On the theory of superconductivity in ferrom agnetic superconductors with triplet pairing

V P.M ineev and T.Champel
Commissariat a l'Energie Atomique, DSM /DRFMC/SPSMS
17 rue des Martyrs, 38054 Grenoble Cedex 9, France
(April 14, 2024)

We point out that ferrom agnetic superconductors with triplet pairing and strong spin-orbit coupling are even in the simplest case at least two-band superconductors. The Gor'kov type form alism for such superconductors is developed and the Ginzburg-Landau equations are derived. The dependence of the critical temperature on the concentration of ordinary point-like impurities is found. Its nonuniversality could serve as a qualitative measure of the two-band character of ferrom agnetic superconductors. The problem of the upper critical eld determination is also discussed.

I. IN TRODUCTION

The extension of the Bardeen-Cooper-Schrie er (BCS) theory of superconductivity to the case with two bands of itinerant electrons was developed soon after the appearance of the BCS theory [1]. This theory has been the subject of renewed interest following the recent discovery of MgB $_2$ -the rst superconducting material where the existence of two energy gaps has been unambiguously demonstrated by thermodynamic and spectroscopic measurements [2]. Certainly, there are many other superconducting compounds where multibande ects are less pronounced and experimentally invisible because the Cooper pairing occurs mostly in one band of the itinerant electrons or holes. On the other hand, there is a whole class of superconductors where two-band (or more generally multiple band) superconductivity is an inherent property: the so-called ferrom agnetic superconductors where the dierent bands with spin "up" and spin "down" electrons are always present. UGe_2 [3,4], $ZrZn_2$ [5], and URhGe [6] are recent examples of such materials where the superconducting states are expected to be spin triplet in order to avoid the large depairing in uence of the exchange eld due to ferrom agnetism.

The symmetry classication of the superconducting states for itinerant ferrom agnetic spin-triplet superconductors has been proposed recently by several authors [7{9]. At the same time, a general Gor'kov-type meather atical description of the multi-band superconductivity in a ferrom agnetic metal with a triplet pairing has not been developed yet. The principal goal of this article is to present such a description for a two-band ferrom agnetic metal with an anisotropic spectrum of quasiparticles and a general form of the pairing interaction. Being useful for a concrete calculation with a particular form of the spectrum and the pairing interaction, this approach allows to solve in general terms several problems typical for the superconductivity theory, such as: the critical temperature determination, the derivation of the Ginzburg-Landau equations, the suppression of the superconductivity by impurities, the upper critical eld calculation.

We begin with the general form of the order parameter and the pairing interaction in a two-band itinerant ferromagnet. Then the Gor'kov equations will be written. That perm its to calculate the spectrum of the quasiparticles and the critical temperature, and to derive the system of the coupled equations for the order parameters from two bands. Then, a law of suppression of the critical temperature by point-like nonmagnetic impurities is found. Its characteristic nonuniversal behavior can serve as a qualitative measure of the two-band character of ferromagnetic superconductors. The problem of the upper critical eld determination is nally discussed.

II. FERROM AGNETIC SUPERCONDUCTORS W ITH TRIPLET PAIR IN G

A . Two-band superconductivity

For a triplet superconductor the order param eter is written as [10]

$$(R;k) = \begin{bmatrix} & & 0 \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ &$$

$$= \frac{d_{x}(R;k) + id_{y}(R;k)}{d_{z}(R;k)} \frac{d_{z}(R;k)}{d_{x}(R;k) + id_{y}(R;k)};$$
(1)

where = ($_x$; $_y$; $_z$) are the Paulim atrices. The superconducting states d (R;k) with di erent critical temperatures in the ferrom agnetic crystals are classified in accordance with the irreducible co-representations of the magnetic group M of the crystal [7{9]. All the co-representations in ferrom agnets with orthorom bic and cubic symmetries are one-dimensional. However, they obey multicomponent order parameters determined through the coordinate dependent pairing amplitudes: one per each band populated by electrons with spins "up" or "down" and one per each pair of the bands with the opposite spins (zero spin projection states). Owing to the big difference in the Fermim omenta, the pairing of electrons from the different bands is negligibly small. Hence, we shall neglect the pairing amplitude with zero spin projection; in another words $_0 = d_z(R;k) = 0$ will be taken throughout the paper. Also, we limit ourself to the consideration of two band ferrom agnetic superconductors with a strong spin-orbital coupling, when the two-component order parameter has the form

d
$$(R;k) = \frac{1}{2}[((x + ix)) + (x + ix) + (x + ix)]$$
 (2)

which was rst pointed out in the paper [11]. Here \hat{x} , \hat{y} are the unit vectors of the spin (or, more exactly, of the pseudospin [10]) coordinate system pinned to the crystal axes.

$$_{1}(R;k) = _{1}(R)f(k); \quad _{\#}(R;k) = _{2}(R)f_{+}(k):$$
 (3)

The functions $f(k) = f_x(k)$ if f(k) and the projections f(k), with f(k) are odd functions of the momentum directions of the pairing particles on the Fermi surface. The general forms of these functions for the different corepresentations in ferrom agnetic superconductors with orthorhom bic and cubic symmetries are listed in the paper [7]. For instance, in the case of the f(k) representation in orthorhom bic crystal, they are

$$f_x(k) = k_x u_1^{A_1} + i k_y u_2^{A_1}; f_y(k) = k_y u_3^{A_1} + i k_x u_4^{A_1};$$
 (4)

where $u_1^{A_1}$;::: are real functions of k_x^2 ; k_y^2 ; k_z^2 . The simple consequence of this is that the nodes in the quasiparticle spectrum of the superconducting A-states in orthorom bic ferrom agnets dictated only by the symmetry are the nodes lying on the northern and southern poles of the Fermi surface $k_x = k_y = 0$. On the contrary, for the B-states they are on the line of the equator $k_z = 0$.

The order param eter am plitudes $_1$ (R) and $_2$ (R) (which are coordinate dependent and complex) have been considered (and discussed) in the paper [7] as being equal. This is in general not true. However, even in the general case, they are not completely independent:

$$_{1}(R) = j_{1}(R) \dot{p}^{i'(R)}; \quad _{2}(R) = j_{2}(R) \dot{p}^{i'(R)} :$$
 (5)

Although being dierent by their modulous, they have the same phase with an accuracy . The latter property guarantees the consistency of the transform ation of both parts of the order parameter under the time reversal.

The BCS Ham iltonian in a two-band ferrom agnet with a triplet pairing is

$$H = \sum_{k;k^0;}^{X} hk \hat{f}_{h} k^0 i a_k^y a_{k^0} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k;k^0;q;}^{X} V (k;k^0) a_{k+q=2;}^y a_{k+q=2;}^y a_{k^0+q=2;}^y a_{k^0+q=2;}^y;$$
 (6)

where the band indices and are (";#) or (1;2),

$$\hat{h} = \mathbf{W}$$
B \hat{g} H{ext}=2+U(r) \mathbf{F} (7)

are one particle band energy operators, the functions $^{\mathbf{m}}$ (including the exchange splitting) and the $^{\mathbf{c}}$ -factor depend on the gauge invariant operator ir + (e=c)A (r) and on the crystallographic directions. In the simplest case of isotropic bands without a spin-orbital coupling, $g_{1;2} = 2H_{\text{ext}} = H_{\text{ext}}$. U (r) is an impurity potential, H_{ext} is an external magnetic eld,

$$r \quad A = B = H_{ext} + 4 M ; \qquad (8)$$

and M is the magnetic moment of the ferromagnet.

The pairing potential interaction is expanded as

$$V (k;k^{0}) = V'(k)'(k^{0});$$
 (9)

w here

$$' * (k) = f (k); ' * (k) = f_{+} (k);$$
 (10)

It contains four dierent interaction terms which can be typically classied into two groups corresponding to: (i) a pairing between electrons with the same spin polarization (intraband interaction) and (ii) the interband scattering terms with $V_{"\#} = V_{\#"}$ describing the transitions of the pair electron from one sheet of the Fermi surface to the other sheet by reversing the pair spin orientation with the help of the spin-orbit coupling.

When the interband scattering is negligible $V_{"\#} = V_{\#"} = 0$, the pairing of the electrons occurs—rst only in one of the sheets of the Ferm i surface like in the A_1 phase of 3He . In general, the superconductivity in each band is not independent.

B . G or'kov equations

We want to determ ine the Green's functions of ferrom agnetic superconductors in the absence of external perturbations and impurity scattering. Even under these $\sin p \ln c$ conditions, the system is not spatially uniform due to the inherent presence of 4 M . If we neglect 4 M , the system is spatially uniform. Then, we can write the Gor'kov equations in the form

$$(i!_n _k)G (k;!_n) + (k)F^{y}(k;!_n) = 1$$

 $(i!_n + _k)F^{y}(k;!_n) + ^{y}(k)G (k;!_n) = 0$ (11)

where $_k = \mathbf{I}_k$ \mathbf{I}_r and $\mathbf{I}_n = T$ (2n + 1) are M atsubara frequencies. The equations for each band are only coupled through the order parameter given by the self-consistency condition

$$(k) = T V; (k;k^0) F (k^0;!_n):$$
 (12)

The superconductor G reen's functions are

G
$$(k;!_n) = \frac{i!_n + k}{!_n^2 + E_k^2}$$
 (13)

$$F (k;!_n) = \frac{(k)}{!_n^2 + E_{k;}^2};$$
 (14)

where E_k ; = $\frac{2}{k} + j$ (k) j^2 . O by iously, the superconductivity in ferrom agnetic superconductors is non-unitary.

The G or kov equations taking into consideration the magnetic moment 4 M, an external eld and non-magnetic point-like in purities can be easily written according to the general procedure described in [10]. We shall not overload the paper by this and just write the self-consistency equations near the superconducting transition.

C . The order param eter equations near the superconducting transition

This system consists of two equations for the order parameter components with spin polarizations "up" and "down",

$$(R;r) = T dr^{0}V; (r;r^{0})G (r^{0}; t_{n})G (r^{0}; t_{n})$$

$$= \exp [ir^{0}D (R)] (R;r^{0}) + (t_{n};R);$$
(15)

and two equations for the impurity self-energy components

$$(\not \vdash_n ; R) = n_i u^2 \quad drG \quad (r; \not \vdash_n) G \quad (r; \quad \not \vdash_n) \exp [irD \quad (R)]$$

$$f \quad (R; r) + \quad (\not \vdash_n ; R) g; \tag{16}$$

where $\frac{1}{n} = \frac{1}{n} + \text{sign } \frac{1}{n} = 2$, and is the quasi-particle mean free time in the dierent bands. These mean free times are related in the Born approximation to the impurity concentration n_i through

$$\frac{1}{2} = n_{i} N_{0} u^{2}; (17)$$

with u the amplitude of the impurity scattering, and N $_{\rm 0}$ the density of electronic states in each band. The operator of covariant dierentiation is

D (R) =
$$\frac{e}{eR} + \frac{2e}{c}A$$
 (R):

The normalmetal electron Green functions are

G
$$(r; t_n) = \frac{Z}{(2)^3} e^{ip} (it_n) p_r + g_p, H_{ext} = 2)^1$$
: (18)

The order parameter components in dierent bands are determined in accordance with (3):

$$_{"}(R;r) = _{1}(R)f(r); \quad _{\#}(R;r) = _{2}(R)f_{+}(r);$$
 (19)

D . The critical tem perature T_{c0}

In the absence of an external eld let us rst nd the critical tem perature. To fa pure ferrom agnetic superconductor in the (form ally) spacially uniform situation of a negligible ferrom agnetic moment M=0. In this case the anomalous impurity self-energy part $(e_n;R)=0$, and from (15) we obtain the system of equations

$$_{1} = (g_{1 \ 1} + g_{12 \ 2}) (T_{c0});$$
 $_{2} = (g_{21 \ 1} + g_{2 \ 2}) (T_{c0});$
(20)

where $g_1 = V_{""}hjf(k)fN_{0"}(k)i$, the angular bracketsm ean the averaging over the Ferm isurface, $N_{0"}(k)i$, the angular dependent density of electronic states at the Ferm isurface of the band ". Correspondingly $g_{12} = V_{"\#}hjf_{+}(k)fN_{0\#}(k)i$, $g_{21} = V_{\#}hjf_{+}(k)fN_{0\#}(k)i$, and $g_2 = V_{\#}hjf_{+}(k)fN_{0\#}(k)i$. The function (T) is

In = 0;577::: is the Euler constant, is an energy cuto. Thus, sim ilar to [1] the critical temperature is given by

$$T_{c0} = (2 =) \exp(1 = q);$$
 (22)

where g is de ned by the maximum value for which the determinant of the system is zero (20)

$$q = (q_1 + q_2) = 2 + \frac{p}{(q_1 - q_2)^2 = 4 + q_{12}q_{21}};$$
(23)

In particular, at g_{12} ; g_{21} g_1 ; g_2 , the critical tem perature is determ ined by

$$g = m ax (g_1; g_2)$$
: (24)

${\tt E}$. The critical temperature dependence on ${\tt im}$ purities concentration

Triplet superconductivity is suppressed by non-magnetic impurities [12]. Moreover, the law of suppression of the superconductivity is described by the universal Abrikosov-Gor'kov (AG) dependence [13]

$$\ln t = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{x}{4t} \qquad \frac{1}{2}$$
 (25)

valid for any unconventional superconducting state and applicable in particular to a concrete unconventional superconductor independently of the pressure [10]. Here is the digam ma function. The variable $t = T_c = T_{c0}$ is the ratio of

the critical tem perature of the superconductor with a given concentration of impurities n_i to the critical tem perature of the clean superconductor, and $x = n_i = n_{ic} = n_{ic$

Deviations from the universality of the AG law can be caused by the anisotropy of the scattering which takes place in the presence of extended in perfections in the crystal. Such a modication of the theory applied to UPt has been considered previously [15]. However, a complete experimental investigation of the suppression of superconductivity by impurities in this unconventional superconductor, in particular the study of the universality of the behavior, has not been performed.

The nonuniversality of the suppression of superconductivity can also be caused by any inelastic scattering mechanism by impurities with internal degrees of freedom of magnetic or nonmagnetic origin. For the simplest discussion of this, see [16].

Finally, universality is certainly not expected in multiband superconductors. Theories for this case have been developed with regard to the unconventional superconductivity in Sr_2RuO_4 (p-wave, two-band two-dimensional model [17]) and conventional superconductivity in MgB_2 (anisotropic scattering two-band model [18]).

A simple modi cation of the universal AG law for the suppression of the superconductivity by impurities in a two-band ferrom agnetic superconductor is derived here. Our consideration is limited to the simplest case of scattering by ordinary point-like impurities. Then, due to spin conservation, one can neglect interband quasi-particle scattering and take into account only the intraband quasi-particle scattering on impurities. At nite impurity concentration the system of equations similar to (20) is:

$$_{1} = g_{1} _{1} (T) _{1} + g_{12} _{2} (T) _{2};$$
 $_{2} = g_{21} _{1} (T) _{1} + g_{2} _{2} (T) _{2};$
(26)

w here

$$_{1;2}(T) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{1:2}T + \ln \frac{T_{c0}}{T} + (T_{c0});$$
 (27)

Hence, the critical temperature is determined from the equation

$$(g_{1 \ 1}(T) \ 1)(g_{2 \ 2}(T) \ 1) \ g_{2}g_{21 \ 1}(T) \ 2(T) = 0:$$
 (28)

In particular, at g_{12} ; g_{21} the equations

g1; g2 the critical tem perature is determ ined by the m ax $(T_{\text{cl}};T_{\text{c2}})$ of the solutions of

$$\ln \frac{T_{c0}}{T_{c1}} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4_{1}T_{c1}} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{g_{1}} \qquad (T_{c0});$$
 (29)

$$\ln \frac{T_{c0}}{T_{c2}} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4 \ _2 T_{c2}} \qquad \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{g_2} \qquad (T_{c0}):$$
 (30)

Let us accept for determ ination that $g_1 > g_2$. Hence, the maximal critical temperature in the absence of impurities is dened by $1=q=(T_{c0})$. Then, at small impurity concentrations the solutions of (29) and (30) are the linear functions of impurities concentration:

$$T_{c1} = T_{c0} \qquad \frac{}{8} ,$$
 (31)

$$T_{c2} = T_{c0} \frac{1}{g_2} + \frac{1}{g_1} \frac{1}{g_2}$$
: (32)

These lines can in principle intersect each other. As a result, an uptum in the critical temperature dependence on impurity concentration T_c (n_i) appears. Such a type of deviation of the T_c (n_i) dependence from the AG-law presents the direct manifestation of the two-band character of the superconductivity. On the other hand, an absence of strong deviations from the universal one-band curve if found experimentally in a ferrom agnetic superconductor would mean that the superconductivity is developed in one-band with only electrons with "up" spins paired and the "down" spin electrons leave normal (or vice versa).

Thus, the problem of the determ ination of the critical temperature in a superconducting ferrom agnet is at bottom the problem of the determ ination of the upper critical eld in a single domain ferrom agnet.

F. The upper critical eld

The equations for the determination of the upper critical eld at least near T_c are easily derived from the system (15)-(16). Keeping only the lowest order gradient terms we have

and

$$(!_n;R) = n_i u^2 \quad drG \quad (r;!_n)G \quad (r; :_n)$$

$$f(irD (R)) \quad (R;r) + \quad (!_n;R)g:$$

$$(34)$$

Finding $(t_n; R)$ from the last equation and substituting it into (33), we obtain after all the necessary integrations the pair of the G inzburg-Landau equations for the two components of the order parameter

where the operator ^1 consists of the previously determ ined hom ogeneous part and of the second order gradient term s

$$^{1} = h f (k) f N_{0"} (k) i_{1} (T) K_{"ij} D_{i} D_{j}$$
(36)

The gradient term scoe cients are

$$K_{"ij} = hjf (k) \int N_{0"} (k) v_{F"i} (k) v_{F"j} (k) i \frac{T}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{X} \frac{1}{j! n_{j}} dk$$

$$+ hf (k) N_{0"} (k) v_{F"i} (k) ihf (k) N_{0"} (k) v_{F"j} (k) i \frac{2T n_{i} u_{"}^{2}}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{X} \frac{1}{! n_{i}^{2}! n_{i}^{2}} dk dk$$
(37)

The operator $^{\circ}_{2}$ is obtained from (36)-(37) by the natural substitutions 1! 2, " ! #,+!

Now, the problem of the upper critical eld nding is just the resolution of the two coupled equations (35). There are a lot of diesent situations depending on the crystal sym metry, the direction of spontaneous magnetization and on the external eld orientation. The simplest case is when the external magnetic eld is parallel or antiparallel to the easy magnetization axis. If this latter coincides with the 4-th order sym metry axis in the cubic crystal as it is the case in $ZrZn_2$, then the gradient terms in the perpendicular plane are isotropic and described by the two constants $K_{ij} = K_{ij}$ and $K_{ij} = K_{ij}$. This case formally corresponds to the problem of the determination of the upper critical eld parallel to the c-direction in the two-band hexagonal superconductor MgB₂ solved in [20]. Then, the linearized G inzburg-Landau equations describe a system of two coupled oscillators and have their solution in the form $E_{ij} = E_{ij} = E_{ij}$

where $_0$ is the ux quantum.

Let us for simplicity \lim it ourself to the impurityless case. Then, =1 $T=T_{c0}$ and the equation for the determination of the upper critical =1

$$[g_1(+ (T_{c0})) + V_{""}K_"h 1] [g_2(+ (T_{c0})) + V_{\#}K_\#h 1]]$$

$$[g_2(+ (T_{c0})) + V_{"\#}K_"h 1] [g_1(+ (T_{c0})) + V_{"\#}K_\#h 1] = 0;$$

$$(39)$$

This is a simple square equation and, as before, if we consider the case g_{12} ; g_{21} g_1 ; g_2 and $g_1 > g_2$, then we obtain the two following roots

$$h_1() = \frac{g_1}{V_{nn}K_n}; (40)$$

$$h_2() = \frac{g_2}{V_{\#\#}K_{\#}} + \frac{1}{g_1} - \frac{1}{g_2} :$$
 (41)

This two lines can in principle intersect each other. As a result, an upturn in the temperature dependence of the upper critical eld appears.

In the most anisotropic situation such as in the orthorom bic crystals UGe_2 and URhGe, all the coecients $K_{"xx}$, $K_{"yy}$, $K_{\#xx}$ and $K_{\#yy}$ are dierent, even for an external eld direction parallel or antiparallel to the easy magnetization axis. Then, our system of equations can be solved following a variational approach developed in [20]. A gain, an uptum in the h() dependence can be possible.

The comparison with an experiment masked by the presence of many ferromagnetic domains will be not easy. Monodomain measurements are possible in high enough elds. To work in this region one can easily obtain the fourth order gradient terms contributing to the Ginzburg-Landau equations. However, the problem of the theoretical determination of the upper critical eld at arbitrary temperature has the same principal diculty as in any conventional anisotropic superconductor [21].

III. CONCLUSION

Ferrom agnetic superconductors are in general multiband metals. The two-band description of ferrom agnetic superconductors with a triplet pairing developed in this paper presents the simplest model applicable to this type of material. One-band superconductivity in these superconductors arises only at a negligibly small spin-orbit coupling, as it is the case for the A_1 phase of He. We studied the dependence of the critical temperature $T_{\rm c}$ on the concentration of ordinary point-like impurities in the framework of a two-band weak coupling BCS theory. We demonstrated that the non-universal $T_{\rm c}$ (x) dependence could serve as a qualitative measure of the two band character of the superconductivity in ferrom agnetic superconductors. Also, the general equations for the determination of the upper critical eld at arbitrary temperature and impurity concentration were derived. The solution of these equations near the critical temperature was found in the simplest case of a cubic crystalline symmetry for the eld orientation parallel

IV.ACKNOW LEDGEMENTS

The authors are indebted to Dr. M. Zhitom irskii for the valuable discussions about the physics of multi-band superconductivity.

- [1] H. Suhl, B.T. Matthias, and L.R. Walker, Phys. Rev. Lett. 3, 552 (1959).
- [2] See the special issue of Physica C 385, 1-305 (2003).

or antiparallel to the 4-th order sym metry axis.

- [3] S.S. Saxena, P.A. garval, K.A. hilan, F.M. Grosche, R.K.W. . Hasselwimmer, M. J. Steiner, E. Pugh, I.R. Walker, S.R. Julian, P.M. onthoux, G.G. Lonzarich, A. Huxley, I. Sheikin, D. Braithwaite, and J. Flouquet, Nature 406, 587 (2000).
- [4] A. Huxley, I. Sheikin, E. Ressouche, N. Kemavanois, D. Braithwaite, R. Calemczuk, and J. Flouquet, Phys. Rev. B 63, 144519 (2001).
- [5] C.P eiderer, M. Uhlarz, S. Heiden, R. Vollmer, H. W. Lohneysen, N. R. Bernhoeff, and G.G. Lonzarich, Nature 412, 58 (2001).
- [6] D. Aoki, A. Huxley, E. Ressouche, D. Braithwaite, J. Flouquet, J. P. Brison, E. Lhotel and C. Paulsen, Nature 413, 613 (2001).
- [7] V P.M ineev, Phys. Rev. B 66, 134504 (2002).
- [8] K. V. Sam okhin and M. B. Walker, Phys. Rev. B 66, 024512 (2002).
- [9] IA. Fom in, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 122, 1089 (2002) [Sov. Phys. JETP 95, 940 (2002)].
- [10] V P.M ineev and K.V. Sam okhin, Introduction to Unconventional Superconductivity (Gordon and Breach, New York, 1999).
- [11] K .V . Sam okhin and M .B .W alker, Phys. Rev. B 66, 174501 (2002).
- [12] A J. Larkin, Pis'm a ZhETF 2, 205 (1965) [JETP Letters 2, 130 (1965)].
- [13] A A . A brikosov, L P . G or kov, ZhETF 39, 1781 (1960) [Sov. Phys. JETP 12, 1243 (1961)].
- [14] A P. Mackenzie, R K W . Haselwimmer, A W . Tyler, G G . Lonzarich, Y . Mori, S . Nishizaki, and Y . Maeno, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 161 (1998).
- [15] J.B. Kycia, J.I. Hong, M. J. Graf, J.A. Sauls, D. N. Seidman, and W. P. Halperin, PhysRev. B 58, 603 (1998).
- [16] V P.M ineev, Pisma ZhETF 51, 399 (1990) [JETP Letters 51, 453 (1990)].
- [17] D F. Agterberg, Phys. Rev. B 60, R749 (1999).
- [18] I.I. Mazin, O. K. Andersen, O. Jepsen, O. V. Dolgov, J. Kortus, A. A. Golubov, A. B. Kuz'menko, and D. van der Marel, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 107002 (2002).
- [19] This is correct of course only if 4 M > $\rm H_{c1}$ (where $\rm H_{c1}$ is the lower critical eld).
- [20] M E. Zhitom irskii and V.H.Dao, cond-mat/0309372 (2003).
- [21] P.C. Hohenberg and N.R. Werthamer, Phys. Rev. 153, 493 (1967).