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#### Abstract

W e present results for the ground states of a system of spin-1 bosons in a rotating trap. W e focus on the dilute, weakly interacting regim e, and restrict the bosons to the quantum states in the low est Landau level (LLL) in the plane (disc), sphere or torus geom etries. W e map out parts of the zero tem perature phase diagram, using both exact quantum ground states and LLL m ean eld con gurations. For the case of a spin-independent interaction we present exact quantum ground states at angular mom entum L N. For general values of the interaction param etens, we present $m$ ean eld studies of generalground states at slow rotation and of lattices of vortices and skym ions at higher rotation rates. $F$ inally, we discuss quantum $H$ all liquid states at ultra-high rotation.
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## I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, there has been considerable progress in the art of $m$ anipulating cold atom $s$. By varying experi$m$ entalconditions, a num ber ofquantum states of atom ic $m$ atter have been realized. In these developm ents, an exciting them $e$ is the parallelbetw een these states ofatom ic $m$ atter and states ofelectron ic or vortex $m$ atter that have been studied before. T wo im portant recent advances are the study ofspin-fiullbosons in opticaltraps and the analysis of bosons in rotating traps.

Optical traps liberate the (hyper ne) spin degree of freedom ofspin-fiull atom s , as there is no polarizing m agnetic eld. This allow s a variety of new phenom ena, such as skym ions, m onopoles and -disclinations. There are tw o di erent regim es, depending on the sign of the spindependent interaction $\mathrm{c}_{2}$. If this interaction is repulsive $\left(c_{2}>0\right)$, the system tends to $m$ inim ize the totalspin and we speak of the anti-ferrom agnetic or \polar" regim e. If, on the other hand, the interaction is attractive ( $c_{2}<0$ ) the system $w$ ill tend to $m$ axim ize the total spin. This is the ferrom agnetic regim e. Exam ples of such system $s$ are spin-1 BoseEinstein condensates (BEC) which can be realized by trapping atom s such as ${ }^{87} \mathrm{Rb} \quad\left(\mathrm{c}_{2}<0\right)_{1}^{1,2_{1}^{1}}$ and ${ }^{23} \mathrm{Na}\left(\mathrm{c}_{2}>0\right)^{13}$. In both cases, the ratio $=\mathrm{c}_{2}=\mathrm{c}_{0}$ of the spin-dependent $\left(\mathrm{c}_{2}\right)$ and the spin-independent $\left(\mathrm{c}_{0}\right)$ parts of the (contact) interaction is sm all, typically a few percent, j j 0:01 0:05.

R otating the bosons w thin the trap leads to the for$m$ ation of quantum ground states $w$ ith a certain am ount ofvorticity stored in the system. U pon increasing the rotation rate, a single-com ponent (scalar) condensate w ith a repulsive interaction goes through the follow ing stages: (i) the nucleation of a single vortex, (ii) the form ation of a triangular (A brikosov) lattice of vortices. T heoretical analysis predicts that this w ill be follow ed by (iii), a quantum $m$ elting of the lattige and the form ation of a (series of) quantum liquids, where the vorticity is spread uniform ly over the system. In the presence of intemal
degrees of freedom, such as those associated w ith the spin-states of spin-1 atom $s$, a sim ilar sequence of quantum ground states is expected, w ith additional structure provided by the intemal (spin) degrees of freedom, and by the presence of additional param eters such as .

In this paper we study the ground states of spin-1 atom $s$ in a rotating harm onic trap, focusing on situations where a truncation to the low est Landau level (LLL) can be justi ed. We consider generic values of in the repulsive regim e $c_{0}>0$, and also focus on the special case
$=0$, where the interaction has an $S U(3)$ sym $m$ etry $y^{4_{1}}$. Before we com e to the details of our analysis, we brie y sum $m$ arize som e of the results in the literature for rotating bosons, w ith either a single com ponent (scalar case) or severalcom ponents, such as spin 1 (vector case). M uch of this w ork is based on the restriction to the LLL.

## A. $N$ on-rotating condensates and topological excitations

T he case of vector B EC w thout rotation has been investigated, most often by $m$ ean-eld theory using the spin-1 G rossP itaevskiiequations, orw ith the further approxim ation of neglecting the kinetic energy term (the Thom asFerm iapproxim ation). There are tw o regim es $3^{51}$, as $m$ entioned already. In the ferrom agnetic regim e $\mathrm{c}_{2}<$ 0 , the ground state has maxim um possible spin, $S=N$. Such a spin state can be constructed by condensing all the bosons into a single-particle state $w$ ith $S_{z}=+1$, or as a spin-rotation of this. In the opposite antiferrom agnetic regim $e, c_{2}>0$, the ground state has $m$ inim al spin. Such a spin state can be constructed by condensing all the bosons in the sam e $S_{z}=0$ single-particle spin state, orby taking a spin-rotation ofthis (notice that this is distinct from all of the ferrom agnetic states). This \polar" state breaks spin-rotation sym $m$ etry, even though the expectation value of the total spin, or of the spin density, is very close to zero. T he distinct ordered states, that
can be $m$ apped onto each other by the (broken) sym $m e-$ tries of spin rotation and phase rotation, are labelled by points in an order param eter $m$ anifold (or target-space). For the ferrom agnetic case, thism anifold is $S O$ (3) ${ }^{51}$, w bilp for the antiferrom agnetic or polar case it is $S^{1} \quad S^{2}=Z_{2}{ }^{5} \mathbf{5}^{166}$. . $T$ hese ordered states possess excitations that can be described as topological defects in the order, either with a singularity at a point surrounded by a \core", or w thout a singularity at all. W e describe these in m ore detail in the A ppendix, but those that carry non-zero vorticity are relevant to the rotating case which we discuss next.
B. Slow rotation: vortices and skyrm ions

Ifthe num ber ofbosons in a rotating trap is su ciently large, the e ect ofslow rotation can be studied in a m ean eld fram ew ork. For a single species ofbosonsw th repulsive interactions, the rotation is accom $m$ odated through the creation of singular vortioes, w ith vanishing particle density at the vortex cores. As the rotation rate is increased from zero, there is a critical frequency at which a single vortex rst appears in the system, follow ed by additional vortices at still higher rotation. W ith a spin degree of freedom, the system has several com ponents in which to store the angularm om entum. There is the possibility that a vortex core for one spin com ponent is lled by another spin com ponent, leading to core-less vortices or \skym ions". In such con gurations, the total particle density is now here zero, and there is a sm ooth spin texture. M ean eld states of this type for rotating spin1 bosons have been investigated theoretically by, sqlving
 attractive interactions, in contrast, the BEC rem ains in a com pact blob, w thout any vortioes, all the way up to the $m$ axim um rotation frequency (the trap frequency).

## C. Lattices of vortices and skyrm ions

W hen several vortices are present in a rotating scalar boson condensate w th repulsive interactions, they line up in a triangular (Abrikosov) vortex lattice ${ }^{111,22!}$. In a vectorBEC one expects to nd sim ilar lattices, built from the coreless vortiges just described. The details of all th is depend crucially on the relative strength $=c_{2}=c_{0}$ of the spin-dependent interaction. For $=0$, where the $S U$ (3) sym $m$ etry betw een the di erent spin com ponents is not broken, the lattice that is expected upon rotation is com posed of three intertw ined triangular lattices. The vortex cores do not overlap, so that the density is (alm ost) uniform. This lattioe has been shown to be independent of the strength of the interaction by $K$ ita et all $1^{331}$. T he vortex lattice shows a rich phase diagram, how ever, when the interaction is spin-dependent. For a range of positive values of , a square lattice com posed of -disclinations has been predicted ${ }^{123!}$.
D. Scalar boson quantum liquids

As the rotation increases, quantum uctuations becom $e m$ ore and $m$ ore im portant and beyond a critical rotation rate the vortex lattice is expected to m elt. T he resulting state ofm atter is disordered, and it has a large am ount of vorticity stored in it. In this regim e, a num ber of quantum liquid states have been proposed. B ased on the analogy with the physics of electrons in a strong perpendicularm agnetic eld in two dim ensions (2D ), the boson quantum liquid states can be characterized as fractional quantum H all liquids.

A $n$ extensive study of the transition to this regim ew as conducted by Cooper, $W$ ilkin, and $G$ unn $1^{142}$, who perform ed exact diagonalization studies in the LLL in a toroidal geom etry. They predicted that the vortex lattioe $m$ elts into a quantum disordered phase at a critical value $c^{\prime}$ 6\{10 of the lling factor $=N=N_{v}$, w ith $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{v}}$ the num ber of vortioes and N the num ber of bosons. $T$ hey also found that the quantum state is incom pressible at $=k=2<c$, w th $k=0,1,2, \ldots$, and observed that the ground states at $=k=2$ have substantial overlaps $w$ th the $R$ ead $R$ ezayi $(R R)$ quantum $H$ all states ${ }^{151}$.

The RR states are incom pressible quantur, H all uids. $T$ he special case $k=1$ is the Laughlin state ${ }^{10}$ forbosons at $=1=2$, while the $k=2$ state is the M ooreRead $\backslash P$ fa an" state ${ }^{17}$.. TheRR quantum $H$ all statespossessa speci c \order-k" clustering propertir-:, there is a so-called com posite-boson order param etert $4^{814} 12$, an operator that creates k bosons and 2 vortices, and is the $m$ inim alorder param eter (the one that creates the sm allest num ber of bosons) that has long-range ( $\backslash o$-diagonal") order. Such order im plies that the quasiparticles over these liquids are (quantized) vortices in the liquid, carrying fractionalvorticity $1=k$, analogous to a fractionalm agnetic $u x=0$ that occurs in certain quantum H all states in electronic system s . In quantum H all liquids, the H all conductivity im plies a fundam ental quasiparticle charge $q=\quad=0$ in units of the charge of the electron. In the context of neutralbosons in a rotating trap, the sam e argum ent im plies that a fractional particle num ber ( $q=1=2$ for the $R R$ states) is present in the quasiparticle, relative to the background density. Furthem ore, for $k>1$ there are non-local degrees of freedom associated w ith these quasiparticles, that is, the ground states $w$ th $m$ ore than three quasiparticles are degenerate in the lim it w here all the separations go to in nity. As these degrees of freedom are of a nonlocal, topological nature, they do not couple to local probes and the degeneracy is protected in the large separation lim it. For the case $k=2$ there is an interpretation in term sof a M a jorana ferm ion in each vortex core ${ }^{2}$. Further evidence for the appearance of the $M$ oore $R$ ead state $w$ as recently provided by $R$ egnault and Jolicoeur ${ }^{211}$, who observed the low-lying tw o-particle branch in num erical sim ulations, upon adding one ux quantum in a spherical geom etry. They also found evidence for other quantum $H$ all states not in the $R R$ series.

## E. Outline

In previous work ${ }^{\frac{1}{4}}{ }^{1}$ we analyzed spin-1 bosons in the LLL. We identi ed attractive and repulsive regín es in the $c_{0}\left\{c_{2}\right.$ plane, and proposed and analyzed two series of clustered quantum H all states (labeled $S U(4)_{k}$ and SO (5) ${ }_{k}$ ), analogous to the RR states, for spin-1 bosons in a rapidly rotating trap. W e identi ed the exact ground state for N spin-1 bosons on the disc w th one unit of angular $m$ om entum per particle, the boson-tripletcondensate (BTC).

In this paper we provide further results on the phase diagram for spin-1 bosons in the LLL. Employing the SU (3) sym m etry, we discuss how in a slow ly-rotating system $w$ ith $c_{2}=0$ the exact quantum ground state evolves from the non-rotating one tow ards the BTC at angular m om entum $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{N}$, and we com pute the ground state angular $m$ om entum $L$ (!) as a function of the rotation frequency ! . U sing LLL-m ean eld theory, we extend the results for slow rotation to $c_{2} \in 0$, and discuss the various skym ion lattices. Furtherm ore, the two series of quantum H all states are discussed in detail and we supplem ent them with a third series.

This paper is organized as follow s. In section II we de ne the model by discussing LLL truncation in a disc, sphere or torus geom etry, specifying the interaction $H$ am iltonian, and $m$ ake rem arks on the generalsym $m$ etry properties for later use. In section, wint w e study the phase diagram by direct num erical diagonalization. In section 'īV': exact quantum ground state w avefunctions and energies for a slow ly rotating (angular mom entum $L \quad N$ ) system in the $c_{2}=0 \mathrm{lim}$ it are presented. For nonzero $C_{2}$, we use a LLL m ean eld treatm ent to study the slow lyrotating system (in section ${ }^{W}(1)$ ) and the various skym ion and vortex lattioes (in section cuss the quantum H all states at ultra-high rotation. In an A ppendix we discuss the topological classi cation of defects.

> II. LLLMODELHAM ILTON IANAND ITS SYMMETRY

In this section we describe the truncation of the space of single-particle states to those in the LLL, and then explain the use of di erent geom etries (sphere, torus) once this trunction has been $m$ ade. Then we give the form of the interaction H am iltonian that will be assum ed, and som e analysis of the sym $m$ etries of the $m$ odel, w ith particular reference to certain $\lim$ its and di erent geom etries.
A. Truncation to the low est Landau level

In a rotating fram e of reference the H am iltonian for N trapped, weakly-interacting spin-1 bosons is

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=X_{i}^{N} \frac{h!_{0}}{2}\left(\tilde{r}_{i}^{2}+r_{i}^{2}\right) \quad \pm \tilde{I}_{i}^{i}+H_{\text {int }}: \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\downarrow$ is the frequency of the rotation drive, $\tau_{i}$ the angular $m$ om entum of the i-th particle and $H$ int the interaction $H$ am ittonian, which we discuss below. W e have set $\sim$ and the harm onic oscillator length $1 \quad\left(\sim=m_{b}!_{0}\right)^{1=2}$ of the trap ( $w$ ith $!_{0}$ the trap frequency and $m_{b}$ the boson m ass) equal to one. M odes in the direction of the rotation axis are frozen out, leaving us e ectively w ith a two-dim ensional (2D ) system. T he energy eigenvalues of the single-particle part of the $H$ am iltonian are then $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{m}}=(2 \mathrm{n}+\mathrm{m}+1)!_{0} \mathrm{~m}!, \mathrm{w}$ ith $\mathrm{n} \quad 0$ the Landau levelindex and $m \quad n$ the $z$-com ponent of angularm $o-$ $m$ entum, labelling the states $w$ ithin each Landau level.

W e consider the m odel in which the single-particle states-are restricted to the lowest ( $\mathrm{n}=0$ ) Landau level (LLL) $)^{29}$. This is valid when the interactions are su ciently weak, as we will explain mom entarily. T he norm alized LLL wavefiunctions are $\mathrm{m}(\mathrm{z}) \quad \mathrm{w}$ ith the orbital part $m(z) / z^{m} e^{j^{j} j^{2}=2}(z=x+i y)$, and a threecom ponent com plex vector representing the spin state; here labels the eigenstates of the $z$-com ponent of the spin $S_{z}$ for each particle, $=", 0, \#$. [ater in the paper it $w$ ill be convenient also to use the basis of $C$ artesian com ponents for spin 1 , labelled by $=x, y, z$.] $W$ hen we use second quantization, we willdenote the boson creation and annihilation operators for these single-particle states by $b_{m}^{y}, b_{m}$, and the corresponding occupation num bers by $n_{m}$ 多 ban . A lso, we som etim es use the eld operator $(z)=\mathrm{m} \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{m}(z)$. The single particle contribptions to the H am iltonian add up to (! o !)L, w ith $\mathrm{L}={ }_{i} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{zi}}$ the z -com ponent of total angular $\mathrm{mo} \mathrm{O}^{-}$ $m$ entum. W e will refer to this geom etry as the disc in view of the form of the uid states (for repulsive interactions) which tend to form a disc or \pancake", because of the centrifugal force. N ote that we m ust have! ! ${ }_{0}$, otherw ise the system becom es unstable.

To study the bulk properties of the quantum ground states, we will elim inate boundary e ects by using instead two other geom etries and taking the lim it ! ! ! o. In a spherical geom etryin, the orbital part of the LLL single-particle wavefunctions is $m(z) / z^{m}=(1+$ $\left.\left(\frac{j_{z} j}{2 R}\right)^{2}\right)^{1+N} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{v}}=2$, where z represents position on the sphere by stereographic projection to the plane, and $R$ is the radius of the sphere. $T$ he num ber of orbitals is restricted by the vorticity $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{v}}$ penetrating the sphere, $0 \quad \mathrm{~m} \quad \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{v}}$. $T$ he $N_{v}+1$ single-particle orbitals form a representation of orbital angular $m$ om entum equal to $N_{v}=2$, see $R$ efin ${ }^{2!}$. In the $\lim$ it $R$ ! 1 , keeping $N_{v}=R^{2}, N$ and $z$ constant, the single-particle $w$ ave functions on the sphere reduce to those for the disc as above. T he totalangularm om entum on the sphere is characterized by quantum num bers
$\tau$ for the $m$ agnitude, and $\Sigma_{z}$ for the $z$-com ponent. In term $s$ of $L$ which has eigenvalues $L={ }_{i} m_{i}$ as before, $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{z}}=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{V}} \quad \mathrm{L} . \mathrm{W}$ e em phasize that our de nition of L when used for the sphere does not have its usualm eaning, but is related to the $z$-com ponent in such a w ay that the $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{v}}$ ! 1 lim it agrees w ith the plane.

The nalgeom etry we use is the tonus. H ere the singleparticle wavefunctions take the form $(z) / f(z) e^{y^{2}}$ in the Landau gauge, w ith $f$ a quasiperiodic holom orphic function. W ith $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{v}}$ ux quanta, f has $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{v}}$ zeros in the unit cell. There are exactly $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{v}}$ independent solutions, of the form $f(z)=\underset{i=1}{N_{v}} \#_{1}\left(\begin{array}{ll}z & z j) \text {, } w \text { ith describing }\end{array}\right.$ the geom etry of the unit cell and $z_{i}$ the zeros of $f$. The use of \#-fiunctions ensures that is periodic. $M$ any-pody states can be classi ed by their H aldane m om entum $\mathrm{I}^{23}$. .

## B . Interaction H am ilton ian

In a m odeldescription, the H am iltonian describing the 2 -body interactions of a system of $N$ spin-1 bosons is a contact interaction, and contains spin-independent ( $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}$ ) and spin-dependent ( $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{s}}$ ) term s , of strengths $\mathrm{C}_{0}, \mathrm{C}_{2}$ respectively

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{\text {int }} & =H_{n}+H_{s} \\
& =2^{\text {X }^{N}}{ }^{(2)}\left(r_{i} \quad r_{j}\right) c_{0}+c_{2} S_{i} S_{j}^{i}:
\end{aligned}
$$

H ere $c_{0}=\left(g_{0}+2 g_{2}\right)=3, c_{2}=\left(g_{2} \quad g\right)=3, g_{S}=4 \sim^{2} a_{S}=m_{b}$ and $a_{S}(S=0,2)$ the 2D $s-w$ ave scattering phase shift in the spin-S channe $4 \frac{514}{24}$. A factor 2 hasbeen extracted for later convenience. O ne can obtain these param eters by integrating over the third direction. A ssum ing, for exam ple, ham onic con nem entw ith quantum length $l_{?}$ in the z-direction, one nds $a_{S}^{2 D}=a_{S}^{3 D}=\overline{2} l_{\text {a }}$ when $l_{?} \quad$. For the sphere, the coordinates $r$ in this H am iltonian take values on the surface of the sphere, $w$ ith radius $R$.

The use of the LLL reduced H am iltonian is justi ed when the interactions are weak. P hysicalquantities evaluated in the fiullm odeldi er from those in the LLL m odel by relatively sm allcorrectionsw hen the $\mathrm{c} \quad 2!0$. H ere
is the typical lling factor (expectation of the occupation num bers, sum $m$ ed over or ) of the single-particle states. N otice that this condition becom es much less stringent as ! ! ! 0 in the repulsive regim $e$, as then the particles spread out into a pancake, and the lling factor becom es of order 1 .

Finally then, the LLL Ham iltonian in the rotating fram ewhich wew ish to analyze is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}!=(!0 \quad!) L+H_{\text {int }}: \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote that we use precisely this de nition in the case of the sphere as well as for the disc. It $w$ ill be useful also to know the ground states of $H_{\text {int }}$ for each $L$.

$$
\text { C. SU (3) sym } m \text { etry analysis for } C_{2}=0
$$

In general, the only sym $m$ etry in spin space of the H am iltonians H int and H ! is spin-rotation sym $m$ etry So (3) sp in . T his im plies that spin states $w$ ill com $e$ in $m$ ultiplets of spin $S w$ th degeneracy $2 S+1$ ( $w$ th $S$ integer since the particles have spin 1). H ow ever, at $c_{2}=0$, the interaction H am iltonian reduces to the spin-independent interaction $H_{n}$. In this case the spin-rotation sym $m$ etry is en larged from $S O(3)_{\text {sp }}$ in to $S U(3)_{s p}$ in . It w illbe useful to understand w hat this im plies about the spin $m$ ultiplets in a nite size system.

For $c_{2}=0$, the spectrum $w$ ill contain degenerate spin multiplets labelled by SU (3)-quantum numbers (p;q). T hese tuples are the D ynkin indioes labelling irreducible representationsofdim ension dim $(p ; q)=\frac{1}{2}(p+1)(q+1)(p+$ $q+2$ ). Since $S O$ (3) is em bedded in SU (3), each m ultiplet can be decom posed into a set of SO (3) multiplets. These SO (3) spin quantum num bers can be deduced by using branching rules for $S U$ (3) 7 SO (3). The fiundam ental branching rule states that a $(p ; 0)$ or $(0 ; p) \mathrm{multiplet}$ contains $S=p, p$ 2,p 4, .., 1 (0) forp odd (resp., even). U sing the fusion rule

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
(\mathrm{p} ; 0) \quad(0 ; q) \\
=(\mathrm{p} ; \mathrm{q}) \quad(\mathrm{p}  \tag{4}\\
=1 ; q
\end{array} 1\right) \quad(\mathrm{p} \quad \mathrm{q} ; 0) ;
$$

which is valid for $p \quad q$, general branching rules can be derived. A multiplet ( $p ; q$ ) with $q$ odd and $p \quad q$ decom poses in SO (3) multiplets w ith highest weights S according to the branching rule

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathrm{p} ; \mathrm{q}) 7{ }_{i=0 \mathrm{~S}=2 \mathrm{i}+1}^{\frac{\mathrm{q}^{1} 1}{\mathrm{M}^{2}} \mathrm{p}+\mathrm{M}^{2 i}} \mathrm{~S} \quad: \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

For q even we nd

| (p;q) ${ }^{\text {P }}$ | $\frac{\mathrm{m}^{2}}{\mathrm{M}^{2}} \mathrm{PMAP} 2 \mathrm{i}$ | $\mathrm{M}^{\frac{\mathrm{p}}{}}$ |  | p odd | (6) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{i}=0 \mathrm{~S}=2 \mathrm{i}+1$ | $j=\frac{q+1}{2}$ |  |  |  |
|  | $\frac{\mathrm{g}^{2}}{\mathrm{M}^{2}} \mathrm{p}$ Maf 2 i | $\mathrm{M}^{\frac{\mathrm{p}}{}{ }^{\text {a }}}$ |  |  |  |
| (p;q) 7 | S | 2j | ; | $p$ eve |  |
|  | $\mathrm{i}=0 \mathrm{~S}=2 \mathrm{i}+2$ | $j=0$ |  |  |  |

$N$ ote that the highest $S O$ (3)-spin in an SU (3)-m ultiplet ( $p ; q$ ) is always $S=p+q$, and the lowest $S=0$ or 1 .
D. Orbital sym $m$ etry in spherical geom etry

In the plane geom etry, $H_{\text {int }}$ is invariant under translations and rotations in the plane. W hen working on the sphere, this sym $m$ etry group is replaced by the rotation group SO (3) orb (strictly, we should say SU (2) orb whenever $N_{v}$ is odd) of the sphere. In the lim it $R$ ! 1 described above, this sym $m$ etry becom es translations and rotations of the plane. W hen taking this lim it, we also


F IG. 1: O verview of $c_{0}\left\{c_{2}\right.$ plane, w th special regions and directions $m$ arked.
hold $L$ xed, and hence $m$ any-particle states of de nite SO (3) orb quantum numbers ( $\widetilde{\Gamma}^{\prime} ; \widetilde{\Gamma}_{z}$ ) become in the lim it in nite-dim ensionalm ultiplets of the Euclidean group of the plane. States $w$ th in each $m$ ultiplet di er only in the state of the center of $m$ ass variable (which has coordinate $z_{C}=i_{i}=N$ ). Thus, if L is an eigenfinction of $H_{\text {int }}$ at certain angular $m$ om entum $L$, then there exists a whole \tow er" of states $\mathrm{L}+\mathrm{L} 0 / \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{C}}^{\mathrm{L}^{0}} \mathrm{~L}$ w th the same interaction energy at angularm om entum $L+L^{0}$.

W e rem ark that in situations where only a few quantum orbitals are available to the bosons, the spectrum is largely determ ined by sym $m$ etry considerations. P articular exam ples are the spectrum for $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{v}}=2$ on the sphere, where the exact N boody energies are given in term s of Casim ir invariants of the orbital and spin-sym $m$ etries (see eq. (1d) below ), and the case w ith $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{v}}=\underline{4}$ on the torus, where the topological degeneracy eq. (25) below pertaining to particular quantum liquid states is recovered from the $S U$ (3) spin-sym $m$ etry.

## III. MA IN FEATURESOFTHE PHASE D IA GRAM

In this section we m ake a rst pass through the phase diagram $w$ th num erical results on $m$ oderate sizes. $F$ irst we consider the ground states of $H$ int in the disc geom etry for each $L$, then use this to nd the ground states of $H$ ! as a function of!. A ll this has to be done for general values of $c_{0}, c_{2}$.

## A . G lobal structure of the phase diagram

$F$ irst we point out that the $m$ agnitude $\left(c_{0}^{2}+c_{2}^{2}\right)^{1=2}$ only sets the overall energy scale, so it can be divided out. $T$ hus the phase diagram can be thought of as a circle, in
which a point on the circle represents a ray in the $c_{0}\left\{c_{2}\right.$ plane. $W$ e wish to exam ine this for each $L$, or later for each !. In $F$ igure 111 the $c_{0}\left\{c_{2}\right.$ plane is show $n$ w ith certain special directions ( $c_{0}=0, c_{2}=0, g_{0}=0, g_{2}=0$ ) that willbe im portant later picked out.

For $L=0$, the ground state has total spin $S=N$ for $C_{2}<0$ (ferro regim e) and $S=0$ (1) for $N$ even (odd) for $\mathrm{C}_{2}>0$ (anti-ferro regim e). These states are the way that the broken sym $m$ etry states described in Sec . I (the ferrom agnetic and polar states respectively) appear in a nite size study. For $\mathrm{C}_{2}=0$, there is a single $S U$ (3) multiplet of spin states, decom posing into one SO (3) multiplet of each spin $S=N, N \quad 2, \ldots$ The transition at $c_{2}=0$ can thus be view ed as levels crossing, $w$ ith a larger degeneracy on the line $c_{2}=0$. As $L$ increases, these two phases at $c_{2} \in 0$ survive in part of the phase diagram, as com pact drops of uid, with the center of $m$ ass carrying all the angular $m$ om entum . $M$ eanw hile, the positive $c_{0}$ axis gradually opens into a region that contains other phases. By the time is $N$, the $c_{0}\left\{C_{2}\right.$ plane contains the three regions labelled $I\{A$, $I\left\{B\right.$, and $I I$ in gure $\frac{11}{11}$.
$T$ he ground states in regions $I\{A$ and $I\{B$ are sim ilar to the one in the \attractive" regim e in the scalar case ${ }^{\text {2II. }}$. $T$ he orbital part of the ground state $w$ avefunction is of the form $\sim\left(z_{i}\right) / z_{c}^{\mathrm{L}}$. In region $I\left\{A\left(c_{0}<0, c_{2}>0\right)\right.$, the spin state is the sam e, spin-singlet as for the $L=0$ ground state, and the energy $y^{2}=$ becom es $\left[c_{0} N(\mathbb{N} \quad 1)=2 \quad \mathrm{~N}\right.$ \& $]$. In region $I\left\{B\left(C_{2}<O_{1}, C_{0}<Q_{2}\right)\right.$, the spin state is ferro, $S=N$, giving energy² ${ }^{511}\left(c_{0}+c_{2}\right) N(\mathbb{N} \quad 1)=2<0$. At $Q_{2}=$ $0, c_{0}<0$, the spin states again form the $S U(3) \mathrm{multiplet}$. In the rem aining \repulsive" region II, the ground state is in generalnot a com $m$ on eigenstate of the $c_{0}$ and $c_{2}$ parts of the interaction, and the ground state energy depends non-linearly on the ratio $=c_{2}=c_{0}$. N ote that we have now located the repulsive region $m$ ore precisely than in our previous characterization of it sim ply as $c_{0}>0$. M ost of the follow ing analysis focuses on region II only, which can be param etrized by $=c_{2}=c_{0}$ alone.

B . $F$ in ite size results in region II as a function of !
In gure $\overline{1} 1$, we show the ground state quantum num bers ( $L$; S ) in region II for $N=6$ bosons as a function of the rotation frequency!. As the phase diagram for each! is a circle (which in region II can be param etrized by = $\mathrm{c}_{2}=\mathrm{c}_{0}$, or by $=\arctan$ ), we are free to plot! radially. $T$ he param eters are show $n$ in units of ! 0 and w ith $c_{0}=$ $0: 25$, but notioe that the ground state quantum num bers can only depend on the dim ensionless ratios of energies (! $0 \quad!)=\varnothing$ and $c_{2}=c_{0}$, so that the structure show $n$ is actually present (though w th the radial variable rescaled and shifted) for all param eter values (unless $c_{0}$ is too large). The dashed rays are the lines $c_{2}=G, c_{2}=0$ and $c_{2}=c_{0}=2$, and the outer dashed circle is the locus of $!=!!_{0} . T$ he ground state angular $m$ om entum $L$ and spins $S$ at $c_{2}=0$ and as a function of!, are show $n$ in the


FIG. 2: G round state quantum numbers ( $L$; $S$ ) in region II for $N=6$ spin-1 particles in the planar (disc) geom etry, as a function of the driving frequency ! (plotted radially) and the ratio (corresponding to the angle $w$ th respect to the horizontalaxis). The specialdirections $g_{2}=0, c_{2}=0, g_{0}=0$, $c_{0}=0$ are show n as double-dotted radial lines. T he inset shows a cut along the $c_{2}=0$ direction, $w$ th the angular m om entum given on the vertical axis and the (degenerate) spin values $S \mathrm{~m}$ arked at each of the steps. In this gure, the param eters $C_{0}, C_{2}$, and ! are in units of ! 0 , and the value $c_{0}=0: 25$ is used in the m ain gure as well as in the inset. For additional discussion, see the $m$ ain text.
inset. The degenerate spin values at $L \quad N$ are seen to correspond to the follow ing irreducible SU (3) m ultiplets: $(p ; q)=(6 ; 0)$ for $L=0,(p ; q)=(4 ; 1)$ for $L=1,(p ; q)=$ $(2 ; 2)$ for $L=2$, $(p ; q)=(1 ; 1)$ for $L=3$ and $(p ; q)=$ $(0 ; 0)$ for $L=6 . N$ ote also that for $c_{2}\left\langle 0, c_{0}\right\rangle C_{2}$ the ground state spin gradually decreases from $S=6$ at $!=0$ to $S=0$.

```
IV. SLOW ROTATION:EXACT GROUND
    STATESAT C2 = 0, L N
```

For larger sizes, a brute-force num erical approach is not feasible, so we develop other approaches. In this section we determ ine the exact ground state energies and $w$ avefunctions for slow rotation (angular $m$ om entum up to the boson num ber, $L \quad N$ ), for $Q>0$ and $C_{2}=0$, exploiting the SU (3) sym m etry described in the section II. Somer of the ground states we nd were described in Ref ${ }^{2}{ }^{2-1}$. W e analyze a system of $N$ spin- bosons in spherical geom etry w ith $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{v}}$ quanta of vorticity, w th the disc geom etry em erging as the $\lim$ it $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{v}}$ ! 1 . We rem ark that for $N$ su ciently large, it becom es natural to discuss low energy properties in term $s$ of $m$ ean eld congurations that break the various sym $m$ etries and whose energy is slightly higher than that of the exact quantum ground state; this w ill be discussed in section 'V!.

## A. Exact eigen states of $H_{n}$

$T$ he ground state spectrum for $\mathrm{C}_{2}=0$ and $\mathrm{L} \quad \mathrm{N}$ can be understood by exploiting the SU (3) sym m etry of the H am iltonian $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}$. In our analysis we proceed as follow s . $W$ e consider two series of eigenstates of $H_{n}$, in which (roughly speaking) the bosons occupy at m ost the low est three orbitals. Am ong these eigenstates, we identify the exact quantum ground states on the disc and the sphere, as a function of the angular $m$ om entum. This then allow sus to com pute the! dependence of the ground state angular $m$ om entum for general $N$ at $c_{2}=0$.

We write the rst series of eigenstates as p;q;ni ${ }^{\text {I }}$. These states contain doublets and triplets of spin-1 bosons that are fully antisym $m$ etric in spin indioes, and in the orbitalindices (guaranteeing the overallsym $m$ etry that is required). The di erent num bers of single bosons, doublets and triplets corresp ond uniquely to the values of N and the quantum numbers ( $p ; q$ ) of the corresponding SU (3) multiplets. T he triplets, which appear $n$ tim es, are singlets under SU (3), and so do not a ect the overall $S U$ (3) representation. The highest spin com ponent ( $S^{z}=p+q$ ) of the corresponding $S U$ (3) multiplet takes the follow ing form (up to norm alization)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { p; q; } n i^{I} /
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w \text { th } e_{1}=(1 ; 0 ; 0), e_{2}=(0 ; 0 ; 1) \text { and } \mathrm{B}^{y}=
\end{aligned}
$$

$\left(\mathrm{o}_{0}^{\mathrm{y}} ; ~ ; \mathrm{b}_{1}^{\mathrm{y}} ; ~ ; \mathrm{b}_{2}^{\mathrm{y}}\right.$; ). C learly, the total num ber of bosons is $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{p}+2 \mathrm{q}+3 \mathrm{n}$. The energies corresponding to eq. (\%) are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p} ; \mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{I}}=\mathrm{C}_{0}={ }_{1}^{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{v}} \mathrm{n}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{n} & 1
\end{array}\right)+{ }_{2}^{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{v}}} \mathrm{q}(\mathrm{q}} \quad \\
&+{ }_{3}^{N^{\mathrm{v}} \mathrm{np}}+\frac{3}{2} \mathrm{q} p+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{p}(\mathrm{p}  \tag{9}\\
&{ }_{4}^{N_{v}} \mathrm{nq} ;
\end{align*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{v}}^{\mathrm{N}}=3 \frac{11 \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{v}}^{2} 20 \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{v}}+6}{4\left(2 \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{v}} 3\right)\left(2 \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{v}} 1\right)} \quad \mathrm{N}_{2}^{\mathrm{N}}=\frac{5 \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{v}} 2}{2\left(2 \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{v}} 1\right)} \\
{ }_{3}^{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{v}}=\frac{7 \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{v}}}{} \frac{4}{2\left(2 \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{v}} 1\right)}} \quad \begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{v}}=\frac{5 \mathrm{~N}_{2}}{2}:
\end{array}
\end{gathered}
$$

This energy is for spherical geom etry, and it depends on the num ber $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{v}}$ of ux quanta. For $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{v}}!1$ eq. ( (9, $_{1}$ ) gives the energy in a disc geom etry; $N_{v}=2$ gives the energy on a sphere $w$ ith 3 orbitals. O $n$ the basis of exact diagonalization studies for $N=6 ; 9 ; 12 ; 15 ; 18$ particles we claim that on the disc for $\mathrm{L} \quad \mathrm{N}=2$, the ground state m ultiplet is precisely $\dot{p} ; q ; 0 i^{I}, w$ ith $p=N \quad 2 L, q=L$.

On the spheme $w$ th $N_{v}=2$, we have obtained a m uch stronger resultis', nam ely a closed form result for all eigenvahes of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}$. It tums out that these energies can be given in term $s$ of the num ber $N$ of bosons, the total angularm om entum $I$ and the ( $p ; q$ ) labels of the $S U$ (3) representation, according to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p} ; \mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{N}=2}=\mathrm{C}_{0}=\frac{5}{18} \mathrm{~N}(\mathbb{N} \quad 1)+\frac{1}{6} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{p} ; \mathrm{q}}^{2}+\frac{1}{6} \widetilde{L}(\tilde{L}+1) ; \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T_{p ; q}^{2}=\left(p^{2}+q^{2}+p q\right)=3+p+q$ is the quadratic $C$ asim ir operator for $S U$ (3) in the representation ( $p ; q$ ). Specializing this expression to the states in series $I$, by elim inating $N$ in favor of $n$ and using the fact that $I=$ $p+q$, reproduces the result in eq. $(\underline{\underline{g}})$ for $N_{v}=2$.

A nalyzing the ground state on the disc for $L>N=2$, we identi ed a second series of states $\dot{p} ; q ; n i^{I I}$. O ne can think of the type II states as having the p single bosons in $m=1$ rather then $m=0$, so that now $e_{1}=(0 ; 1 ; 0)$. T hat is not quite correct for the energy eigenstates, as we w ill explain below, but it does give the correct quantum num bers. T he states in series I, II share the property of having p single bosons and q doublets, leading to SU (3) D ynkin labels ( $p ; q$ ). It $m$ ay be ilhum inating to display the structure of the states in term s ofdiagram s sim ilar to Y oung tableaux as in gure $\underset{\underline{1}, \mathbf{1}}{\mathbf{1}}$. F or the orbital structure of the highest-w eight states in either series I or $I$, the lengths of the three row s represent the num ber ofbosons in the orbitals $m=0,1,2$ respectively (in the rough point of view, which will be corrected below ), while the di erences $p, q$ and $n$ in the lengths correspond to the SU (3) structure. Essentially, these diagram s are ordinary Young tableaux for the states, but $w$ ith the rst two row s exchanged in the case of series II.

For the case of the type II states, the follow ing correction $m$ ust be $m$ ade to obtain the energy, eigenstates. In the case of scalar bosons, it is know n 91311 that the


F IG . 3: T he structure of the two di erent series of eigen states of $H_{n}$, displayed in a form sim ilar to Y oung tableaux. In both cases, the corresponding SU (3)-representation has D ynkin labels ( $p ; q$ ).
ground state con guration at $L=p$ ofp bosons is a vorgex located at their opnter of m ass, w ith w avefunction ${ }_{i}\left(z_{i} \quad z_{c}\right) w$ ith $z_{C}=\quad{ }_{i} z_{i}=p$. This state is not entirely restricted to the $m=1$ orbital, as there are com ponents in which other orbitals in the range $0 \quad \mathrm{~m} \quad \mathrm{p}$ are occupied as well. T he p bosons in the state $\dot{p} ; q ; n i^{I I}$ form such a vortex. This complication $m$ akes it di cult to w rite dow $n$ the closed form expression for the states in series II; based on num erical analysis for $s m$ all N and $m$ ean eld results for large $N$ (see section $\bar{N} 1$ ), w e do propose the follow ing closed form expression for the corresponding energy on the disc

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{p ; q ; n}^{I I}=C_{0} & =\frac{33}{16} n\left(\begin{array}{ll}
n & 1
\end{array}\right)+\frac{5}{4} q(q \quad 1)+\frac{1}{4} p(p \\
& +\frac{25}{8} n q+\frac{11}{8} n p+q p: \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

$N$ ote that the $p$-independent term $s$ in this form ula are identical to those for type I states w ith $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{v}}=1$. The state $\mathrm{P} ; 0 ; 0 \mathrm{i}^{\mathrm{II}}$, has energy $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{p} \quad 2)=4$, which is exactly the ground state energy of a rotating scalar BEC at $\mathrm{L}=$ $\mathrm{p}=\mathrm{N} . \mathrm{Th}$ is justi es the interpretation of the polarized subsystem w ith p bosons form ing a vortex at the center of mass. H ow ever, 辻 tums out that $\dot{p} ; 0 ; 0 i^{I I}$ w ill never be the low est energy con guration for a rotating spin-1 system.

Am ong the type I/II states the follow ing are special. Finst, $\dot{p} ; 0 ; 0 i^{I}$ is the non-rotating ground state, corresponding to the $(p ; 0)-m$ ultiplet. Second, j0;q;0i gives a wavefiunction com posed of anti-sym m etrized pains of bosons, a Boson-D oublet-C ondensate (BDC) or ( $0 ; q$ )m ultiplet. T hird, j0; 0;ni is com posed of 3 body singlets; It is a condensate of triplets or boson-triplet-condensata. ${ }^{1 / 1}$ (BTC); we shall see that it forms the ground state at $\mathrm{L}=3 \mathrm{n}=\mathrm{N}$. The BTC-state can be regarded as a sym $m$ etrized version of the core-less vortioes observed in $m$ ean eld studies (see section $V \cdot$

M ore generally, the type I/II states are exam ples of $\backslash\left(m\right.$ ulti-) fragm ented" condensates ${ }^{32}$, , see alsol ${ }^{\prime 26}$, in the sense that they contain severalm acroscopically occupied elem ents in the density $m$ atrix. For instance, for the BTC- and for (any com ponent of) the BDC-state we have $\mathrm{hn}_{\mathrm{m}} \quad i_{\mathrm{BDC}}=(1 \quad \mathrm{~m} ; 2)(1 \quad \#) \mathrm{q}=2, \mathrm{hn}_{\mathrm{m}} \quad \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{BT} \mathrm{C}}=$ $\mathrm{n}=3$. Since the spin is xed in P these states, $(\mathrm{n})^{2}$ $h(n \quad h n i)^{2} i=0$, where $n={ }_{m=0 ; 1 ; 2} n_{m}$. H ow ever, w ithin each spin com ponent, the uctuations of the boson


FIG. 4: $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{N}$ as N ! 1 of the ground state on the disc (bold line) and on the sphere w ith $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{v}}=2$ (dashed line), as functions of $\downarrow=(!\quad!0)=\left(c_{0} N\right)$ at $c_{2}=0$. The horizontal lines $m$ ark the values $L=N=1=3$ and $L=N=2=3$. The cusps in both curves indicate the point where the $m=2$ quantum orbital is rst used in the ground state.
num berbetw een orbitals is of the order of the system size: $\left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{m}}\right)_{B D C}^{2}=\mathrm{q}(\mathrm{q}+2)=12,\left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{m}} \quad\right)_{\mathrm{BTC}}^{2}=\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{n}+3)=18$. This is an indication that, as in the case of the singlet ground state at $L=0$ in the antiferrom agnetic regim e and the related \polar" $m$ ean eld staté - , it $m$ ay be best to think of these states as broken sym $m$ etry states ${ }^{331}$. T hat is the approach we w ill take in Sec.

## B. Exact ground states at $c_{2}=0$ as a function of $L$ or!

The ground state of a rotating gas w ith N spin-1 bosons in the LLL and a spin-independent ( $c_{2}=0$ ) interaction is form ed by a sequence of type I or II states lying on a certain path in $(p ; q)$-space as $L$ increases. To nd the ground state in a rotating fram e of reference, we need to nd the ground state of $H$ !, eq. (3), instead. Since this H am ittonian contains only two energy scales, the ground state angular $m$ om entum per particle $L=N$ can be w rilten as a fiunction of the ratio $\left(!\quad!_{0}\right)=\left(\mathbb{N} c_{0}\right)$. For nite boson number this function consists of a sequence of steps, as can be seen in gure $\overline{1} 1$. . It tums out that (thanks to our judicious choices of factors of N) the $\lim$ it N ! 1 w ith $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{N}$ and (! $\left.!_{0}\right)=\left(\mathrm{c}_{0} \mathrm{~N}\right)$ xed of this function exists, and this is the m ost convenient inform ation to display. In the follow ing we determ ine the path of the ground states in $(p ; q)$-space as a function of $L$, and the $\mathrm{L}(!)=\mathrm{N}$ behavior of the ground states in this lim it for both the sphere $\left(\mathbb{N}_{v}=2\right)$ and the disc $\left(\mathbb{N}_{v}=1\right)$ in the regim e $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{N} \quad 1$.

$$
\text { 1. G round states on the sphere at } \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{v}}=2
$$

On the sphere, our notion of rotation is such that the SO (3) orb -angular m om entum I decreases as the system rotates faster and faster. W ith three orbitals $\left(\mathbb{N}_{v}=2\right)$ we have $\tilde{L}_{z}=N \quad \mathrm{~L}$ (see section IIA). (W e consider $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{v}}=2$ because this case can just accom m odate L N.$) A t \mathrm{I}=\mathrm{N}$, we know already that the $\mathrm{N} ; 0 ; 0 \mathrm{i}^{\mathrm{I}}$ m ultiolet form sthe ground state. A s I starts to decrease, again a type I state has the lowest energy; the (p;q)path is param etrized by ( $2 \mathrm{~L} \quad \mathrm{~N} ; \mathrm{N} \quad \mathrm{L}$ ). Bosons are gradually added to the $m=1$ orbital and form antisym $m$ etrized pairs $w$ ith the rem aining ones. T he point up to which this continues can be found by com paring the energies of $2 \mathrm{~L} \quad \mathrm{~N} ; \mathrm{N} \quad \mathrm{L} ; 0 i^{I}$ and $2 \mathrm{~L} \quad \mathrm{~N}+\mathrm{t} ; \mathrm{N}$ I $\quad t ; t=3 i=$. A fterm inim izing $w$ ith respect to $t$ this $y$ ields the critical $S U(3)$-indices $(p ; q)_{C}=(N=3 ; N=3)$. At this point, $w$ ith $L=2 N=3$, ground states $w$ ith a nonzero ( $n>$ 0) num ber of triplets becom e energetically favorable. In the rem aining region, $2 \mathrm{~N}=3 \quad \mathrm{~L} \quad 0$, type I states are the ground states follow ing the path $(p ; q)=(\tilde{L}=2 ; \Sigma=2)$. Eventually this term inates on the BTC at $L=0 . L=N$ of the ground state as a function of the rotation drive ! show s a cusp at $I=N=2=3(L=N=1=3)$, as is show $n$ in


## 2. G round states on the disc

For a system on the disc $\left(\mathbb{N}_{v}=1\right)$, the results are rather di erent. W e will again present the ground states in order of increasing $\mathrm{L} . \mathrm{At} \mathrm{L}=0$, the $\mathrm{N} ; 0 ; 0 \mathrm{i}^{I}-$ multiplet forms the ground state as we know. For L $\quad \mathrm{N}=2$ the ground state is form ed by a type I state w ith $n=0$ and $S U(3)$-quantum num bers $(p ; q)=(N \quad 2 L ; L)$. $T h i s$ state tem inates on the BDC at $L=N=2$. In this range, increasing $L$ leads, as on the sphere, to m ore bosons occupying the $\mathrm{m}=1$ orbital, form ing antisym $m$ etrized pains $w$ ith the ones in the $m=0$ orbital. For $L \quad N=2$, the type II states have the low est energy. As $L$ increases, bosons $m$ ove from the $m=0$ into the $m=1$ orbital, decreasing the num ber of doublets, and giving type II states at $(p ; q)=(2 \mathrm{~L} \quad \mathrm{~N} ; \mathrm{N} \quad \mathrm{L})$. C omparing the energies of $\ddagger \mathrm{N} ;(1 \quad \mathrm{t}) \mathrm{N}=2 ; 0 \mathrm{I}^{I}$ and $j(\mathrm{t} \quad \mathrm{s}) \mathrm{N} ;(1$ t) $N=2 ; S N=3 i^{I I}$, we can determ ine the point $w$ here it becom es favourable for triplets to enter the ground state. W e nd a criticalangularm om entum $L=\left(1 \quad t_{0}\right) N$ with $t_{c} \quad 1=3 \quad 3=N$, which approaches $L=2 N=3$ for $N$ large. ForL $\quad 2 \mathrm{~N}=3$ the num ber oftriplets is gradually increasing as L grows. M inim izing $2 \mathrm{~L} \quad \mathrm{~N} \quad \mathrm{~s} ; \mathrm{N} \quad \mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{s}=3{ }^{3} \mathrm{I}$ w ith respect to $s$, we nd that the ground state is now the type II state w ith $s(\mathbb{N} ; L)=3 L \quad 2 N$, giving $(p ; q ; n)=(\mathbb{N} L ; N \quad L ; L \quad 2 N=3)$. For $L=N$ the ground state is the BTC w ith $p=q=0, n=N=3$.

To sum $m$ arize the above results, for $0 \quad \mathrm{~L} \quad \mathrm{~N}=2$ the ground state is given by $\mathrm{N} \quad 2 \mathrm{~L} ; \mathrm{L} ; 0$ i and for $\mathrm{N}=2$ $\mathrm{L} \quad 2 \mathrm{~N}=3$ by $2 \mathrm{~L} \quad \mathrm{~N} ; \mathrm{N} \quad \mathrm{L}$; 垶. In the rem aining range
$2 \mathrm{~N}=3 \quad \mathrm{~L} \quad \mathrm{~N}$ the num ber of 3-body singlets is nonzero, and the ground state is given by $\mathrm{N} \quad \mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{N} \quad \mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{L}$ $2 \mathrm{~N}=3 i^{\mathrm{II}}$. M inim izing the energy in a rotating fram e of reference leads to the $\mathrm{L}(!)=\mathrm{N}$-dependence of the ground states for $N$ ! 1 which is depicted in gure ' $\overline{4} 1$ '. In this gure, the curve shows a cusp at the point where the $m=2$ orbital rst enters the ground state con guration, which is at $L=N=2=3$ for the disc $w$ th $N$ large. A signature of this cusp in an experim ental system $m$ ight be a change in the expansion rate (the rate of change of the outer radius of the drop $w$ ith respect to !) if the angularm om entum exceeds $2 \mathrm{~N}=3$. W e shall see that the cusp survives in the anti-ferrom agnetic regim $e, c_{2}>0$.

It is im portant to contrast all this w ith the well l-know n behavior of scalar bosons in a rotating trap ${ }^{2512} \cdot{ }^{291}$. In the latter case there is a jum p from $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{N}=0$ to $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{N}=1$ (for all N ) when one vortex enters the system, whereas for spin-1 bosons we nd (at N ! 1 ) a continuous L (! )=N curve w th a discontinuous slope.

## V. SLOW ROTATION:LLLMEAN FIELD THEORY

At low rotation rates, the typical boson occupation num bers $\mathrm{hn}_{\mathrm{m}}$ i of the occupied ( $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{m}} \in 0$ ) single-particle states are large com pared $w$ th 1 . In this situation, a $m$ ean eld (or classical) approach to the problem is generally expected to be quantitatively accurate. In such an approach, the boson operators are replaced by expectation values, which are complex c-num bers: $b_{m}^{y}!b_{m}$, and the second-quantized H am iltonian is then m in im ized $w$ ith respect to both the $m$ agnitude and phase of these num bers to nd the ground states. In essence the resulting state is a B ose condensate w the bosons condensed in one linear combination of the single-particle states. This typically involves breaking the orbital and spin sym $m$ etries, as well as particle num ber conservation. (States $w$ ith de nite values of the good quantum num bers such as N, S, L can be obtained afterw ards.by applying a pro jection to them ean eld quantum state ${ }^{33}$..) In the case of very low rotation, where $L \quad N$, we have seen that (neglecting the subtleties that arose for type II states) the states essentially involve only the $m=0$, 1 , and 2 states, so that the basis set for the $m$ ean eld calculation is particularly sm all. In these cases, the m ean occupation num bers of the single particle states are of order $N$, and their energies exceed the exact ground state energy (which is of order $\mathrm{N}^{2}$ ) by an am ount of order $N$. In this section we pursue this $m$ ean eld calculation for this regim e. This gives us easy access to the ground states at large $N$ for $\mathrm{C}_{2} \in 0$ in region $I I$. In the follow ing section, we study instead the $m$ ean eld states at larger rotation, which can be assum ed to be states in which the translationaland rotationalsym $m$ etry group of the plane is broken to that of a lattice.

In term $s$ of the com plex num bers $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{m}},, \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{m}}$, the energy becom es a quartic polynom ial and the ground state can
be found by $m$ inim izing this polynom ial $w$ ith respect to these variables. $T$ h is is done here $w$ th the $m$ ean boson number $\mathrm{hN} i=\mathrm{m} \quad \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{m}} \quad \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n}}$ and angular m om entum $h L i=m \quad \mathrm{mb}_{\mathrm{m}} \quad \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{m}} \quad$ xed at the values N , L , respectively. The spin is not constrained at all. For $\mathrm{C}_{2}=0$ the H am iltonian on the sphere takes the form

$$
H_{n}=C_{0} \begin{array}{ccc}
\mathrm{X} & \mathrm{X} & \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{m}_{1} \mathrm{~m}_{2} \mathrm{~m}_{3} \mathrm{~m}_{4} \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{m}_{1}}} \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{m}_{2}} \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{m}_{3}} \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{m}_{4}} ;
\end{array}
$$

w ith m atrix elem ents


This exhibits the dependence on the spatialonbitals. For $C_{2} \not 0$, the $m$ atrix elem ents in the additionalterm consist of $V_{m_{1}} \quad{ }_{4} \mathrm{~mm}$ ultiplied by $m$ atrix elem ents of $S_{i} S_{j}$, which depend on the $1, \ldots, 4$ labels of the bosons. These $m$ atrix elem ents can be found in standard quantum $m$ echanics texts.

The fact that m ean- eld con gurationsbreak the various sym $m$ etries im plies that the $m$ inim a of the $m$ ean eld energy form orbits under the action of these sam e sym $m$ etries. On a disc, and at $c_{2} \in 0$, one expects and nds that, typically, from a generic $m$ inim um there are 5 at directions leading to ad jacent $m$ inim a w ith equalenergy. These at directions correspond to the 3 generators of the SO (3) spin symm etry, an overall phase, and an orbital O (2) rotation. For spin-independent interactions the sym $m$ etry orbits are generically 10-dim ensional.

O ne convenient quantity to plot is the expectation value hSi of the spin, whose length is conserved under global spin rotations. In special cases, this expectation value is axi-sym $m$ etric; in the $m$ ore generalcase it is nonaxisym $m$ etric and the $m$ ean eld con guration breaks the orbitalo (2) sym m etry. A nother useful quantity is the three-com ponent condensate w avefiunction (analogous to the fam iliar spinor for spin-1/2), whidp is the expectation value of the eld operator, $\mathrm{h} \quad(\mathrm{z}) \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{m} \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{m}(\mathrm{z})$ (see Sec. IIA). It is a vector in the $=", 0$, \# basis. From this we can plot the density in each spin com ponent in position space. This could be accessed experim entally if after sw itching o the trap to allow the particle cloud to expand, a Zeem an term is sw itched on, which causes the three com ponents to separate as they expand.

A s an exam ple, we plot in gure ${ }^{\prime}{ }_{1}^{1}$, the 2D density prole in each spin com ponent of two di erent $m$ ean eld ground state con gurations at $\mathrm{c}_{2}=0, \mathrm{~L}=\mathrm{N} . T$ he top fram e show sthe densities for the condensate proportional to ( 0 ; 1 ; 2); the low er fram e show sa con guration that is related to this by an $S U$ (3) rotation. T he totaldensity in each of the $m=0,1,2$ orbitals is an $S U$ (3) invariant, and it is the same for both con gurations shown in $g$ ure ${ }_{2}{ }_{1}^{2}$. The mean eld energy of these con gurations is $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{MF}}=\frac{11}{48} \mathrm{~N}^{2}$, in agreem ent w ith order $\mathrm{N}^{2}$ term in the


FIG.5: Two-dim ensional density pro le of each of the spin com ponents of two LLL mean eld ground state con gurations at $c_{2}=0, L=N$. The upper panels shows the axi-sym $m$ etric spin-vector con guration ( $0(z)$; $1(z) ; 2(z))$. The two con gurations share the sam e distribution of the totaldensity, and they are related by the $S U$ (3) sym $m$ etry. For $C_{2} \in 0$, there are sim ilarly distinct pro les related by SO (3) sym $m$ etry.


FIG. 6: Regions in the, 'plane in which only them $=0$ and $m=1$ orbitals are present in the $m$ ean eld ground state on the disc are shaded. The angular coordinate is $=$ arctan and $'=\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{N}$ is plotted radially. In the shaded strip near ' = 1, a \polar vortex" form s the ground state. T he dotted lines $m$ ark the $=1$ and $=0$ directions.
energy of the exact quantum (B T C ) ground state, eq. ( $\overline{\underline{1}} \mathbf{1}$ ) w th $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{v}}=2, \mathrm{p}=\mathrm{q}=0$ and $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{N}=3$.
$F$ irst we consider the disc geom etry w ith $\mathrm{C}_{2}=0$. C arrying out the $m$ ean eld $m$ inim izations, we nd in term $s$ of ' $=\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{P}}$ that for 0 , $2=3$ the number densities $\mathrm{hn}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{i}=\quad \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{m}} \quad \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n}} \quad$ in the orbitals of the m ean eld ground states behave like (here and in the rem ainder of this section, these num bers are norm alized so that they sum to 1) $\mathrm{hn}_{0} \mathrm{i}=1 \quad$ ' $\mathrm{hn}_{1} \mathrm{i}=$ ' and $\mathrm{hn}_{2} \mathrm{i}=0$. For $\frac{2}{3} \quad$, 1 we ndhri $=\frac{1}{3}, \mathrm{hn}_{1} \mathrm{i}=\frac{4}{3} \quad$, andhn $\mathrm{h}=$, $\frac{2}{3}$.

A llthis is in agreem ent with the results derived from the exact quantum ground states in section 'I $\bar{I} \bar{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$.

For very sm all interaction ratios j $j \quad 1$, the total densities in the orbitals rem ain the same as for $=0$, but there is non-trivialstructure in the spin dependence, leading to spin transitions at critical values of ${ }^{`}=\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{N}$, as we w ill describe shortly.

In gure 'G we have plotted region II of the phase diagram, this tim ew ith ' radially. The shaded regions show where only the rst tw o orbitals $(m=0 ; 1)$ are present in the condensate. O ne region is a tiny strip near ${ }^{~}=1$ for
$\left(7+\frac{4}{4} \overline{2}\right)=17 \quad 0: 75$, where the $(m ;)=(1 ; 0)$ state is occupied by all the bosons. This state can be seen as a \polar" vortex, since it has the sam e spin state as the polar BEC. The other region, centered (roughly) around the $c_{2}=0$ axis, contains states in which both the $m=0$ and $m=1$ orbitals are used.

In the anti-ferrom agnetic regim e for ' 1 there is a large area where the $m=3$ orbital requires a non-zero density; in this area, $m$ ean eld theory in which only the rst three orbitals are used is not valid. H ow ever, around and at the $S U$ (3)-axis and around the polarvortex asw ell as in the ferrom agnetic regim $e$, the density in the $m=3$ orbital is very sm all for ' 1 and can safely be ignored. Besides, if the energy H ! in a rotating fram e (see eq. (了ु)) is minim ized, only the states which use the rst three orbitals $m=0 ; 1 ; 2$ are of interest for ' 1 . [ $T$ his is $w$ ith the exception of the vicin ities of the boundaries of region II (see gure'in at ! 1 and at $=1$.]

In the follow ing subsections we present results for the LLL $m$ ean eld ground state for $j j 1$, in both the ferrom agnetic and anti-ferrom agnetic regin es, and we discuss the ground states at ' $=1$ for general values of .

O ur m ean eld results pertain to the LLL, relevant for the regim e of weak interactions, and they thus dit,er from the $m$ ean eld solutions of the GP equations $x^{10134}$. $N$ evertheless, there is agreem ent on som e of the im portant features, such as the sm ooth dependence of $L$ on ! in the ferro regim $e$, and the role of the state $w$ ith a single -disclination near ' $=0: 5$ in the antiferrom agnetic regim $e^{34}-$.
A. A nti-ferrom agnetic interactions

W e now specify the m ean eld ground states, given in the form of a three-com ponent condensate $w$ ave function, for sm all, positive $=+$, and for ' 1 . A sbefore, the condensate wave function is a vector in the $=", 0$, \# basis. In the table below we specify the $m$ ean occupation num bers of the four states that we found.
$N$ ote that the condensates given in this table are speci c representatives of fam ilies of condensates that are related by the $S O(3)_{\text {spin }}$ sym $m$ etry. There are tw o crit-
 $\frac{4}{3}^{p} \overline{85} \quad 38 \overline{5} \overline{5} \quad 0: 83$, where we see a discontinuous rearrangem ent of the condensate con guration and of hS i.

|  | $\mathrm{hn}_{0 \prime \prime} \mathrm{i}$ | $\mathrm{hn}_{0 \# \text { \# }}$ | $\mathrm{hn}_{10} \mathrm{i}$ | $\mathrm{hn}_{1}$ " i | $\mathrm{hn}_{20} \mathrm{i}$ | $\mathrm{hn}_{2 \mathrm{l}} \mathrm{i}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 ' ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\frac{1}{2}$ (1) | $\frac{1}{2}(1 \quad$ ( $)$ | , | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \}  ,  { } ^ {  a  }  ,  \frac { 2 } { 3 } | 0 | 1 | 0 | , | 0 | 0 |
| $\frac{2}{3}$, $\quad$ b | 0 | $\frac{1}{3}$ | 0 |  | $\frac{2}{3}$ | 0 |
| b ' 1 | 0 | $\frac{1}{3}$ | $\frac{4}{3} \quad$ - | 0 | 0 | , |

TABLE I: O ccupation numbers of the LLL m ean eld ground state w ith sm all anti-ferrom agnetic interaction $=$.


FIG . 7: Two-dim ensionalplot of hS i at both sides of the spin
 while the color indicates the direction on the spin sphere as in gure 101below. T he left and right pictures correspond to eqs. (14) and (15), respectively.

For nonzero , these changes in the condensate are continuous; they becom e singular (discontinuous) only as $!0^{+}$.
For $'<{ }_{a}$, the condensate can $\frac{p}{p}$ represented ${ }_{p}$ by $\left(p \overline{2} 0(z) ; \quad 1(z) ; \bar{p}_{\overline{2}} 0(z)\right)$ w ith $=\overline{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{L}, \quad=\overline{\mathrm{L}}$. Applying $S O(3)_{\text {sp in }}$ rotations, one nds altemative representations such as $\left(p^{1} \frac{1}{2}[0(z) \quad 1(z)] ; 0 ; p^{1} \frac{1}{2}[0(z)+\right.$
 found to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { సS if }=\frac{\mathrm{N}^{2}}{2}{ }^{\prime}(1 \quad \text { })(z+z)^{2} e^{2 \dot{\mathrm{z}} \jmath^{2}}: \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The state that em erges at $\gg$ a corresponds to ( $1(z) ; 0 ; 0(z))$, leading to

$$
\mathfrak{j} S i \jmath^{2}=\frac{\mathrm{N}^{2}}{2}\left(\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \imath \tag{15}
\end{array} \quad \cup \dot{z}^{2}\right)^{2} e^{2 \dot{\operatorname{j}} \mathcal{J}^{2}}:\right.
$$

For ' $\& 1=2$, the integrated value of hSi for this state is non-zero and there is a spontaneous m agnetization. In gure $\overline{1} \mathbf{1}$ a two-dim ensional plot of hS i at both sides of the spin transition at ${ }^{\prime}={ }^{\prime} a$ is shown. The state at $0<1<$ a can be viewed as a con guration of two disclinations o the center of the trap, while the state in the regim $e{ }^{\prime}{ }_{\mathrm{a}}<1<2=3$ (or possibly even as far as ${ }_{\mathrm{b}}$ ) can be understood as a single -disclination in the polar state.
$T$ he angular $m$ om entum for which the $m=2$ orbital is nst occupied in the $m$ ean eld ground state, $'=\frac{2}{3}$, is robust against sm all anti-ferrom agnetic interactions.

For $=+, 2=3<v<\dot{b}$, the condensate can be represented as $\left(\begin{array}{c}1(z) ; \\ \mathrm{q} \\ \mathrm{q}\end{array} \quad 2(\mathrm{z}) ; \quad 0(\mathrm{z})\right)$, with $=\frac{\mathrm{N}}{3}$, $=\frac{9}{\mathrm{~L} \frac{2 \mathrm{~N}}{3}},=\frac{9}{\frac{4 \mathrm{~N}}{3}} \mathrm{~L}$, while for $\mathrm{b}<, ~ 1 \mathrm{we}$ have ( $\quad 2(z)$; $\quad 1(z) ; \quad 0(z))$.

In gure "1, we have depicted the ground state angular m om entum per particle, ', as a function of the rotation frequency ! for som e positive values of. It is seen that upon increasing a sem i-plateau (a distinguished part of the curve on which the angular mom entum increases gradually) develops. Upon increasing further, the sem iplateau becom es atter and the w idth decreases, until for
larger than som e critical value c 1:19, '(!) jum ps from ' $=0$ to an ${ }^{\prime}=1$ plateau at a critical frequency $!_{c}$ given by $!_{0} \quad!_{C} \quad 0: 15 \propto \mathrm{~N} . \mathrm{Th}$ is is a transition from the non-rotating state to the polar vortex, analogous to what occurs in the scalar boson case.

## B. Ferrom agnetic interactions

W ith sm all negative $=$ the mean eld ground states for slow rotation are characterized (up to SO (3) sp in rotations) by the occupation num bers given in table iII. A gain, we nd two spin transitions, the rst at ${ }^{2}=$ $2 \quad \overline{2} 0: 59$ and the second at b $0: 69$.

|  |  | $\mathrm{hn}_{00} \mathrm{i}$ | $\mathrm{hn}_{0 \#} \mathrm{i}$ | $\mathrm{hn}_{10} \mathrm{i}$ | $\mathrm{hn}_{1 \text { \# }} \mathrm{i}$ | $\mathrm{hn}_{2 \mathrm{l}} \mathrm{i}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 'a | 0 | 1 | , | 0 | 0 |
| - | , $\frac{2}{3}$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | , | 0 |
| $\frac{2}{3}$ | , ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\frac{1}{3}$ | 0 | 0 | $\frac{4}{3}$ | , $\frac{2}{3}$ |
| b | , 1 | 0 | $\frac{1}{3}$ | $\frac{4}{3}$, | 0 | , $\frac{2}{3}$ |

TABLE II: M ean occupation numbers of the LLL condensate for $s m$ all ferrom agnetic interaction $=$.

For ' < 'a the condensate can be represented by ( 0 ; $1(z)$; $0(z))$ with and as given above. In this state, the expectation values of the com ponents of the spin vector take the follow ing form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h S_{x} i=\stackrel{N}{P}_{P_{2}}^{P} \bar{v}(1 \quad v)(z+z) e^{j z j^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& h S_{z} i=\frac{N}{-}\left(1 \quad \text { ソ) } e^{\text {jz }}{ }^{2}:\right. \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

The state at $\mathrm{a}<,<2=3$ corresponds to ( 0 ; $0(z)$; $\quad 1(z))$, leading to a spin vector that vanishes at the center of the disc. The spin-textures for $=$, ' < 2=3, can be interpreted as half-skym ions (orm erons) (see also section V D below).
For $=, 2=3<$ ' $<$ ' , the condensate can be represented as ( $2(z)$; $0(z) ; 1(z))$, w ith ,


FIG. 8: The ground state angularm om entum perparticle ' on the disc as a function of $!=(!\quad!0)=c_{0} N$ for various interaction strengths and slow rotation. Upper gures: anti-ferrom agnetic regim e, $=: 1 ;: 5 ;: 75$. Lower gures: ferrom agnetic regim e, $=: 1 ; \quad: 3 ; \quad: 5$.


FIG. 9: The spin texture at $l=1$ for $=$. The left side is the anti-ferrom agnetic case, the right is the ferrom agnetic case. The color coding is as in gure
and as above, while for b < ' 1 we have ( $2(z)$; $1(z) ; \quad 0(z))$.

In the ferrom agnetic regim e the ! dependence of the ground state angular $m$ om entum becom es a smooth curve; see Figure

$$
\text { C. } M \text { ean eld con guration at } L=N
$$

Assum ing that only the rst three $m=0 ; 1 ; 2$ orbitals participate in the ground state, we nd that the $m$ ean eld ground states at ${ }^{\prime}=1$ takepthe form $\left(\mathrm{p} \frac{\left.(\mathrm{z}) \text {; } 1_{1}(\mathrm{z}) ; 0(\mathrm{z})\right) \text {, } \mathrm{w} \text { th }=\quad=\quad \overline{\mathrm{k}} \text { and }}{12 \mathrm{k} \text {, and } \mathrm{w} \text { th the }(+) \text { sign corresponding }}\right.$ to (anti-) ferrom agnetic interactions. $T$ he param eters $k$
depend on according to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{k}(\mathrm{l})=\frac{\left(19+28^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{2}\right)^{2}+\left(42 \mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{2}\right) \quad 3}{71^{2}+126}: \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The orbitaloccupation num bers, given as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{hn}_{10} \mathrm{i}=1 \quad 2 \mathrm{k} ; \quad \mathrm{h} n_{0 \#} \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{hn}_{2 n} \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{k} \quad ; \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

are continuous for going through 0 , but the spintexture, which is sensitive to the phases in the condensate wave function, is not. W e nd that for $=$, up to an overall constant,

$$
\begin{align*}
& h S_{x} i=\left(1 \frac{1}{2} z z\right)(z+z) e^{\dot{z} j^{2}} \\
& h S_{y} i=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & \left.\frac{1}{2} z z\right)(i)(z \quad z) e^{\dot{z} J^{2}} \\
\left.h S_{z} i=(1) \frac{1}{2}(z z)^{2}\right) e^{\dot{z} \jmath^{2}}:
\end{array}, l\right.
\end{align*}
$$

$N$ ote that in the anti-ferrom agnetic case, the expectation value of the spin vector is vanishing on the circle $\mathrm{zz}=\overline{2}$, while in the ferrom agnetic case we see a single skym ion texture w th hS i non-vanishing everyw here. Figure' ${ }_{-1}^{-1}$, show s the spin texture at $\quad=1$ for $=$

From ${ }^{-1}\left(1 \eta_{1}\right)$ it is possible to derive the critical antiferrom agnetic interaction ratio forw hich the polar vortex appears, by sim ply solving $k_{+}()=0$. The critical value found then is $=\left(7+4^{\rho} \overline{2}\right)=17 \quad 0: 75$ (see gure, increases tow ards , the density in the $m=3$ orbital acquires a sm all value. So, strictly speaking, the states
discussed here are not the truem ean eld ground state in the whole interm ediate region. A round $=0$ and $=$ how ever, $\mathrm{hn}_{3}$ i is zero and the value of is in agreem ent w ith num erical results.

In the ferrom agnetic regim e, upon low ering the param eter $k$ gradually decreases from $k=1=3$ at $=0$ to $k=1 \quad p^{1} \frac{\overline{2}}{}$ at $=1, w$ ith the corresponding occupation numbers given in eq. (12 $\mathbf{1}_{1}^{\prime}$ ).

$$
\text { D. } \quad \text { The sphere } \mathrm{w} \text { ith } \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{v}}=1,2
$$

It is instructive to perform LLL m ean eld theory on a system of spin-1 bosons in a spherical geom etry, with $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{v}}=1$ or $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{v}}=2$, m eaning that 2 or 3 orbitals are available to the particles. To com pare w ith the disc as before, we w rite these results in tem s of ${ }^{\prime}=\left(\frac{1}{2} N_{v} \quad \mathrm{~L}\right)=\mathrm{N} . \mathrm{No-}$ tige, how ever, that by attening out the sphere by stereographic projection, the results are qualitatively sim ilar to those for the disc when only the rst two or three orbitals are occupied. $T$ his is especially true for the states at $N_{v}=2,^{`}=1$. Even though the topological classi cation of textures (see A ppendix A) does not strictly apply to the plane, the form of the spin textures on the sphere is a usefulguide to those in the disc for ' 1.

For the case $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{v}}=1$ (tw o orbitals on the sphere), we $m$ ention the follow ing results. W ith $0<\quad=4$ the ground state con guration is the same as the one we found on the disc for ' $<{ }_{a}$. This con guration can be intenpreted as two -disclinations at opposite poles of the sphere. For $=4<=2$ all bosons occupy the $=0$ spin component, form ing a polar state $w$ th a single vortex. In the ferrom agnetic regim $e$, $w$ ith very sm all we nd the same spin transition as the one on the disc at ${ }^{\prime}={ }^{\prime}{ }_{\mathrm{a}} . \mathrm{W}$ ith $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{v}}=1$ this transition lies at $`=1=2$. These con gurations can be interpreted as a half-skym ion (or meron) in the spin texture. $w$ th the spin density vanishing at one point on the sphere, around which the spin density winds around the equator in $S$ space, passing over one pole at the opposite end of the sphere. If the interaction is deform ed by increasing $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{v}}$ tow ards $N_{v}$ ! 1 , the location of the spin transition is gradually shifted tow ards ' $=$ 'a.$W$ ith nite ferrom agnetic interaction $\mathbb{N}_{\mathrm{v}}=1$ again), there is a nite region where the core traces a path over the sphere (from the south pole to the north pole, as 'increases) and connects the two sides of the transition. The interaction energy is clearly independent of '. This region is bounded by

$$
(`)=\quad \text { jarctan }(2, ~ 1) \text { jfor } 0 \quad, \quad 1
$$

For $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{v}}=2$ and $=0$, the occupation numbers, sum $m$ ed over spin, in the three available orbitals are given by $\mathrm{hn}_{0} \mathrm{i}=1 \quad$; $\mathrm{hn}_{1} i=$ 'and $\mathrm{hn}_{2} i=0$ for 0 ' $\frac{1}{3}$, follow ed by $\mathrm{hn}_{0} i=\frac{5}{6} \quad \frac{1}{2} ` ; \mathrm{hn}_{1} i=\frac{1}{3} ; \mathrm{hn}_{2} i=\frac{1}{2}, ~ \frac{1}{6}$ for $\frac{1}{3}$, 1. These $m$ ean eld results agree $w$ th the exact quantum ground state results obtained in section , ī̄'。

For $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{v}}=2$ and sm all ferrom agnetic interactions,
$=\quad$, the m ean occupation num bers in the conden-
sate are given in table, rotations). In the trajectory from ' $=0$ to $'=1$ there are no spin transitions. The ' $=1$ state, which has $\mathrm{hn}_{0 \#} \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{hn}_{10} \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{hn}_{2 \text { " }} \mathrm{i}=\frac{1}{3}$, is the m ean eld ground state for arbitrary ferrom agnetic spin interactions, $0 \gg 1$. It is a single skym ion texture $w$ ith both uniform num ber density and $m$ agnitude of the spin density, and is discussed further in the A ppendix.


TABLE III:M ean occupation num bers of the LLL m ean eld ground state in spherical geom etry, $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{v}}=2$, w ith sm all ferrom agnetic interaction $=\quad$.

In the case $N_{v}=2$, and sm all anti-ferrom agnetic interactions, $=+$, for 0 , $\frac{1}{3}$ the $m$ ean occupation num bers per onbital of the condensate are the sam e as in the ferrom agnetic case, but the spin structure is di erent. For ' $\frac{1}{3}$ the spin expectation values in the $m=0$ and 2 orbitals becom e non-zero (w ithout a discontinuity) and are not linear functions of '. Since $\dagger \varsigma_{m}=1 i f=0$ and the num ber density is constant in the $m=1$ orbital, the spin state describing the bosons in this orbitalcan be arranged by an $S O$ (3) rotation to be $(0 ; 1 ; 0)=\overline{3}$. The vectors representing the bosons in the $m=0,2$ orbitals then are sim ply constructed. Together w th the previously$m$ entioned vector they form a mutually orthogonal set which $m$ inim izes $H_{n}$. Provided that the spin-vectors are properly norm alized, the energy can be expressed in term s of one param eter (`), which is connected to the spin densities by cos[2 ( \()\) ] \(=7 S_{0} i j \mathrm{hn}_{0} i=h S_{2} i j \mathrm{hn}_{2} i\). \(M\) inim izing the energy w ith respect to (`) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
(`)=\arccos \stackrel{\mathrm{u}}{\mathrm{u}}_{\mathrm{v}}^{\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\frac{1}{4}-\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)}{14+6^{2}}} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ ith $=\frac{1}{2}\left({ }^{\prime} \frac{1}{3}\right)$. The $m$ axim um of the antiferrom agnetic energy is not dependent on the angular m om entum and lies at $==2$. In the ferrom agnetic case this point $m$ inim izes the energy, corresponding exactly to the occupation num bers in tablen'

At $\quad=1$, there are solutionsw ith uniform density, $w$ ith an unbroken SO (3) subgroup of the SO (3) orb SO (3) sp in sym $m$ etry, as the lim iting case of the previous ' < 1 states. This case is also discussed in the A ppendix. There are also solutions in which the orbitaldistribution in the $m$ ean eld con guration of the ground state is not unique. For instance, am ong the degenerate states at large we nd the polar vortex with hn $\mathrm{h}_{10} \mathrm{i}=1$ and a con guration $w$ ith $\mathrm{hn}_{20} i=\mathrm{hn}_{00} i=\frac{1}{2}$.
E. C om parison w ith $n$ ite size exact states

It is of interest to try to $m$ atch the $m$ ean eld states w ith ground states found in diagonalization studies, such as those show $n$ in $F$ igure $\underset{1}{1}$ for the disc. Since these have de nite values of the quantum num bers, they can be com pared w ith the $m$ ean eld states only by projecting the latter to com ponents $w$ ith de nite quantum numbers ${ }^{331 .}$ For each $N$ and L, the value of the spin pidked out should re ect the form ofthe interaction, and should presum ably be the $m$ axim al value in the ferrom agnetic regim $e$, and the $m$ inim alvalue in the antiferrom agnetic. For the spinindependent case $c_{2}=0$, the low est $S U(3)_{\text {sp in }}$ quantum num bers are favored as ground states.

W ew illnot attem pt to identify allthe states in $F$ ig. $\overline{\underline{1}, 1}$ in this way, but only som e of the $m$ ore prom inent. W e have already $m$ entioned that the $m$ ean eld state at $'=1$ and $\mathrm{C}_{2}=0$ corresponds to the BTC singlet state. Since the $m$ ean eld state has equalm ean occupation of $(m ;)=$ $(0 ; \#),(1 ; 0)$, and $(2 ; ")$, it does contain a unique singlet com ponent which is exactly the BTC state. W hen $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ is tumed on, the quantum num bers rem ain at $(L ; S)=$ $(6 ; 0)$, but the state w ill be slightly altered in its details. $T$ he corresponding skym ion spin textures on the sphere are also discussed in the A ppendix.

The BD C multiplet at $L=N=2$ for $C_{2}=0$ that uses only $m=0,1$ also deserves com $m$ ent. $T$ his corresponds in mean eld theory to the $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{v}}=1$ case discussed above and in the A ppendix. W hen $\mathrm{C}_{2}<0$, it becom es a halfskym ion or m eron, which survives for all 1
$T$ his $m$ eron has no projection to spin 0 , and anyw ay for this regim em axim alspin is expected in the ground state. Indeed, for $N=6$ the corresponding ( $L=3$ ) state has $S=3$. The whole regim e $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{N} \quad 1$ for ferrom agnetic interactions resem bles w hat one expects for skym ions, that is L (corresponding to $\mathrm{N} \quad \mathrm{L}$ on the sphere) decreasing as $S$ increases, as $S=N$ IHs. For $C_{2}>0$ and $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{N}=2$, the low est-spin part of the BD C state becom es the ground state.

At larger positive, there is a prom inent region of $(L ; S)=(6 ; 0)$ in the $N=6$ data. At the largest, we expect that this can be identi ed (in the sam e sense as the preceding discussion, or as in Refill) w ith the polar vortex state of this section. (In a nite size study, one w ould not expect to see a transition from the B T C state at $c_{2}=0$ to this polar vortex $w$ ith the sam e quantum numbers at large .) The jump from $L=0$ to $L=6$ expected from the m ean eld is seen in $F$ ig. , a $(3 ; 0)$ region is seen. W e speculate that this state corresponds to a single -disclination at the center of the trap (w ith a second one at in nity, or the opposite pole on the sphere), and that the region corresponds to ' $\mathrm{a}<\mathrm{l}$ $2=3$ in the $m$ ean eld results. N otice also the prom inent sem i-plateaus near ${ }^{\prime}=0: 5$ in the plots in F ig. ${ }^{\prime \prime \prime}$, at larger
VI. VORTEX AND SKYRM ION LATTICES

U pon driving the system faster, m ultiple skym ions are induced. These are expected to form a lattice and can be well treated in a (quantum H all) m ean eld analysis. Such an analysis was perform ed by K ita et all ${ }^{13}$, who found a range of di erent lattices for $\mathrm{C}_{2}>0$, depending on the relative strength to $c_{0}$ and the rotation. By including higher Landau levels, they were able to show that som e of these lattices are qualitatively identical at high and low rotation. N ear $c_{2}=0$, the (scalar) vortex breaks up into three vortices, one for each spin com ponent, form ing a triangular lattice. For $c_{2}$ 0:069の, the vortex splits into two -disclinations, which m ake up a square (anti-ferrom agnetic) lattide.

W e have carried out a program, sim ilar to M ueller and $H o^{36}$, appropriate for a m ean eld LLL description of a multi-com ponent condensate. The LLL approxim ation (in the lim it! ! ! o) xes the vortex lattioe spacing to be equal to the ham onic oscillator length. N ote that this is di erent from the $T$ hom asFerm i regim e, where the distance is xed by the num ber of vortices, as the density of bosons is the sam e as in a non-rotating trap.

U nder the assum ption that the vortioes in each spin com ponent form a B ravais lattice, we can choose the oneparticle $w$ avefunctions to be the torusw avefunctions w ith $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{v}}$ ux quanta (typically, $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{v}}=1$ or $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{v}}=2$ ). For a scalar condensate, the lattice is com pletely speci ed by the geom etry of the torus. This wavefiunction is periodic up to a gauge transform ation, equivalent to requiring $A^{0}\left(r^{0}\right)=A(r)$.

In the case ofm ulti-com ponent condensates, how ever, $m$ ore generalboundary conditions are possible. W e only need to dem and

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{0}\left(r+L_{i}\right)=e^{i}{ }^{i}(r) U_{i} \quad(r) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $L_{i}(i=1,2)$ de ne the geom etry, and $i$ is the gauge transform ation $m$ entioned above. $T$ he $m$ atrides $U_{1}$ and $\mathrm{U}_{2}$ should com m ute, $\mathrm{U}_{1} \mathrm{U}_{2} \mathrm{U}_{1}{ }^{1} \mathrm{U}_{2}{ }^{1}=1$, to obtain single-valued w avefunctions.
$W$ e require that $U_{1}$ and $U_{2}$ com $m$ ute $w$ ith the $H$ am iltonian, so that the energy of a unit cell is well-de ned. For
\& 0 , this implies $U_{i} 2 \mathrm{SO}(3)_{\text {spin }}$. The com m on eigenvectors of $U_{1}, U_{2}$ then have eigenvalues ( $1 ; \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}^{\prime}{ }_{1}} ; \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}^{\prime}{ }_{1}}$ ) and $\left(1 ; \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}^{\prime}}\right.$; $\left.\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}^{\prime}{ }^{2}}\right)$. W ith an overallSO (3) rotation, we can $x$ the direction of the vector $w$ ith eigenvalue 1 to be parallelto $\%$ in spin space. W ith this, the unit cell of the $m$ agnetic order (seen in the spin density which is gauge invariant, for exam ple) is larger than that of the density, but alw ays contains an integer num ber of the latter.

U sing this approach, we gan con m a large part of the phase diagram of $K$ ita et alㄹ́ㄹ, but we also nd additional phases in the ground states at large. T hese are polar phases, for which we use a unit cell w ith a single ux quantum . We will use $=$ arctan as the param eter. T he $m$ inim ization procedure uses a sim plex dow nhill algorithm in the geom etry and the phases ' ${ }_{1}$,' ${ }_{2}$. The w avefiunction is obtained from the polynom ialfree energy


FIG. 10: The di erent lattices found in rotating spin-1 boson condensates. The rst picture is the $m$ apping of the spin-sphere to the colors used. Top and bottom correspond respectively to the north and south pole. T he intensity codes jhs ij the size of the spin-vector. O ther pictures are the spin expectations at di erent ratios $c_{2}=c_{0}: \quad=\quad 0: 1, \quad 0: 05,0: 01,0: 016,0: 04,0: 1,0: 54$, $0: 7$ and $0: 9$. T he last picture show $s$ the density, as the spin van ishes.
by using a conjugate gradient algorithm, starting from a random point. The wavefunction in general is unique up to a phase and a SO (3) rotation along the $\hat{\mathrm{z}}$-axis.

The phases we obtain, as illustrated in gure ${ }_{1}^{1} \overline{0}_{1}^{\prime}$, are as follow s:
ferro lattice. A m a jor part of the ferrom agnetic phase diagram ( $=4 \quad 0: 08$ ) is covered by a lattioe $w$ ith $N_{v}=2$ ux quanta in the unit cell. $T$ his is the same lattice as one obtains for the spin $-\frac{1}{2}$ bosons $w$ th fiull SU (2) sym $m$ etry or, equivalently, the quantum H all ferrom agnet w th the Lande factor $g=0$. If we consider the spin-1 to be com posed of two spin $-1=2$ particles, then $N_{v}=2$ for the spin -1 bosons corresponds to $N_{v}=1$ for the spin $-\frac{1}{2}$ particles. This structure is related to the $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{v}}=2$ skym ions discussed in the A ppendix.
skyrm ion-vortex lattice. At 0:08, it becom es bene cial to include vortioes ( $\backslash \mathrm{m}$ erons"). The unit cell now has $N_{v}=3$, w ith both a skym ion and a vortex. B ased on direct com putations in disc geom etry (see below ), we expect that this phase does not extend to $=0$, but that there are other phases in the weakly ferro regim e 0:02 $\ll 0$.
triangu lar vortex lattice. E xactly at $=0$, the nodes in the three com ponents are arranged in a triangular lattioe. T his lattice can be realized w ith $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{v}}=3$ and $'_{1}='_{2}=0$. The $m$ ean- eld com ponents $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{m}}$ $(m=0 ; 1 ; 2)$, form a unitary ( U (3)) m atrix. This lattice is not shown in gure $\mathrm{I}_{2}^{\prime}$ as the SO (3)-spin is not well de ned.
square ladder. The triangular vortex lattioe of the $\mathrm{c}_{2}=$ 0 case is essentially unchanged up to $=0: 0143$,
being squeezed only. H ow ever, the SU (3) sym metry is broken. This spin show s a ladder structure, where adjacent ladders are shifted by 3=2 rungspacings.
canted ladder, 0:0143
$0: 0193$. T he ladder structure stays intact, how ever the rungs are now canted.
triangu lar ladder, 0:0193
$0: 069$.
square -disclination, at 0:069, there is a rst order phase transition to the square -disclination lattice. Only the " and \# com ponents are present in this lattice.
squeezed -discl., 0:428
$0: 62$. The lattioe is squeezed in one direction and expanded in the other.
triangular -discl., 0:62 0:786. At 0:62, there is a rst order phase transition to a triangular -disclination lattioe.
polar A brikosov, beyond 0:786, the -disclinations are unstable and the system s prefers to have only one com ponent, such that $h S i=0$ everyw here. The vortioes of this com ponent form an A brikosov lattice, w ith vanishing density at the cores.

The phases at >0:428, and at < 0 have not been observed before. Figure $1 \underline{10}$, show $s$ the spin texture in the various lattioes, w ith colors coding the direction of the spin vector and the intensity $m$ arking its length, so that black regions indicate places w here all com ponents of the spin vector vanish. For the lattice at $=0: 9$, which
is the polar A brikosov lattice, the spin density vanishes and we plotted the particle density instead.] T he particle density is nite in all lattices except the polar A brikosov one.

To check whether the A nsatz is su ciently general in the com plete phase diagram, we have supplem ented the above analysis by direct num erical com putations of LLL $m$ ean eld ground states in a disc geom etry, with ! < $!_{0}$. Since no periodic structure is im posed, the lattioes form spontaneously. These com putations show that the torus correctly reproduces the dom inant phases such as the square lattioe of -disclinations and the skym ion and skym ion-vortex lattices. In the region 0:02 \ll 0 the two geom etries show ed di erent lattice structures, possibly due to nite size e ects. W e leave conchisive results in this region for future work.

At special values of ' ${ }_{1}$,' ${ }_{2}$, when they are both of the form $p=q$ ( $p, q$ integer), it is possible to realize the lattioe by using a larger unit cell and identical phases for all three spin com ponents. A $n$ exam ple of this is the triangular lattice at $c_{2}=0$, where ' ${ }_{1}='_{2}=2=3$. In this case, we can realize the sam e lattioe by using a torus w th 3 ux quanta and ' ${ }_{1}='_{2}=0$. The other exam ple is the square -disclination lattioe, which can be described by using 2 ux qpanta. This can be com pared to the spin $-1=2$ situation $n^{3 a}$, w here the lattioe at $g_{12}=$ $g_{1}=g_{2}$ (unbroken $S U$ (2) sym $m$ etry) can equixalently be described by using a torus w th 2 ux quanta $1^{3,3^{7}} 4$.

## VII. QUANTUM HALLLIQUIDS

In the LLL approxim ation, the lling fraction denes the average num ber of bosons in an orbital. U pon increasing the rotation further, and thus reducing , the discreteness of the occupation num bers becom es im portant. M ean eld theory becom es less usefiuldue to quantum uctuations. At som e point, the condensate is destroyed, and a sequence of quantum uids takes over. In the scalar case, the critical, , w as estim ated to be c 10 by the Lindem ann criterion ${ }^{141}$ (that is, the average uctuation in the position of the vortioes equals the separation betw een them.) Explicit calculation ofsm allsystem s have con m ed this transition and found $c$ 6\{10. A sim ilar transition will occur in the spin-1 case, although we have not calculated the appropriate c. W e expect this to be of the sam e order ofm agnitude as in the scalar case. In the present section we investigate the quantum H all uids that appearw thin region $\Pi$ of the phase diagram in this regim e.

In the extrem e lim it! ! ! o ( ! 0), we can analyze the quantum liquids analytically in a part of the phase diagram, as we can explicitly nd the zero-energy eigenstates of the H am iltonian. T w o of the series we propose, the $S U(4)_{k}$ and the $S O(5)_{k}$ series, have a $m$ em ber of th is form for $k=1$. The third series consists of a generalization of a fam ily of fractional quantum $H$ all ( $Q H$ ) states, the hierarchy/com posite ferm ion states, to spin-1 parti-
cles. W e present som e num erical results on sm all sizes, which unfortunately are probably not conclusive for the nature of the states, due to the restriction to insu ciently large sizes.

$$
\text { A. } S U(4)_{k} \text { series }
$$

It is straightforw ard to construct zero-energy states at $C_{2}=0$. $T$ he repulsive contact interaction dictates that the w avefiunction should have a node w henever 2 particles are on the sam eplace. Furtherm ore, tw o bosonsw ith the sam espin should have a double zero in order to $m$ aintain a sym $m$ etric $w$ avefunction. In term sof the com ponents of the wavefunction for given values $=x, y, z$ of the $s p$ in for each particle, we can $w$ rite such a state dow $n^{4^{1} n^{2} 7_{1}^{1}-18}$ (as in Refill we add a tilde to the wavefunction to indicate that it has to be m ultiplied by the usualG aussian factors for the plane, or the rational factors for the sphere| see Section II) :

$$
\begin{align*}
& Y \quad Y\left(z_{i} \quad z_{j}\right)^{2} \begin{array}{llll} 
& Y & \left(z_{i}{ }^{0} z_{j}{ }^{00}\right) ;
\end{array}  \tag{22}\\
& =x ; y ; z i<j \quad 0<00 i ; j
\end{align*}
$$

where $n$ denotes the number of particles $w$ ith spin . $T$ he low est angularm om entum $L$ forw hich this state can be realized is when $N_{x}=N_{y}=N_{z}=N=3$. N otice that $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{N}(2 \mathrm{~N}=311)$, and the lling factor, which can be de ned as $=\lim _{\mathrm{N}}!1 \mathrm{~N}^{2}=(2 \mathrm{~L})$, is $=3=4$. This state is a straightforw ard generalization of both the Laughlin $=1=2$ state for spinless particles, and the $(2 ; 2 ; 1)$ $H$ alperin spin-singlet state for spin $-1 / 2$ bosons. The full state for $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{x}}=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{y}}=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{z}}=\mathrm{N}=3$ is an $\mathrm{SU}(3)_{\mathrm{sp}}$ in $\sin -$ glet $((p ; q)=(0 ; 0))$, and argum ents sim ilar to Laughlin's plasm a m apping show that $m$ oreover it has short range spin correlations. Because the state vanishes whenever the particles are at the sam e point, this state is a zeroenergy eigenstate for all values of $\mathrm{c}_{0}, \mathrm{C}_{2}$. H ow ever, it w ill only be the ground state (at $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{N}(2 \mathrm{~N}=3 \quad 1)$ ) when $H_{\text {int }}$ is positive, that is in the region $g_{0}, g_{2}>0$, w ith in region II. For larger values of $L$, there are $m$ any $m$ ore zero-energy eigenstates, so the ground states are degenerate in the window $g_{0}, g_{2}>0.0 \mathrm{~nm}$ inim izing H ! with respect to $L$, this implies that the low est possible lling factor as ! ! ! 0 from below is $=3=4$ in this regim e (w ithin the $m$ odel in_Sec. II).

T he state in eq. (2G) is an exact eigenstate, but in general we are not able to nd the exact highly-correlated ground states ofH int. Instead, we seek to understand nu$m$ erical results, and $m$ ake predictions for the physics at larger sizes by using (am ong other techniques) trialw avefunctions. These states, which are not generally exact for any know two-body interaction, serve as paradigm s for the phases of $m$ atter in the them odynam ic lim it, as they possess interesting (\universal") properties such as the quantum num bers and statistics of their excitations that are robust against sm allchanges in the H am iltonian,
until som e phase boundary. is passed (this philosophy has been discussed e.g. in R ef $\left.{ }_{(1)}^{n_{1}^{9}}\right)$. O ne way to produce trial wavefunctions, that is closely connected to their universal properties, is to use conform al eld theory (CFT). W ew ill show how to obtain wavefiunctions from a CFT in som ew hat m ore detail in the next section. T he C FT describing eq.., (2212) is $S U(4)_{1}$, so follow ing a strategy in $R$ ead $R$ ezay ${ }^{15}-$, and $m$ otivated by the analogous results for scalar bosons $\stackrel{1}{14}^{4}$, we can consider a series, $S U(4)_{k}$, where $k=1,2, \ldots$. These states have lling factor

3=4, and are explicitly $S U(3)_{\text {sp in }}$ invariant. H ence we expect them to be relevant near $C_{2}=0$.
$T$ he trialstates we consider have-w avefunctions, in spin


$$
\begin{equation*}
\sim\left(\mathrm{fz}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{~g}\right)=\mathrm{S}_{\text {groups }}^{\text {groups }} \underset{\sim 2 ; 2 ; 2 ; 1 ; 1 ; 1}{\mathrm{Y}}: \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this construction the $N=3 \mathrm{pk}$ bosons are rst partitioned into $k$ groups, each $w$ th $p$ particles of each spin com ponent $x, y, z$.

For each group we write a H alperin $\sim 2 ; 2 ; 2 ; 1 ; 1 ; 1$ factor, and these are multiplied together. Finally, the sym $m$ etrization operation $S$ over allways ofdividing the particles into groups is applied. The angular $m$ om entum is $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{N}[2 \mathrm{~N}=(3 \mathrm{k}) \quad 1]$, and the lling factor (as N ! 1 at $x e d k$ ) is therefore $=3 \mathrm{k}=4$. It happens that if we put $k=N=3$, the state contains $k$ groups of three bosons each, and the state is exactly the L = N BTC. However, we do not believe this is particularly signi cant, as the BTC state is the unique $\operatorname{SU}(3)_{\text {sp in }}$ singlet state at $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{N}$.

The states ( $\mathbf{2}_{2}^{2} \overline{3}_{1}^{\prime}$ ) are zero-energy eigenstates of a H am iltonian consisting of a $k+1$-body interaction:
$T$ he interaction is positive for $V>0$, so all eigenstates have $\mathrm{E} \quad 0$. This interaction penalizes $\mathrm{k}+1$ particles at the sam e point. Therefore, zero-energy eigenstates are those in which the wavefiunction vanishes if any $k+1$ coordinates coincide, regardless of the spins. O ne can see that the above function has th is property, even before the sym $m$ etrization over groups, as for any $k+1$ particles, at least tw o $m$ ust be in the sam e group, forcing the function to vanish. For less than $k+1$ particles at the sam e point, it does not necessarily vanish. In fact, for each $k$, (23) is the unique zero-energy eigenstate of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{SU}}(4)_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{w}$ th low est angular $m$ om entum $L$.

For the sam e H am iltonian on a torus, there are again zero energy states, at least for N divisible by 3 k . For these cases, the degeneracy of these $S U(4)_{k}$ ground states is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\#_{k}=\frac{1}{6}(k+1)(k+2)(k+3): \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e have veri ed that this result, which can be inferred from the CFT connection, is reproduced by exact diagonalization of the $H$ am iltonian eq. (24) on the torus.

Like other incom pressible QH states, the phases of $m$ atter exem pli ed by the trial states (23) possess pointlike quasiparticle excitations which $m$ ay have fractional particle number (relative to the background density) and/or spin. The particle num ber associated $w$ ith the elem entary quasiparticles can be found once it is understood that, sim ilar to the RR states ${ }^{151}$, the SU (4) k states are clustered states, in which particles occur in clusters of 3 k (in an $\mathrm{SU}(3)_{\mathrm{sp} \text { in }}$-singlet). Then a sim ilar argu$m$ ent to that given in Sec. I show sthat they carry charge $q=1=4 . T$ hey also have spin 1. The quasiholes, at which there is a de ciency of particle number, can be studied as zero-energy eigenstates of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{SU}}(4)_{k}$, and fairly explicit trial w avefunctions can be found using the relation with CFT.

The statistics of quasiparticles in 2D can be de ned in term $s$ of adiabatically dragging them along paths, keeping them well-separated, to exchange them. For the $\operatorname{SU}(4)_{\mathrm{k}}$ states, in the case $\mathrm{k}=1$, the statistics are $\backslash A$ belian"; the w avefunction acquires a phase factor when two particles are exchanged, just as for the Laughlin states. Fok k $\gg 1$, how ever, the statistics becom es \non-A belian " 15.17 . This $m$ eans that there is a degeneracy, when the positions of the quasiparticles are xed (in general, this is true only when the quasiparticles are w ellseparated, though for the $k+1$-body $H$ am iltonian and for quasiholes it is exact for any separation). In term s of the trialfunctions (231) and their generalization to quasiholes, this degeneracy is caused by the sym $m$ etrization procedure S, which destroys, the \group" quantum num ber of the (quasi-) particles ${ }^{391}$. T he general fram ew ork to obtain these degeneracies from CFT has been worked out and agrees $w$ ith num erical presults for the $M$ oore $R$ ead, RR, and other states $1,4,41,42,43,44$. W e expect the sam e fram ew ork to apply here. W hen the quasiparticles are exchanged adiabatically, the e ect is a m atrix operation w th in these spaces of degenerate states, descriped by the braiding $m$ atrices of the corresponding CFTIT $\mid$ hence the term non-A belian statistics.

$$
\text { B. } \mathrm{SO}(5)_{\mathrm{k}} \text { series }
$$

Sim ilar to the SU $(4)_{k}$ case, the SO $(5)_{k}$ states can be w ritten in the form :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{k}{\sim S O}(5)\left(f z_{i} g\right)=S_{\text {groups }} P_{\text {groups }}^{\sim} \underset{k=1}{\sim S O(5)^{i}} ; \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where now

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{k=1}{\sim O(5)}\left(f z_{i} g\right)=P f \frac{\sim_{i} \sim_{j}^{j}}{z_{i} Z_{j}}{ }_{i<j}\left(z_{i} \quad z_{j}\right): \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ere the spin states for all the particles are included explicitly (the product of spin states $\sim_{i}$ being the tensor product) $P £ M_{i j}$ denotes the $P$ fa an of an antisym $m$ etricm atrix $M_{i j}$. In the present case the $N=2 \mathrm{kp}$ particles are partitioned into $k$ groups, w ith $2 p$ particles in each.

The particles in each group form an $S O$ (3) $)_{\text {spin }}$ singlet. $T$ he product over these groups is then sym $m$ etrized. T he state as a whole is clearly an SO (3) sp in singlet, and has angular $m$ om entum $L=N \mathbb{N}=(2 k) \quad 1]$, so the lling factor is $=\mathrm{k}$.

The $k=1$ case ${ }_{1}$, which closely resem bles the M ooreRead paired state ${ }^{17}$. but for spin-1 particles, is the exact ground state of our tw o-body H am iltonian $H$ int when $g_{0}=0 . T$ hat is because, in the state $\sim_{1}^{S 0(5)}$, two particles are found at the sam e point only if they have total spin 0 . A gain, the ground state as above is the unique zero-energy eigenstate at the stated angularm om entum, but at larger $L$ there are $m$ any $m$ ore zero-energy states. So $=1$ is the lowest lling factor possible at $g_{0}=0$. This implies that in nite size on the disc a boundary betw een ground states $w$ ith the $L$ values of the SU $(4)_{1}$ and $S O(5)_{1}$ states $m$ ust run into $!=!0$ at $g_{0}=0$ (this is for $N$ divisible by 6 , but there $w$ ill be sim ilar state$m$ ents for other values). Such behavior is seen in $F$ ig. For $g_{0}<0(>1=2)$, we do not know the exact low est that occurs as! ! ! ofrom below. TheSO (5) 1 state can be intenpreted in term $s$ of BCS spin-singlet com plex \{pw ave pairing of com posite ferm ions, in which the $P$ fa an represents the pairing in position space ${ }^{1720}$.
$M$ ore generally, for each $k$ there is a H am iltonian for which the SO $(5)_{k}$ states are exact zero-energy eigenstates, again given by a $\mathrm{k}+1$-body interaction:

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{k+1}\left(i_{1} ;::: ; i_{k+1}\right) \quad: \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

This interaction includes a projector $P_{k+1}\left(i_{1} ;::: ; i_{k+1}\right)$ of the spin state of the $k+1$ particles concemed onto total spin $k+1$.

For general k, these states can be considered to be built up out of clusters of 2 k particles in a spin singlet. From this fact we can obtain the fractionalparticle num ber of the elem entary quasiparticles, $q=1=2$. A lso, the quasiparticle spin is $1 / 2$, which is fractionalized com pared w th the spin 1 of the underlying bosons, and so the num ber of these quasiparticles $m$ ust be even. For $k=1$, there are also excitations $w$ ith zero particle num ber that behave as ferm ions w ith spin 1. In this case, the universal properties $m$ ay be understood by a sim ple extension of the $m$ ethods of $R$ ef $\underline{t}^{20}$ to this case.

Because it is di cult to see through the sym $m$ etrization operation $S$, we will provide some details on the conform al eld theory behind these states. Such a CFT description allow sus to obtain $m$ ore insight into the topologicalproperties, such as degeneracies and braiding. For exam ple, to obtain the degeneracy of ground states on the torus, CFT tells us that we only need to know the num ber of non-trivial representations at level $k$. In the case at hand, this num ber tums out to be $\frac{1}{2}(k+1)(k+2)$. A gain, we veri ed this num ber using exact diagonalization of the $(k+1)$ toody interaction.

The chiral algebra of the CFT which describes these states is based on the $S O(5)_{k}$ a ne $K a c-M$ oody algebra.


F IG . 11: R oots and weights of the algebra SO (5). The condensate operators are associated to roots (lled sym bols) and the fiundam ental excitations correspond to the weights of the spinor representation (open sym bols).

SO (5) is a rank 2 Lie algebra, which contains m utually com $m$ uting $S O$ (3) and $U$ (1) Lie subalgebras, which we can identify $w$ ith the sym $m$ etries under $S O$ (3) spin and num ber conservation. In these subalgebras, the generators are respectively $S^{+}, S, S_{z}$ and c respectively. A ccording to the CFT-qH correspondencein, we can also obtain the quantum H all state wavefinctions as correlators in the chiral part of a CFT, in which the particles (bosons) should be represented by elds that have A belian braiding properties. In the present case (and the SU (4) $k$ case is sim ilar), the bosons correspond sim ply to a di erent triplet of current operators of the $S O(5)_{k}$ a ne K ac -M oody algebra. T hus the wavefunction, now in spin com ponents, can be written

$$
\sim\left(f z_{i} g\right)=\lim _{z_{1}!{ }_{1}} z_{1}^{N=k} h e^{i N}{ }^{\prime}{ }_{c}={ }^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{\mathrm{k}}\left(z_{1}\right)
$$

$$
J_{1}\left(z_{1}\right) \quad{ }_{N}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{N}\right) i_{;}(29)
$$

with $J \quad(=\#, 0, ")$ an $S O(3)_{\text {sp in }}$ triplet of currents in the a ne Lie algebra, that carry $U$ (1) charge +1 . The currents are shown in the SO (5) weight lattice ( $\left.g \cdot \bar{M}_{2} \overline{1}_{1}\right)$, as ", 0, \#. The operator whose position tends to 1 represents a background charge, such that the total $U$ (1) charge of the operators is zero (as it $m$ ust be in order that the correlator be nonzero). T he currents can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(z)=(z) e^{\mathrm{i}^{\sim} \quad \sim \stackrel{p}{\underline{\mathrm{p}}}(z) ; ~ ; ~} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is a paraferm ion eld, of conform al weight $1 \quad 1=\mathrm{k}$ for the long roots and $1 \quad 1=2 \mathrm{k}$ for the short roots. The vertex operator $e^{\mathrm{i}^{\sim}} \quad \sim \stackrel{p}{\underline{p}} \bar{k}(z)$ contains the two free boson elds 'c (charge) and 's (spin), with ~ the position in the root lattice. For $J$ these are ${ }^{\sim}{ }_{"}=(1 ; 1)$, $\sim_{0}=(1 ; 0), \sim_{\#}=(1 ; 1)$.
$T$ he paraferm ions sim plify when we specialize to the case $k=1$, where they reduce to the identity operator for
the long roots ( " and \# $r$ in particular) and a M a jorana ferm ion for the short roots ( 0 ). The correlator can then be readily $w$ ritten dow $n$, as the correlation functions for M a jorana ferm ions is well know n :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.h\left(z_{1}\right) \quad{ }_{n}\right) i\left(z \operatorname{Pf} \frac{1}{z_{i} \quad z_{j}}:\right. \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

This reproduces the $\mathrm{SO}(5)_{1}$ wavefiunction, in the sam e way as for the spinless $M$ oore $R$ ead state $1^{77}$.

W e note that the, sam e SO $(5)_{k}$ algebra was used in another construction ${ }^{451}$, which was for a system of spin$1 / 2$ particles. The present case di ers in that the physical SO (3) $)_{\text {sp in }}$ symmetry is em bedded di erently in SO (5), because of the di erent spin of the underlying particles.

W avefiunctions for zero-energy states containing quasiholes can also be written down as chiral correlators, which now contain vertex operators for prim ary elds of the $S O(5)_{k}$ algebra that represent the quasinoles. For the $\mathrm{k}=1$ case, these contain (in the scalar eld plus M ajorana ferm ion language) a spin eld for the M ajorana ferm ion, and give rise to quasihole wavefilnctions analogous to those for the $M$ oore $R$ ead state $e^{17,411}$.
C. C om posite ferm ions

A ltemative Q $H$ states to the rather exotic series in the previous tw o subsections can be constructed by applying conventional $m$ ethods to spin -1 bosons. O ne such approach, as in the case of scalar bosons, is to $m$ ap the bosons onto com posite ferm ions, by attaching (say) one vortex to each boson. These ferm ions see a reduced effective $m$ agnetic eld, and one can construct an incom pressible state when an integer num ber of Landau levels in the e ective $m$ agnetic eld is lled w th all three com ponents. This construction gives states w ith lling factors $=3 p=(3 p \quad 1)$, which are $S U(3)_{p p}$ in singlets.

O ne can interpret the $M$ oore-R ead state as the $p-w$ ave pairing of com posite ferm ions $1^{171}$. In this case, $p-w a v e$ SO (3)-singlet pairing is possible (in contrast to the spin$1=2$ case) and indeed, we have seen that the $\mathrm{SO}(5)_{1}$ state can be interpreted this $w$ ay. In the $S U$ (3) sym $m$ etric case at $=1$, no 2 -particle $S U$ (3)-singlet pairing is possible and there are two options for the system. O ne is to form a Ferm i liquid, the other is to spontaneously break the sym $m$ etry and form $(p ; q)=(2 ; 0)$ pairs. $N$ ote that this last possibility includes the SO (3) singlet and thus can be continuously connected to the $S O(5)_{1}$ state.

## D . Vortex lattices w ithout polar order, and nem atic Q H liquids

The earlier discussion of $Q H$ liquid states focused on singlets under SO (3) sp in , w ith short range spin correlations. It is interesting to wonder also if Q H liquids with som e form of spin ordering could occur. O ne possibility
would be a ferrom agnetic $Q H$ liquid. Such states can be easily w ritten dow $n$, by using any wavefiunction for a Q H state of spinless bosons, with all the boson spins in the
$=$ " state (or a globalspin rotation ofthis). O nem ight expect these to occur in the ferrom agnetic ( $c_{2}<0$ ) part of region II, but in fact we see no sign of them : leaving aside the skym ion textures in the BEC at low L , at larger L all ground states are spin singlets. W e note that for spin-1/2 electrons, spin-polarized states can occur, e.g. at $=1$, even for spin-independent interaction, due to exchange e ects. H ow ever, the exchange e ects are presum ably di erent for bosons.

A more feasible-looking possibility is Q H states with polar spin order, perhaps in the antiferrom agnetic region $c_{2}>0$. In the regim e at large where $m$ ean-eld theory predicts the A brikosov vortex lattice, the spin-order is polar. In the polar state, the vector condensate can be written ash $i=e^{\mathrm{i}^{\prime}} \mathrm{n}$, with ' the phase and A a real vector. In A brikosov lattice, the $m$ agnitude of the vector $\hat{A}$ and the phase' vary to give a triangular lattice of vortioes. W e can now im agine that quantum uctuations destroy either part of the order (restoring either the phase or the spin-rotation sym $m$ etry) w ithout the other. $W$ hen the $U(1)$ and translational sym $m$ etry that are broken in the vortex lattice are restored, the ground state is a Q H uid. For large quantum uctuations one $m$ ight expect that the $Q H$ liquid has restored $S U(2)_{\text {sp in }}$ sym $m$ etry. H ow ever, the tw o transitions at which these sym $m$ etries are restored are independent, and the transitions could in principle occur in either sequence as we go to sm aller. The interm ediate phase in which spin sym $m$ etry is restored but not the phase would be a vortex lattioe in a boson paired state, a BEC ofboson pairs. This would be fharacterized by having a nonzero expectation value of ( $z$ ) ( $z$ ), which is invariant under
! . (Such a vortex lattice would also be possible w ith vortioes containing a halfeunit of vorticity each, instead of integers as we assum e otherw ise, and this $m$ ight be reached by restoring sym $m$ etry in the -disclination lattice state.)

The other possible sequence of transitions would be that in which the interm ediate phase is a Q H liquid w ith restored translational and phase sym $m$ etry, but still has the polar order, which breaks SU (2) sp in . The singleboson expectation $h$ (z)i would be zero, but if we look at the com posite operator ${ }^{y}(z) \quad 0(z)$, this can have an expectation value. This $m$ atrix has a trace equal to the density, which is uniform by assum ption. The traceless $H$ erm itian $m$ atrix obtained by subtracting $\circ$ the trace contains antisym $m$ etric and sym $m$ etric parts. The (im aginary) antisym $m$ etric part corresponds to a spin-1 irreducible tensor that is sim ply the spin density, which is assum ed to be zero here. The (real) sym $m$ etric part corresponds to a spin-2 irreducible tensor. This is the order param eter of a polar or nem atic state, which represents a vector $\hat{\mathrm{n}}$, but is invariant under $\hat{\mathrm{n}}$ ! $\hat{\mathrm{n}}$, so it param etrizes $S^{2}=Z_{2}=R P^{2}$. Trial wavefunctions for these nem atic quantum $H$ all states can be written dow $n$
as those for scalar bosons, tim es a spin state such as $=0$ for allbosons, or as a spin-rotation of this.
It would not be surprising if such nem atic $Q H$ liquids occurred in the phase diagram at large, now that the corresponding (polar Abrikosov) vortex lattioes are known to be present. If, nite size, the ground state would alw ays be low spin1 3 , $\mathrm{S}=0$ or 1 , and there need be no transition separating it from a state at the sam e L, $S$ w ith short-range correlations, such as the $\mathrm{SO}(5)_{1}$ state at $g_{0}=0$. Thus the appearance of such nem atic order in a Q H uid of spin-1 bosons in the therm odynam ic lim it cannot yet be ruled out.

## E. N um erical results

To exam ine how well the proposed states describe the true ground states, we have perform ed exact diagonalization of sm all system $s$. In the regim e L $N$, we have used both the disc and sphere geom etries. A s we have seen, these results di er som ew hat. B ut w hen looking at fast rotation, how ever, where the lling factor is of order 1 , the system is spread out into a pancake. It $m$ akes sense to focus attention on the interior of the disc and avoid edge e ects. This can be done by using an edgeless geom etry such as the sphere or torus. H ere we will be interested in the ground states in which (unlike the w ork earlier in this paper) we nd the ground states without constraining L. Q H liquid ground statesw illusually then show up as $\mathbb{L}=0$ states. At nite sizes on the sphere, such ground states that form a sequence of sizes tending to a particular lling factor in the them odynam ic lim it lie on a sequence of the form $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{v}}=\mathrm{N}=$.2.2. Here s is known as the shift, and its appearance is connected $w$ ith the coupling of the particles to the curvature of the sphere. T he value of $s$ depends on the liquid state, not only on. $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{v}}$ can be obtained from the angularm om entum on the disc as $L=N_{v}=2$ (all states have $\mathbb{L}=0$ ). $T$ hat is, when the states in the ${ }^{\sim}$ notation are $w$ ritten for the sphere, one can take $N_{v}$ as sm all as possible, so that $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{v}}$ equals the highest pow er of any $\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{i}}$ appearing in the wavefunction (see Sec. II). For QH ground states, this $w$ ill usually $m$ ean that $I=0$. For the $S U(4)_{k}$ ground states, we have $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{v}}=4 \mathrm{~N}=(3 \mathrm{k}) \quad 2$, while for the $\mathrm{SO}(5) \mathrm{k}$ ground states $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{v}}=\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{k} \quad 2 . \mathrm{W}$ ew ill com pare the results of num erical solution for the ground states $w$ ith these series of trial states.
$T$ he $S U(4)_{k}$ states $w$ th $k=N=3$ are the exact ground states for $\mathrm{C}_{2}=0$ at $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{V}}=2$ on the sphere. It is not surprising that they are eigenstates, because they are the only $S U$ (3) singlet states, as in the case of $L=N$ for the disc.

A sa fiurther test for the $S U(4)_{k}$ states, we have looked at sizes $\left(\mathbb{N} ; \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{v}}\right)$ at which such a ground state could lie for $k>1$. Since $N \mathrm{~m}$ ust be divisible by 3 k , such sizes increase rapidly even for $\mathrm{k}=2$. $T$ he next case after the trivialBTC for $k=2$ is $N=12, N_{v}=6$. Here it tums out that the overlap-squared of the exact ground state
 $0: 915226$.

The SO (5) $)_{1}$ state was shown to be an exact ground state for $c_{0}>0, g_{0}=0$. The higher $m$ em bers of this series, how ever, had a vanishing overlap w ith the ground states throughout the phase diagram .

As a further test of our proposed wavefunctions, we have perform ed calculations for torus geom etries. O $n$ the torus, one simply has $\quad=\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{v}}$ for the nite size sequence of ground states that tend to a uid of lling factor in the them odynam ic lim it. W e saw in the m ean eld analysis of the skym ion lattioe, that the lattice can only be observed when the number of ux quanta is a multiple of three. H ow ever, at low lling factors, we expect to see quantum $H$ all states at $=3 \mathrm{k}=4$. To be able to observe these, $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{v}}$ has to be a multiple of 4. Unfortunately, this im plies torus sizes which are too large to observe both the quantum liquids and the skym ion lattice.

To see if the proposed wave-fiunctions are good candidates, we are therefore forced to look at tori which frustrate the $m$ ean eld skym ion lattice. T he cases we considered are $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{v}}=3,4,6$. For $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{v}}=4$, we nd that the ground states are exactly given by the $S U(4)_{k}$ series. H ow ever, as for the BTC states on the sphere, this is due to the fact that the trial ground states, which are $S U(3)_{\text {sp in }}$ singlet states, span the space of all $S U(3)_{\text {sp in }}$ singlets on the torus, which has dimension equal to eq. (25), the degeneracy of $S U(4)_{k}$ torus ground states. $C$ learly this $m$ ust be independent of the geom etry of the torus (described by ), and we veri ed this in som e cases.

In g. '121 we have plotted the particle-hole excitation gap

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathbb{N})=N \quad \frac{E(N \quad 1)}{N}+\frac{E(N+1)}{N+1} \quad 2 \frac{E(N)}{N} ; \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E(\mathbb{N})$ is the ground state energy for $N$ particles, for $N_{v}=4$. In the them odynam ic lim it, this quantity will exhibit upw ard peaks at lling factors that correspond to incom pressible states. W e perform ed the diagonalization for totalso (3) spin $S=0,1,2$.

For $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{v}}=6$ (gure ${ }^{1} 13$ ), we focussed on the state at $=3=2, N=9$, which corresponds to $k=2 . W$ e have calculated the overlap-squared w th the SU $(4)_{2}$ state to be 0.939804 . A nother feature in the $N_{v}=6$ plot is the state at $=1(\mathbb{N}=6)$. This could be a precursor to a paired com posite ferm ion state; how ever, the overlap w th the SO (5) 1 state was sm all.

## F. The boundaries of region II

The behavior at the phase boundaries I\{A/II and I\{ B/II (see gure ${ }^{[11}$ ) deserves special attention. At the boundary I\{B/II, where $=\mathrm{C}_{2}=\mathrm{C}_{0}=1$, the H am iltonian simpli es as $g_{2}=0$ and only the contact interaction which pro jects onto the spin-singlet channel rem ains. A s a result of this, large degeneracies occur. For exam ple,


FIG. 12: Particle-hole excitation gap ( P ) versus, for $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{v}}=4$, in a rectangular geom etry, $\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{b}=\overline{3}=2$. T he peaks can be interpreted as an indication of incom pressibility of the corresponding states. For $N=3,6,9$ we veri ed that the ground states, which are degenerate, are exactly the $S U(4)_{k}$ quantum H all trial states w th $\mathrm{k}=1,2,3$, respectively.


FIG.13: Particle-hole excitation gap $(\mathbb{N})$ versus , for $N_{v}=$ 6 , in a rectangular geom etry, $\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{b}=\overline{3}=3$.
all fully-polarized states ( $\mathrm{S}=\mathrm{N}$ ) have zero energy. W e have not obtained analytic expressions for these degeneracies. That they are not due to the speci c geom etry was observed on the torus. The zero energy states are not sensitive to changes in the geom etry. A s exam ples of these degeneracies, we have in gure ${ }_{1} 141$ tabulated the $L$, $S$ quantum num bers of the zero energy states for $\mathrm{N}=6$, $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{v}}=2$ and for $\mathrm{N}=5, \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{v}}=3$ on the sphere, and for $\mathrm{N}=6$ particles in the disc geom etry.

## V III. C O N C LU S IO N

In this paper we have studied the phase diagram of spin-1 bosons in a rotating trap, w ithin the LLL approxim ation, using a variety of techniques (num erical diagonalization, $m$ ean eld theory, and analytical constructions). W e concentrated on certain regim es. These were

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{c|llllllllll} 
\\
\mathrm{SnL} & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & \ldots \\
\hline 0 & & & & & & 1 & \ldots \\
1 & & & & & 1 & 1 & \ldots \\
2 & & & & 1 & 1 & 3 & \ldots \\
3 & & & 1 & 1 & 3 & 5 & \ldots \\
4 & & 1 & 1 & 3 & 4 & 8 & \ldots \\
5 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 5 & 6 & \ldots \\
6 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 4 & \ldots
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

FIG. 14: D egeneracies of zero-energy ground states on the sphere at $=1$ for $N=6, N_{v}=2$ (top left), $N=5$, $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{v}}=3$ (top right) and $\mathrm{N}=6, \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{v}}=1$. All multiplicities refer to highest weight states of the orbitals o (3) sym m etry.
(i) low rotation, such that the angular $m$ om entum $L$ is less than or equal to the particle num ber N , where the system is beginning to contain som e vorticity; (ii) higher rotation, where the bulk of the uid accom $m$ odates vorticity and is occupied by a lattioe of (possibly coreless) vortioes, which we considered as in nite periodic structures; (iii) the quantum $H$ all regim $e$, in which the vortex lattioes are replaced by translationally-invariant quantum uids, which we considered in edgeless geom etries (unfortunately, for spin-1 bosons the nite size restrictions are here very severe). The transition regions betw een these regim es, nam ely that in which the system contains a sm all num ber (larger than two) of vortices, and that at the critical lling factor at which the vortex lattioes are replaced by the quantum liquids, were not considered. T he results show a rich variety of phases as the interaction param eters, especially the ratio of the coe cients of spin-dependent and spin-independent interaction term $s$, are varied. T he results obtained here, especially those at low er rotations w hich should be $m$ ore easily accessible, should $m$ otivate further experim ents to rotate spin-1 bosons w ith unbroken spin-rotation sym $m$ etry.
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APPENDIX A: CLASSIFICATION OF TOPOLOGICALDEFECTS

H ere we continue the discussion of the topologicalclassi cation of defects or excitations.

In Sec. I we explained the appearance of two types of ordered BECs for spin-1 bosons. In these the order is constant in space. M ore generally, a condensate w illprefer to have the sam e type of order locally at alm ost all points in order to low er the energy, but there are types of excitations in which the order as described by a point in the order param eter $m$ anifold can vary in space. Excitations of this type that are stable under continuous deform ations of the order (known as topological defects) can be classi ed by m ethods from topology. O ne type is those in which the order breaks down (possible, the density goes to zero) at a point in space (w e consider two space dim ensions here). T hese are classi ed by the funda$m$ entalor rst hom otopy group 1 of the $m$ anifold. Such ob jects exist for exam ple in the case of a one-com ponent (scalar) condensate, where the order param eterm anifold is the circle $S^{1}$. For vector condensates, an exam ple is the polar state, forwhich $1\left(S^{1} \quad S^{2}=Z_{2}\right)=Z$, the group of integers. Because these involve the phase of the condensate (related to the $S^{1}$ part of the $m$ anifold) winding as one encircles the singular point, these ob jects in both exam ples are vortices that are relevant when vorticity is forced into the system. In the polar state, the vortioes of the sm allest vorticity carry a halfunit of vorticity (in the usual units), because of the $Z_{2}$ divided out, and are also referred to as -disclinations. For the case of the ferro$m$ agnetic state, $1(\mathrm{SO}(3))=\mathrm{Z}_{2}$, SO that tw o nontrivial vortioes can anninilate one anotheris .
$T$ he otherm ain type of topologicaldefect is som etim es called a coreless vortex or skyrm ion. In these the order exists and varies $w$ thin the order param eter $m$ anifold everyw here in space. The topological classi cation requires identifying points at in nity, as if space were a sphere. For trivial boundary conditions (those that allow the constant ordered ground state), the topological defects are classi ed by the second hom otopy group 2 of the $m$ anifold. This is $Z$ in the polar case, and trivial in the ferrom agnetic case. H ow ever, ifw ew ish to classify the vortioes that carry the nonzero vorticity in a rotating condensate, then the boundary conditions $m$ ust be m odi ed to allow a nonzero vorticity on the sphere (this $m$ odi cation has of the form of the D irac string fam iliar for a m agnetic $m$ onopole). In the polar case, the presence of net vorticity $m$ ay force vortioes into the ground state. W hether the ground state contains -disclinations, or vortioes of larger vorticity each, depends on the detailed energetics, but one should note that as the total vorticity is an integer $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{v}}$, the num ber of -disclinations ( $m$ ore generally, the num ber of vortiges that carry half-
integer vorticity) m ust be even. On the other hand, the defects above that are classi ed by 2 carry no vorticity. For the ferrom agnetic case, there are coreless vortices or skym ions which are topologically-nontrivial textures in the order and carry nonzero vorticity quantized in integers. W e w ill discuss these con gurations in more detail below.

W e now com e to the borderline case in which the interaction is spin-independent ( $\mathrm{SU}(3)_{\text {sp in }}$ invariant), $\mathrm{c}_{2}=0$. This is a useful starting point for sm all also. The discussion is easily generalized to the case of an $n$ com ponent order param eter w ith an SU ( $n$ ) invariant interaction. In such a case, the target space is described by a com plex n-com ponent vector of unit length, proportional to the expectation value of the boson operator, which lies in $U(n)=U\left(\begin{array}{ll}n & 1) \\ S^{n} & 1\end{array}\right.$. For $n>1$,
${ }_{1}=2=0$, so this space has neither point-singular vortioes nor skym ions w thout vorticity. For $n=1$, there are the well-know $n$ point-singular vortioes $w$ ith one unit of vorticity each, and for $n>1$ the presence of nonzero vorticity on the sphere induces skym ions with integer vorticity in the condensate, as we w ill now see.

In $m$ ore detail, the B ose condensate on a sphere $w$ ith vorticity present is described by the expectation value of the eld operator $h \quad(z) i$ as $z$ ranges over the sphere. $T$ hus the possible condensates form the space of sections of a com plex vector bundle. Such bundles are classi ed topologically (for each num ber of com ponents $n>0$ ) by their rst Chem class, which is sim ply the (integer) num ber of vortices $N_{v}$ that we have been using, or the num ber of , ux quanta in the $m$ onopole at the center of the sphere ${ }^{22}$. Restricting the bosons to the LLL m eans considering only the \holom orphic" sections of the sam e bundles. The LLL m ean eld theory perform ed in Section Nim ply nds such holom onphic sections of low est m ean- eld energy. C ondensates in which there are $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{v}}$ vortices at which the density ( $m$ agnitude squared of the condensate) vanishes alw ays exist; sim ply take the condensate entirely in one spin com ponent. The question we will pursue here is the existence of coreless vortioes or skym ions w ith non-zero vorticity, in which the condensate is nonzero at all points on the sphere. T hese correspond to nonsingular con gurations of the order as described above.

The nigest con gurations of all, which serve to ilhustrate the m ost elem entary skym ions, are those in which the density is uniform over the sphere. $\mathbb{N}$ ote that for repulsive spin-independent interactions w ith $S U(n)_{\text {sp in }}$ sym $m$ etry, the ground state $m$ akes the density as uniform as possible.] It is convenient to study these in the LLL in term $s$ of their components $b_{n}, \quad=1, \ldots, n$, and $m=0, \ldots, N_{v}$. These com ponents form a matrix $B$, with $m$ labelling row $s$ and labelling colum ns. The density is uniform if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{BB}^{\mathrm{y}} / \mathrm{I} \tag{A1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I$ is the identity $m$ atrix (that is, $B^{y}$ is proportional to an isom etry). Solutions to this condition exist only
when $0 \quad N_{v} \quad n \quad 1$. For $N_{v}>n \quad 1$, skym ions w ill still occur, but the density $w$ ill not be com pletely uniform.

W e now specialize to $\mathrm{n}=3$ again, and consider the special cases $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{v}}=1$ and $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{v}}=2$ for which uniform density condensates exist. For $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{v}}=1, \mathrm{~m}=\mathbf{0}, 1$ only, so $B$ is a 23 m atrix. A solution of eq. $1(\bar{A} \overline{1})$ for $B^{Y}$ is a complex num ber tim es an isom etry of $C^{-2}$ into $C^{3}$. $T$ hus this $m$ eans picking a tw $o$-dim ensional subspace of the spin space $C^{3}$. N otice that $S U(2)_{\text {orb }}$ acts by multiplication of $B$ on the left, while $S U(3)_{\text {sp in }}$ acts by m ultiplication (by the transpose) on the right, and the phase sym $m$ etry under $U$ (1) acts on either side of $B$. $T$ hen the full space of solutions to eq. ( $(\bar{A}-\overline{1} 1)$ ), for $x$ xed $m$ ean particle num ber, is param etrized by $S U(2)_{\text {orb }} \quad S U(3)_{\text {pp in }}$ $\mathrm{U}(1)=\left[\begin{array}{lll}\mathrm{SU}(2) \quad \mathrm{U}(1)\end{array}\right]$, where the denom inator represents the subgroup of $S U(3)_{\text {sp in }}$ which has the sam e action as SU (2) orb $U(1)$ on a particular solution B. This manifold is equivalent to $S U(3)=Z_{3}$. Ifw e consider a quantum state in which bosons condense in one condensate in this fam ily, then we can analyze it in term s of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{z}}$, and SU (3) ${ }_{\text {sp in }}$ quantum numbers $(p ; q)$. It is easy to see that there can be no $S U(3)_{\text {sp in }}$ singlets for $N_{v}=1$ as construction of such a singlet requires the use of three distinct orbitals. T hus the broken-sym m etry states cannot be averaged over SU (3) spin rotations to produce an SU (3) singlet (the closest one can get would be the BDC of Section ' 'IV-', and we believe that state should be interpreted in this way). $N$ onetheless, we have show $n$ the existence of con gurations $w$ ith single units of vorticity. W e have now analyzed the space of solutions w ith uniform density using the fiull $S U(3)_{\text {sp in }}$ symm etry group. For general $c_{2} \in 0$, this sym $m$ etry is broken. In this case, the form of the low est-energy solution depends on the energetics, and the $m$ anifold of low est energy condensates (orbit of the solution under the broken sym m etries) is a subm anifold of that above with a low er dim ension that depends on which solution is chosen. Results of this analysis have been given in Sec.

Sim ilarly, for $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{v}}=2$, there are three orbitals, and the matrix $B$ is now 3 3. We see directly from eq. (A) (1) that a solution for B is proportional to a unitary $m$ atrix, and the $m$ anifold of solutions is therefore $U$ (3). In term $s$ of the sym $m$ etries present for $C_{2}=0$ (i.e. using $S U(3)_{\text {sp in }}$ sym $m$ etry), this $m$ anifold arises as $\operatorname{SU}(3)_{\text {sp in }} S O(3)_{\mathrm{rb}} \quad U(1)=\mathrm{SO}$ (3) $\quad 3$. In this case the $S O$ (3) in the denom inator, which is the unbroken subgroup of the spin and orbital sym $m$ etries, has to be em bedded into SU (3) as the group of 3 orthogonal m atrioes. The $\mathrm{U}(1)$ transform ations cannot be rem oved using the orbital or spin sym $m$ etry groups.
$T$ he uniform-density skym ions for $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{v}}=2$ and $\mathrm{C}_{2} \in 0$ can be found by using a carefiul choioe of basis. For the ferrom agnetic case $\mathrm{c}_{2}<0$, one expects that at each point on the sphere there should be a nonzero (in fact, largest possible) spin density, though its orientation varies over
the sphere. If we use the basis of single-particle $S_{z}$ eigenstates in the sequence $=\#, 0, "$, then one such solution is given by $B / \operatorname{diag}(1 ; 1 ; 1)$. In the particular solution given, the spin density is \# at the north pole ( $\mathrm{z}=0$ in stereographic coordinates), " at the south pole (z ! 1 ), and the orientation elsew here on the sphere can be found by the relation of spin and orbital rotations as described in the previous paragraph. In fact, the spin-density hSi itself w raps around the sphere, being $(0 ; 0 ; 1)$ at the north pole, $(0 ; 0 ; 1)$ at the south pole, and in the xy plane at the equator. This solution is the ${ }^{\prime}=1$ solution discussed in Sec. V1. O ther solutions are obtained by multiplication of B by an elem ent of SO (3) U (1). The space of these solutions form $s$ them anifold $S O(3)_{\text {sp in }} \quad S O(3)_{\text {brb }} \quad U(1)=S O(3)^{\prime}$ SO (3) U (1), a subm aniffld of the full U (3) we had before. The solution $B=\operatorname{diag}(0 ; 1 ; 0)$ we began $w$ th here w as chosen to be invariant under the diagonalSo (3) subgroup generated by $\widetilde{\mathbb{L}}+S$. The other solutions, obtained by acting $w$ th eitherSO (3) orb orSO (3) $\operatorname{sp}$ in , are invariant under a sim ilar subgroup w ith generators $\tilde{L}$ plus a ( xed) SO (3) rotation of S. These solutions are very sim ilar to the basic skym ions for the spin-1/2 -case, w hich appear in the $=1 \mathrm{QH}$ e ect for electron ${ }^{35} 1$, even though here for spin 1 they have $N_{v}=2$. In fact those solutions for a condensate of spin $-1 / 2$ bosons correspond to the $n=2$ com ponent case with a contact interaction and SU (2) sym $m$ etry, as discussed brie y above.

In the antiferrom agnetic regin e $>0$ for $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{v}}=2$, one expects a di erent $m$ ean eld ground state. As ! $0^{+}$, the ground state should approach a point in a subm anifold of the $U$ (3) $m$ anifold of uniform density states. Presum ably this subm anifold is a di erent one from that for
< 0, where the solutions alw ays lie in the set we just described. In fact, we expect that the ground states selected at ! 0+ are those w th the low est spin density at each point on the sphere. At large, the spin order at each point should take the polar form, w th vanishing spin density. This presum ably cannot happen $w$ th a uniform density. O ne expects the preceding uniform density solutions to persist at sm all positive , and then (possibly, above a nonzero critical value of ) for the density to becom e non-uniform. At large enough, we expect the $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{v}}=2$ solution to contain two polar vortices, each w ith vorticity one. These correspond to solutions found in $\operatorname{Sec}$

For each of the $m$ anifolds that describe low est-energy $m$ ean eld solutions, we can consider the con guration space of a point $m$ oving on this $m$ anifold, and then quantize this $m$ otion (this is know $n$ as sem iclassicalquantization of the collective coordinates). T his should reproduce the full space of states for the N ! 1 lim it for these cases $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{v}}=1,2$, but we will not go into these details here.
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