

N identical particles under quantum confinement: A many-body dimensional perturbation theory approach

B. A. McKinney*, M. Dunn, D. K. Watson

University of Oklahoma

Department of Physics and Astronomy

Norman, OK 73019

J. G. Loeser

Oregon State University

Department of Chemistry

Corvallis, OR 97331

May 25, 2020

Abstract

Systems that involve N identical interacting particles under quantum confinement appear throughout many areas of physics, including chemical, condensed matter, and atomic physics. In this paper, we present the methods of dimensional perturbation theory, a powerful set of tools that uses symmetry to yield simple results for studying such many-body systems. We present a detailed discussion of the dimensional continuation of the N -particle Schrödinger equation, the spatial dimension $D \rightarrow \infty$ equilibrium (D^0) structure, and the normal-mode (D^{-1}) structure. We use the FG matrix method to derive general, analytical expressions for the many-body normal-mode vibrational frequencies, and we give specific analytical results for three confined N -body quantum systems: the N -electron atom, N -electron quantum dot, and N -atom inhomogeneous Bose-Einstein condensate with a repulsive hardcore potential.

1 Introduction

During the last two decades, techniques to confine and manipulate atoms, ions, and electrons have led to the creation of new N -body quantum systems of both fundamental and technological interest. The condensation of atomic Bose gases, the confinement of atoms using optical lattices, and the creation of quantum dots in semiconductors are all examples of novel many-body environments that can be manipulated using external potentials. These systems thus provide a unique opportunity to study many-body effects over a range of interaction strengths as external potentials are tuned. The quantum dot is a nanostructure in which electrons are confined in all three dimensions by an external potential. This “artificial atom” has shown great potential in biological and medical applications and may form the basis for a new generation of semiconductor lasers

*Department of Molecular Physiology and Biophysics, Vanderbilt University Medical School, Nashville, TN 37232.

with applications in quantum computation and quantum cryptography. The atomic vapor Bose-Einstein condensate, arising from the collapse of a collection of identical, harmonically trapped bosons into the lowest single-particle state of the harmonic oscillator potential, provides a source of coherent matter waves with possible applications in atom interferometry, atom circuits, holography, precision measurements, and quantum computation. The confinement of atoms in single cells of optical lattices could provide the controlled interaction required to create a quantum logic gate.

These new quantum confined N -body systems have resulted in renewed interest and new demands in the many-body techniques of quantum physics and chemistry, originally developed to study atoms and molecules – N -body systems confined by the mutual attraction of the particles themselves. Mean-field treatments of these N -body systems, such as the Hartree-Fock method in atomic physics and the Gross-Pitaevskii approximation for Bose-Einstein condensates, do not include many-body effects, and, therefore, become inaccurate for systems under tight confinement or strong interaction. These new systems, which can have a few hundred to millions of particles, present serious challenges for existing many-body methods, most of which were developed with small systems in mind.

In this paper we offer the methods of many-body dimensional perturbation theory for the study of large N -body systems under quantum confinement. We apply dimensional perturbation theory (DPT) to many-body quantum confined systems from chemical, condensed matter, and atomic physics. The first application of many-body DPT (Section 5), and the impetus for this paper, originated in Ref.[1], in which Loeser introduced low-order many-body dimensional perturbation methods to the N -electron atom. In this instrumental paper, Loeser obtains low-order, analytical expressions for the ground-state energy of neutral atoms. For $Z = 1$ to 127, the numerical results compare well to Hartree-Fock energies with a correlation correction. In Sections 2-4 of this paper, we discuss the formalism and general theory behind Loeser's results for the N -electron atom, in which the quantum confinement of the N electrons is supplied by the Coulomb attraction of a nucleus. The second application is the quantum dot (Section 6), an atom-like many-body system from condensed matter physics, where the confinement of the N electrons is supplied by an external, isotropic trapping potential. The final system (Section 7) is N identical hard spheres in an isotropic trap. Although our results are more general, this hard-sphere model is appropriate for describing a Bose-Einstein condensate of a trapped alkali-metal gas, a system that, like the quantum dot, bridges the areas of atomic and condensed matter physics.

In many-body dimensional perturbation theory, the coordinate vectors of the N particles are allowed to have D Cartesian components. The singular limit $D \rightarrow \infty$ is taken as the unperturbed system, and $1/D$ becomes the perturbation parameter. The Schrödinger equation is written in terms of a similarity transformed wave function that removes first-order derivatives from the Laplacian and introduces a centrifugal-like potential containing all of the explicit dimension dependence of the Laplacian. The explicit dimension-dependence of the centrifugal-like potential is quadratic, and in order to regularize the large-dimension limit of the Hamiltonian (the $D \rightarrow \infty$ limit or the leading term in the $1/D$ expansion) we choose a scaling of the length and

energy that is also quadratic in D . Then as $D \rightarrow \infty$ the second derivative parts of the kinetic energy vanish and the particles become localized in the bottom of an effective potential defined by a centrifugal-like contribution from the kinetic energy and contributions from the other potential energies (i.e., the confinement and interaction potentials). In the case of attractive interparticle forces, the repulsive centrifugal-like term stabilizes the large- D configuration against collapse. The first-order quantum correction corresponds to normal-mode vibrations about the large- D effective potential minimum. We find the normal-mode frequencies using the FG matrix technique of molecular physics[2]. Higher orders can be calculated using a matrix method developed specifically for dimensional perturbation theory[4].

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we give the unscaled Schrödinger equation, and in Section 3 we discuss scaling methods and the zeroth-order ($D \rightarrow \infty$) term of the $1/D$ expansion. In Section 4 we discuss the $1/D$ expansion and utilize the FG matrix method, and we derive analytical expressions for the normal-mode frequencies of vibration about the infinite- D symmetric minimum, from which we derive the first-order energy approximation. In Secs. 5-7, we apply these methods to the N -electron atom, N -electron quantum dot, and N -atom Bose-Einstein condensate.

2 D -dimensional N -body Schrödinger equation

For an N -body system of particles confined by a spherically symmetric potential and interacting via a common two-body potential g_{ij} , the Schrödinger equation in D -dimensional Cartesian coordinates is

$$H\Psi = \left[\sum_{i=1}^N h_i + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^N g_{ij} \right] \Psi = E\Psi, \quad (1)$$

where

$$h_i = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_i} \sum_{\nu=1}^D \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_{i\nu}^2} + V_{\text{conf}} \left(\sqrt{\sum_{\nu=1}^D x_{i\nu}^2} \right), \quad (2)$$

$$\text{and } g_{ij} = V_{\text{int}} \left(\sqrt{\sum_{\nu=1}^D (x_{i\nu} - x_{j\nu})^2} \right) \quad (3)$$

are the single-particle Hamiltonian and the two-body interaction potential, respectively. The operator H is the D -dimensional Hamiltonian, and $x_{i\nu}$ is the ν^{th} Cartesian component of the i^{th} particle. V_{conf} is the confining potential. For the N -electron atom, V_{conf} is the Coulomb attraction between the electrons and the nucleus, while for the N -electron quantum dot and N -atom hard-sphere problem we model the confinement as a harmonic trapping potential. The two-body interaction potential V_{int} is Coulombic in the first two systems and a hard sphere in the third.

2.1 Transformation of the Laplacian

Restricting our attention to spherically symmetric ($L = 0$) states of the many-body system, we transform from Cartesian to internal coordinates. A convenient internal coordinate system for confined systems is

$$r_i = \sqrt{\sum_{\nu=1}^D x_{i\nu}^2} \quad (1 \leq i \leq N) \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_{ij} = \cos(\theta_{ij}) = \left(\sum_{\nu=1}^D x_{i\nu} x_{j\nu} \right) / r_i r_j \quad (1 \leq i < j \leq N), \quad (4)$$

which are the D -dimensional scalar radii r_i of the N particles from the center of the confining potential and the cosines γ_{ij} of the $N(N-1)/2$ angles between the radial vectors.

Now for a function Ψ dependent on only two functions $r(x)$ and $\gamma(x)$ one can write

$$\frac{d^2 \Psi(r(x), \gamma(x))}{dx^2} = \frac{d^2 r}{dx^2} \frac{d\Psi}{dr} + \frac{d^2 \gamma}{dx^2} \frac{d\Psi}{d\gamma} + \left(\frac{dr}{dx} \right)^2 \frac{d^2 \Psi}{dr^2} + \left(\frac{d\gamma}{dx} \right)^2 \frac{d^2 \Psi}{d\gamma^2} + 2 \frac{d\gamma}{dx} \frac{dr}{dx} \frac{d^2 \Psi}{dr d\gamma}. \quad (5)$$

Generalizing this, when operating on the state $\Psi(r_i(x_{i\nu}), \gamma_{i1}(x_{i\nu}) \dots \gamma_{ik}(x_{i\nu}) \dots \gamma_{iN}(x_{i\nu}))$ (where $k \neq i$ and $\nu = 1, \dots, D$), ∇_i^2 can be written in terms of the internal coordinates of Eq. (4) as

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_i^2 \Psi \equiv \sum_{\nu=1}^D \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_{i\nu}^2} \Psi &= \sum_{\nu=1}^D \left(\frac{\partial^2 r_i}{\partial x_{i\nu}^2} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial r_i} \Psi + \sum_{\nu=1}^D \sum_{j \neq i} \left(\frac{\partial^2 \gamma_{ij}}{\partial x_{i\nu}^2} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma_{ij}} \Psi + \\ &\sum_{\nu=1}^D \left(\frac{\partial r_i}{\partial x_{i\nu}} \right)^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r_i^2} \Psi + \sum_{\nu=1}^D \sum_{j \neq i} \sum_{k \neq i} \left(\frac{\partial \gamma_{ij}}{\partial x_{i\nu}} \right) \left(\frac{\partial \gamma_{ik}}{\partial x_{i\nu}} \right) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \gamma_{ij} \partial \gamma_{ik}} \Psi + \\ &2 \sum_{\nu=1}^D \sum_{j \neq i} \left(\frac{\partial r_i}{\partial x_{i\nu}} \right) \left(\frac{\partial \gamma_{ij}}{\partial x_{i\nu}} \right) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r_i \partial \gamma_{ij}} \Psi. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

The relevant derivatives of the internal coordinates are

$$\frac{\partial r_i}{\partial x_{i\nu}} = \frac{x_{i\nu}}{r_i} \quad \frac{\partial \gamma_{ij}}{\partial x_{i\nu}} = \frac{1}{r_i} \left(\frac{x_{j\nu}}{r_j} - \frac{x_{i\nu}}{r_i} \gamma_{ij} \right) \quad (7)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 r_i}{\partial x_{i\nu}^2} = \frac{1}{r_i} \left(1 - \frac{x_{i\nu}^2}{r_i^2} \right) \quad \frac{\partial^2 \gamma_{ij}}{\partial x_{i\nu}^2} = \frac{1}{r_i^2} \left(3 \frac{x_{i\nu}^2}{r_i^2} \gamma_{ij} - 2 \frac{x_{i\nu}}{r_i} \frac{x_{j\nu}}{r_j} - \gamma_{ij} \right), \quad (8)$$

which lead to the effective S -wave Laplacian in internal coordinates:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_i \nabla_i^2 \Psi &= \sum_i \frac{D-1}{r_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_i} \Psi - \sum_i \frac{D-1}{r_i^2} \sum_{j \neq i} \gamma_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma_{ij}} \Psi + \\ &\sum_i \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r_i^2} \Psi + \sum_i \sum_{j \neq i} \sum_{k \neq i} \frac{\gamma_{jk} - \gamma_{ij} \gamma_{ik}}{r_i^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \gamma_{ij} \partial \gamma_{ik}} \Psi. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

2.2 Removal of first-order derivatives

Next we wish to find a transformation of the Hamiltonian that removes the first-order derivatives from the Laplacian (Eq. (9)) so that the kinetic energy operator is reduced to a sum of terms of two kinds, namely, a second-order derivative term and a repulsive centrifugal-like term, which when attractive interparticle potentials are present, stabilizes the system against collapse in the large- D limit. When this is done the zeroth and first orders of the dimensional ($1/D$) expansion of the Hamiltonian become exactly soluble for

any value of N . In the $D \rightarrow \infty$ limit, the second derivative terms drop out, resulting in a static problem at zeroth order, while first order corrections correspond to simple harmonic normal-mode oscillations about the infinite-dimensional structure.

In Ref. [3], Avery *et al.* considered the problem of performing a similarity transformation of the wave function Ψ and operators \hat{O} :

$$\Phi = \chi^{-1}\Psi, \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{O} = \chi^{-1}\hat{O}\chi, \quad (10)$$

where the transforming function, with adjustable parameters α and β , is of the form:

$$\chi = (r_1 r_2 \dots r_N)^{-\alpha} \Gamma^{-\beta/2}. \quad (11)$$

Here Γ is the Gramian determinant, the determinant of the matrix whose elements are γ_{ij} (see Appendix D). One of the cases considered by Avery *et al.*[3] for α and β ($\alpha_1 = (D-1)/2$ and $\beta_1 = (D-N-1)/2$) causes the weight function for matrix elements, $W = J\chi^2$, to equal unity, where J is the Jacobian of the transformation to internal coordinates:

$$J = (r_1 r_2 \dots r_N)^{D-1} \Gamma^{(D-N-1)/2}. \quad (12)$$

As we discuss in another publication[5], this scenario has the advantage of making matrix elements easier to calculate using DPT and makes the physical interpretation of the large-dimension normal-mode structure more transparent. However, the results in this paper are easier to derive if we use $\alpha = \beta = (D-1)/2$, which removes the first-order derivatives from the Laplacian while giving the same results as α_1 and β_1 through first order in $1/D$. Carrying out the transformation of the Hamiltonian and wave function of Eq. (1) via Eq. (10) with $\alpha = \beta = (D-1)/2$ in Eq. (11), the Schrödinger equation becomes[1]:

$$(T + V)\Phi = E\Phi \quad (13)$$

$$T = \sum_{i=1}^N \left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_i} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r_i^2} - \sum_{j \neq i} \sum_{k \neq i} \frac{\hbar^2(\gamma_{jk} - \gamma_{ij}\gamma_{ik})}{2m_i r_i^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \gamma_{ij} \partial \gamma_{ik}} + \frac{\hbar^2(D-1)(D-2N-1)\Gamma^{(i)}}{8m_i r_i^2 \Gamma} \right) \quad (14)$$

$$V = \sum_{i=1}^N V_{\text{conf}}(r_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^N V_{\text{int}}(r_{ij}). \quad (15)$$

The Gramian matrix whose determinant is $\Gamma^{(i)}$ is the i^{th} principal minor formed by deleting from Γ the row and column corresponding to the i^{th} particle. The quantity $r_{ij} = \sqrt{r_i^2 + r_j^2 - 2r_i r_j \gamma_{ij}}$ is the interparticle separation. The similarity transformed Hamiltonian for the energy eigenstate Φ is $\chi^{-1}H\chi$, where $H = (T + V)$.

We remark that for the hard-sphere system in Sec. 7, we find it expedient to choose a dimensional continuation of V_{int} that contains explicit dimension dependence, which is not expressed in the equation above. However, the general discussion to follow in Secs. 3 - 4 holds for the hardsphere system with only slight modification to the first-order energy approximation. This is discussed further in Sec. 7.

3 Infinite- D analysis: Leading order energy

To begin the perturbation analysis we regularize the large-dimension limit of the Schrödinger equation by defining dimensionally scaled variables:

$$\bar{r}_i = r_i/\kappa(D) \quad , \quad \bar{E} = \kappa(D)E \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{H} = \kappa(D)H \quad (16)$$

with dimension-dependent scale factor $\kappa(D)$. From Eq. (14) one can see that the kinetic energy T scales in the same way as $1/r^2$, so the scaled version of Eq. (13) becomes

$$\bar{H}\Phi = \left(\frac{1}{\kappa(D)}\bar{T} + \bar{V} \right) \Phi = \bar{E}\Phi, \quad (17)$$

where barred quantities simply indicate that the variables are now in scaled units. Because of the quadratic D dependence in the centrifugal-like term in T of Eq. (14), we conclude that the scale factor $\kappa(D)$ must also be quadratic in D , otherwise the $D \rightarrow \infty$ limit of the Hamiltonian would not be finite. The factor of $\kappa(D)$ in the denominator of Eq. (17) acts as an effective mass that increases with D , causing the derivative terms to become suppressed while leaving behind a centrifugal-like term in an effective potential,

$$V_{\text{eff}} = \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{\hbar^2}{8m_i\bar{r}_i^2} \frac{\Gamma^{(i)}}{\Gamma} + V_{\text{conf}}(\bar{r}_i) \right) + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^N V_{\text{int}}(\bar{r}_{ij}), \quad (18)$$

in which the particles become frozen at large D . In the $D \rightarrow \infty$ limit, the excited states have collapsed onto the ground state, which is found at the minimum of V_{eff} .

We assume a totally symmetric minimum characterized by the equality of all radii and angle cosines of the particles when $D \rightarrow \infty$, i.e.,

$$\bar{r}_i = \bar{r}_\infty \quad (1 \leq i \leq N), \quad \gamma_{ij} = \gamma_\infty \quad (1 \leq i < j \leq N). \quad (19)$$

Since each particle radius and angle cosine is equivalent, we can take derivatives with respect to an arbitrary \bar{r}_i and γ_{ij} in the minimization procedure. Then evaluating all \bar{r}_i and γ_{ij} at the infinite- D radius and angle cosine, \bar{r}_∞ and γ_∞ , respectively, we find that \bar{r}_∞ and γ_∞ satisfy

$$\left. \frac{\partial V_{\text{eff}}}{\partial \bar{r}_i} \right|_\infty = 0 \quad (20)$$

$$\left. \frac{\partial V_{\text{eff}}}{\partial \gamma_{ij}} \right|_\infty = 0, \quad (21)$$

where the ∞ subscript means to evaluate all \bar{r}_i at \bar{r}_∞ and all γ_{ij} at γ_∞ . In scaled units the zeroth-order ($D \rightarrow \infty$) approximation for the energy becomes

$$\bar{E}_\infty = V_{\text{eff}} \Big|_\infty = V_{\text{eff}}(\bar{r}_\infty, \gamma_\infty). \quad (22)$$

In this leading order approximation, the centrifugal-like term that appears in V_{eff} , even for the ground state, is a zero-point energy contribution required by the minimum uncertainty principle[6].

4 Normal-mode analysis: $1/D$ first-order quantum energy correction

At zeroth-order, the particles can be viewed as frozen in a completely symmetric, high- D configuration or simplex, which is somewhat analogous to the Lewis structure in atomic physics terminology. Likewise, the first-order $1/D$ correction can be viewed as small oscillations of this structure, analogous to Langmuir oscillations. Solving Eqs. (20) and (21) for \bar{r}_∞ and γ_∞ gives the infinite- D structure and zeroth-order energy and provides the starting point for the $1/D$ expansion. To obtain the $1/D$ quantum correction to the energy for large but finite values of D , we expand about the minimum of the $D \rightarrow \infty$ effective potential. We first define a position vector, consisting of all $N(N+1)/2$ internal coordinates, whose transpose is:

$$\bar{y}^T = (\bar{r}_1, \bar{r}_2, \dots, \bar{r}_N, \gamma_{12}, \gamma_{13}, \dots, \gamma_{N-1,N}). \quad (23)$$

We then make the following substitutions for all radii and angle cosines:

$$\bar{r}_i = \bar{r}_\infty + \delta^{1/2} \bar{r}'_i \quad (24)$$

$$\gamma_{ij} = \gamma_\infty + \delta^{1/2} \gamma'_{ij}, \quad (25)$$

where $\delta = 1/D$ is the expansion parameter, and we define a displacement vector consisting of the internal displacement coordinates [primed in Eqs. (24) and (25)]:

$$\bar{y}'^T = (\bar{r}'_1, \bar{r}'_2, \dots, \bar{r}'_N, \gamma'_{12}, \gamma'_{13}, \dots, \gamma'_{N-1,N}). \quad (26)$$

We may then obtain a power series in $\delta^{1/2}$ of the effective potential about the $D \rightarrow \infty$ symmetric minimum:

$$V_{\text{eff}} = V_{\text{eff}} \Big|_\infty + \delta^{1/2} \sum_{\sigma=1}^P \left[\frac{\partial V_{\text{eff}}}{\partial \bar{y}'_\sigma} \right]_\infty \bar{y}'_\sigma + \frac{1}{2} \delta \sum_{\sigma=1}^P \sum_{\nu=1}^P \bar{y}'_\sigma \left[\frac{\partial^2 V_{\text{eff}}}{\partial \bar{y}'_\sigma \partial \bar{y}'_\nu} \right]_\infty \bar{y}'_\nu + O(\delta^{3/2}), \quad (27)$$

where

$$P \equiv N(N+1)/2 \quad (28)$$

is the number of internal coordinates. The first term in the power series (Eq. (27)) is simply the zeroth-order energy (Eq. (22)). The second term is zero since we are expanding about the minimum of the effective potential; the system is said to be in equilibrium since the forces acting on the system vanish [Eqs. (20) and (21)]. The third term defines the elements of the Hessian matrix[7] \mathbf{F} of Eq. (30) below. The derivative terms in the kinetic energy are taken into account by a similar series expansion, beginning with a first-order term that is bilinear in $\partial/\partial \bar{y}'$, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{T} = -\frac{1}{2} \delta \sum_{\sigma=1}^P \sum_{\nu=1}^P G_{\sigma\nu} \partial_{\bar{y}'_\sigma} \partial_{\bar{y}'_\nu} + O(\delta^{3/2}), \quad (29)$$

where \mathcal{T} is the derivative portion of the kinetic energy T [see Eq. (14)]. Thus, obtaining the first-order energy correction is reduced to a harmonic problem, which is solved by obtaining the normal modes of the system.

We use the Wilson FG matrix method[2] to obtain the normal-mode vibrations and, thereby, the first-order energy correction. It follows from Eqs. (27) and (29) that \mathbf{G} and \mathbf{F} , both constant matrices, are defined in the first-order $\delta = 1/D$ Hamiltonian as follows:

$$\hat{H}_1 = -\frac{1}{2}\partial_{\bar{y}'}^T \mathbf{G} \partial_{\bar{y}'} + \frac{1}{2}\bar{y}'^T \mathbf{F} \bar{y}'. \quad (30)$$

After the Schrödinger equation (13) has been dimensionally scaled, the second-order derivative terms are of order δ , and by comparing these terms with the first part of \hat{H}_1 , the elements of the kinetic-energy matrix \mathbf{G} are easily determined. The elements of the Hessian matrix[7], $F_{\sigma\nu} = [\partial^2 V_{\text{eff}}/\partial \bar{y}'_\sigma \bar{y}'_\nu]_\infty$, on the other hand, require a bit more effort to obtain, as we will see in detail in later sections.

We include a derivation of the FG matrix method in Appendix A, but we state here the main result of the method, which consists of finding the roots of the following characteristic polynomial in λ :

$$\det(\lambda \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{G}\mathbf{F}) = 0. \quad (31)$$

Depending on the number of particles, the number of roots λ – there are $P \equiv N(N+1)/2$ roots – is potentially quite large. However, as we will see shortly, there is a high degree of degeneracy due to the total symmetry of the infinite- D Lewis structure. In fact, there are only five distinct roots, λ_μ , where μ runs over $\mathbf{0}^-$, $\mathbf{0}^+$, $\mathbf{1}^-$, $\mathbf{1}^+$, and $\mathbf{2}$. And as we conclude in Eq. (60), the energy through first-order can be written in terms of the distinct normal-mode vibrational frequencies, which are related to the roots λ_μ of $\mathbf{G}\mathbf{F}$ by

$$\lambda_\mu = \bar{\omega}_\mu^2, \quad (32)$$

as can be seen from Eq. (A5) in Appendix A. In the next subsections, we show explicitly how to find analytical expressions for the roots of $\mathbf{G}\mathbf{F}$.

4.1 Indical structure of \mathbf{F} , \mathbf{G} , and $\mathbf{G}\mathbf{F}$ matrices

The \mathbf{F} , \mathbf{G} , and $\mathbf{G}\mathbf{F}$ matrices, which we generically denote by \mathbf{Q} , are $P \times P$ matrices with the same indicial structure as $\bar{y}\bar{y}^T$:

$$\bar{y}\bar{y}^T = \left(\begin{array}{cccc|cccc} \bar{r}_1\bar{r}_1 & \bar{r}_1\bar{r}_2 & \cdots & \bar{r}_1\bar{r}_N & \bar{r}_1\gamma_{12} & \bar{r}_1\gamma_{13} & \cdots & \bar{r}_1\gamma_{N-1N} \\ \bar{r}_2\bar{r}_1 & \bar{r}_2\bar{r}_2 & \cdots & & \bar{r}_2\gamma_{12} & \bar{r}_2\gamma_{13} & \cdots & \bar{r}_2\gamma_{N-1N} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \bar{r}_N\bar{r}_1 & \cdots & & \bar{r}_N\bar{r}_N & \bar{r}_N\gamma_{12} & & \cdots & \bar{r}_N\gamma_{N-1N} \\ \hline \gamma_{12}\bar{r}_1 & \gamma_{12}\bar{r}_2 & \cdots & \gamma_{12}\bar{r}_N & \gamma_{12}\gamma_{12} & \gamma_{12}\gamma_{13} & \cdots & \gamma_{12}\gamma_{N-1N} \\ \gamma_{13}\bar{r}_1 & \gamma_{13}\bar{r}_2 & \cdots & \gamma_{13}\bar{r}_N & \gamma_{13}\gamma_{12} & \gamma_{13}\gamma_{13} & \cdots & \gamma_{13}\gamma_{N-1N} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \gamma_{N-1N}\bar{r}_1 & \cdots & & \gamma_{N-1N}\bar{r}_N & \gamma_{N-1N}\gamma_{12} & \gamma_{N-1N}\gamma_{13} & \cdots & \gamma_{N-1N}\gamma_{N-1N} \end{array} \right), \quad (33)$$

where \bar{y} is defined by Eq. (23). The indicial structure of this matrix suggests a convenient shorthand for referencing the elements of the \mathbf{Q} matrices. The upper left block of Eq. (33) is an $(N \times N)$ matrix with

elements associated with (\bar{r}_i, \bar{r}_j) ; hence we use the subscript (i, j) to refer to these elements. The upper right block is an $(N \times N(N-1)/2)$ matrix with elements associated with (\bar{r}_i, γ_{jk}) ; hence, we use the subscript (i, jk) to refer to these elements. The lower left block is an $(N(N-1)/2 \times N)$ matrix with elements associated with (γ_{ij}, \bar{r}_k) ; hence, we use the subscript (ij, k) to refer to these elements. Finally, the lower right block is an $(N(N-1)/2 \times N(N-1)/2)$ matrix with elements associated with $(\gamma_{ij}, \gamma_{kl})$; hence, we use the subscript (ij, kl) to refer to these elements.

4.2 Symmetry of the \mathbf{Q} matrices

As the number of particles N increases, diagonalizing the $P \times P$ \mathbf{GF} matrix (where $P \equiv N(N+1)/2$) becomes, prima facie, a daunting task. However, one of the advantages of dimensional perturbation theory is the simplifications that occur in the large-dimension limit. In particular, since we are dealing with identical particles in a totally symmetric configuration (the Lewis structure) in which all the particles are equivalent, the \mathbf{Q} matrices display a high degree of symmetry with many identical elements. Specifically,

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_{i,i} &= Q_{i',i'} &\equiv Q_a \\
Q_{i,j} &= Q_{i',j'} &\equiv Q_b \quad (i \neq j) \text{ and } (i' \neq j') \\
Q_{ij,i} &= Q_{i'j',i'} &\equiv Q_c \quad (i \neq j) \text{ and } (i' \neq j') \\
Q_{jk,i} &= Q_{j'k',i'} &\equiv Q_d \quad (i \neq j \neq k) \text{ and } (i' \neq j' \neq k') \\
Q_{i,ij} &= Q_{i',i'j'} &\equiv Q_e \quad (i \neq j) \text{ and } (i' \neq j') \\
Q_{i,jk} &= Q_{i',j'k'} &\equiv Q_f \quad (i \neq j \neq k) \text{ and } (i' \neq j' \neq k') \\
Q_{ij,ij} &= Q_{i'j',i'j'} &\equiv Q_g \quad (i \neq j) \text{ and } (i' \neq j') \\
Q_{ij,jk} &= Q_{i'j',j'k'} &\equiv Q_h \quad (i \neq j \neq k) \text{ and } (i' \neq j' \neq k') \\
Q_{ij,kl} &= Q_{i'j',k'l'} &\equiv Q_l \quad (i \neq j \neq k \neq l) \text{ and } (i' \neq j' \neq k' \neq l').
\end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

Note the indices in the relationships above run over all particles $(1, 2, \dots, N)$ with the exceptions noted in the far right column. For example, $Q_{i,j} = Q_{i',j'} \equiv Q_b$, where $(i \neq j)$ and $(i' \neq j')$, means that all off-diagonal elements of the upper left block (the pure radial block) of \mathbf{Q} are equal to the same constant Q_b . Similarly, $Q_{ij,kl} = Q_{i'j',k'l'} \equiv Q_l$, where $(i \neq j \neq k \neq l)$ and $(i' \neq j' \neq k' \neq l')$, means that any elements of \mathbf{Q} in the lower right block (the pure angular block) that do not have a repeated index are all equal to the same constant Q_l . We should remark here that \mathbf{G} and \mathbf{F} are also symmetric matrices ($\mathbf{G}^T = \mathbf{G}$ and $\mathbf{F}^T = \mathbf{F}$); however, while \mathbf{GF} does display the high degree of symmetry of Eq. (34), it is not a symmetric matrix.

4.3 \mathbf{Q} matrices in terms of simple submatrices

The symmetry of the \mathbf{Q} matrices (\mathbf{F} , \mathbf{G} , and \mathbf{GF}) described in Eq. (34) allows us to write these matrices in terms of six simple submatrices. We first define the number of γ_{ij} coordinates to be

$$M \equiv N(N-1)/2, \tag{35}$$

and let \mathbf{I}_N be an $N \times N$ identity matrix, \mathbf{I}_M an $M \times M$ identity matrix, \mathbf{J}_N an $N \times N$ matrix of ones and \mathbf{J}_M an $M \times M$ matrix of ones. Further, we let \mathbf{R} be an $N \times M$ matrix¹ such that $R_{i,jk} = \delta_{ij} + \delta_{ik}$, \mathbf{J}_{NM} be an $N \times M$ matrix of ones, and $\mathbf{J}_{NM}^T = \mathbf{J}_{MN}$.

We then write the \mathbf{Q} matrices as

$$\mathbf{Q} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Q}_1 & \mathbf{Q}_2 \\ \mathbf{Q}_3 & \mathbf{Q}_4 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (36)$$

where the block \mathbf{Q}_1 has dimension $(N \times N)$, block \mathbf{Q}_2 has dimension $(N \times M)$, block \mathbf{Q}_3 has dimension $(M \times N)$, and block \mathbf{Q}_4 has dimension $(M \times M)$. Now, as we show in Appendix B, Eq. (34) allows us to write the following:

$$\mathbf{Q}_1 = (Q_a - Q_b)\mathbf{I}_N + Q_b\mathbf{J}_N \quad (37)$$

$$\mathbf{Q}_2 = (Q_e - Q_f)\mathbf{R} + Q_f\mathbf{J}_{NM} \quad (38)$$

$$\mathbf{Q}_3 = (Q_c - Q_d)\mathbf{R}^T + Q_d\mathbf{J}_{NM}^T \quad (39)$$

$$\mathbf{Q}_4 = (Q_g - 2Q_h + Q_\iota)\mathbf{I}_M + (Q_h - Q_\iota)\mathbf{R}^T\mathbf{R} + Q_\iota\mathbf{J}_M. \quad (40)$$

In particular, letting $\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{GF}$, the matrix that must be diagonalized, Eq. (36) becomes

$$\mathbf{GF} = \begin{pmatrix} (a-b)\mathbf{I}_N + b\mathbf{J}_N & (e-f)\mathbf{R} + f\mathbf{J}_{NM} \\ (c-d)\mathbf{R}^T + d\mathbf{J}_{MN} & (g-2h+\iota)\mathbf{I}_M + (h-\iota)\mathbf{R}^T\mathbf{R} + \iota\mathbf{J}_M \end{pmatrix}, \quad (41)$$

where we have used the following abbreviations:

$$\begin{aligned} a &\equiv (GF)_a = G_a F_a \\ b &\equiv (GF)_b = G_a F_b \\ c &\equiv (GF)_c = G_g F_e + (N-2)G_h F_e + (N-2)G_g F_f \\ d &\equiv (GF)_d = G_g F_f + 2G_h F_e + 2(N-3)G_h F_f \\ e &\equiv (GF)_e = G_a F_e \\ f &\equiv (GF)_f = G_a F_f \\ g &\equiv (GF)_g = G_g F_g + 2(N-2)G_h F_f \\ h &\equiv (GF)_h = G_g F_h + G_h F_e + (N-2)G_h F_h + (N-3)G_h F_\iota \\ \iota &\equiv (GF)_\iota = G_g F_\iota + 4G_h F_h + 2(N-4)G_h F_\iota. \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

The right-hand sides of Eq. (42), the \mathbf{GF} matrices expressed in terms of the \mathbf{F} and \mathbf{G} matrix elements, are derived in Appendix B.

¹In graph theoretic terminology \mathbf{R} is called a vertex-edge matrix (see Appendix B).

4.4 Normal mode frequencies and first-order energy

Having obtained the \mathbf{GF} matrix of Eqs. (41) and (42), we may then, according to Eq. (31), find the eigenvalues λ of \mathbf{GF} by solving

$$\det(\mathbf{E}) = 0, \quad (43)$$

where we have defined \mathbf{E} as

$$\mathbf{E} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \lambda \mathbf{I}_N & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \lambda \mathbf{I}_M \end{pmatrix} - \mathbf{GF}. \quad (44)$$

To find an analytical expression for $\det(\mathbf{E})$ we multiply \mathbf{E} by three matrices: \mathbf{X} , \mathbf{Y} and \mathbf{Z} , such that

$$\mathbf{X}\mathbf{Y}\mathbf{E}\mathbf{Z} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{t}{v}\mathbf{I}_N + \frac{u}{v}\mathbf{J}_N & \mathbf{0} \\ \dots & v\mathbf{I}_M \end{pmatrix}, \quad (45)$$

where $\det(\mathbf{X}) = \det(\mathbf{Y}) = \det(\mathbf{Z}) = 1$ so that $\det(\mathbf{E}) = \det(\mathbf{X}\mathbf{Y}\mathbf{E}\mathbf{Z})$. In Appendix C we construct the matrices \mathbf{X} , \mathbf{Y} and \mathbf{Z} needed to transform \mathbf{E} of Eq. (44) to $\mathbf{X}\mathbf{Y}\mathbf{E}\mathbf{Z}$ of Eq. (45). We find

$$v \equiv \lambda - g + 2h - \iota \quad (46)$$

$$t \equiv (\lambda - a + b)v + (N - 2)(\iota - h)(\lambda - a + b) + (N - 2)(d - c)(e - f) \quad (47)$$

$$u \equiv -kv - (h - \iota)(\lambda - a + b) + (d - c)(e - f) + \frac{N - 1}{2} [f(2(d - c) - Nd) - \iota(\lambda - a - (N - 1)b) + 4b(h - \iota) - 2d(e - f)]. \quad (48)$$

The determinant of $\mathbf{X}\mathbf{Y}\mathbf{E}\mathbf{Z}$, which does not depend on the submatrix in the lower left block, is found from Appendix B [see Eq. (B30)] to be

$$\det(\mathbf{E}) = \det(\mathbf{X}\mathbf{Y}\mathbf{E}\mathbf{Z}) = t^{N-1}(t + Nu)v^{M-N}. \quad (49)$$

There are five distinct roots, which naturally arise from the terms in Eq. (49) with t , u , and v given by Eqs. (46), (47) and (48). From Eq. (49), $\det(\mathbf{E})$ factors into three terms, resulting in three equations for the five distinct roots:

$$t^{N-1} = 0 \quad (50)$$

$$(t + Nu) = 0 \quad (51)$$

$$v^{M-N} = v^{N(N-3)/2} = 0, \quad (52)$$

From Eqs. (46) and (47), one can see that t is quadratic in λ . Hence, from Eq. (50) there are two roots, which we denote by $\mathbf{1}^-$ and $\mathbf{1}^+$, with multiplicity $d_{\mathbf{1}^-} = d_{\mathbf{1}^+} = N - 1$ given by

$$t = 0. \quad (53)$$

Similarly, the $(t + Nu)$ term in Eq. (49) is quadratic in λ and, hence, there are two roots, which we denote by $\mathbf{0}^-$ and $\mathbf{0}^+$, with multiplicity $d_{\mathbf{0}^-} = d_{\mathbf{0}^+} = 1$. Finally, v is linear in λ ; hence, there is one root, which we denote by $\mathbf{2}$, with multiplicity $d_{\mathbf{2}} = N(N - 3)/2$ from Eq. (52) given by

$$v = 0. \quad (54)$$

From Eqs. (54) and (46), the root of multiplicity $N(N-3)/2$ is

$$\lambda_2 = g - 2h + \iota. \quad (55)$$

From Eqs. (53) and (47), the two roots with multiplicity $(N-1)$ are

$$\lambda_{1\pm} = \eta_1 \pm \sqrt{\eta_1^2 - \Delta_1}, \quad (56)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_1 &= \frac{1}{2} [a - b + g + (N-4)h - (N-3)\iota] \\ \Delta_1 &= (N-2)(c-d)(e-f) + (a-b) [g + (N-4)h - (N-3)\iota]. \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

From the $t + Nu$ term in Eq. (49) along with Eqs. (47) and (48), the two roots with unit multiplicity are

$$\lambda_{0\pm} = \eta_0 \pm \sqrt{\eta_0^2 - \Delta_0}, \quad (58)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_0 &= \frac{1}{2} \left[a - (N-1)b + g + 2(N-2)h + \frac{(N-2)(N-3)}{2}\iota \right] \\ \Delta_0 &= (a - (N-1)b) \left[g + 2(N-2)h - \frac{(N-2)(N-3)}{2}\iota \right] - \frac{N-2}{2} (2c + (N-2)d)(2e + (N-2)f). \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

The five distinct roots λ_μ , where μ runs over $\mathbf{0}^-$, $\mathbf{0}^+$, $\mathbf{1}^-$, $\mathbf{1}^+$, and $\mathbf{2}$, are equal to the square of the normal-mode vibrational frequencies (i.e., Eq. (32)), and the energy through first-order in $\delta = 1/D$ is then

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{E} &= \bar{E}_\infty + \delta \bar{E}_o + O(\delta^2) \\ &= V_{\text{eff}}(\bar{r}_\infty, \gamma_\infty) + \delta \sum_{\mu=\{\mathbf{0}^\pm, \mathbf{1}^\pm, \mathbf{2}\}} \sum_{n_\mu=0}^{\infty} (n_\mu + \frac{1}{2}) d_{\mu, n_\mu} \bar{\omega}_\mu + O(\delta^2), \end{aligned} \quad (60)$$

where the n_μ are the vibrational quantum numbers of the normal modes of the same frequency $\bar{\omega}_\mu$ (as such, n_μ counts the number of nodes in a given normal mode). The quantity d_{μ, n_μ} is the occupancy of the manifold of normal modes with vibrational quantum number n_μ and normal mode frequency $\bar{\omega}_\mu$, i.e. it is the number of normal modes with the same frequency $\bar{\omega}_\mu$ and the same number of quanta n_μ . The total occupancy of the normal modes with frequency $\bar{\omega}_\mu$ is equal to the multiplicity of the root λ_μ (see the discussion after Eq. (52)), i.e.

$$d_\mu = \sum_{n_\mu=0}^{\infty} d_{\mu, n_\mu}, \quad (61)$$

where d_μ is the multiplicity of the μ^{th} root. Note that although the equation in Ref. [1] for the energy through Langmuir order is the same as Eq. (60), it is expressed a little differently (See Ref. [8]).

5 N -electron atom

The N -electron atom was previously discussed using low-order many-body dimensional perturbation theory by Loeser[1, 20]. In this section, we give details necessary to derive the results in Ref. [1], to which we direct

the interested reader for specific numerical results. The confining potential is provided by the Coulomb attraction of the electrons to the nucleus:

$$V_{\text{conf}}(r_i) = -\frac{Z}{r_i}, \quad (62)$$

and the interaction potential is the Coulomb repulsion of the electrons:

$$V_{\text{int}}(r_{ij}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{r_i^2 + r_j^2 - 2r_i r_j \gamma_{ij}}}. \quad (63)$$

Substitution of the following charge/dimensionally scaled variables into the similarity transformed Schrödinger equation (13) in atomic units ($\hbar = m = 1$):

$$\bar{r}_i = \frac{Z}{\Omega} r_i, \quad \bar{E} = \frac{\Omega}{Z^2} E, \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega = (D-1)(D-2N-1)/4, \quad (64)$$

places all of the dimension dependence in the second-derivative parts of the kinetic energy and gives the following scaled equation:

$$\left(\mathcal{T}^{(A)} + U^{(A)} + V^{(A)} \right) \Phi = \bar{E} \Phi, \quad (65)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}^{(A)} &= -\frac{1}{2\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^N \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \bar{r}_i^2} + \sum_{j \neq i} \sum_{k \neq i} \frac{\gamma_{jk} - \gamma_{ij} \gamma_{ik}}{\bar{r}_i^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \gamma_{ij} \partial \gamma_{ik}} \right] \\ U^{(A)} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{\bar{r}_i^2} \frac{\Gamma^{(i)}}{\Gamma} \\ V^{(A)} &= -\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{\bar{r}_i} + \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^N \frac{1}{\sqrt{\bar{r}_i^2 + \bar{r}_j^2 - 2\bar{r}_i \bar{r}_j \gamma_{ij}}}. \end{aligned} \quad (66)$$

The superscript A refers to the N -electron atom system (to distinguish these quantities from those in the systems to follow). From this equation, it can be seen that if D , and hence Ω , become infinitely large, the differential part $\mathcal{T}^{(A)}$ of the kinetic energy ($U^{(A)}$ being the centrifugal-like part of the kinetic energy) will drop out of the similarity transformed Hamiltonian. In effect it is as though the particles become infinitely heavy, and, because of this, any function from the basis set of all delta functions in configuration space is an eigenfunction of the Hamiltonian. The energy of such an eigenfunction is just the value of the effective potential at that specific point in configuration space which that delta function selects, and, as mentioned in Section 3, this point is the minimum of the infinite- D effective potential:

$$\bar{E}_{\infty}^{(A)} = V_{\text{eff}}^{(A)} \Big|_{\infty} = \left[U^{(A)} + V^{(A)} \right]_{\infty}, \quad (67)$$

where the effective potential $V_{\text{eff}}^{(A)}$ corresponds to Eq. (18) in scaled units.

5.1 N -electron atom infinite- D analysis

We have chosen a particularly simple infinite- D configuration: the totally symmetric configuration, which is characterized by the equality of all \bar{r}_i and γ_{ij} . The effective potential is an extremum when its derivatives

with respect to all \bar{r}_i and γ_{ij} are zero at \bar{r}_∞ and γ_∞ . Using the conditions imposed in Eqs. (20) and (21), we find:

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{\bar{r}_\infty^3} \frac{1 + (N-2)\gamma_\infty}{(1 + (N-1)\gamma_\infty)(1 - \gamma_\infty)} + \frac{1}{\bar{r}_\infty^2} \left[1 - \frac{N-1}{2^{3/2}Z\sqrt{1-\gamma_\infty}} \right] &= 0, \\ \frac{1}{\bar{r}_\infty^2} \frac{(2 + (N-2)\gamma_\infty)\gamma_\infty}{(1 + (N-1)\gamma_\infty)^2(1 - \gamma_\infty)^2} + \frac{1}{\bar{r}_\infty} \frac{1}{2^{3/2}Z(1 - \gamma_\infty)^{3/2}} &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (68)$$

where we have used the infinite- D symmetric-minimum Gramian results given in Eqs. (D12) of Appendix D.

One can eliminate \bar{r}_∞ from the above equations and then γ_∞ is the negative solution of smallest magnitude of the following equation:

$$8Z^2\gamma_\infty^2[2 + (N-2)\gamma_\infty]^2 + \gamma_\infty - 1 = 0. \quad (69)$$

For $Z = N$ it has been verified that this extremum is a minimum. If we consider Z to be a parameter and we decrease this parameter, the system becomes more and more unstable and at some point the above extremum is no longer a minimum. This critical value Z_{local} can be obtained via Eq. (69) from the negative real solution of the following equation:

$$(4 - 6\gamma_\infty)(1 - \gamma_\infty)^3 + N\gamma_\infty(22 - 9\gamma_\infty)(1 - \gamma_\infty)^2 + 20N^2\gamma_\infty^2(1 - \gamma_\infty) + 5N^3\gamma_\infty^3 = 0, \quad (70)$$

which is simply the condition under which the smallest eigenvalue of the Hessian[7] changes sign. Z_{local} is always less than N for neutral atoms, and so the totally symmetric state is indeed in an energetic (local) minimum for neutral atoms.

The infinite- D radius and energy can be written in terms of the solution γ_∞ of the quartic equation (69) as follows:

$$\bar{r}_\infty^{(A)} = [1 + (N-1)\gamma_\infty]^{-2} \quad (71)$$

$$\frac{\bar{E}_\infty^{(A)}}{N} = -\frac{1}{2}[1 + (N-1)\gamma_\infty]^3[1 + (N-2)\gamma_\infty]/(1 - \gamma_\infty). \quad (72)$$

We should remark here on the fact that we are considering a minimum in the effective potential, which allows us to eliminate Z from the above expressions. Specifically, we have employed the conditions (20) and (21) at the minimum that

$$\left. \frac{\partial V^{(A)}}{\partial \gamma_{ij}} \right|_\infty = - \left. \frac{\partial U^{(A)}}{\partial \gamma_{ij}} \right|_\infty, \quad (73)$$

which leads to

$$\frac{1}{Z} = -\frac{2^{3/2}\gamma_\infty[2 + (N-2)\gamma_\infty]}{\sqrt{1-\gamma_\infty}}. \quad (74)$$

5.2 N -electron atom normal modes

We can determine the elements of \mathbf{G} by comparing the differential terms in Eq. (30) with $\mathcal{T}^{(A)}$ in Eq. (66) expanded to first order in $1/D$ as in Eq. (29). The non-zero elements of the \mathbf{G} matrix are:

$$\begin{aligned} G_a &= 1 \\ G_g &= 2 \frac{1-\gamma_\infty^2}{(\bar{r}_\infty^{(A)})^2} = 2[1 + (N-1)\gamma_\infty]^4(1+\gamma_\infty)(1-\gamma_\infty) \\ G_h &= \frac{\gamma_\infty(1-\gamma_\infty)}{(\bar{r}_\infty^{(A)})^2} = [1 + (N-1)\gamma_\infty]^4\gamma_\infty(1-\gamma_\infty), \end{aligned} \quad (75)$$

where the matrix elements have been evaluated at the infinite- D symmetric minimum and we have used the notation in Eq. (34). The factor of 2 in G_g comes from the fact that each (ij, ij) term appears twice in $\mathcal{T}^{(A)}$ of Eq. (66) (e.g., (21, 21) as well as (12, 12)), but it is only counted once in the \mathbf{G} matrix (e.g., only (12, 12) is counted). In a similar manner to the \mathbf{G} -matrix elements, the non-zero \mathbf{F} -matrix elements are:

$$\begin{aligned} F_a &= \frac{[1 + (N-1)\gamma_\infty]^6}{(1-\gamma_\infty)^2} \left(\frac{2 + 2(5N-7)\gamma_\infty + (5N-6)(N-3)\gamma_\infty^2 - 3(N-1)(N-2)\gamma_\infty^3}{2} \right) \\ F_b &= \frac{[1 + (N-1)\gamma_\infty]^6}{(1-\gamma_\infty)^2} \left(\frac{-\gamma_\infty(3-\gamma_\infty)[2 + (N-2)\gamma_\infty]}{2} \right) \\ F_e &= \frac{[1 + (N-1)\gamma_\infty]^4}{(1-\gamma_\infty)^2} \left(\frac{-\gamma_\infty[2 + 3(N-2)\gamma_\infty]}{2} \right) \\ F_f &= \frac{[1 + (N-1)\gamma_\infty]^4}{(1-\gamma_\infty)^2} (2\gamma_\infty^2) \\ F_g &= \frac{[1 + (N-1)\gamma_\infty]}{(1-\gamma_\infty)^3} \left(\frac{4 + 6(2N-5)\gamma_\infty + (12N^2 - 53N + 64)\gamma_\infty^2 + (4N^3 - 23N^2 + 49N - 38)\gamma_\infty^3}{2} \right) \\ F_h &= -\frac{[1 + (N-1)\gamma_\infty]}{(1-\gamma_\infty)^3} \gamma_\infty (3 + (5N-14)\gamma_\infty + (2N^2 - 9N + 11)\gamma_\infty^2) \\ F_l &= \frac{[1 + (N-1)\gamma_\infty]}{(1-\gamma_\infty)^3} 4\gamma_\infty^2 (2 + (N-2)\gamma_\infty), \end{aligned} \quad (76)$$

where we have used Eqs. (D13) from Appendix D to evaluate the Gramian second-order derivatives. Again we have used the fact that we are considering a minimum in the effective potential, which allows us to use Eq. (74) to eliminate Z from the above expressions. Pulling out the common term among the \mathbf{GF} matrix elements, we define the matrix $(\mathbf{GF})'$ as:

$$(\mathbf{GF})' \equiv \tau \mathbf{GF}, \quad (77)$$

where

$$\tau \equiv \frac{2(1-\gamma_\infty)^2}{[1 + (N-1)\gamma_\infty]^6} \Omega. \quad (78)$$

Using the above equations along with Eqs. (42) we find the following for the elements of $(\mathbf{GF})'$:

$$\begin{aligned} a &= 2 + 2(5N-7)\gamma_\infty + (5N-6)(N-3)\gamma_\infty^2 - 3(N-1)(N-2)\gamma_\infty^3 \\ b &= -\gamma_\infty(3-\gamma_\infty)[2 + (N-2)\gamma_\infty] \\ c &= -\gamma_\infty(1-\gamma_\infty)[2 + (3N-4)\gamma_\infty][2 + (N-2)\gamma_\infty][1 + (N-1)\gamma_\infty]^2 \\ d &= 2\gamma_\infty^2(1-\gamma_\infty)[2 + (N-2)\gamma_\infty][1 + (N-1)\gamma_\infty]^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
e &= -\gamma_\infty[2 + 3(N - 2)\gamma_\infty][1 + (N - 1)\gamma_\infty]^{-2} \\
f &= 4\gamma_\infty^2[1 + (N - 1)\gamma_\infty]^{-2} \\
g &= 2[4 + 2(4N - 11)\gamma_\infty + (4N^2 - 17N + 24)\gamma_\infty^2 + 3(N - 2)\gamma_\infty^3] \\
h &= -\gamma_\infty[8 + 6(N - 3)\gamma_\infty - (3N - 10)\gamma_\infty^2] \\
\iota &= 8\gamma_\infty^2(1 - \gamma_\infty).
\end{aligned} \tag{79}$$

The eigenvalues of $(\mathbf{GF})'$ will be equal to the eigenvalues of \mathbf{GF} multiplied by the common factor τ in Eq. (78).

Using the matrix elements of Eq. (79), we obtain the two multiplicity-1 modes from Eqs. (58) and (59):

$$\lambda_{0\pm}^{(A)} = 5(1 - \gamma_\infty)^2 + 2N\gamma_\infty(1 - \gamma_\infty) + N^2\gamma_\infty^2 \pm \sqrt{\frac{3(1 - \gamma_\infty)^4(3 + 8\gamma_\infty) - 12N\gamma_\infty(1 - \gamma_\infty)^3(1 - 3\gamma_\infty) - 2N^2\gamma_\infty^2(1 - \gamma_\infty)^2(1 - 6\gamma_\infty) + 4N^3\gamma_\infty^3(1 - \gamma_\infty) + N^4\gamma_\infty^4}{2N^2\gamma_\infty^2(1 - \gamma_\infty)^2(1 - 6\gamma_\infty) + 4N^3\gamma_\infty^3(1 - \gamma_\infty) + N^4\gamma_\infty^4}}. \tag{80}$$

For the modes with multiplicity $N - 1$ ($\lambda_{1\pm}^{(A)}$) and multiplicity $N(N - 3)/2$ ($\lambda_2^{(A)}$) we find from Eqs. (55), (56), and (57):

$$\lambda_{1\pm}^{(A)} = 5(1 - \gamma_\infty)^2 + 9N\gamma_\infty(1 - \gamma_\infty) + \frac{7}{2}N^2\gamma_\infty^2 \pm \sqrt{\frac{3(1 - \gamma_\infty)^4(3 + 8\gamma_\infty) - 6N\gamma_\infty(1 - \gamma_\infty)^3(1 - 8\gamma_\infty) - 2N^2\gamma_\infty^2(1 - \gamma_\infty)^2(4 - 9\gamma_\infty) + 3N^3\gamma_\infty^3(1 - \gamma_\infty) + \frac{9}{4}N^4\gamma_\infty^4}{2N^2\gamma_\infty^2(1 - \gamma_\infty)^2(4 - 9\gamma_\infty) + 3N^3\gamma_\infty^3(1 - \gamma_\infty) + \frac{9}{4}N^4\gamma_\infty^4}}, \tag{81}$$

$$\lambda_2^{(A)} = 4(1 - \gamma_\infty)(2 - 5\gamma_\infty) + 2N\gamma_\infty(8 - 11\gamma_\infty) + 8N^2\gamma_\infty^2. \tag{82}$$

With τ given in Eq. (78), from Eq. (32) the normal-mode frequencies ω are related to these λ 's by

$$\bar{\omega}^{(A)} = \sqrt{\frac{\lambda^{(A)}}{\tau}}. \tag{83}$$

Having obtained the normal-mode frequencies from Eqs. (80), (81), (82), and (83), the energy through first order is given by Eqs. (60) and (72).

6 N -electron Quantum Dot

We follow the N -electron atom with an analogous many-electron system, the quantum dot, or, as it is sometimes called, the artificial atom[12]. Quantum dots are nanostructures in which a controllable number of electrons are attracted to a central location. But instead of the Coulomb attraction of a nucleus, the quantum dot electrons are attracted to the center of an external trapping potential. Another difference between quantum dots and atoms is their size: quantum dots are typically much larger than atoms. Furthermore, the quantum dot electrons typically interact in some medium such as a semiconductor; thus, we use the effective-mass approximation where the electrons, each with mass m^* , move in a medium with dielectric constant ϵ . McKinney and Watson have used dimensional perturbation theory to solve for the two-electron quantum dot spectrum[13]. In their paper, they outline how one could use the many-body techniques

previously introduced by Loeser[1, 20] and detailed in this paper, to apply dimensional perturbation theory to a quantum dot with an arbitrary number of electrons. We now provide the details sketched in Ref. [13].

The electrons, each of effective mass m^* , are confined by a spherical harmonic trap with trapping frequency ω_{ho} :

$$V_{\text{conf}}(r_i) = \frac{1}{2}m^*\omega_{\text{ho}}^2 r_i^2, \quad (84)$$

and we take the interelectron potential to be

$$V_{\text{int}}(r_{ij}) = \frac{e^2}{\epsilon\sqrt{r_i^2 + r_j^2 - 2r_i r_j \gamma_{ij}}}, \quad (85)$$

where e is the electric charge and ϵ is the dielectric constant. Unlike the N -electron atom problem, where we used dimensionally scaled atomic units, for the quantum dot we use dimensionally scaled harmonic oscillator units. We can transfer all of the explicit dimension dependence to the differential part of the kinetic energy and regularize the large-dimension limit by substituting the following dimensionally scaled variables into the similarity transformed Schrödinger equation (13):

$$\bar{r}_i = \frac{r_i}{\Omega l_{\text{ho}}}, \quad \bar{E} = \frac{\Omega}{\hbar\bar{\omega}_{\text{ho}}}E, \quad \text{and } \Omega = (D-1)(D-2N-1)/4, \quad (86)$$

where the dimensionally scaled harmonic oscillator length and trap frequency are, respectively,

$$l_{\text{ho}} = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{m^*\bar{\omega}_{\text{ho}}}} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\omega}_{\text{ho}}^2 = \Omega^3\omega_{\text{ho}}^2. \quad (87)$$

That is, we obtain

$$(\mathcal{T}^{(\text{QD})} + U^{(\text{QD})} + V^{(\text{QD})})\Phi = \bar{E}\Phi, \quad (88)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}^{(\text{QD})} &= -\frac{1}{2\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^N \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \bar{r}_i^2} + \sum_{j \neq i} \sum_{k \neq i} \frac{\gamma_{jk} - \gamma_{ij}\gamma_{ik}}{\bar{r}_i^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \gamma_{ij} \partial \gamma_{ik}} \right] \\ U^{(\text{QD})} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{\bar{r}_i^2} \frac{\Gamma^{(i)}}{\Gamma} \\ V^{(\text{QD})} &= \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{2} \bar{r}_i^2 + \frac{1}{\xi} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^N \frac{1}{\sqrt{\bar{r}_i^2 + \bar{r}_j^2 - 2\bar{r}_i \bar{r}_j \gamma_{ij}}}, \end{aligned} \quad (89)$$

and where

$$\xi = \frac{a^*}{l_{\text{ho}}} \quad \text{and} \quad a^* = \frac{\epsilon \hbar^2}{m^* e^2} \quad (90)$$

are the coupling constant and the effective bohr radius, respectively. From Eq. (89), it can be seen that if D , and hence Ω , becomes infinitely large, the differential part $\mathcal{T}^{(\text{QD})}$ of the kinetic energy will drop out of the Hamiltonian, just as for the N -electron atom. The particles behave as though they become infinitely heavy and the infinite-D energy becomes the value of the effective potential,

$$V_{\text{eff}}^{(\text{QD})} = U^{(\text{QD})} + V^{(\text{QD})}, \quad (91)$$

at its minimum:

$$\bar{E}_\infty^{(\text{QD})} = V_{\text{eff}}^{(\text{QD})} \Big|_\infty = \left[U^{(\text{QD})} + V^{(\text{QD})} \right]_\infty. \quad (92)$$

6.1 N -electron Quantum Dot infinite- D analysis

As before, we choose the totally symmetric configuration for which all \bar{r}_i and γ_{ij} are equal to some \bar{r}_∞ and γ_∞ . The effective potential is an extremum when its derivatives with respect to all \bar{r}_i and γ_{ij} are zero at this \bar{r}_∞ and γ_∞ . Using Eq. (91) in Eqs. (20) and (21), we find:

$$-\frac{1}{\bar{r}_\infty^3} \frac{1 + (N-2)\gamma_\infty}{(1 + (N-1)\gamma_\infty)(1 - \gamma_\infty)} + \left[\bar{r}_\infty - \frac{N-1}{2^{3/2}\xi\bar{r}_\infty^2\sqrt{1-\gamma_\infty}} \right] = 0, \quad (93)$$

$$\frac{1}{\bar{r}_\infty^2} \frac{(2 + (N-2)\gamma_\infty)\gamma_\infty}{(1 + (N-1)\gamma_\infty)^2(1 - \gamma_\infty)^2} + \frac{1}{\bar{r}_\infty} \frac{1}{2^{3/2}\xi(1 - \gamma_\infty)^{3/2}} = 0, \quad (94)$$

where we have used the infinite- D symmetric minimum Gramian results given in Eqs. (D12) of Appendix D.

One can eliminate \bar{r}_∞ from the above equations and then, just as for the N -electron atom, γ_∞ is the negative solution of smallest magnitude of a quartic equation:

$$8\xi^2\gamma_\infty^2[2 + (N-2)\gamma_\infty]^2 - (1 - \gamma_\infty)(1 + (N-1)\gamma_\infty)^3 = 0. \quad (95)$$

The infinite- D radius and energy per atom are then given by

$$\bar{r}_\infty^{(\text{QD})} = [1 + (N-1)\gamma_\infty]^{-1/2} \quad (96)$$

$$\frac{\bar{E}_\infty^{(\text{QD})}}{N} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{(N-1)(N-2)\gamma_\infty^2 + 2N\gamma_\infty - 2}{(1 - \gamma_\infty)[1 + (N-1)\gamma_\infty]}, \quad (97)$$

where we use the superscript (QD) to distinguish similar quantities in the atomic and hard-sphere systems. Just as in the N -electron atom analysis, we have used the fact that we are considering a minimum in the effective potential, which allows us to eliminate the coupling constant ξ from the above expressions. Specifically, we have employed the conditions (20) and (21) at the minimum that

$$\frac{\partial V^{(\text{QD})}}{\partial \gamma_{ij}} \Big|_\infty = -\frac{\partial U^{(\text{QD})}}{\partial \gamma_{ij}} \Big|_\infty, \quad (98)$$

which leads to

$$\xi = -\frac{2^{3/2}\gamma_\infty[2 + (N-2)\gamma_\infty]}{\sqrt{1-\gamma_\infty}[1 + (N-1)\gamma_\infty]^{3/2}}. \quad (99)$$

6.2 N -electron Quantum Dot Normal Modes

We can determine the elements of \mathbf{G} by comparing the differential term in Eq. (30) with $\mathcal{T}^{(\text{QD})}$ in Eq. (89) expanded to first order in $1/D$ as in Eq. (29). The non-zero elements of the \mathbf{G} matrix are:

$$\begin{aligned} G_a &= 1 \\ G_g &= 2 \frac{1-\gamma_\infty^2}{(\bar{r}_\infty^{(\text{QD})})^2} = 2(1-\gamma_\infty)(1+\gamma_\infty)[1 + (N-1)\gamma_\infty] \\ G_h &= \frac{\gamma_\infty(1-\gamma_\infty)}{(\bar{r}_\infty^{(\text{QD})})^2} = \gamma_\infty(1-\gamma_\infty)[1 + (N-1)\gamma_\infty], \end{aligned} \quad (100)$$

where the matrix elements have been evaluated at the infinite- D symmetric minimum and we have used the notation in Eq. (34). (See the discussion after Eq. (75) for an explanation of the factor of 2 in G_g .) Likewise, the non-zero \mathbf{F} matrix elements are:

$$\begin{aligned}
F_a &= \frac{1}{2(1-\gamma_\infty)^2} (8 + 2(5N-13)\gamma_\infty + (5N^2 - 21N + 24)\gamma_\infty^2 - 3(N-1)(N-2)\gamma_\infty^3) \\
F_b &= \frac{\gamma_\infty(\gamma_\infty - 3)(2 + (N-2)\gamma_\infty)}{2(1-\gamma_\infty)^2} \\
F_e &= \frac{-\gamma_\infty}{2(1-\gamma_\infty)^2(1+(N-1)\gamma_\infty)^{1/2}} (2 + 3(N-2)\gamma_\infty) \\
F_f &= \frac{2\gamma_\infty^2}{(1-\gamma_\infty)^2(1+(N-1)\gamma_\infty)^{1/2}} \\
F_g &= \frac{1}{2(1-\gamma_\infty)^3(1+(N-1)\gamma_\infty)^2} \left(4 + 6(2N-5)\gamma_\infty + (64 - 53N + 12N^2)\gamma_\infty^2 + \right. \\
&\quad \left. (-38 + 49N - 23N^2 + 4N^3)\gamma_\infty^3 \right) \\
F_h &= \frac{-2\gamma_\infty}{(1-\gamma_\infty)^3(1+(N-1)\gamma_\infty)^2} (3 + (5N-14)\gamma_\infty + (11-9N+2N^2)\gamma_\infty^2) \\
F_l &= \frac{[1+(N-1)\gamma_\infty]}{(1-\gamma_\infty)^3} 4\gamma_\infty^2 (2 + (N-2)\gamma_\infty),
\end{aligned} \tag{101}$$

where we have used Eqs. (D13) from Appendix D to evaluate the Gramian second-order derivatives. Again, since we are considering a minimum in the effective potential, we employed Eq. (99) to replace the coupling constant ξ of Eq. (90) in favor of γ_∞ . Using the above equations for \mathbf{F} and \mathbf{G} along with Eqs. (42) we find:

$$\begin{aligned}
a &= \frac{1}{2(1-\gamma_\infty)^2} (8 + 2(5N-13)\gamma_\infty + (5N^2 - 21N + 24)\gamma_\infty^2 - 3(N-1)(N-2)\gamma_\infty^3) \\
b &= \frac{\gamma_\infty(\gamma_\infty - 3)(2 + (N-2)\gamma_\infty)}{2(1-\gamma_\infty)^2} \\
c &= \frac{-\gamma_\infty(1+\gamma_\infty)(1+(N-1)\gamma_\infty)^{1/2}}{2(1-\gamma_\infty)} (4 + 3(N-2)^2\gamma_\infty^2) \\
d &= \frac{\gamma_\infty^2(1+\gamma_\infty)(1+(N-1)\gamma_\infty)^{1/2}}{(1-\gamma_\infty)} (2 + (N-6)\gamma_\infty) \\
e &= \frac{-\gamma_\infty}{2(1-\gamma_\infty)^2(1+(N-1)\gamma_\infty)^{1/2}} (2 + 3(N-2)\gamma_\infty) \\
f &= \frac{2\gamma_\infty^2}{(1-\gamma_\infty)^2(1+(N-1)\gamma_\infty)^{1/2}} \\
g &= \frac{1}{(1-\gamma_\infty)^2(1+(N-1)\gamma_\infty)} \left(4 + 2(6N-13)\gamma_\infty + (50 - 37N + 2N^2 + 2N^3)\gamma_\infty^2 + \right. \\
&\quad \left. (-30 + 20N + 27N^2 - 12N^3 + 2N^4)\gamma_\infty^3 + (50 - 107N + 89N^2 - 22N^3 + 2N^4)\gamma_\infty^4 \right) \\
h &= \frac{\gamma_\infty}{2(1-\gamma_\infty)^2(1+(N-1)\gamma_\infty)} \left(4 + 6(2N-7)\gamma_\infty + (16 - 17N + 2N^2 + 2N^3)\gamma_\infty^2 + \right. \\
&\quad \left. (-14 - 35N + 45N^2 - 14N^3 + 2N^4)\gamma_\infty^3 \right) \\
l &= \frac{-4\gamma_\infty^2(1+\gamma_\infty)}{(1-\gamma_\infty)^2(1+(N-1)\gamma_\infty)} (-1 - (N-6)\gamma_\infty + (3N-5)\gamma_\infty^2).
\end{aligned} \tag{102}$$

Substituting these \mathbf{GF} matrix elements in Eqs. (32), (55), (56), and (58), we now write down the quantum

dot normal-mode frequencies. The multiplicity- $N(N-3)/2$ mode is a vector mode with frequency

$$\bar{\omega}_2^{(\text{QD})} = \sqrt{g - 2h + \iota}. \quad (103)$$

The multiplicity- $(N-1)$ asymmetric stretch and bend frequencies take the form

$$\bar{\omega}_{1\pm}^{(\text{QD})} = \sqrt{\eta_1 \pm \sqrt{\eta_1^2 - \Delta_1}}, \quad (104)$$

and the two multiplicity-1 symmetric stretch and bend frequencies take the form

$$\bar{\omega}_{0\pm}^{(\text{QD})} = \sqrt{\eta_0 \pm \sqrt{\eta_0^2 - \Delta_0}}, \quad (105)$$

where η_1 and Δ_1 are given in Eq. (57), and η_0 and Δ_0 are given in Eq. (59).

Having obtained the frequencies from Eqs. (103), (104), and (105) and the Lewis structure energy in Eq. (97), the energy through first order is given by Eq. (60). Although this is only a low order approximation, two-body studies suggest that when the coupling constant $\xi \ll 1$ (i.e., the strongly interacting regime where the repulsive energy of the electrons dominates the confinement energy of the trap) low order dimensional perturbation may be very accurate[13]. Dimensional perturbation theory has a non-perturbative character in the sense that the leading-order term of DPT includes a contribution from the Coulomb potential.

7 N hard-sphere particles in a trap: Atomic Bose-Einstein condensates

In this section we treat N hard spheres in a trap. An important application of this many-body system is N trapped ultra-cold Bose atoms (i.e., an inhomogeneous Bose-Einstein condensate)[17]. Dimensional perturbation techniques were introduced to inhomogeneous atomic BEC in Ref.[18] by scaling the non-linear mean-field (Gross-Pitaevskii) equation. The treatment in this section goes beyond the mean-field approximation as it includes correlation and uses a finite-range, as opposed to a zero-range, interatomic potential.

The atoms of equal mass m are confined by a spherical harmonic trap with trapping frequency ω_{ho} :

$$V_{\text{conf}}(r_i) = \frac{1}{2}m\omega_{\text{ho}}^2 r_i^2. \quad (106)$$

We take the interaction potential to be a hard sphere of radius a (also the scattering length for the BEC system):

$$V_{\text{int}}(r_{ij}) = \begin{cases} \infty, & r_{ij} < a \\ 0, & r_{ij} \geq a. \end{cases} \quad (107)$$

In the two previous systems, many-electron systems, the dimensionally continued Laplacian is dimension dependent while the potential energy maintains the same form as it has at $D = 3$. In this system, we

dimensionally continue the interaction potential so that in the large- D limit the effective potential is differentiable, and thus allowing us to perform the dimensional perturbation analysis outlined in Secs. 3 and 4 which requires taking first- and second-order derivatives of the effective potential. Specifically, we take the interaction to be

$$V_{\text{int}}(r_{ij}) = \frac{V_o}{1-3/D} \left[1 - \tanh \left\{ \frac{c_o}{1-3/D} \left(\frac{r_{ij}}{\sqrt{2}} - \alpha - \frac{3}{D}(a-\alpha) \right) \left(1 + (1-3/D) \sum_{n=1}^{s-3} \frac{c_n r_{ij}^{2n}}{2^n} \right) \right\} \right], \quad (108)$$

where D is the Cartesian dimensionality of space. This interaction becomes a hard-sphere of radius a in the physical, $D = 3$, limit. The other s constants (V_o , α , and $\{c_n; \forall n : 0 \leq n \leq s-3\}$) are parameters that allow us to fine-tune the large- D shape of the potential and optimize our results through Langmuir (first) order[16]. The simplest possibility could have as few as two parameters: V_o and c_o , with $\alpha = a$ and the remaining $c_n = 0$; however, we can have any number of parameters for the most general and flexible potential. To see how the potential may be fine-tuned to optimize the results through Langmuir order, see Ref. [16].

We use dimensionally scaled harmonic oscillator units similar to the N -electron quantum dot system with the difference that we use D^2 in the dimensional scaling instead of Ω of Eq. (86). Ideally, one would use Ω in order to remove all of the dimension dependence from the centrifugal-like term in the kinetic energy, but in order to simplify the scaling of our dimensionally continued hard-sphere potential, we allow some dimension dependence in the centrifugal-like term (see $U^{(\text{HS})}$ in (112) below). We regularize the large- D limit of the Schrödinger equation by using the following dimensionally scaled variables:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{r}_i &= \frac{r_i}{D^2 \bar{a}_{\text{ho}}} & \bar{E} &= \frac{D^2}{\hbar \bar{\omega}_{\text{ho}}} E, & \bar{H} &= \frac{D^2}{\hbar \bar{\omega}_{\text{ho}}} H, & \bar{a} &= \frac{a}{\sqrt{2} D^2 \bar{a}_{\text{ho}}}, \\ \bar{V}_o &= \frac{D^2}{\hbar \bar{\omega}_{\text{ho}}} V_o, & \bar{\alpha} &= \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{2} D^2 \bar{a}_{\text{ho}}}, & \bar{c}_o &= \sqrt{2} D^2 \bar{a}_{\text{ho}} c_o, & \bar{c}_n &= (\sqrt{2} D^2 \bar{a}_{\text{ho}})^{2n} c_n, \end{aligned} \quad (109)$$

where

$$\bar{a}_{\text{ho}} = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{m \bar{\omega}_{\text{ho}}}} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\omega}_{\text{ho}} = D^3 \omega_{\text{ho}} \quad (110)$$

are the dimensionally-scaled harmonic-oscillator length scale and dimensionally-scaled trap frequency, respectively. Substituting these scaled variables into the similarity transformed Schrödinger equation, Eq. (13), gives the following equation:

$$\left(\mathcal{T}^{(\text{HS})} + U^{(\text{HS})} + V^{(\text{HS})} \right) \Phi = \bar{E} \Phi, \quad (111)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}^{(\text{HS})} &= -\frac{1}{2} \delta^2 \sum_{i=1}^N \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \bar{r}_i^2} + \sum_{j \neq i} \sum_{k \neq i} \frac{\gamma_{jk} - \gamma_{ij} \gamma_{ik}}{\bar{r}_i^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \gamma_{ij} \partial \gamma_{ik}} \right] \\ U^{(\text{HS})} &= \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{(\delta-1)[(2N+1)\delta-1] \Gamma^{(i)}}{8 \bar{r}_i^2} \frac{1}{\Gamma} \\ V^{(\text{HS})} &= \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{2} \bar{r}_i^2 + \end{aligned} \quad (112)$$

$$+ \frac{\bar{V}_o}{1-3\delta} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^N \left(1 - \tanh \left[\frac{\bar{c}_o}{1-3\delta} \left(\frac{\bar{r}_{ij}}{\sqrt{2}} - \bar{\alpha} - 3\delta(\bar{a} - \bar{\alpha}) \right) \left(1 + (1-3\delta) \sum_{n=1}^{s-3} \frac{\bar{c}_n \bar{r}_{ij}^{2n}}{2^n} \right) \right] \right),$$

where $\delta = 1/D$ is the perturbation parameter and the interatomic separation is

$$\bar{r}_{ij} = \sqrt{\bar{r}_i^2 + \bar{r}_j^2 - 2\bar{r}_i \bar{r}_j \gamma_{ij}}. \quad (113)$$

As D becomes infinitely large, and $\delta \rightarrow 0$, the entire differential part of the kinetic energy as well as a portion of the interatomic and centrifugal-like potentials will drop out of the Hamiltonian. In the infinite-dimension limit, the particles behave as though they become infinitely heavy and rest at the bottom of the infinite- D effective potential, a potential which includes the confining potential and contributions from the centrifugal-like and interparticle potentials. The infinite- D energy becomes the minimum value of the effective potential (22).

7.1 Hard-sphere infinite- D analysis

The infinite- D ($\delta \rightarrow 0$) effective potential in dimensionally scaled harmonic oscillator units is

$$V_{\text{eff}}^{(\text{HS})} = \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{1}{8\bar{r}_i^2} \frac{\Gamma^{(i)}}{\Gamma} + \frac{1}{2} \bar{r}_i^2 \right) + \bar{V}_o \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^N \left(1 - \tanh \left[\bar{c}_o \left(\frac{\bar{r}_{ij}}{\sqrt{2}} - \bar{\alpha} \right) \left(1 + \sum_{n=1}^{s-3} \frac{\bar{c}_n \bar{r}_{ij}^{2n}}{2^n} \right) \right] \right). \quad (114)$$

As one can see from the double-sum term in $V_{\text{eff}}^{(\text{HS})}$, the interaction potential becomes a soft sphere of radius approximately $\bar{\alpha}$ and height $2\bar{V}_o$. The slope of the soft wall is determined by \bar{c}_o , while, as discussed earlier, the remaining $s-3$ parameters act to further refine the shape of the interaction potential[16].

Again choosing the totally symmetric configuration for which all \bar{r}_i and γ_{ij} are equal to some \bar{r}_∞ and γ_∞ , and using Eqs. (D12) from Appendix D in Eqs. (20) and (21), we find that the large- D radii and energy per atom are:

$$\bar{r}_\infty = [2(1 + (N-1)\gamma_\infty)]^{-1/2} \quad (115)$$

$$\frac{\bar{E}_\infty^{(\text{HS})}}{N} = \frac{1 + (N-2)\gamma_\infty}{(1-\gamma_\infty)(1+(N-1)\gamma_\infty)} \frac{1}{8\bar{r}_\infty^2} + \frac{1}{2} \bar{r}_\infty^2 + \frac{N-1}{2} \bar{V}_o [1 - \tanh(\Theta)], \quad (116)$$

where for simplicity of presentation we have defined the following:

$$\Theta = \bar{c}_o \left(\bar{r}_\infty \sqrt{1-\gamma_\infty} - \bar{\alpha} \right) \left(1 + \sum_{n=1}^{s-3} \bar{c}_n \bar{r}_\infty^{2n} (1-\gamma_\infty)^n \right). \quad (117)$$

The large- D direction cosine, γ_∞ , of the hyperangle between the infinite-dimensional radii is given by the negative solution of smallest magnitude of

$$\bar{V}_o \bar{c}_o \Upsilon \text{sech}^2 \Theta + \gamma_\infty \frac{2(2 + (N-2)\gamma_\infty)^2}{(1-\gamma_\infty)^3 (1+(N-1)\gamma_\infty)} = 0, \quad (118)$$

where

$$\Upsilon = \left[1 + \sum_{n=1}^{s-3} \left((2n+1) \bar{c}_n \bar{r}_\infty^{2n} (1-\gamma_\infty)^n - 2n \bar{\alpha} \bar{c}_n \bar{r}_\infty^{2n-1} (1-\gamma_\infty)^{n-1/2} \right) \right]. \quad (119)$$

7.2 Hard-sphere Normal Modes

We can determine the elements of \mathbf{G} by comparing the differential term in Eq. (30) with $\mathcal{T}^{(\text{HS})}$ in Eq. (112) expanded to first order in $1/D$ as in Eq. (29). The non-zero elements of the \mathbf{G} matrix are found to be:

$$\begin{aligned} G_a &= 1 \\ G_g &= 2\frac{1-\gamma_\infty^2}{\bar{r}_\infty^2} = 4(1-\gamma_\infty)(1+\gamma_\infty)[1+(N-1)\gamma_\infty] \\ G_h &= \frac{\gamma_\infty(1-\gamma_\infty)}{\bar{r}_\infty^2} = 2\gamma_\infty(1-\gamma_\infty)[1+(N-1)\gamma_\infty], \end{aligned} \quad (120)$$

where the matrix elements have been evaluated at the infinite- D symmetric minimum and we have used the notation in Eq. (34). (See the discussion after Eq. (75) for an explanation of the factor of 2 in G_g .)

Likewise, the non-zero \mathbf{F} matrix elements are:

$$\begin{aligned} F_a &= 1 + \frac{3}{4\bar{r}_\infty^4} \frac{1+(N-2)\gamma_\infty}{(1-\gamma_\infty)(1+(N-1)\gamma_\infty)} + \frac{\bar{V}_o\bar{c}_o}{2}(N-1)\text{sech}^2\Theta \left[\bar{c}_o(1-\gamma_\infty)\Upsilon^2 \tanh\Theta + \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1+\gamma_\infty}{2\bar{r}_\infty\sqrt{1-\gamma_\infty}} + \sum_{n=1}^{s-3} \left(\frac{2n+1}{2}\bar{r}_\infty^{2n-1}(1-\gamma_\infty)^{n-1/2}[(2n-1)\gamma_\infty - (2n+1)] + \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. 2n\bar{\alpha}\bar{r}_\infty^{2n-2}(1-\gamma_\infty)^{n-1}[(n-1)\gamma_\infty - n] \right) \bar{c}_n \right] \\ F_b &= \frac{\bar{V}_o\bar{c}_o}{2}\text{sech}^2\Theta \left[\bar{c}_o(1-\gamma_\infty)\Upsilon^2 \tanh\Theta + \frac{1+\gamma_\infty}{2\bar{r}_\infty\sqrt{1-\gamma_\infty}} + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{n=1}^{s-3} \left(\frac{2n+1}{2}\bar{r}_\infty^{2n-1}(1-\gamma_\infty)^{n-1/2}[(2n+1)\gamma_\infty - (2n-1)] + \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - 2n\bar{\alpha}\bar{r}_\infty^{2n-2}(1-\gamma_\infty)^{n-1}[n\gamma_\infty - (n-1)] \right) \bar{c}_n \right] \\ F_e &= -\frac{\gamma_\infty}{2\bar{r}_\infty^3} \frac{1+(N-2)\gamma_\infty}{(1-\gamma_\infty)^2(1+(N-1)\gamma_\infty)^2} + \frac{\bar{V}_o\bar{c}_o}{2}\text{sech}^2\Theta \left[-\bar{c}_o\bar{r}_\infty\Upsilon^2 \tanh\Theta + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{1-\gamma_\infty}} + \sum_{n=1}^{s-3} \frac{1}{2} \left((2n+1)^2\bar{r}_\infty^{2n}(1-\gamma_\infty)^{n-1/2} - (2n)^2\bar{\alpha}\bar{r}_\infty^{2n-1}(1-\gamma_\infty)^{n-1} \right) \bar{c}_n \right] \\ F_f &= \frac{\gamma_\infty^2}{2\bar{r}_\infty^3(1-\gamma_\infty)^2(1+(N-1)\gamma_\infty)^2} \quad (121) \\ F_g &= \frac{1}{2\bar{r}_\infty^2(1-\gamma_\infty)^3(1+(N-1)\gamma_\infty)^3} \left(1+3(N-2)\gamma_\infty + (13-11N+3N^2)\gamma_\infty^2 + \right. \\ &\quad \left. (N-2)(4-3N+N^2)\gamma_\infty^3 \right) + \frac{\bar{V}_o\bar{c}_o}{2}\text{sech}^2\Theta \left[\frac{\bar{c}_o\bar{r}_\infty}{1-\gamma_\infty}\Upsilon^2 \tanh\Theta + \frac{\bar{r}_\infty}{2(1-\gamma_\infty)^{3/2}} + \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sum_{n=1}^{s-3} \left(\frac{(2n-1)(2n+1)}{2}\bar{r}_\infty^{2n-1}(1-\gamma_\infty)^{n-3/2} + \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + 2n(n-1)\bar{\alpha}\bar{r}_\infty^{2n}(1-\gamma_\infty)^{n-2} \right) \bar{c}_n \right] \\ F_h &= \frac{-\gamma_\infty}{4\bar{r}_\infty^2(1-\gamma_\infty)^3(1+(N-1)\gamma_\infty)^3} \left[3 + (5N-14)\gamma_\infty + (11-9N+2N^2)\gamma_\infty^2 \right], \\ F_l &= \frac{\gamma_\infty^2(2+(N-2)\gamma_\infty)}{\bar{r}_\infty^2(1-\gamma_\infty)^3(1+(N-1)\gamma_\infty)^3} \end{aligned}$$

where we have used Eqs. (D13) from Appendix D to evaluate the Gramian second derivatives. For transparency we leave the \bar{r}_∞ terms in Eq. (121) instead of using the explicit γ_∞ dependent form of \bar{r}_∞ . The above expressions for \mathbf{F} and \mathbf{G} are used to write the elements of \mathbf{GF} [i.e., the scalar quantities a through ι in Eq. (42)]. We may then write the five normal-mode frequencies by means of Eqs. (55), (56), (58), and (32). For the multiplicity- $N(N-3)/2$ mode, the frequency is given by

$$\bar{\omega}_2^{(\text{HS})} = \sqrt{g - 2h + \iota}. \quad (122)$$

For the two multiplicity- $(N-1)$ modes, the frequencies are of the form

$$\bar{\omega}_{1\pm}^{(\text{HS})} = \sqrt{\eta_1 \pm \sqrt{\eta_1^2 - \Delta_1}}, \quad (123)$$

and the two multiplicity-1 frequencies are of the form

$$\bar{\omega}_{0\pm}^{(\text{HS})} = \sqrt{\eta_0 \pm \sqrt{\eta_0^2 - \Delta_0}}, \quad (124)$$

where η_1 and Δ_1 are given in Eq. (57), and η_0 and Δ_0 in Eq. (59).

Because of the factors of δ in the centrifugal-like and hard-sphere potentials ($U^{(\text{HS})}$ and $V^{(\text{HS})}$ of Eq. (112)), there is a constant shift, v_o , in the first-order energies:

$$\bar{E}^{(\text{HS})} = \bar{E}_\infty^{(\text{HS})} + \delta \left[\sum_{\mu=\{\mathbf{0}^\pm, \mathbf{1}^\pm, \mathbf{2}\}} \sum_{n_\mu=0}^{\infty} (n_\mu + \frac{1}{2}) d_{\mu, n_\mu} \bar{\omega}_\mu^{(\text{HS})} + v_o \right], \quad (125)$$

where the n_μ are the vibrational quantum numbers of the normal modes of the same frequency $\bar{\omega}_\mu^{(\text{HS})}$, and d_{μ, n_μ} is the occupancy of the manifold of normal modes with vibrational quantum number n_μ and normal mode frequency $\bar{\omega}_\mu^{(\text{HS})}$ (see the discussion after Eq. (60)). The FG matrix method gives the normal-mode frequencies of the infinite- D minimum structure. However, due to the explicit dimension-dependence (i.e., $\delta = 1/D$) in $U^{(\text{HS})}$ and $V^{(\text{HS})}$, one must also take into account the explicit constant factors of order δ that appear in the power expansion of Eq. (112) which are not the result of second derivatives of the effective potential. This contribution from the power series is the order- δ shift, v_o , in the energy in Eq. (125), and is given by:

$$v_o = -\frac{N(N+1)(1+(N-2)\gamma_\infty)}{4\bar{r}_\infty^2(1+(N-1)\gamma_\infty)(1-\gamma_\infty)} + 3\bar{V}_o \frac{N(N-1)}{2} \left(1 - \tanh \Theta + \bar{c}_o \left[(\bar{a} - \bar{\alpha}) \left(\sum_{n=1}^{s-3} \bar{c}_n \bar{r}_\infty^{2n} (1-\gamma_\infty) \right) - (\bar{r}_\infty \sqrt{1-\gamma_\infty} - \bar{a}) \right] \text{sech}^2 \Theta \right), \quad (126)$$

where Θ is given by Eq. (117).

8 Summary

DPT has advantages over traditional methods that either neglect the interaction at lowest order (conventional perturbation theory) or the kinetic energy at lowest order (e.g., the large- N Thomas-Fermi

approximation[14, 18]). The infinite-dimension limit (leading order) of DPT results in an effective potential that keeps contributions from the confinement, interaction, and kinetic terms of the Hamiltonian. The kinetic contribution that remains in the large- D limit is a centrifugal-like ($1/r^2$) term that allows the leading order of DPT to satisfy the minimum uncertainty principle. Correlation is included at all orders, including the large-dimension leading order of the $1/D$ expansion. In the infinite-dimension limit, we choose a completely symmetric configuration in which all of the particles are localized and equivalent. The first-order energy correction corresponds to small oscillations about this high- D symmetric structure, with the vibrational frequencies determined by the Wilson FG matrix method. There are five distinct roots of the \mathbf{GF} matrix, belonging to three different irreducible representations[1, 9] of the symmetric group of order N , S_N . These five distinct roots are directly related to the normal mode frequencies of the many-body system. The two multiplicity- $(N - 1)$ roots, which are a mixture of asymmetric stretching and bending motions, are designated by $\mathbf{1}^-$ and $\mathbf{1}^+$, respectively. The two multiplicity-1 roots, which are a mixture of symmetric stretching and bending motions, are designated by $\mathbf{0}^-$ and $\mathbf{0}^+$, respectively. The multiplicity- $N(N - 3)/2$ root, designated by $\mathbf{2}$, is a purely angular mode.

Analytical results through first order are obtained for a spherical system of identical particles with a general confining potential and general two-body interaction potential. Many-body DPT is applied to two N -electron systems: the N -electron atom originally studied in Loeser's seminal paper[1] where the confinement is supplied by the attraction of the nucleus, and the N -electron quantum dot where the confinement is supplied by an external harmonic potential. We also consider the inhomogeneous bose condensate whose N atoms interact via a hard-core potential. As in the N -electron quantum dot, the condensate atoms are confined by an external harmonic trap. Unlike either N -electron system, however, the hard sphere potential has explicit dimension dependence. Both the atom and quantum dot interact via a repulsive Coulomb potential that maintains its three-dimensional form as D varies (an alternative generalization of the three-dimensional Coulomb potential might be $1/r^{D-2}$). In order to make the hard sphere amenable to DPT analysis, we dimensionally continue the hard-sphere potential so that it is differentiable in the infinite- D limit and becomes a hard-sphere with radius equal to the scattering length in the physical $D = 3$ limit. This dimensional continuation results in a soft-sphere interatomic potential at large D .

While the treatment of these physical systems has been in many respects quite general, there remain many avenues for further development of this many-body DPT formalism. One extension might be to relax the spherical symmetry constraint by allowing confining potentials with axial symmetry. This is a particularly important generalization for describing many experiments, especially Bose-Einstein condensates, which in practice are characterized by axial symmetry. With axial symmetry, one has N additional coordinates due to the z -components of the N particles, but the many-body formalism presented in this paper readily accommodates this generalization. Instead of generalized spherical coordinates with a D -dimensional radius, one uses generalized cylindrical coordinates, which consists of a $(D - 1)$ -dimensional sphere plus a z -coordinate. Another extension involves the choice of confining potential. This paper focuses mainly on harmonic traps

because this is the most prevalent form of confinement in experiments today, but there is nothing to prevent one from also applying these methods to more general external confining potentials. Yet another extension involves the calculation of higher-order perturbation terms. The leading-order DPT wavefunction is a product of one-dimensional harmonic oscillator wavefunctions with frequencies given in the first-order term of the energy series (from the FG method). From this wavefunction, one can then use ordinary perturbation theory to extend these results to include corrections beyond first order. This is also an important extension as it should improve DPT's numerical results for many-particle systems. Even though the $1/D$ perturbation series is asymptotic, with appropriate treatment higher order terms can be used to improve the accuracy of the low order approximation.

Numerical results are given in Refs. [1] and [16] for the N -electron atom and N -atom condensate, respectively. Numerical analysis for a two-electron quantum dot in Ref. [13] suggests that the low-order analytical many-body results given in Sec. 6 will be quite accurate for typical many-electron quantum dots whose length scales are in the mesoscopic regime (i.e., the strongly interacting or strongly confined regime). Moreover, because N is a parameter in our formalism, the challenge of calculating the physical properties of a given system with DPT does not increase as one adds more particles. The methods detailed in Secs 2-4 can be applied to any variety of many-body systems, and we hope that the systems described in Secs 5-7 are sufficiently varied to encourage further interest in this novel many-body approach.

9 Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by the Office of Naval Research. We thank Blake Laing for helpful comments on the manuscript.

A Wilson FG matrix method

In this appendix, we derive the Wilson FG matrix method[2] which is at the heart of our obtaining the normal mode frequencies and, thereby, the first-order energy correction. The derivation involves a transformation to the set of coordinates called normal-coordinates in which both the differential term and the potential term of Eq. (30) are diagonal. We begin by defining a symmetric transformation, \mathbf{A} , that transforms from the vector \bar{y}' , defined by Eq. (26), to \bar{z}' ($\bar{z}' = \mathbf{A}\bar{y}'$). \mathbf{A} is an active transformation and it has the property that it diagonalizes the symmetric \mathbf{G} matrix to unity. That is, \mathbf{A} satisfies

$$\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{G} \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{I}, \tag{A1}$$

which can also be written as

$$\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{A}^{-1}(\mathbf{A}^{-1})^T, \tag{A2}$$

where we have used the property that \mathbf{A} is symmetric ($\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}^T$) in the derivation of Eq. (A2). \widehat{H}_1 then becomes

$$\widehat{H}_1 \rightarrow -\frac{1}{2}\partial_{\bar{z}'}^T \partial_{\bar{z}'} + \frac{1}{2}\bar{z}'^T (\mathbf{A}^{-1})^T \mathbf{F} \mathbf{A}^{-1} \bar{z}'. \quad (\text{A3})$$

Next we focus our attention on the potential term, the term involving the matrix \mathbf{F} . We introduce another transformation, \mathbf{U} ($\bar{\mathbf{q}}' = \mathbf{U}\bar{z}'$), that diagonalizes the potential term while simultaneously leaving the differential term unchanged. This orthogonal transformation ($\mathbf{U}^T \mathbf{U} = \mathbf{I}$, where \mathbf{I} is the identity matrix) leaves the differential term in the same form as in Eq. (A3), and the potential term becomes

$$\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{A}^{-1})^T \mathbf{F} \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{U}^T = \Lambda, \quad (\text{A4})$$

where Λ is a diagonal matrix. That is,

$$\widehat{H}_1 \rightarrow -\frac{1}{2}\partial_{\bar{\mathbf{q}}'}^T \partial_{\bar{\mathbf{q}}'} + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\mathbf{q}}'^T \Lambda \bar{\mathbf{q}}'. \quad (\text{A5})$$

The eigenvalue equation corresponding to Eq. (A4) is

$$(\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{A}^{-1})^T \mathbf{F} \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{U}^T) \bar{\mathbf{q}}' = \lambda \bar{\mathbf{q}}', \quad (\text{A6})$$

where $\bar{\mathbf{q}}'$ is the eigenvector with eigenvalue λ . Equation (A4) is a matrix eigenvalue equation expressed in the basis of the normal coordinates. We can change the basis back to the original internal displacement coordinates with the passive similarity transformation $\bar{q}' = \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{U}^T \bar{\mathbf{q}}'$, under which Eq. (A6) now reads

$$\mathbf{U}(\mathbf{A}^{-1})^T \mathbf{F} \bar{q}' = \lambda \mathbf{U} \mathbf{A} \bar{q}'. \quad (\text{A7})$$

Multiplying on the left by \mathbf{U}^T followed by \mathbf{A}^{-1} gives

$$\mathbf{A}^{-1}(\mathbf{A}^{-1})^T \mathbf{F} \bar{y}' = \lambda \bar{q}'. \quad (\text{A8})$$

Inserting Eq. (A2), gives the eigenvalue equation for the normal mode coordinate \bar{q}' :

$$\mathbf{G} \mathbf{F} \bar{q}' = \lambda \bar{q}'. \quad (\text{A9})$$

Thus, the FG matrix method consists of finding the roots of the characteristic polynomial in λ :

$$\det(\lambda \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{G} \mathbf{F}) = 0, \quad (\text{A10})$$

which is carried out for a general N -body system in Sec. 4 and applied to the systems of Secs. 5 - 7.

B Spectral graph theory and the symmetry of the Q matrices

In this appendix, we introduce the relevant aspects of graph theory in order to derive several quantities used throughout the main text and to show the connection of graphs to the large- D symmetric configuration of

N particles. A more complete treatment of the mathematics of graph theory with an emphasis on chemical physics applications may be found in Ref. [10].

A graph $\mathcal{G} = (V, E)$ consists of a finite set of vertices $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\} \in V(\mathcal{G})$ and a set of not necessarily distinct unordered pairs $\{(v_i, v_j), \forall (1 \leq i < j \leq n)\} \in E(\mathcal{G})$ connecting the vertices to form the corresponding edges. A graph is complete on n vertices, denoted by $K_n(\mathcal{G})$, if every distinct pair of vertices is connected by an edge; K_n is sometimes called a simplex of n points. Notice that K_n contains $n(n-1)/2$ edges. Our large-dimension symmetric minimum configuration of N atoms forms a simplex on N vertices in D -dimensional space. For example, the $N = 4$ simplex is a hypertetrahedron. The line graph $L(\mathcal{G})$ of a graph \mathcal{G} is the graph whose vertices correspond to the edges of \mathcal{G} with two vertices being adjacent if and only if the corresponding edges in \mathcal{G} have a vertex in common.

Derivation of Eq. (42): The \mathbf{Q} matrices display a high degree of symmetry in the large-dimension configuration, but it is not trivial to write down the elements of \mathbf{GF} in terms of its constituents \mathbf{G} and \mathbf{F} as we have done in Eq. (42). We now derive this result in a two-step process. The first step is to write the $(N + M)$ -square matrices \mathbf{G} and \mathbf{F} , where $M = N(N-1)/2$, as block matrices of the following form:

$$\mathbf{Q} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Q}_1 & \mathbf{Q}_2 \\ \mathbf{Q}_3 & \mathbf{Q}_4 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{B1})$$

where the blocks, each of which will be discussed in turn, have the same indicial structure as the blocks of \mathbf{yy}^T in Eq. (33).

From Eq. (34), the $(N \times N)$ \mathbf{Q}_1 block is composed of two distinct elements: the diagonal elements $Q_a = F_{ii}$ and the off-diagonal elements $Q_b = Q_{ij}$ ($i \neq j$). \mathbf{Q}_1 can be written in terms of the more basic matrices \mathbf{I}_N (the $(N \times N)$ identity matrix) and \mathbf{J}_N (the $(N \times N)$ matrix consisting of all ones):

$$\mathbf{Q}_1 = (Q_a - Q_b)\mathbf{I}_N + Q_b\mathbf{J}_N. \quad (\text{B2})$$

The matrix \mathbf{J}_N contains all ones, so for the diagonal parts of \mathbf{Q}_1 the Q_b 's cancel and one is correctly left with Q_a . The off-diagonal parts of \mathbf{Q}_1 correctly become Q_b since the off-diagonal of \mathbf{I}_N is zero. Specifically, taking the \mathbf{Q} matrix to be \mathbf{F} , we find

$$\mathbf{F}_1 = (F_a - F_b)\mathbf{I}_N + F_b\mathbf{J}_N. \quad (\text{B3})$$

One can write down the \mathbf{G}_1 block in similar fashion noting that the off-diagonal entries of \mathbf{G}_1 are zero:

$$\mathbf{G}_1 = G_a\mathbf{I}_N. \quad (\text{B4})$$

The $(N \times M)$ \mathbf{Q}_2 block according to Eq. (34) is also composed of two distinct elements: the ‘‘incident’’ elements $Q_e = Q_{i,ij}$ ($i \neq j$) and the ‘‘non-incident’’ elements $Q_f = Q_{i,jk}$ ($i \neq j \neq k$). The elements F_e are termed ‘‘incident’’ because the repeated index i means that the vertex i is incident with edge (ij) , while for Q_f the vertex designated i is not incident with the edge (jk) since $i \neq j \neq k$. To write \mathbf{Q}_2 in terms of basic matrices, we need the $(N \times M)$ vertex-edge matrix \mathbf{R} , defined as $R_{i,jk} = \delta_{ij} + \delta_{ik}$, which equals one

when vertex i is incident with edge (jk) (i.e., when $i = j$ or $i = k$) and zero otherwise. Thus, the following equation accurately describes the \mathbf{Q}_2 block:

$$\mathbf{Q}_2 = (Q_e - Q_f)\mathbf{R} + Q_f\mathbf{J}_{NM}. \quad (\text{B5})$$

Specifically, letting the \mathbf{Q} matrix be \mathbf{F} , we find

$$\mathbf{F}_2 = (F_e - F_f)\mathbf{R} + F_f\mathbf{J}_{NM}. \quad (\text{B6})$$

And since there is no mixing of radial and angular derivatives in the Schrödinger equation (13), we have

$$\mathbf{G}_2 = \mathbf{G}_3 = \mathbf{0}. \quad (\text{B7})$$

By definition, the Hessian matrix \mathbf{F} is symmetric; thus, from Eq. (B6) we find

$$\mathbf{F}_3 = (F_e - F_f)\mathbf{R}^T + F_f\mathbf{J}_{MN}, \quad (\text{B8})$$

where $\mathbf{J}_{MN} = \mathbf{J}_{NM}^T$. However, for a more general \mathbf{Q} -matrix (e.g., \mathbf{GF} which, unlike \mathbf{F} , is not symmetric), an analysis analogous to that leading to Eq. (B5) yields

$$\mathbf{Q}_3 = (Q_c - Q_d)\mathbf{R}^T + Q_d\mathbf{J}_{MN}, \quad (\text{B9})$$

where $Q_c = Q_{ij,i}$ ($i \neq j$) and $Q_d = Q_{jk,i}$ ($i \neq j \neq k$). The \mathbf{Q}_3 block can be interpreted in the same way as \mathbf{Q}_2 where the ‘‘incident’’ elements are now Q_c and the ‘‘non-incident’’ elements are Q_d

For the fourth block of \mathbf{Q} , we need to introduce the adjacency matrix of the line graph of the simplex, i.e., the quantity $\mathbf{R}^T\mathbf{R} - 2\mathbf{I}_M$. A more intuitive way to interpret this quantity is to think of it as an edge-edge matrix that is unity when two edges are adjacent and zero otherwise. For the purposes of constructing the \mathbf{Q}_4 matrix, which has indices of the form (ij, kl) , it helps to then think of the index (ij) as an edge of the simplex connecting vertices i and j , and likewise for edge (kl) . Two edges are adjacent if they share a vertex. \mathbf{Q}_4 is comprised of three distinct elements: the diagonal elements $Q_g = Q_{ij,ij}$ ($i \neq j$), the adjacent-edge elements $Q_h = Q_{ij,jk}$ ($i \neq j \neq k$), and the non-adjacent-edge elements $Q_l = Q_{ij,kl}$ ($i \neq j \neq k \neq l$), which have no repeated indices and, therefore, no adjacent edges. The matrix $\mathbf{R}^T\mathbf{R}$, whose $(ij, kl)^{th}$ element is given by $I_{ik}(J_N)_{jl} + I_{il}(J_N)_{jk} + I_{jk}(J_N)_{il} + I_{jl}(J_N)_{ik}$, gives the adjacent edges, but it double counts the diagonal; thus, the term $Q_h(\mathbf{R}^T\mathbf{R} - 2\mathbf{I}_M)$ of \mathbf{Q}_4 accounts for the adjacent edges. To get the diagonal elements of \mathbf{Q}_4 , we need the term $Q_g\mathbf{I}_M$. And for the non-adjacent edges we need $Q_l[\mathbf{J}_M - (\mathbf{R}^T\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{I}_M)]$. Putting these terms together gives:

$$\mathbf{Q}_4 = (Q_g - 2Q_h + Q_l)\mathbf{I}_M + (Q_h - Q_l)\mathbf{R}^T\mathbf{R} + Q_l\mathbf{J}_M. \quad (\text{B10})$$

Specifically, one has

$$\mathbf{F}_4 = (F_g - 2F_h + F_l)\mathbf{I}_M + (F_h - F_l)\mathbf{R}^T\mathbf{R} + F_l\mathbf{J}_M, \quad (\text{B11})$$

and likewise for \mathbf{G}_4 , noting that $G_l = 0$:

$$\mathbf{G}_4 = (G_g - 2G_h)\mathbf{I}_M + G_h\mathbf{R}^T\mathbf{R}. \quad (\text{B12})$$

The second step to derive Eq. (42) is to find the blocks of \mathbf{GF} by matrix multiplication of \mathbf{G} and \mathbf{F} , whose blocks are given above. That is, we multiply the following

$$\mathbf{G} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{G}_1 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{G}_4 \end{pmatrix} \quad \mathbf{F} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{F}_1 & \mathbf{F}_2 \\ \mathbf{F}_3 & \mathbf{F}_4 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{B13})$$

Then by analyzing each block of \mathbf{GF} we can write down its elements in terms of the elements of \mathbf{G} and \mathbf{F} . The first block of \mathbf{GF} gives a and b of Eq. (42) and is given by

$$(\mathbf{GF})_1 = \mathbf{G}_1 \mathbf{F}_1 = (G_a F_a - G_a F_b) \mathbf{I}_N + G_a F_b \mathbf{J}_N. \quad (\text{B14})$$

Taking the diagonal part of $(\mathbf{GF})_1$ gives

$$a \equiv (GF)_a \equiv (GF)_{i,i} = G_a F_a, \quad (\text{B15})$$

while the off-diagonal ($i \neq j$) gives

$$b \equiv (GF)_b \equiv (GF)_{i,j} = G_a F_b. \quad (\text{B16})$$

The second block gives e and f of Eq. (42) and is given by

$$(\mathbf{GF})_2 = \mathbf{G}_1 \mathbf{F}_2 = (G_a F_e - G_a F_f) \mathbf{R} + G_a F_f \mathbf{J}_{NM}. \quad (\text{B17})$$

Noting that $R_{i,j}$ is unity when $i \neq j$ and that all elements of \mathbf{J}_{NM} are unity, we find the following from $(\mathbf{GF})_2$ when $i \neq j$:

$$e \equiv (GF)_e \equiv (GF)_{i,j} = G_a F_e. \quad (\text{B18})$$

For $i \neq j \neq k$, $R_{i,jk} = 0$; and so,

$$f \equiv (GF)_f \equiv (GF)_{i,jk} = G_a F_f. \quad (\text{B19})$$

When deriving $(\mathbf{GF})_3$ and $(\mathbf{GF})_4$ below, the following relations prove useful:

$$\mathbf{R} \mathbf{R}^T = \mathbf{J}_N + (N-2) \mathbf{I}_N \quad \mathbf{R}^T \mathbf{J}_N = 2 \mathbf{J}_{MN} \quad \mathbf{R} \mathbf{J}_{MN} = (N-1) \mathbf{J}_N \quad (\text{B20})$$

$$\mathbf{R} \mathbf{J}_M = (N-1) \mathbf{J}_{NM} \quad \mathbf{R}^T \mathbf{J}_{NM} = 2 \mathbf{J}_M \quad . \quad (\text{B21})$$

Equations c and d of Eq. (42) can be found from the third block of \mathbf{GF} , which is given by

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{GF})_3 = \mathbf{G}_4 \mathbf{F}_3 = & [G_g F_e - G_g F_f + (N-4)(G_h F_e - G_h F_f)] \mathbf{R}^T \\ & + [G_g F_f + 2G_h F_e + 2(N-3)G_h F_f] \mathbf{J}_{MN}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B22})$$

For the (ij, i) elements ($i \neq j$), \mathbf{R}^T is unity; thus, to get $(GF)_c$ one adds both bracketed terms in Eq. (B22):

$$c \equiv (GF)_c \equiv (GF)_{ij,i} = G_g F_e + (N-2)G_h F_e + (N-2)G_h F_f. \quad (\text{B23})$$

For the (jk, i) elements ($i \neq j \neq k$), \mathbf{R}^T is zero; thus, $(GF)_d$ is simply given by the second bracketed term in Eq. (B22):

$$d \equiv (GF)_d \equiv (GF)_{jk,i} = G_g F_f + 2G_h F_e + 2(N-3)G_h F_f. \quad (\text{B24})$$

Equations g , h , and ι of Eq. (42) can be found from the fourth block of \mathbf{GF} , which is given by

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{GF})_4 = \mathbf{G}_4\mathbf{F}_4 = & [(G_g - 2G_h)(F_g - 2F_h + F_i)]\mathbf{I}_M + [G_gF_\iota + 4G_hF_h + 2(N-4)G_hF_\iota]\mathbf{J}_M \\ & + [(N-6)G_hF_h - (N-5)G_hF_\iota + G_g(F_h - F_\iota) + G_hF_g]\mathbf{R}^T\mathbf{R}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B25})$$

As noted earlier, $\mathbf{R}^T\mathbf{R}$ double counts the diagonal elements (i.e., (ij, ij) elements where $i \neq j$). Thus, the $(GF)_{ij,ij}$ elements are given by the sum of the first two bracketed terms in Eq. (B25) plus twice the third term:

$$g \equiv (GF)_{ij,ij} \equiv (GF)_{ij,ij} = G_gF_g + 2(N-2)G_hF_h. \quad (\text{B26})$$

For the (ij, jk) elements ($i \neq j \neq k$), we note that the $\mathbf{R}^T\mathbf{R}$ elements are unity and the elements of \mathbf{I}_N are zero. Thus, to get $(GF)_{ij,jk}$ we take the sum of the second and third bracketed term in Eq. (B25):

$$h \equiv (GF)_h \equiv (GF)_{ij,jk} = G_gF_h + G_hF_g + (N-2)G_hF_h + (N-3)G_hF_\iota. \quad (\text{B27})$$

Finally, the (ij, kl) elements ($i \neq j \neq k \neq l$) of $\mathbf{R}^T\mathbf{R}$ and \mathbf{I}_N are zero, and thus the last equation in Eq. (42) is simply given by the second bracketed term in Eq. (B25):

$$\iota \equiv (GF)_\iota \equiv (GF)_{ij,kl} = G_gF_\iota + 4G_hF_h + 2(N-4)G_hF_\iota. \quad (\text{B28})$$

Derivation of Eq. (49): A graph with a given structure corresponds to a spectrum. That is, a graph may be represented by a matrix whereby its spectrum, or eigenvalues, may be calculated. $P_{\mathcal{G}}(\lambda)$ denotes the characteristic polynomial of the adjacency matrix \mathbf{A} of graph \mathcal{G} :

$$P_{\mathcal{G}}(\lambda) \equiv \det(\lambda\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{A}). \quad (\text{B29})$$

The element (i, j) of the adjacency matrix is the number of edges connecting vertices i and j of the graph \mathcal{G} . The spectrum of \mathcal{G} is found by solving $P_{\mathcal{G}}(\lambda) = 0$.

To prove the relationships involving determinants in this appendix and the next, we quote the following result from p.72 of Ref. [10] for the complete graph K_n :

$$P_{K_n}(\lambda) = (\lambda - n + 1)(\lambda + 1)^{n-1}. \quad (\text{B30})$$

The adjacency matrix of a simplex of n points, K_n , is an $n \times n$ matrix of ones except along the diagonal which contains all zeros. That is, $P_{K_n}(\lambda)$ takes the following form:

$$P_{K_n}(\lambda) = \det \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & -1 & \cdots & -1 \\ -1 & \lambda & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & -1 \\ -1 & \cdots & -1 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{B31})$$

Using Eq. (B30) one can easily derive Eq. (49) of Sec. 4, which states

$$\det(\mathbf{X}\mathbf{Y}\mathbf{E}\mathbf{Z}) = \det \begin{pmatrix} \frac{t}{v}\mathbf{I}_N + \frac{u}{v}\mathbf{J}_N & \mathbf{0} \\ \cdots & v\mathbf{I}_M \end{pmatrix} = t^{N-1}(t + Nu)v^{M-N}, \quad (\text{B32})$$

where the integer M is defined in Eq. (35). First we note that

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} \frac{t}{v}\mathbf{I}_N + \frac{u}{v}\mathbf{J}_N & \mathbf{0} \\ \cdots & v\mathbf{I}_M \end{pmatrix} = \det(v\mathbf{I}_M) \det\left(\frac{t}{v}\mathbf{I}_N + \frac{u}{v}\mathbf{J}_N\right). \quad (\text{B33})$$

Then, $\det(\frac{t}{v}\mathbf{I}_N + \frac{u}{v}\mathbf{J}_N)$ can be written as an $(N \times N)$ matrix of the same form as $P_{K_n}(\lambda)$ in Eq. (B31):

$$\det\left(\frac{t}{v}\mathbf{I}_N + \frac{u}{v}\mathbf{J}_N\right) = \det \begin{pmatrix} \frac{t+u}{v} & \frac{u}{v} & \cdots & \frac{u}{v} \\ \frac{u}{v} & \frac{t+u}{v} & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \frac{u}{v} \\ \frac{u}{v} & \cdots & \frac{u}{v} & \frac{t+u}{v} \end{pmatrix} = \left(-\frac{u}{v}\right)^N \det \begin{pmatrix} -1 - \frac{t}{u} & -1 & \cdots & -1 \\ -1 & -1 - \frac{t}{u} & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & -1 \\ -1 & \cdots & -1 & -1 - \frac{t}{u} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{B34})$$

so that, noting $\det(v\mathbf{I}_M) = v^M$, and setting $\lambda = -1 - \frac{t}{u}$ and $n = N$ in Eq. (B30) leads directly to $\det(\mathbf{X}\mathbf{Y}\mathbf{E}\mathbf{Z}) = t^{N-1}(t + Nu)v^{M-N}$.

C Gaussian Reduction of Equation (44)

The characteristic equation for the \mathbf{GF} eigenvalues is $\det(\mathbf{E}) = 0$, where \mathbf{E} is given by Eq. (44). The Gaussian elimination to reduce \mathbf{E} of Eq. (44) to the form $\mathbf{X}\mathbf{Y}\mathbf{E}\mathbf{Z}$ of Eq. (45) allows us to find analytical expressions for the normal-mode frequencies. The goal is to transform \mathbf{E} to a lower-triangular matrix, whose determinant we can compute exactly with Eq. (49), while leaving the characteristic determinant invariant by imposing that the transforming matrices have unit determinant. The elimination process consists of three steps.

Step 1: We first define \mathbf{Z} :

$$\mathbf{Z} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I}_N & w\mathbf{J}_{NM} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I}_M \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{C1})$$

whose determinant is unity, which we now show. It is known from matrix theory (for example, see Ref. [11]) that the determinant of an $(N + M)$ -square matrix with an $(N \times M)$ zero matrix $(\mathbf{0}_{N,M})$ in the upper right block or an $(M \times N)$ zero matrix $(\mathbf{0}_{M,N})$ in the lower left block is the product of the determinant of the diagonal block of matrices. That is,

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{0}_{NM} \\ \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{B} \end{pmatrix} = \det \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{C} \\ \mathbf{0}_{MN} & \mathbf{B} \end{pmatrix} = \det(\mathbf{A}) \det(\mathbf{B}), \quad (\text{C2})$$

where \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} are square matrices. For \mathbf{Z} , we have $\det(\mathbf{A}) = \det(\mathbf{I}_N) = 1$ and $\det(\mathbf{B}) = \det(\mathbf{I}_M) = 1$; thus, $\det(\mathbf{Z}) = 1$.

Multiplying \mathbf{E} on the right by \mathbf{Z} and using the multiplication rules above leads to

$$\mathbf{EZ} = \begin{pmatrix} (\lambda - a + b)\mathbf{I}_N - b\mathbf{J}_N & (f - e)\mathbf{R} + [w(\lambda - a - (N - 1)b) - f]\mathbf{J}_{NM} \\ (d - c)\mathbf{R}^T - d\mathbf{J}_{NM}^T & (\lambda - g + 2h - \iota)\mathbf{I}_M - (h - \iota)\mathbf{R}^T\mathbf{R} + [(2(d - c) - Nd)w - \iota]\mathbf{J}_M \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{C3})$$

We choose w equal to $f/(\lambda - a - (N - 1)b)$, such that the upper-right \mathbf{J}_{NM} term vanishes. This results in:

$$\mathbf{EZ} = \begin{pmatrix} (\lambda - a + b)\mathbf{I}_N - b\mathbf{J}_N & (f - e)\mathbf{R} \\ (d - c)\mathbf{R}^T - d\mathbf{J}_{NM}^T & v\mathbf{I}_M - (h - \iota)\mathbf{R}^T\mathbf{R} + \zeta\mathbf{J}_M \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{C4})$$

where

$$\zeta \equiv f \left(\frac{2(d - c) - Nd}{\lambda - a - (N - 1)b} \right) - \iota \quad (\text{C5})$$

$$v \equiv \lambda - g + 2h - \iota. \quad (\text{C6})$$

Step 2: Next multiply on the left by \mathbf{Y} , which by Eq. (C2) has unit determinant:

$$\mathbf{Y} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I}_N & \mathbf{0} \\ x\mathbf{R}^T + y\mathbf{J}_{NM}^T & \mathbf{I}_M \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{C7})$$

where we impose

$$x = \frac{h - \iota}{f - e} \quad y = -\frac{\zeta}{2(f - e)} = -\frac{1}{2(f - e)} \left[f \left(\frac{2(d - c) - Nd}{\lambda - a - (N - 1)b} \right) - \iota \right] \quad (\text{C8})$$

to eliminate the lower-right $\mathbf{R}^T\mathbf{R}$ and \mathbf{J}_M terms from \mathbf{EM} . This results in

$$\mathbf{YEZ} = \begin{pmatrix} (\lambda - a + b)\mathbf{I}_N - b\mathbf{J}_N & (f - e)\mathbf{R} \\ l\mathbf{R}^T + m\mathbf{J}_{NM}^T & v\mathbf{I}_M \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{C9})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} l &\equiv \frac{h - \iota}{f - e}(\lambda - a + b) + (d - c) \\ m &\equiv y(\lambda - a - (N - 1)b) - 2b\frac{h - \iota}{f - e} - d \\ &= -\frac{1}{2(f - e)}[f(2(d - c) - Nd) - \iota(\lambda - a - (N - 1)b)] - 2b\frac{h - \iota}{f - e} - d. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C10})$$

Step 3: The final step is to eliminate the upper right term from \mathbf{YEZ} by multiplying \mathbf{YEZ} by \mathbf{X} , which by Eq. (C2) has unit determinant:

$$\mathbf{X} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I}_N & z\mathbf{R} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I}_M \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{C11})$$

where $z = (e - f)/v$. \mathbf{XYEZ} results in the desired form of Eq. (45):

$$\mathbf{XYEZ} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{t}{v}\mathbf{I}_N + \frac{u}{v}\mathbf{J}_N & \mathbf{0} \\ l\mathbf{R}^T + m\mathbf{J}_{NM}^T & v\mathbf{I}_M \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{C12})$$

where

$$t = (\lambda - a + b)v + (N - 2)(\iota - h)(\lambda - a + b) + (N - 2)(d - c)(e - f) \quad (\text{C13})$$

$$u = -kv - (h - \iota)(\lambda - a + b) + (d - c)(e - f) + \frac{N - 1}{2} [f(2(d - c) - Nd) - \iota(\lambda - a - (N - 1)b) + 4b(h - \iota) - 2d(e - f)]. \quad (\text{C14})$$

As the determinants of \mathbf{Z} , \mathbf{Y} , and \mathbf{X} are unity, the determinant of $\mathbf{X}\mathbf{Y}\mathbf{E}\mathbf{Z}$ and, hence, the characteristic determinant $\det(\mathbf{E})$ may be calculated using Eq. (49), which was derived in the previous appendix.

D Gramian Determinants

The Gramian determinant[19] is defined as:

$$\Gamma \equiv \det(\gamma_{ij}), \quad (\text{D1})$$

where $\gamma_{ij} = \mathbf{r}_i \cdot \mathbf{r}_j / r_i r_j$, the angle cosines between the particle radii \mathbf{r}_i and \mathbf{r}_j , represents the elements of an $N \times N$ matrix. A related quantity used in the main text is the principle minor of the Gramian, $\Gamma^{(\alpha)}$, defined as the determinant of the γ_{ij} matrix with the α^{th} row and column removed.

A most challenging part of calculating the large- D minimum and the \mathbf{F} matrix elements in the systems discussed in this paper is handling the Gramian determinants and their derivatives. What makes these calculations feasible is the very high symmetry of the infinite-dimension, symmetric minimum. We make use of Eq. (B30) to obtain the Gramian determinant and its derivatives at the infinite-dimension, symmetric minimum. We will now demonstrate how this is done and summarize the results.

In general $\gamma_{ii} = 1$ and at the infinite- D symmetric minimum all of the remaining direction cosines are equal, $\gamma_{ij} = \gamma_\infty$. Hence, the Gramian determinant is an $(N \times N)$ matrix of the form

$$\Gamma \Big|_\infty = \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \gamma_\infty & \cdots & \gamma_\infty \\ \gamma_\infty & 1 & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \gamma_\infty \\ \gamma_\infty & \cdots & \gamma_\infty & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{D2})$$

which can be written in the same form as $P_{K_n}(\lambda)$ (Eq. (B31) of Appendix B):

$$\Gamma \Big|_\infty = (-\gamma_\infty)^N \det \begin{pmatrix} -1/\gamma_\infty & -1 & \cdots & -1 \\ -1 & -1/\gamma_\infty & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & -1 \\ -1 & \cdots & -1 & -1/\gamma_\infty \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{D3})$$

Setting $\lambda = -1/\gamma_\infty$ and $n = N$ in Eq. (B30) gives

$$\Gamma \Big|_\infty = [1 + (N - 1)\gamma_\infty](1 - \gamma_\infty)^{N-1}. \quad (\text{D4})$$

The principle minor evaluated at the infinite- D symmetric minimum $\Gamma^{(\alpha)}\big|_{\infty}$ is simply related to the corresponding Gramian determinant (Eq. (D4)) by $N \rightarrow N - 1$.

To calculate the infinite- D symmetric minimum of the Gramian derivatives, we expand Γ in terms of its cofactors. The cofactor, denoted by C_{ij} , of the element γ_{ij} in Γ is $(-1)^{i+j}$ multiplied by the determinant of the matrix obtained by deleting the i^{th} row and j^{th} column of Γ . We may then write Γ as

$$\Gamma = \sum_{j=1}^N \gamma_{ij} C_{ij}. \quad (\text{D5})$$

Then the partial derivative of Γ in terms of the cofactor is

$$\frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial \gamma_{ij}} = 2C_{ij}. \quad (\text{D6})$$

From this equation, the partial derivative of Γ evaluated at the infinite- D symmetric minimum is

$$\frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial \gamma_{ij}} \bigg|_{\infty} = -2C_{\infty}^{(N-1)}, \quad (\text{D7})$$

where we have defined the following determinant of an $(N - 1) \times (N - 1)$ matrix:

$$C_{\infty}^{(N-1)} = \det \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{\infty} & \gamma_{\infty} & \gamma_{\infty} & \cdots & \gamma_{\infty} \\ \gamma_{\infty} & 1 & \gamma_{\infty} & & \vdots \\ \gamma_{\infty} & \gamma_{\infty} & 1 & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \gamma_{\infty} \\ \gamma_{\infty} & \cdots & \cdots & \gamma_{\infty} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{D8})$$

and the superscript $(N - 1)$ simply indicates the size of the matrix. From this matrix one can show that the following recursion relation holds:

$$C_{\infty}^{(N-1)} = \gamma_{\infty} \left(\Gamma \bigg|_{\infty}^{(N-2)} - (N - 2)C_{\infty}^{(N-2)} \right), \quad (\text{D9})$$

or equivalently

$$C_{\infty}^{(N)} = \gamma_{\infty} \left(\Gamma \bigg|_{\infty}^{(N-1)} - (N - 1)C_{\infty}^{(N-1)} \right), \quad (\text{D10})$$

where the (N) superscript in the notation $\Gamma \big|_{\infty}^{(N)}$ again refers to the size of the matrix $\Gamma \big|_{\infty}$. From the recursion relation (Eq. (D10)), one can easily prove by induction the conjecture that $C_{\infty}^{(N)} = \gamma_{\infty}(1 - \gamma_{\infty})^{N-2}$ and so,

$$\frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial \gamma_{ij}} \bigg|_{\infty} = -2\gamma_{\infty}(1 - \gamma_{\infty})^{N-2}. \quad (\text{D11})$$

The derivative of the principle minor evaluated at the infinite- D symmetric minimum is simply related to the corresponding Gramian determinant derivative (Eq. (D11)) by $N \rightarrow N - 1$.

To summarize the above results, the following expressions are needed when calculating the minimum of the effective potential (Eqs. (20) and (21)):

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \Gamma}{\partial \gamma_{ij}} \bigg|_{\infty} &= -2\gamma_{\infty}(1 - \gamma_{\infty})^{N-2} & \frac{\partial \Gamma^{(\alpha)}}{\partial \gamma_{ij}} \bigg|_{\infty} &= -2\gamma_{\infty}(1 - \gamma_{\infty})^{N-3} \\ \Gamma \big|_{\infty} &= [1 + (N - 1)\gamma_{\infty}](1 - \gamma_{\infty})^{N-1} & \Gamma^{(\alpha)} \big|_{\infty} &= [1 + (N - 2)\gamma_{\infty}](1 - \gamma_{\infty})^{N-2}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D12})$$

And when evaluating the \mathbf{F} matrix elements at the infinite- D symmetric minimum, the following six second-order derivatives of the Gramian determinants are needed:

$$\begin{aligned} \left. \frac{\partial^2 \Gamma}{\partial \gamma_{ij} \partial \gamma_{kl}} \right|_{\infty} = 0 \quad \left. \frac{\partial^2 \Gamma}{\partial \gamma_{ij}^2} \right|_{\infty} = -2(1 - \gamma_{\infty})^{N-3}(1 + (N-3)\gamma_{\infty}) \quad \left. \frac{\partial^2 \Gamma}{\partial \gamma_{ij} \partial \gamma_{jk}} \right|_{\infty} = 2\gamma_{\infty}(1 - \gamma_{\infty})^{N-3} \\ \left. \frac{\partial^2 \Gamma^{(\alpha)}}{\partial \gamma_{ij} \partial \gamma_{kl}} \right|_{\infty} = 0 \quad \left. \frac{\partial^2 \Gamma^{(\alpha)}}{\partial \gamma_{ij}^2} \right|_{\infty} = -2(1 - \gamma_{\infty})^{N-4}(1 + (N-4)\gamma_{\infty}) \quad \left. \frac{\partial^2 \Gamma^{(\alpha)}}{\partial \gamma_{ij} \partial \gamma_{jk}} \right|_{\infty} = 2\gamma_{\infty}(1 - \gamma_{\infty})^{N-4}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D13})$$

References

- [1] J. G. Loeser, *J. Chem. Phys.* **86**, 5635 (1987).
- [2] E. B. Wilson, Jr., J. C. Decius, P. C. Cross, *Molecular vibrations: The theory of infrared and raman vibrational spectra*. McGraw-Hill, New York, 1955.
- [3] J. Avery, D. Z. Goodson, D. R. Herschbach, *Theor. Chim. Acta* **81**, 1 (1991).
- [4] M. Dunn, T. C. Germann, D. Z. Goodson, C. A. Traynor, J. D. Morgan III, D. K. Watson, and D. R. Herschbach, *J. Chem. Phys.* **101** 5987 (1994).
- [5] M. Dunn, B. A. McKinney, and D. K. Watson, (to be submitted).
- [6] A. Chatterjee, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **18**, 735 (1985).
- [7] G. Strang, *Linear algebra and its applications, Third ed.*. Harcourt Brace Jovanovich College Publishers, Orlando, FL, 1988.
- [8] In Ref. [1], Eq. (60) would read

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{E} &= \bar{E}_{\infty} + \delta \bar{E}_o + O(\delta^2) \\ &= V_{\text{eff}}(\bar{r}_{\infty}, \gamma_{\infty}) + \delta \sum_{\mu=\{\mathbf{0}^{\pm}, \mathbf{1}^{\pm}, \mathbf{2}\}} (n_{\mu} + \frac{d_{\mu}}{2}) \bar{\omega}_{\mu} + O(\delta^2), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D14})$$

where n_{μ} is the total number of quanta in all the normal modes with the same frequency $\bar{\omega}_{\mu}$, i.e.

$$n_{\mu} = \sum_{n_{\mu}=0}^{\infty} n_{\mu} d_{\mu, n_{\mu}}. \quad (\text{D15})$$

- [9] M. Hamermesh, *Group theory and its application to physical problems*. Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1962
- [10] D. M. Cvetković, M. Doob, H. Sachs, *Spectra of graphs: Theory and application*. Academic Press, New York, 1980.
- [11] M. Marcus and H. Ming, *A survey of matrix theory and matrix inequalities*. Allyn and Bacon, Inc., Boston, 1964.

- [12] R. C. Ashoori, *Nature* **379**, 413 (1996).
- [13] B. A. McKinney and D. K. Watson, *Physical Review B* **61**, 4958 (2000).
- [14] G. Baym and C. J. Pethick, *Physical Review Letters*, **76**, 6 (1996).
- [15] D. Blume and C. H. Greene, *Physical Review A* **63**, 63061 (2001).
- [16] B. A. McKinney, M. Dunn, and D. K. Watson, (to be submitted).
- [17] F. Dalfovo, S. Giorgini, L. P. Pitaevskii, and S. Stringari, *Reviews of Modern Physics* **71**, 463 (1999).
A. J. Leggett, *Reviews of Modern Physics* **73**, 307 (2001).
- [18] B. A. McKinney and D. K. Watson, *Physical Review A* **65**, 33604 (2002).
- [19] F. R. Gantmacher, *The theory of matrices, Vol. 1*. Chelsea, New York, 1959.
- [20] Z. Zhen and J. G. Loeser, *Dimensional scaling in chemical physics*. Ed. D. R. Herschbach, J. Avery, and O. Goscinski. Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1992. 83-114.