Effect of Screening on Spin Polarization in a Two-Dimensional Electron Gas

V. V'yurkov† and A. Vetrov‡

[†]Computer Solid State Physics Laboratory, University of Aizu,
Aizu-Wakamatsu 965-8580, Japan
[‡]Institute of Physics and Technology, Russian Academy of Sciences,
Moscow 117218, Russia ¹

Abstract.

The experimentally observed amazing dependence of a critical magnetic field B_c of a full field-induced spin polarization and a spin susceptibility χ^* of a two-dimensional electron gas on the electron density can be explained by screening of the Coulomb potential. The possibility of spontaneous full spin polarization expected at lower electron densities also crucially depends on screening.

1 Introduction

Among spin related phenomena one of the most intriguing is a spontaneous spin polarization (magnetization) of a two-dimensional electron gas (2DEG).

In absence of direct methods to measure a 2DEG magnetization only indirect evidence is so far available. The experiments of Shashkin et al. [1] revealed almost a linear dependence of a critical magnetic field B_c of full spin polarization versus 2DEG density n in Si inversion layers. The full polarization state was associated with the onset of a saturation of a magnetoresistance in a parallel magnetic field. The extrapolation of the experimental curve to $B_c=0$ gave a non-zero value of n. It looked like a manifestation of a possibility of spontaneous spin polarization at lower electron density. However, the recent breakthrough to a more dilute 2DEG allowed to Pudalov et al. [2] to discover a substantial declination of $B_c(n)$ curve from the conjecture of Ref. [1]. A possibility of spontaneous spin polarization remains thus still unclear [3,4].

The main goal of present communication is to elucidate the impact of screening on a 2DEG spin polarization. Just screening results in the behavior of $B_c(n)$ and $\chi^*(n)$ similar to that in the experiment.

2 Model of induced and spontaneous spin polarization

We adopt a simplified model for the two-dimensional Fourier transform of the screened Coulomb potential

$$V(q) = \frac{2\pi e}{\kappa} \frac{1}{q + \lambda^{-1}},\tag{1}$$

¹[†] Corresponding author. E-mail: vyurkov@u-aizu.ac.jp

On leave from Institute of Physics and Technology, Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow 117218, Russia

where κ is the permittivity, e is the elementary charge, and λ is the model screening length. The latter could be defined by the 2DEG itself or by adjacent electrodes.

The polarization degree $\eta = |n_+ - n_-|/n$ where n_+ and n_- are spin-up and spin-down electron densities, respectively, while $n = (n_+ + n_-)$ being the total electron concentration is derived via minimization of a total energy E_{tot} including kinetic, interaction (exchange, correlation), and Zeeman contributions. Here the direct Coulomb interaction is omitted as it does not depend on a spin polarization. We employ a quite common model

$$E_{tot} = \frac{\pi \hbar^2}{g_{\nu} m_b} (n_+^2 + n_-^2) + I - \frac{1}{2} g_0 \mu_B B(n_+ - n_-), \qquad (2)$$

where I is an interaction term, m_b is the mean electron band mass, g_{ν} is the valley degeneracy, g_0 is the free electron g-factor, μ_B is the Bohr magneton, and B is a magnetic field. The valley degeneracy g_{ν} equals 4 for the 2DEG in a silicon structur.

For the non-interacting electron gas (I=0) the minimization of E_{tot} with respect to a polarization degree η gives rise to a linear dependence of B_c on n. Obviously, $B_c=0$ when n=0. As for the spin susceptibility $\chi^* \propto \eta/B$, it is constant and proportional to the product $m_b g_0=2m_b$. For interacting electron gas it is convenient to express the spin susceptibility as $\chi^* \propto m^* g^*$ where $m^* g^*$ is a virtual product of electron mass and g-factor.

For fairly small electron densities the interaction term in Eq. (2) becomes essential.

Two plausible models of interaction could be used. The first one is that of Kohn-Sham (KS), widely employed for the interacting 2DEG description. The second one invokes the Ising (I) model widely exploited for spin lattice description. According for the KS model the interaction is

$$I_{KS} = I(n_{+}) + I(n_{-}).$$
(3)

Here the exchange and correlation belong to the electrons with parallel spins. If the interaction is associated with exchange, the values of $I(n_{\pm})$ are supplied by integrals

$$I(n_{\pm}) = -\frac{g_{\nu}}{2} \int_{\Omega_{\pm}} \frac{d^2 k_1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\Omega_{\pm}} \frac{d^2 k_2}{(2\pi)^2} eV(k_1 - k_2), \tag{4}$$

where the integrations are performed over the circle interior Ω_{\pm} corresponding to the Fermi wave vector $k_{F\pm} = \sqrt{\pi n_{\pm}}$ (see Fig.1). In the limit $k_{F\pm} >> 1/\lambda$

$$I(n_{\pm}) \sim -(e^2/\kappa k_{F\pm})n_{\pm}^2.$$
 (5)

i.e., as that for unscreened potential. In the opposite limit when $k_{F\pm} >> 1/\lambda$

$$I(n_{\pm}) \sim -(e^2 \lambda/\kappa) n_{\pm}^2. \tag{6}$$

In the intermediate range of $k_{F\pm}$ the interaction terms $I(n_{\pm})$ may be numerically calculated via Eq. (4) for the screened Coulomb potential of Eq. (1). Evidently, the critical field $B = B_c$ should be associated with $\eta = 1$. According to the



Figure 1: Two-dimensional momentum k-space of electrons in 2DEG; k_{F+} and k_{F-} denote the corresponding Fermi wave vectors for spin-up and spin-down polarized electrons, respectively.

Ising model the interaction term looks like

$$I_I = I_{KS} + g_{\nu} \int_{\Omega_+} \frac{d^2 k_1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\Omega_-} \frac{d^2 k_2}{(2\pi)^2} eV(k_1 - k_2).$$
(7)

Here the interaction among electrons with opposite spin orientation is added.

3 Spin polarization and conductivity

The interplay of spin polarization and conductivity is worthy of being touched here as the experiments mentioned dealt with measurements of conductivity to detect the spin polarization.

Actually, a probable reason of the resistance growth and saturation observed in the experiments might be a lowered density of states (DOS) at the Fermi level caused by spin polarization. It could be also a likely cause of the metal-insulator transition if a spontaneous spin polarization (full or partial) may occur at zeroth magnetic field. Theoretically the lowered DOS at the Fermi level in the spin polarized state was derived for 1DEG [5] to explain the observable declination of a quantum wire conductance from the conductance quantum, in particular, the so called "0.7 step". The existance of the lowered DOS ("pseudo-gap") at the Fermi level caused by spin polarization was also confirmed for 2DEG by calculations in [6].

Worth noting for the dependence of DOS deepening on the spin polarization degree to be substantial the Ising-like model for the exchange interaction should be employed instead of the conventional Kohn-Sham model. In detail this problem is discussed in [7].

4 Results and discussion

The calculated dependences of the critical magnetic field B_c on the electron sheet density n for the silicon structure are presented in Fig.2. We used the Ising model for the exchange interaction given by Eq. (7). The parameters of the structure are as follows. The electron mass equals $m=0.36m_0$ where m_0 is the free electron mass, $\kappa = (\kappa_{Si} + \kappa_{SiO_2})/2 = 7.8$ is the mean permittivity at the Si/SiO₂ interface, and the valley degeneracy is $g_{\nu}=4$. The curve (1) corresponds to the model screening length $\lambda=13$ nm. The filled dots are taken from the experiment of Ref. [5]. The dashed line reproduces the conjecture of Ref. [1].

The calculated curve (1) in Fig.2 to the best advantage coincides with the experimental points. It predicts a spontaneous spin polarization for $n=0.4 \ 10^{11} \ \mathrm{cm}^{-2}$ if the model screening length λ fitted to experimental curve in Ref. [4] is actually as large as 13nm. However, the achieved electron densities are above 0.7 $\ 10^{11} \ \mathrm{cm}^{-2}$ yet. For fairly small screening length λ (for instance, if it approaches the Bohr radius) the screening results in both B_c and n simultaneously come to zero and spontaneous spin polarization does not exist. This is illustrated by the curve (2) calculated for ($\lambda=5$ nm).

The calculated spin susceptibility $\chi^* \propto g^* m^*/2m_b$ against the parameter r_s is plotted in Fig. 3. The ratio $r_s \sim (n)^{-1/2}/a_B$ characterizes the strength of Coulomb interaction with respect to the kinetic energy. The calculated curve in Fig. 3 also agrees well with experimental points (filled circles) because it is merely another presentation of results in Fig. 2.

It should be emphasized that for unscreened Coulomb potential both models for the exchange interaction (KS and I) presented here inevitably lead to the existence of spontaneous spin polarization at sufficiently low electron density, that is, at high value of the parameter r_s .

The KS model was also used to fit to the experimental curve $B_c(n)$. The model screening length λ turned out to be very large (about 100nm) compared with that obtained for the Ising model. It could be said that the Ising model is more "resistive" against screening.

As for the partial spontaneous spin polarization, i.e., $\eta < 1, B=0$, in the frame

of the present models it could be hardly possible. Unlike to the induced spin polarization the spontaneous one has only an abrupt transition to a fully polarized state at sufficiently low electron density.

In the experiments [1-4] the critical field B_c , when the full spin polarization arose, was indirectly detected by the onset of a saturation of a magnetoresistance. Therefore, it could be a discrepancy between the measured B_c and the actual one. In our opinion, the critical field B_c was underestimated in the experiments.

Hopefully, the methods of a direct measurement of 2DEG magnetization will be involved in the investigation. For example, in the recent experiments of Bagraev et al. [8] a nucleus magnetic resonance (NMR) of ²⁹Si atoms in the vicinity of a quantum wire was employed to detect its spin polarization. It was the first direct confirmation of the assumption that the so called "0.7 step" in a quantum wire conductance originates just in a spontaneous spin polarization.

5 Conclusions

The experimentally observed dependences of a critical magnetic field B_c of a full field-induced spin polarization and a spin susceptibility χ^* of a two-dimensional electron gas on the electron density are explained by screening of the Coulomb potential. The feasibility of spontaneous full spin polarization expected at lower



Figure 2: The calculated dependences $\mu_B B_c$ vs electron sheet density n (solid lines) for screening length $\lambda = 13nm$ (1) and $\lambda = 5nm$ (2). The dots correspond to the experiment of Ref. [5]. The dashed line reproduces the conjecture of Ref. [1].



Figure 3: The calculated dependence of the parameter $g^*m^*/2m_b$ proportional to the spin susceptibility χ^* against the parameter r_s for screening length $\lambda=13$ nm. The dots correspond to the experimental data from Ref. [2]

electron densities also crucially depends on this screening.

References

- A. A. Shashkin, S. V. Kravchenko, V. T. Dolgopolov, and T. M. Krapwijk, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87 (2001) 086801.
- [2] V. M. Pudalov, M. E. Gershenson, H. Kojima et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 88 (2002) 196404.
- [3] S. V. Kravchenko, A. A. Shashkin and V. T. Dolgopolov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89 (2002) 219701.
- [4] V. M. Pudalov, M. E. Gershenson, H. Kojima et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 89 (2002) 219702.
- [5] V. Vyurkov and A. Vetrov, Nanotechnology 11 (2000) 336.
- [6] V. Vyurkov and A. Vetrov, cond-mat/0105361 (2001).

- [7] V. Vyurkov, A. Vetrov, and V. Ryzhii, Int. Symp. Nanostructures: Physics and Technology, St.Petersburg, June 23-28, 2003, Book of Extended Abstracts, to be published.
- [8] N. T. Bagraev, A. D. Buravleuv, W. Gehlhoff et al., Physica E, to be published.