

Description of Quantum Systems by Random Matrix Ensembles of High Dimensions:
ICSSUR '6 Poster Session

Maciej M. Duras

Institute of Physics, Cracow University of Technology, ulica Podchorążych 1, 30-084
Cracow, Poland

Email: m.duras@riad.usk.pk.edu.pl

"ICSSUR '6, Sixth International Conference on Squeezed States and Uncertainty Relations"; 24th May 1999 – 29th May 1999; Università degli Studi di Napoli Federico II, Naples, Italy (1999).

Section: h

1 Abstract

The new Theorem on location of maximum of probability density functions of dimensionless second difference of the three adjacent energy levels for N -dimensional Gaussian orthogonal ensemble $GOE(N)$, N -dimensional Gaussian unitary ensemble $GUE(N)$, N -dimensional Gaussian symplectic ensemble $GSE(N)$, and Poisson ensemble PE , is formulated: The probability density functions of the dimensionless second difference of the three adjacent energy levels take on maximum at the origin for the following ensembles: $GOE(N)$, $GUE(N)$, $GSE(N)$, and PE , where $N \geq 3$. The notions of level homogenization with level clustering and level homogenization with level repulsion are introduced.

2 Summary

Division of many complex N -level quantum systems exhibiting universal behaviour depending only on symmetry of Hamiltonian matrix of the system:

Gaussian orthogonal ensemble $GOE(N)$

Gaussian unitary ensemble $GUE(N)$

Gaussian symplectic ensemble $GSE(N)$.

The Gaussian ensembles are used in study of quantum systems whose classical limit analogs are chaotic. The Poisson ensemble PE (Poisson random sequence spectrum) is composed of uncorrelated and randomly distributed energy levels and it describes quantum systems whose classical limit analogs are integrable. The standard statistical measure is Wigner's distribution of the i th nearest neighbour spacing:

$$s_i = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} |E_{i+1} - E_i| \quad i = 1, \dots, N-1 \quad (1)$$

For i th second difference (the i th second differential quotient) of the three adjacent energy levels:

$$\begin{aligned} {}^2E_i &= {}^1E_{i+1} - {}^1E_i = \\ &= E_{i+2} - 2E_{i+1} + E_i; \quad i = 1, \dots, N-2; \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

we calculated distributions for GOE (3), GUE (3), GSE (3), and PE Refs [1, 2, 3].

We formulate the following

Theorem : The probability density functions of the dimensionless second difference of the three adjacent energy levels take on maximum at the origin for the following ensembles: GOE (N), GUE (N), GSE (N), and PE, where $N \geq 3$.

We present the idea of proof. For Gaussian ensembles it can be shown that second difference distributions are symmetrical functions for $N \geq 3$. Hence, the first derivatives of the distributions at the origin vanish. For Poisson ensemble the second difference distribution is Laplace one for $N \geq 3$. Therefore, the distribution takes on maximum at zero.

The inferences are the following:

The quantum systems show tendency towards the homogeneity of levels (equal distance between adjacent levels). We call it homogeneization of energy levels.

There are two generic homogeneizations: the first is typical for Gaussian ensembles, the second one for Poisson ensemble. For the former ensembles we define level homogeneization with level repulsion as follows. Energy levels are so distributed that the situation that both the spacings and second difference vanish:

$${}^2E_i = s_i = s_{i+1} = 0; \quad (3)$$

is the most probable one. For the latter ensemble level homogeneization with level clustering is described below. Now it is the most probable that only the second difference is equal to zero but the two nearest neighbour spacings are nonzero:

$${}^2E_i = 0; s_i = s_{i+1}; s_i \neq 0; \quad (4)$$

The assumption of non-zero value by the second difference is less probable than the assumption of zero value. Equivalently, the inequality of the two nearest neighbour spacings is less probable than their equality.

The predictions of the Theorem are corroborated by numerical and experimental data Refs [1, 2, 3].

The theorem could be extended to other ensembles, e.g. circular ones, and it is a direction of future development.

We present on Fig. 1 the second difference probability densities for Gaussian and Poisson ensembles. On Figs 2, 3 we depict comparison between second difference probability densities and experimental nuclear data of ^{181}Ta and ^{167}Er belonging to chaotic systems. We plot these comparison for random sequence spectrum on Fig. 4. Finally, we show it for simulation of GOE (2000) on Fig. 5.

References

- [1] M. M. Duras and K. Sokalski, Phys. Rev. E 54, 3142 (1996).
- [2] Maciej M. Duras, Finite difference and finite element distributions in statistical theory of energy levels in quantum systems, Ph.D. thesis, Jagellonian University, Cracow, July 1996.
- [3] M. M. Duras and K. Sokalski, Physica D 125, 260 (1999).

3 Figure captions

- Figure 1 The probability density function of the dimensionless second difference for Poisson ensemble (P: medium dashed line), for GOE (3) (O: solid line), for GUE (3) (U: medium dashed line), and for GSE (3) (S: short dashed line). The value of x is the ratio of second difference to the mean spacing for GOE (3), GUE (3), GSE (3), and Poisson ensemble, respectively.
- Figure 2 The probability density function of the second difference for GOE (3) (solid line), for Poisson ensemble (dashed line), and for ^{181}Ta (histogram).
- Figure 3 The probability density function of the second difference $f_z^{\text{GOE}(3)}$ for GOE (3) (thin dashed line), for Poisson ensemble f_z^{I} (dashed line), and for ^{167}Er (histogram).
- Figure 4 The probability density function of the second difference $f_z^{\text{GOE}(3)}$ for GOE (3) (thin dashed line), for Poisson ensemble f_z^{I} (dashed line), and for random-sequence spectrum (histogram).
- Figure 5 The probability density function of the dimensionless second difference for the GOE (3) (solid line), for the integrable system (dashed line), and for GOE (2000) (histogram).