

GASDYNAMIC MODEL OF STREET CANYON: PCCMM'99 POSTER SESSION

Maciej M. Duras

Institute of Physics, Cracow University of Technology, ulica Podchorążych 1, 30-084
Cracow, Poland

Email: mduras @ riad.usk.pk.edu.pl

"The XIV Polish Conference on Computer Methods in Mechanics PCCMM99"; 26th May
1999 - 28th May 1999; Rzeszów, Poland (1999). Poster session.

1 Abstract

A general proecological urban road traffic control idea for the street canyon is proposed with emphasis placed on development of advanced continuum field gasdynamical (hydrodynamical) control model of the street canyon. The continuum field model of optimal control of street canyon is studied. The mathematical physics approach (Eulerian approach) to vehicular movement, to pollutants' emission and to pollutants' dynamics is used. The rigorous mathematical model is presented, using gasdynamical (hydrodynamical) theory for both air constituents and vehicles, including many types of vehicles and many types of pollutant (exhaust gases) emitted from vehicles. The six optimal control problems are formulated and numerical simulations are performed. Comparison with measurements are provided. General traffic engineering conclusions are inferred. [PCCMM'99 poster session].

2 Description of the model

The vehicular flow is multilane bidirectional one-level one-dimensional rectilinear and it is considered with two coordinated signalized junctions [1, 2]. The vehicles belong to different vehicular classes: passenger cars, trucks. The emission from vehicles are based on technical measurements and many types of pollutants are considered (carbon monoxide CO, hydrocarbons HC, nitrogen oxides NO_x). The vehicular dynamics is based on hydrodynamical approach [3]. The governing equations are continuity equation for number of vehicles and Greenshields' equilibrium speed-density $u-k$ model [4]. The model of dynamics of pollutants is also hydrodynamical. The model consists of a set of mutually interconnected vector nonlinear, spatially three-dimensional, temporally dependent, partial differential equations with nonzero righthand sides (sources), and of boundary and initial problem. The pollutants, oxygen, and remaining gaseous constituents of air, are treated as mixture of noninteracting, Newtonian, viscous fluid (perfect or ideal gases). The model incorporates as variables the following fields: density of mixture, mass concentrations of constituents of mixture, velocity of mixture, temperature of mixture, pressure of mixture, and intrinsic (internal) energy of mixture. The model is based on assumption on local laws of balance (of conservation): of mass of mixture, of masses of constituents of mixture, of momentum of mixture, of energy of mixture, and of state equation (Clapeyron's law). The model of dynamics is solved by finite difference scheme.

The six optimization problems are formulated by defining functionals of total travel time, of global emissions of pollutants, and of global concentrations of pollutants, both in the studied street canyon, and in its two nearest neighbour substitute canyons. The vector of control is a five-tuple composed of two cycle times, two green times, and one offset time between the traffic lights. The optimal control problem consists of minimization of the six functionals over admissible control domain.

3 Equations of dynamics.

E1. Balance of momentum - Navier Stokes equation.

$$\begin{aligned} \rho\left(\frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial t} + (\vec{v} \circ \nabla)\vec{v}\right) + S\vec{v} &= \\ &= -\nabla p + \eta\Delta\vec{v} + \left(\xi + \frac{\eta}{3}\right)\nabla(\operatorname{div}\vec{v}) + \vec{F}. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

E2. Balance of mass - Equation of continuity.

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \operatorname{div}(\rho\vec{v}) = S. \quad (2)$$

E3. Balance of mass of constituents - Diffusion equations.

$$\begin{aligned} \rho\left(\frac{\partial c_i}{\partial t} + \vec{v} \circ \nabla c_i\right) &= S e t_i - c_i S + \\ &+ \sum_{m=1}^{N-1} \{(D_{im} - D_{iN}) \cdot \\ &\cdot \operatorname{div}[\rho\nabla(c_m + \frac{k_{T,m}}{T}\nabla T)]\}, i = 1, \dots, N_E. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \rho\left(\frac{\partial c_i}{\partial t} + \vec{v} \circ \nabla c_i\right) &= -c_i S + \\ &+ \sum_{m=1}^{N-1} \{(D_{im} - D_{iN}) \cdot \\ &\cdot \operatorname{div}[\rho\nabla(c_m + \frac{k_{T,m}}{T}\nabla T)]\}, \\ &i = (N_E + 1), \dots, N. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

E4. Balance of energy.

$$\begin{aligned} \rho\left(\frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial t} + \vec{v} \circ \nabla \epsilon\right) &= \\ &= -\left(-\frac{1}{2}\vec{v}^2 + \epsilon\right)S + \hat{\mathcal{T}} : \nabla\vec{v} + \operatorname{div}(-\vec{q}) + \sigma. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

E5. Equation of state - Constitutive equation- Clapeyron's equation.

$$\frac{p}{\rho} = \frac{R}{m_{air}} T, \quad (6)$$

$$p_i = c_i \frac{m_{air}}{m_i} p, i = 1, \dots, N. \quad (7)$$

E6. Balance of vehicles - Equation of continuity.

$$\frac{\partial k_{l,vt}^L}{\partial t} + \text{div}(k_{l,vt}^L \vec{w}_{l,vt}^L) = 0. \quad (8)$$

$$\frac{\partial k_{r,vt}^R}{\partial t} + \text{div}(k_{r,vt}^R \vec{w}_{r,vt}^R) = 0. \quad (9)$$

$$vt = 1, \dots, VT, l = 1, \dots, n_L, r = 1, \dots, n_R.$$

4 Optimization problems.

E8. Control.

$$u = (g_1, C_1, g_2, C_2, F) \in U^{\text{adm}}, \quad (10)$$

where g_i , are green times, C_i , are cycle times, F is offset time, and U^{adm} is a set of admissible control variables.

F1. Total travel time for single canyon.

$$J_{\text{TTT}}^* = J_{\text{TTT}}(u^*) = \inf\{u \in U^{\text{adm}} : J_{\text{TTT}}(u)\}, \quad (11)$$

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\text{TTT}}(u) &= \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^{n_L} \sum_{vt=1}^{VT} \int_0^a \int_0^T k_{l,vt}^L(x, t) dx dt + \\ &+ \sum_{r=1}^{n_R} \sum_{vt=1}^{VT} \int_0^a \int_0^T k_{r,vt}^R(x, t) dx dt. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

F2. Global emission for single canyon.

$$J_E^* = J_E(u^*) = \inf\{u \in U^{\text{adm}} : J_E(u)\}, \quad (13)$$

$$\begin{aligned} J_E(u) &= \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^{n_L} \sum_{ct=1}^{CT} \sum_{vt=1}^{VT} \int_0^a \int_0^T e_{l,ct,vt}^L(x, t) dx dt + \\ &+ \sum_{r=1}^{n_R} \sum_{ct=1}^{CT} \sum_{vt=1}^{VT} \int_0^a \int_0^T e_{r,ct,vt}^R(x, t) dx dt. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

F3. Global pollutants' concentration for single canyon.

$$J_C^* = J_C(u^*) = \inf\{u \in U^{\text{adm}} : J_C(u)\}, \quad (15)$$

$$J_C(u) = \sum_{i=1}^{n_E} \rho_{\text{STP}} \int_0^a \int_0^b \int_0^c \int_0^T c_i(x, y, z, t) dx dy dz dt. \quad (16)$$

F4. Total travel time for canyon in street subnetwork.

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\text{TTT,ext}}^* &= J_{\text{TTT,ext}}(u^*) = \\ &= \inf\{u \in U^{\text{adm}} : J_{\text{TTT,ext}}(u)\}, \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\text{TTT,ext}}(u) &= J_{\text{TTT}}(u) + \\ &+ \alpha_{\text{TTT,ext}}^1 \left(a \sum_{l=1}^{n_L} \sum_{vt=1}^{VT} k_{l,vt,\text{jam}}^L \right) (C_1 - g_1) \\ &+ \alpha_{\text{TTT,ext}}^2 \left(a \sum_{r=1}^{n_R} \sum_{vt=1}^{VT} k_{r,vt,\text{jam}}^R \right) (C_2 - g_2). \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

F5. Global emission for canyon in street subnetwork.

$$J_{\text{E,ext}}^* = J_{\text{E,ext}}(u^*) = \inf\{u \in U^{\text{adm}} : J_{\text{E,ext}}(u)\}, \quad (19)$$

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\text{E,ext}}(u) &= J_{\text{E}}(u) + \\ &+ \alpha_{\text{E,ext}}^1 \left(a \sum_{l=1}^{n_L} \sum_{ct=1}^{CT} \sum_{vt=1}^{VT} e_{l,ct,vt,\text{jam}}^L \right) (C_1 - g_1) \\ &+ \alpha_{\text{E,ext}}^2 \left(a \sum_{r=1}^{n_R} \sum_{ct=1}^{CT} \sum_{vt=1}^{VT} k_{r,ct,vt,\text{jam}}^R \right) (C_2 - g_2). \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

F6. Global pollutants' concentration for canyon in street subnetwork.

$$J_{\text{C,ext}}^* = J_{\text{C,ext}}(u^*) = \inf\{u \in U^{\text{adm}} : J_{\text{C,ext}}(u)\}, \quad (21)$$

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\text{C,ext}}(u) &= J_C(u) + \\ &+ (\rho_{\text{STP}} abc \sum_{l=1}^{n_L} c_{i,\text{STP}}) \\ &[\alpha_{\text{C,ext}}^1 (C_1 - g_1) + \alpha_{\text{C,ext}}^2 (C_2 - g_2)]. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

5 Conclusions.

We assumed the data from real street canyon Krasieński Avenue in Cracow [5]. In general the numerical results are in very good agreement with measured data from [5].

In general some vehicular traffic and hydrodynamical parameters influence the solutions of optimization problems **F1**, **F2**, **F3**, **F4**, **F5**, **F6**, but not all of them:

R1. The direction of velocity of air mixture is important. The optimal pollutant concentrations for both single canyon and canyon with its two substitute nearest neighbour canyons, **F3**, **F6**, are the lowest if the velocity components of the boundary and initial value problems are equal to zero; further, they are the greater if velocity has only nonzero vertical component v_z ; then, they are greater if velocity has only nonzero x-component v_x ; further, they are again greater if velocity has two nonzero components $(0, v_y, v_z)$; next, they are again greater if velocity has three nonzero components (v_x, v_y, v_z) ; finally, they are the greatest if the velocity has only nonzero y-component v_y .

R2. The optimal values for **F1**, **F4** cases, for **F2**, **F5** cases, and for **F3**, **F6** cases, decrease with “uniformization” of vehicles, when we pass from nonuniform vehicles to uniform ones. It is a result of decrement of the number of vehicles moving in the canyon. For uniform the values of maximum free flow speed, jam, saturation, threshold, green, and red densities take on minima.

R3. The long vehicular queues decrease total travel times **F1**, **F4**, and they increase both optimal emissions **F2**, **F5**, and concentrations of pollutants **F3**, **F6**. The decrement of total travel times **F1**, **F4**, with long vehicular queues is result of clustering of vehicles.

R4. The constant of temperature scale does not differentiate the values of optimal concentrations of pollutants **F3**, **F6** in the temperature range near standard temperature and pressure STP conditions. However, it diminishes them even hundredfold for very high temperatures.

R5. The functional form of initial and boundary conditions affects the optima. If they are constant then optimal concentrations **F3**, **F6** are twice higher than in the case when they are changing exponentially in space in three dimensions.

R6. The presence of vehicles on both left and right lanes is important. The optimal total travel times and emissions are halved in absence of vehicles on left or right lanes with respect to situation when they circulate on both left and right lanes.

R7. The values of saturation, arrival, or jam vehicular density, and of vehicular free flow velocities also affect the optima **F1**, **F2**, **F3**, **F4**, **F5**, **F6**.

R8. The assumption of energy conservation equation, of thermodiffusion effect, of chemical potential and of Grand Canonical ensemble, as well as of influence of gravity on intrinsic energy and on chemical potential, drastically changes the optimal concentrations **F3**, **F6** towards measured ones [2].

R9. The value of time of simulation and of discretization in time affects much the optima. The values of optimal solutions **F1**, **F2**, **F3**, **F4**, **F5**, **F6** increase from tenfold to hundredfold. Also the optimal 5-tuples for **F1**, **F2**, **F3**, **F4**, **F5**, **F6** change their values. It is result of cumulative effect of length of period of simulation T on integral functionals **F1**, **F2**, **F3**, **F4**, **F5**, **F6**.

References

- [1] DURAS M. M., 1998, Road Traffic Control in Street Canyons, Ph. D. thesis, University of Mining and Metallurgy, Cracow, August 1998, pp. 1-363
- [2] ADAMSKI A. and DURAS M. M., 1997, Environmental Traffic Control Issues in Street Canyons, *Polish Journal of Environmental Studies*, Vol. 6, No 1, pp. 13-20
- [3] MICHALOPOULOS P. G., BESKOS D. E., and LIN J.-K., 1984, Analysis of Interrupted Traffic Flow by Finite Difference Methods, *Transportation Research-B*, Vol. 18B, No 4/5, pp. 409-421
- [4] GREENSHIELDS B. D., 1934, A study of traffic capacity, *Proceedings of Highway Research Board*, Vol. 14, pp. 448-477
- [5] M. BRZEŹAŃSKI M., 1998, Evaluation of Transport Emission of the Amount on a Chosen Section of the Road Network in Cracow, *KONMOT'98*, Table 2