

A New Thermodynamics, From Nuclei to Stars

D.H.E. Gross
 Hahn-Meitner Institute and Freie Universität Berlin,
 Fachbereich Physik.
 Glienickerstr. 100
 14109 Berlin, Germany *

May 1, 2019

Abstract

Equilibrium statistics of Hamiltonian systems is correctly described by the microcanonical ensemble. Classically this is the manifold of all points in the N -body phase space with the given total energy. Due to Boltzmann's principle, $e^S = \text{tr}(\delta(E-H))$, its geometrical size is related to the entropy $S(E, N, \dots)$. This definition does not invoke any information theory, no thermodynamic limit, no extensivity, and no homogeneity assumption, as are needed in conventional (canonical) thermo-statistics. Therefore, it describes the equilibrium statistics of extensive as well of non-extensive systems. Due to this fact it is the *general and fundamental* definition of any classical equilibrium statistics. It can address nuclei and astrophysical objects as well. As these are not described by the conventional extensive Boltzmann-Gibbs thermodynamics, this is a mayor achievement of statistical mechanics. Moreover, all kind of phase transitions can be distinguished sharply and uniquely for even small systems. In contrast to the Yang-Lee singularities in Boltzmann-Gibbs canonical thermodynamics phase-separations are well treated.

Introduction

Classical Thermodynamics and the theory of phase transitions of homogeneous and large systems are some of the oldest and best established theories in physics. It may look strange to add anything new to this. Let me recapitulate what was told to us since > 100 years:

- Thermodynamics addresses large homogeneous systems at equilibrium (in the thermodynamic limit $N \rightarrow \infty|_{N/V=\rho, \text{homogeneous}}$).
- Phase transitions are the positive zeros of the grand-canonical partition sum $Z(T, \mu, V)$ as function of $e^{\beta\mu}$ (Yang-Lee-singularities). As the partition sum for a finite number of particles is always positive, zeros can only exist in the thermodynamic limit $V|_{\beta, \mu} \rightarrow \infty$.
- Micro and canonical ensembles are equivalent.
- Thermodynamics works with intensive variables T, P, μ . Fluctuations can mostly be ignored.

*contributed paper for the international conference "Gobal Phase Diagrams", Odessa 2003

- Unique Legendre mapping $E \Leftrightarrow T$.
- The heat capacity is always $C > 0$.
- Heat only flows from hot to cold (Clausius)
- Second Law only in infinite systems when the Poincaré recurrence time becomes infinite (much larger than the age of the universe (Boltzmann)).

Under these constraint only a tiny part of the real world of equilibrium systems is addressed. The ubiquitous non-homogeneous systems: nuclei, clusters, polymer, soft matter (biological) systems, but even the largest, astrophysical systems are not covered. Even normal systems with short-range coupling at phase separations are non-homogeneous and can only be treated within conventional homogeneous thermodynamics (e.g. van-der-Waals theory) by bridging the unstable region of negative compressibility by an artificial Maxwell construction. Thus even the original goal, for which Thermodynamics was invented some 150 years ago, the description of steam engines is only artificially solved. There is no (grand-) canonical ensemble of phase separated and, consequently, non-homogeneous, configurations. This has a deep reason as I will discuss below: here the systems have a *negative* heat capacity (resp. susceptibility). This, however, is impossible in the (grand-)canonical theory where $C \propto (\delta E)^2$

2 Boltzmann's principle

The Microcanonical ensemble is the ensemble (manifold) of all possible points in the $6N$ dimensional phase space at the prescribed sharp energy E :

$$\begin{aligned} W(E, N, V) &= \epsilon_0 \text{tr} \delta(E - H_N) \\ \text{tr} \delta(E - H_N) &= \int \frac{d^{3N} p d^{3N} q}{N! (2\pi\hbar)^{3N}} \delta(E - H_N). \end{aligned}$$

Thermodynamics addresses the whole ensemble. It is ruled by the topology of the geometrical size $W(E, N, \dots)$, Boltzmann's principle:

$$\boxed{\boxed{S = k \ln W}} \quad (1)$$

is the most fundamental definition of the entropy S . Entropy and with it micro-canonical thermodynamics has therefore a pure mechanical, *geometrical* foundation. No information theoretical formulation is needed. Moreover, in contrast to the canonical entropy, $S(E, N, \dots)$ is everywhere single valued and multiple differentiable. There is no need for extensivity, no need of concavity, no need of additivity, and no need of the thermodynamic limit. This is a great advantage of the geometric foundation of equilibrium statistics over the conventional definition by the Boltzmann-Gibbs canonical theory. However, addressing entropy to finite eventually small systems we will face a new problem with Zermelo's objection against the monotonic rise of entropy, the Second Law, when the system approaches its equilibrium. This is discussed elsewhere [1, 2]. A second difficulty is: Without the thermodynamic limit surface effects are not scaled away. However, this is important as the creation of inhomogeneities and interphase surfaces is on the very heart of phase transitions of first order.

3 Topological properties of $S(E, \dots)$

The topology of the Hessian of $S(E, \dots)$, the determinant of curvatures of $s(e, n)$ determines completely all kinds phase transitions. This is evidently so, because $e^{S(E)-E/T}$ is the weight of each energy in the canonical partition sum at given T . Consequently, at phase separation this has at least two maxima, the two phases. And in between two maxima there must be a minimum where the curvature of $S(E)$ is positive. I.e. the positive curvature detects phase separation. This is of course also in the case of several conserved control parameters.


$$d(e, n) = \left\| \begin{array}{cc} \frac{\partial^2 s}{\partial e^2} & \frac{\partial^2 s}{\partial n \partial e} \\ \frac{\partial^2 s}{\partial e \partial n} & \frac{\partial^2 s}{\partial n^2} \end{array} \right\| = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \quad (2)$$

$$\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \quad \longrightarrow \text{eigenvectors :} \quad \mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2$$

Of course for a finite system each of these maxima of $S(E, \dots) - E/T$ have a non-vanishing width. There are intrinsic fluctuations in each phase.

3.1 Unambiguous signal of phase transitions in a "Small" system

Nevertheless, the whole zoo of phase-transitions can be sharply seen and distinguished. This is here demonstrated for the Potts-gas model on a two dimensional lattice of *finite* size of 50×50 lattice points, c.f. fig.(1).



of the model plays between these two boundaries. At the dark-gray lines the Hessian is $\det = 0$, this is the boundary of the region of phase separation (the triangle AP_mB) with a negative Hessian ($\lambda_1 > 0, \lambda_2 < 0$). Here, we have Pseudo-Riemannian geometry. At the light-gray lines is a minimum of $\det(e, n)$ in the direction of the largest curvature ($\mathbf{v}_{\lambda_{max}} \cdot \nabla \det = 0$) and $\det = 0$, these are lines of second order transition. In the triangle AP_mC is the pure ordered (solid) phase ($\det > 0, \lambda_1 < 0$). Above and right of the line CP_mB is the pure disordered (gas) phase ($\det > 0, \lambda_1 < 0$). The crossing P_m of the boundary lines is a multi-critical point. It

Figure 1: Global phase diagram or contour plot of the curvature determinant (Hessian), eqn. (2), of the 2-dim Potts-3 lattice gas with $50 * 50$ lattice points, n is the number of particles per lattice point, e is the total energy per lattice point. The line $(-2,1)$ to $(0,0)$ is the ground-state energy of the lattice-gas as function of n . The most right curve is the locus of configurations with completely random spin-orientations (maximum entropy). The whole physics

is also the critical end-point of the region of phase separation ($\det < 0, \lambda_1 > 0, \lambda_2 < 0$). The light-gray region around the multi-critical point P_m corresponds to a flat, horizontal region of $\det(e, n) \sim 0$ and consequently to a somewhat extended cylindrical region of $s(e, n)$ and $\nabla \lambda_1 \sim \mathbf{0}$, details see [3, 4]; C is the analytically known position of the critical point which the ordinary $q = 3$ Potts model (without vacancies) would have in the thermodynamic limit

3.2 Heat can flow from cold to hot

Clausius' first version of the second law is violated in regions of negative heat capacity. Taking energy out of such a system its temperature $T = (dS/dE)^{-1}$ can rise whereas the temperature of the – originally– hotter recipient will drop.

4 Negative heat capacity as signal for a phase transition of first order.

4.1 Nuclear Physics

A very detailed illustration of the appearance of negative heat capacities in nuclear level densities is given by d.Agostino et al. [5].

4.2 Atomic clusters




Figure 2: Cluster fragmentation. Here I show the simulation of a typical fragmentation transition of a system of 3000 sodium atoms interacting by realistic (many-body) forces. To compare with usual macroscopic conditions, the calculations were done at each energy using a volume $V(E)$ such that the micro-canonical pressure $P = \frac{\partial S}{\partial V} / \frac{\partial S}{\partial E} = 1 \text{atm}$. The inserts above give the mass distribution at the various

points. The label "4:1.295" means 1.295 quadrimers on average. This gives a detailed insight into what happens with rising excitation energy over the transition region: At the beginning ($e^* \sim 0.442 \text{ eV}$) the liquid sodium drop evaporates 329 single atoms and 7.876 dimers and 1.295 quadrimers on average. At energies $e > \sim 1 \text{ eV}$ the drop starts to fragment into several small droplets ("intermediate mass fragments") e.g. at point 3: 2726 monomers, 80 dimers, ~ 10 trimers, ~ 30 quadrimers and a few heavier ones up to 10-mers. The evaporation residue disappears. This multifragmentation finishes at point 4. It induces the strong backward swing of the caloric curve $T(E)$. Above point 4 one has a gas of free monomers and at the beginning a few dimers. This transition scenario has a lot similarity with nuclear multifragmentation. It is also shown how the total interphase surface area, proportional to $N_{eff}^{2/3} = \sum_i N_i^{2/3}$ with $N_i \geq 2$ (N_i the number of atoms in the i th cluster) stays roughly constant up to point 3 even though the number of fragments ($N_{fr} = \sum_i$) is monotonic rising with increasing excitation.

4.3 Stars

The appearance of a negative heat capacity of equilibrated self gravitating systems is well known [6]. It was always considered as an absurd pitfall of thermodynamics. In our generalized theory this phenomenon turns out as the standard occurrence of pseudo-Riemannian geometry of $S(E, L, N)$ c.f.[7]. In the mixed




Figure 3: Phases and Phase-Separation in Rotating, Self-Gravitating Systems, Physical Review Letters– July 15, 2002, cover-page, by (Votyakov, Hidmi, De Martino, Gross




Figure 4: Microcanonical phase-diagram of a cloud of self-gravitating and rotating system as function of the energy and angular-momentum. Outside the dashed boundaries only some singular points were calculated.

phase the largest curvature λ_1 of $S(E, L)$ is positive. Consequently the heat capacity or the correspondent susceptibility is negative. The new and important point of our finding is that within microcanonical thermodynamics this is *a generic property of all phase transitions of first order, whether there is a short- or a long-range force that organizes the system.*

Self-gravitation leads to a non-extensive potential energy $\propto N^2$. No thermodynamic limit exists for E/N and no canonical treatment makes sense. At negative total energies these systems have a negative heat capacity. This was for a long time considered as an absurd situation within canonical statistical mechanics with its thermodynamic “limit”. However, within our geometric theory this is just a simple example of the pseudo-Riemannian topology of the microcanonical entropy $S(E, N)$ provided that high densities with their non-gravitational physics, like nuclear hydrogen burning, are excluded. We treated the various phases of a self-gravitating cloud of particles as function of the total energy and angular momentum, c.f. the quoted paper. Clearly these are the most important constraint in astrophysics.

5 Conclusion

Entropy has a simple and elementary mechanical definition by the *area* $e^{S(E, N, \dots)}$ of the microcanonical ensemble in the $6N$ dim. phase space. Canonical ensembles are not equivalent to the micro-ensemble in the most interesting situations:

1. At phase-separation (\longrightarrow heat engines !), one gets inhomogeneities, and a negative heat capacity or some other negative susceptibility,
2. Heat can flow from cold to hot.
3. Phase transitions can be localized sharply and unambiguously in small classical or quantum systems, there is no need for finite size scaling to identify the transition.
4. Also really large self-gravitating systems can be addressed.

Entropy rises during the approach to equilibrium, $\Delta S \geq 0$, also for small mixing systems. i.e. the Second Law is valid even for small systems [1, 2]. With this geometric foundation thermo-statistics applies not only to extensive systems but also to non-extensive ones which have no thermodynamic limit.

It is only by this extension of thermo-statistics that the real and deeper sources of thermodynamics become uncovered. It addresses a much larger world of phenomena. The old puzzle of the anomalous behavior of self-gravitational systems is resolved. The first time thermodynamics does justice to its original goal of treating properly the liquid-gas phase-separation, the motor of steam engines.

References

- [1] D.H.E. Gross. Ensemble probabilistic equilibrium and non-equilibrium thermodynamics without the thermodynamic limit. In Andrei Khrennikov, editor, *Foundations of Probability and Physics*, number XIII in PQ-QP: Quantum Probability, White Noise Analysis, pages 131–146, Boston, October 2001. ACM, World Scientific.
- [2] D.H.E. Gross. Second law in classical non-extensive systems. In D. Sheehan, editor, *Proceedings of the First International Conference on Quantum Limits to the Second Law*, pages cond-mat/0209467, University of San Diego, 2002.
- [3] D.H.E. Gross and E. Votyakov. Phase transitions in "small" systems. *Eur.Phys.J.B*, 15:115–126, (2000), <http://arXiv.org/abs/cond-mat/?9911257>.
- [4] D.H.E. Gross. *Microcanonical thermodynamics: Phase transitions in "Small" systems*, volume 66 of *Lecture Notes in Physics*. World Scientific, Singapore, 2001.
- [5] M. D'Agostino, F. Gulminelli, Ph. Chomaz, M. Bruno, F. Cannata, R. Bougault, F. Gramegna, I. Iori, N. le Neindre, G.V. Margagliotti, A. Moroni, and G. Vannini. Negative heat capacity in the critical region of nuclear fragmentation: an experimental evidence of the liquid-gas phase transition. *Phys.Lett.B*, 473:219–225, 2000.
- [6] W. Thirring. Systems with negative specific heat. *Z. f. Phys.*, 235:339–352, 1970.
- [7] E.V. Votyakov, H.I. Hidmi, A. De Martino, and D.H.E. Gross. Microcanonical mean-field thermodynamics of self-gravitating and rotating systems. *Phys.Rev.Lett.*, 89:031101–1–4; <http://arXiv.org/abs/cond-mat/0202140>, (2002).