2;3...) of the abscissa B 1 = $_{SdH}$,[43] im plying oscillations of the form $cos(2 F_{SdH} = B)$, where $F_{SdH} = {}^{1}_{SdH}$. Thus, there appears to be a phase di erence between the observed oscillations ($\cos(2 F_{SdH} = B)$) and the assum ed form for the density-of-states (DOS) (+ cos(2 "=!c)) of Ref. 8, which is attributed here to the suppression of the zero-point energy shift, h!c=2, by theory.[8] If this physically manifested constant energy shift h!c=2 is included in ", then the DOS at low-B looks like (") = 1 (cos(2 "=h!c)), with 1 positive. Unlike SdH oscillations, the radiation induced oscillations exhibit m in im a for B 1 = about j + 1=4 (see Fig. 1(b)).

Results of V I studies in the vicinity of the (4=5)B $_{\rm f}$ resistancem in im um , at the B -valuem arked by the star in Fig. 1 (a) , are sum m arized in Fig. 2. Fig. 2 (a) show s $V_{\rm xx}$ vs. I to I = 10 A for several power attenuation factors. In the absence of radiation (60 dB), $V_{\rm xx}$ vs. I is initially ohm ic at low currents (3 A), before non-linearity, possibly due to heating, becomes apparent above 4 A . The application of radiation leads to a progressive reduction in the initial slope of the $V_{\rm xx}$ vs. I curves, signifying a decrease in $R_{\rm xx}$ in the vicinity of (4=5)B $_{\rm f}$ under photoex-




FIG .2: (Coloronline) (a) The diagonal voltage ($V_{x\,x}$) is shown as a function of the current (I) for a number of radiation intensities. The m easurem ents were carried out at the B-eld that is identied by a star in Fig. 1(a). At (60 dB), there is a sub-linear increase of $V_{x\,x}\,$ vs. I above 4 A . Increasing the radiation intensity, i.e., tuning the attenuation factor towards 0 dB, reduces the slope and linearizes the V_{xx} vs. I curve. (b) The diagonal voltage $V_{x\,x}\,$ is shown as a function of I to I = 80 A, with (0 dB) and without (60 dB) m icrow aveexcitation. Within experimental resolution, the V_{xx} vs. I curve with excitation appears mostly linear to 80 A. (c) R_{xx} evaluated from the initial slope of the V_{xx} vs. I curves of (a) are plotted as a function of the power attenuation factor. Here, P is the attenuated radiation intensity, and P_0 is the source intensity. (d) The natural logarithm of Rxx is plotted $vs. P = P_0.$

citation. The data suggest, in addition, that the onset of non-linearity shifts to higher current with increasing radiation intensity. This feature implies that the initial linear region observed in the absence of radiation is extended to higher currents under the in uence of radiation. If the observed non-linearity originates from heating, then its shift to higher currents under radiation implies also that heating e ects are reduced under photoexcitation, over the resistance m in im a.

Experim ental results to higher currents shown in Fig. 2 (a). Here, the data indicate a negative di erential resistance $r_{xx}=dV_{xx}=dI<0$ above I=40 A in the absence of radiation (60 dB), while $r_{xx}>0$ with microwave excitation (0 dB) for the entire range of currents. Notably, non-linearity in the V I curve under radiation, if any, appears only above 50 A, although the Hall voltage V_{xy} (10 A) = 4:69 m V exceeds the Landau level spacing $h!_c=0.39$ m V by nearly an order of magnitude even at I=10 A, i.e., V_{xy} (10 A) >> h! c=e.

An investigation of the resistance in a Corbino geometry specimen at B = $(4=5)B_f$ and B = $(4=9)B_f$ under microwave excitation also showed current-independent

characteristics over the investigated range, similar to what has been shown for a Hallgeom etry in Fig. 3 of Ref. 1. In the C orbino con guration, a maximum in the resistance (or a minimum in the conductance) was observed at B = $(4=5)B_f$ and B = $(4=9)B_f$, supplementing the usual result for the Hall con guration. The dierence was attributed to the well-known feature of transport, that the C orbino resistance R_C $\frac{1}{x^x}$ while the diagonal resistance in a Hallgeometry R_{xx} $\frac{1}{x^x}$ under the R_C 1 condition. Here, R_C are the diagonal and o -diagonal components of the conductivity tensor.

The observed change in the V I characteristics with the radiation intensity in Fig. 2 (a) appears, asmentioned before, closely correlated to the radiation-induced modication of the diagonal resistance at the resistance m inim a. Experim ents show that, at a constant tem perature, the resistance m in im a become deeper with increasing radiation intensity, until the onset of "breakdown." [1, 40] On the other hand, at constant radiation intensity, the resistance shows activated transport characteristics as a function of the tem perature. [1, 2] Thus, intuitively, it appears that reducing the tem perature and increasing the radiation intensity play a sim ilar role in the phenom enology. But the connections are not well understood and, therefore, the e ect of radiation at the deepest resistance m in im a is further exam ined here. The e ect of radiation intensity at the higher order R xx m in im a have been reported elsewhere.[38]

Thus, R_{xx} evaluated from the initial slope of the V I data of Fig. 2 (a) have been shown in Fig. 2 (c) as a function of 10Log_{10} (P=P0), where P0 is the (constant) output power of the radiation source, and P is the attenuated power. This data plot shows a constant resistance between 60 dB and 30 dB, followed by a rapid decrease at higher power levels (> 30 dB); the resistance becomes small on the exhibited scale above 5 dB. Fig. 2 (d) shows an alternate plot with the natural logarithm of the resistance on the ordinate vs. the normalized power on a linear scale along the abscissa. This gure suggests that, for P=P0 < 0.3, R_{xx} exp[a(P=P0)], with a 12.

Further m easurem ents addressing this issue are illustrated in Fig. 3. Here, vanishing resistance is observable with a source power of 100 W about B 1 = (4=5) 1 . O ther features such as resistance m inim a near [4=(4j+1)] 1 , resistance m axim a near [4=(4j+3)] 1 for j > 1, nodes in the resistance in the vicinity of j and (j+1=2), and the intersection of the data curves obtained at different powers in the vicinity of the nodes, are consistent with the results shown for j = 119 GHz in Fig. 1(b). Note also that the rst resistance m axim um occurs in the vicinity of B 1 = 0.84. That is, this resistance m axim um occurs slightly below B 1 = 1, implying a magnetic eld for this feature that is slightly above B 1 . The power dependence of the resistance at




FIG. 3: (Color online) (a) Radiation induced resistance oscillations at $f=50~\mathrm{G}$ Hz are exhibited for a number of source intensities, in units of W. The inset shows the extrem a resistance at (4=5) 1 ; (4=7) 1 ; (4=9) 1 ; (4=11) 1 vs. the logarithm of the power. (b) The logarithm of the extrem a resistance vs. the logarithm of the power. A comparison with typical activation plots (e.g. see Fig. 3 (d) of ref. 1) suggests that, on the resistance m inima, log (P) m ight be analogous to T 1 .

the (4=5) 1 and (4=9) 1 m inim a, and the (4=7) 1 and (4=11) 1 m axim a are shown in the inset of Fig. 3 (a) and in Fig. 3 (b). In Fig. 3 (b), the ordinate shows $\ln(R_{xx})$, while the abscissa show $\sin(R_{xx})$, while the abscissa show $\sin(R_{xx})$, while the abscissa show $\sin(R_{xx})$, the plot shown in Fig. 4 (b) looks very $\sin(R_{xx})$ illustration plots, $\Gamma(R_{xx})$ which suggests that the logarithm of the radiation powerm ight play a role in power dependent studies that is analogous to the inverse temperature in activation studies, at least at the (4=5) $\Gamma(R_{xx})$ and (4=9) $\Gamma(R_{xx})$ m in in a.

D ISCU SSIO N

The voltage-current characteristics in the regime of the radiation induced zero-resistance states could serve to provide valuable understanding into the physical mechanism underlying the phenomenon since such characteristics can be measured through experiment and, at the same time, they appear calculable from existing

theory, [12, 14, 17] which facilitates a comparison between experiment and theory.

At the present, it is believed that there ought to be either a threshold electric eld for the onset of current in a C orbino geom etry or a threshold current density for the onset of dissipation in a Hall geom etry. [17] Thus, the naive expectation has been that a linear voltage-current characteristic in the absence of radiation should be transformed into a non-linear characteristic under the in uence of radiation.

Theoretical expectations for a non-linear voltagecurrent characteristic under photoexcitation are motivated as follows: As the amplitude of the radiation induced resistivity/conductivity oscillations increases with increasing radiation intensity, the oscillatory minima approach and then, in principle, cross over into a negative conductivity/resistivity regim e.[6, 8, 10, 12] However, the physical instability of negative resistivity/conductivity prevents the actual observation of negative resistance/conductance. It leads instead to a locking of the measured resistance/conductance at zeroresistance/conductance, along with a stratication of current into two oppositely directed current domains.[9] As these current domains are thought to be characterized by an unspecied current density jo, the domains are expected to rearrange them selves and carry an applied current in a Hall device without dissipation, so long as the current density associated with the applied current does not exceed approximately $j_0/2$. Thus, vanishing Vxx should be observed below some critical applied current in a Hallgeom etry. Above the critical current, however, there should then be a tendency to destroy the zeroresistance state as the dom ains are unable to accomm odate the applied current, and a non-linear voltage-current characteristic is supposed to reveal such a breakdown of the radiation induced zero-resistance states with increasing current.

The experimental results for the Hall geometry shown in Fig. 2 appear, however, quite unlike expectations: The voltage - current characteristic is non-linear in the absence of radiation and it becomes linearized under the application of radiation. Here, an unexpected result seems to be the non-linearity and the negative dierential resistance in the absence of radiation in the high mobility specimen, and the suppression of this non-linearity by the radiation.

An important supplementary feature of experiment is that, at the oscillatory resistance minima, there is an activated-type temperature dependence of the resistance.[1, 2] The results reported here seem to suggest that, in addition to the activated temperature dependence at the minima, the voltage-current characteristics constitute yet another thought provoking problem in this eld. The reported voltage-current characteristics, when coupled with the activated temperature resistance at the minima, seem to leave open the possibility

that the observed zero-resistance states m ight be a consequence of a vanishing bulk diagonal resistivity, similar to the quantum Hall situation. That is, it could be that the diagonal resistance saturates at zero-resistance in activated fashion under photo-excitation because the diagonal resistivity approaches zero-resistivity in a similar way. Further experiments seem necessary, however, to clarify this point.

SUM M ARY

In sum mary, we have exam ined the voltage-current characteristics and the role of the microwave power in the novel radiation induced zero-resistance states in the high mobility 2DES. The V I measurement suggest a linearization of the device characteristics with the application of radiation, while the power dependence at the minima suggest that the logarithm of the radiation intensity might play a role that is similar to the inverse temperature in activation studies. To our knowledge, these features have not been predicted by theory and, therefore, they seem to provide further motivation for the study-of this remarkable new elect.[3]

- E lectronic address: m ani@ deas.harvard.edu
- [1] R.G. Mani, J.H. Smet, K. von Klitzing, V. Narayana-murti, W. B. Johnson, and V. Umansky, Nature (London) 420,646 (2002).
- [2] M.A. Zudov, R.R. Du, L.N. P fei er and K.W. West, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90,046807 (2003)
- [3] R. Fitzgerald, Phys. Today 56 (4), 24-27 (2003).
- [4] The Quantum Hall E ect, 2nd ed., edited by R.E. Prange and S.M.Girvin (Springer, New York, 1990).
- [5] D. C. Tsui, H. L. Storm er, and A. C. Gossard, Phys. Rev. B 25, 1405 (1982).
- [6] V.I.Ryzhii, Fiz. Tverd. Tela (Leningrad) 11, 2577 (1969) [Sov. Phys. - Sol. St. 11, 2078 (1970)].
- [7] J.C.Phillips, Sol.St.Comm.127, 233 (2003).
- [8] A. C. Durst, S. Sachdev, N. Read, and S. M. Girvin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 086803 (2003).
- [9] A.V.Andreev, I.L.A leiner, and A.J.M illis, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 056803 (2003).
- [10] P. W. Anderson and W. F. Brinkman, condmat/0302129.
- [11] K. N. Shrivastava, cond-m at/0302320; cond-m at/0305032.
- [12] J.Shiand X.C.Xie, Phys.Rev.Lett.91,086801 (2003).
- [13] A. A. Koulakov and M. E. Raikh, Phys. Rev. B 68, 115324 (2003).
- [14] A.F. Volkov, cond-m at/0302615.
- [15] S.M ikhailov, cond-m at/0303130.
- [16] J. Shi and X.C.X ie, cond-m at/0303141.
- [17] F.S.Bergeret, B.Huckestein, and A.F.Volkov, Phys. Rev.B 67, 241303 (2003).
- [18] I.A.Dm itriev, A.D.M irlin, and D.G.Polyakov, Phys. Rev.Lett. 91, 226802 (2003).

- [19] M . V . Cherem isin, cond-m at/0304581.
- [20] S.I.Dorozhkin, JETP Lett. 77, 577 (2003).
- [21] X. L. Lei and S. Y. Liu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 226805 (2003).
- [22] P.H.R ivera and P.A.Schulz, Phys. Rev. B 70, 075314 (2004).
- [23] V. Ryzhii and V. Vyurkov, Phys. Rev. B 68, 165406 (2003).
- [24] D.H.Lee and J.M.Leinaas, Phys.Rev.B 69, 115336 (2004).
- [25] V.Ryzhii, Phys.Rev.B 68, 193402 (2003).
- [26] M .G .Vavilov and I.L.A leinerPhys.Rev.B 69,035303
 (2004).
- [27] V.Ryzhii, cond-m at/0305484.
- [28] R.K lesse and F.M erz, cond-m at/0305492.
- [29] A.F. Volkov and V.V. Pavlovskii, Phys. Rev. B 69, 125305 (2004).
- [30] V. Ryzhii and A. Satou, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 72, 2718 (2003).
- [31] R. G. Mani, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 65, 1751 (1996); Europhys. Lett. 34, 139 (1996); Europhys. Lett. 36, 203 (1996); Phys. Rev. B 55, 15838 (1997); Appl. Phys. Lett. 70, 2879 (1997).
- [32] 83650B A gilent Synthesized Swept-Signal Generator: A gilent Technologies, 395 Page MillRd., P.O. Box 10395, Palo Alto, CA 94303. www.agilent.com

- [33] R.G.M aniet al, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. 46, 972 (2001).
- [34] M.A.Zudov, R.R.Du, J.A.Sim m ons, and J.L.Reno, Phys.Rev.B 64, 201311 (2001).
- [35] P.D. Ye et al, Appl. Phys. Lett. 79, 2193 (2001).
- [36] R.G.M anietal, in Proceedings of the 26th International Conference on the Physics of Semiconductors, 29 July 2 August 2002, Edinburgh, Scotland, IOP Conference Series vol. 171, edited by A.C. Long and J.H.Davies (IOP, Bristol, 2003).
- [37] G.E. Stillm an, C.M. W olfe, and J.O.D im m ock, Sol. St.Com m. 7, 921 (1969).
- [38] R.G.Mani, J.H.Smet, K.von Klitzing, V.Narayana-murti, W.B.Johnson, and V.Umansky, Phys.Rev.B 69, 193304 (2004).
- [39] R.G.M aniet al, cond-m at/0306388.
- [40] R.G.Mani, Physica E (Am sterdam) 22, 1 (2004).
- [41] R.G.M ani, J.H.Sm et, K.von Klitzing, V.Narayana-murti, W.B.Johnson, and V.Umansky, Phys.Rev.Lett. 92, 146801 (2004).
- [42] R.G.Mani, V.Narayanamurti, K.von Klitzing, J.H. Smet, W.B.Johnson, and V.Umansky, Phys. Rev. B 69, 161306 (2004).
- [43] T. Ando, A. B. Fow ler, and F. Stem, Rev. Mod. Phys. 53, 437 (1982).