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#### Abstract

$W$ e consider the $m$ agnetophoresis problem within the $R$ ubinstein \{ D uke $m$ odel, i.e. a reptating polym er pulled by a constant eld applied to a single repton at the edge of a chain. Extensive density $m$ atrix renorm alization calculations are presented of the drift velocity and the pro le of the chain for various strengths of the driving eld and chain lengths. $W$ e show that the velocities and the average densities of stored length are well described by sim ple interpolating crossover form ulae, derived under the assum ption that the di erence betw een the drift and curvilinear velocities van ishes for su ciently long chains. The pro les, which describe the average shape of the reptating chain, also show interesting features as som e non-m onotonic behavior of the links densities for su ciently strong pulling elds. W e develop a description in which a distinction is $m$ ade between links entering at the pulled head and at the unpulled tail. At weak elds the separation betw een the head zone and the tail zone $m$ eanders through the whole chain, while the probability of nding it close to the edges drops o . At strong elds the tail zone is con ned to a sm all region close to the unpulled edge of the polym er.


PACS num bers: $47.50 .+\mathrm{d}, 05.10 .-\mathrm{a}, 83.10 \mathrm{~K} \mathrm{a}$
I. INTRODUCTION

Them agnetophoresisproblem $s$ is a $m$ em ber ofthe class of reptation problem $s$ in which a long polym er is driven through a gel. The reptative $m$ otion of the polym er can be succesfully m odeled by a lattice version using sections of the polym er (the reptons) as the m obile units, which hop stochastically from cell to cell. The driving eld is incorporated as a bias in the hopping rates, favoring the $m$ otion in the eld direction. A sim ple and adequate m odel is the R ubistein -D ukem odel [1] [1] , which represents the polym er as a chain of $N$ independently $m$ oving reptons, w th the restriction that the integrity of the chain is preserved. The reptons trace out a connected string of cells in space, each cell containing at least one repton. The cells, which can be multiply occupied, carry the extra reptons as units of stored length. In order to preserve the integrity of the chain, only those reptons which are located in cells w ith stored length can hop.

U sually one considers the case of polyelectrolytes in which the reptons are uniform ly charged. Thus the driving eld pulls equally on all reptons and the bias is the sam e for the hopping rates all along the chain. A practical situation where this occurs is in DNA electrophoresis $\left.\bar{E} \bar{Z}_{1}\right]$. The D NA m olecules, being acid, get charged in solution and when they are placed in a gelsub ject to an external electric eld they perform a biased reptative $m$ otion along the eld direction. Electrophoresis is a technique of great im portance in m olecular biology and sequence analysis, as it allow s to separate D NA strands according to their length

In nature the charge distribution is of course not always uniform. The extrem e altemative is the case where
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FIG.1: Exam ples of con gurations of reptating polym ers in the R ubistein \{ $D$ uke $m$ odel in the case of (a) $E$ lectrophoresis and (b) M agnetophoresis. B lack reptons perform a biased motion along the direction of the applied eld while white reptons are unbiased. The con guration for a chain $w$ ith $N$ reptons is given by a set of $N \quad 1$ integers ( $\mathrm{y}_{1} ; \mathrm{y}_{2}::: \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{N}} 1$ 1) $m$ easuring the distance of two neighboring reptons along the eld direction (thus $y_{i}=0 ; 1$ ). For the two exam ples show $n$ the coordinates are $(1 ; 0 ; 1 ; 1 ; 1 ; 0 ; 1 ; 1)$ for (a) and ( $1 ; 1 ; 1 ; 0 ; 1 ; 1 ; 1 ; 1 ; 0 ; 1 ; 0$ ) for (b).
all reptons are neutral except one end repton, which is charged. A possible realization of such a situation is a m agnetic bead, attached at one end of the polym er, which is driven by a $m$ agnetic eld. Therefore this case can be referred to as the $m$ agnetophoresis (MP) problem [3ై]. It is the sub ject of th is paper. A s w e w ill frequently com pare our ndings $w$ th the $m$ ore com $m$ on case of the uniform ly charged polym er, wew ill , forbriefness, refer to the latter as the electrophoresis (EP) problem and to the present one as the M P problem, although the distinction betw een the two is not electrom agnetic, but only in the foroes exerted on the reptons (see Fig. .1'19).

The MP problem, within the fram ew ork of the Rubinstein \{D uke model, has so far been studied by $m$ eans of $M$ onte C arlo sim ulations [ $\left[\begin{array}{ll}1]\end{array}\right]$ and the calculations were $m$ ostly restricted to the drift velocity as function of the applied eld and chain length. In this paper we analyze the M P problem by m eans of density-m atrix renorm alization-group (D M RG) techniques, which allow us to perform a detailed analysis ofboth globalquantities asdrift and curvilinearvelocities and di usion coe cient, but also on local average shapes of the polym er.

The dynam ics of the reptating chain is govemed by the $M$ aster Equation which we put in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@ P(y ; t)}{@ t}=y_{y^{0}}^{X} H\left(y ; y^{0}\right) P\left(y^{0} ; t\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $y$ stands for the set of links $y_{1}$; $\quad$; $y_{1}$, where $y_{j}$ $m$ easures the distance betw een the repton $j$ and $j+1$, along the direction of the applied eld. In our lattioe representation the $y_{j}$ can take the values 1 and 0 . T he value $y_{j}=0$ corresponds to the case that the reptons $j$ and $j+1$ occupy the sam e cell. $T$ hus each zero is a unit of stored length. The non $\{$ zero values represent the cases where j+1 occupies a cell \higher" (1) or \lower" ( -1 ) than $j$. H igher and lower refer to a position in the direction of the eld. y represents a com plete con guration of the chain (see Fig. $\left.{ }_{1}^{\prime 1} 1 \mathbf{1}\right)$. P ( $y ; t$ ) is the probability distribution of the con guration at time $t$ and the $m$ atrix $\mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{y} \boldsymbol{;} \mathrm{y}^{0}\right)$ contains the gain and loss transitions from $\mathrm{y}^{0}$ to $y$. The bias in the hopping rate is contained in the $m$ atrix elem ents of $H$. Generally we have for the bias factor

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{j}=\exp \left(a q_{j} E=k_{B} T\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ ith $q_{j}$ the charge of repton $j, E$ the driving eld, a the distance betw een ad jacent cells ( $m$ easured along the eld direction) and $k_{B} T$ the standard combination of Boltz$m$ ann's constant and the absolute tem perature. In the M P problem all $q_{j}=0$ except for $j=N . W$ e put

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{N} \quad B=\exp ("=2) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and use " as the param eter for the driving eld. The other param eter of the m odel is the num ber of reptons N .

We have chosen this \ham iltonian form " of the M aster Equation in order to stress the form alcorrespondence $w$ th a quantum $m$ echanicalm odel govemed by a ham iltonian $m$ atrix. TheDM RG m ethod exploits this analogy and indeed its success in one-dim ensionalquantum problem s carries over to reptation problem s [ interested in the stationary state of the probability distribution. In the quantum language this corresponds to nding the right eigenvector of H belonging to the eigenvalue zero. The adaptation of the DMRG m ethod to the M P problem is straightforw ard and the data presented in this paper are obtained by the DMRG m ethod. An im portant di erence $w$ th the $M$ aster Equation is that in
quantum $m$ echanicalproblem $s$ the ham iltonian is hem itian, whereas in the reptation problem the $m$ atrix $H$ is non \{hem itian, due to the in uence of the driving eld. $T$ his restricts the applicability of the D M RG \{m ethod to m oderately long chains and/or sm alldriving elds.

The physics of the EP and MP problems is qualitatively di erent. A s illustration consider the weak eld case (" sm all), where the $N$ emst\{ $E$ instein relation $\mathrm{v}=\mathrm{F}$ D relates the driff velocity v to the total applied force $F$ and the di usion coe cient D. The force F, equals $N$ " in the EP case, since one pulls at each repton. It is well know $n$ that $v$ scales as $v \quad "=N$, yielding for the di usion the non \{trivial result $D \quad N^{2}$. In the M P problem D w ill be essentially the sam e, as hopping is lim ited by the availability of stored length. In both cases the $m$ otion can be considered as di usion of stored length. Since $F="$ in the MP problem, we expect the drift velocity to scale as v " $\mathrm{N}^{2}$, a feature which is bom out by our calculations.

In the Sec. "III we discuss som em om ent equations derived from the $M$ aster Equation which are $m$ ore helpful then in the EP problem in analyzing the drift $\{$ and curvilinear velocity. They are expressed in term s of the probabilities $n_{j}^{k}$ that the link $j$ has the value $y_{j}=k$. The sum of the $n_{j}^{k}$ adds up to 1

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{j}^{0}+n_{j}^{+}+n_{j}=1 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

So it su ces to consider the two quantities $n{ }_{j}^{0}$ and $m_{j}$ de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{j}^{0}=h 1 \quad y_{j}^{2} i \quad m_{j}=h y_{j} i=n_{j}^{+} \quad n_{j} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$n_{j}^{0}$ can be called the localdensity of stored length. $m_{j}$ is a $m$ easure for the local orientation and $w i l l$ be referred to as the pro le of the chain.
 velocities and the pro les. T he analysis is m ost transparent in the strong eld lim it where we can $m$ ake an ansatz which alm ost perfectly represents the data. In section ' $\bar{I} \overline{\mathrm{I}}$, we discuss the behavior of the pro le forweak and strong pulling elds.

## II. MOMENTSOFTHEMASTEREQUATION

The DM RG m ethod deals with the whole probability distribution $P(y)$. In the MP problem it is fruitful to consider m om ents of the M aster Equation. O ne set of m om ents is obtained by multiplying Eq. ( $\overline{\underline{1}} \mathbf{- 1})$ w ith $\mathrm{y}_{j}$ and then sum $m$ ing over all $y$. This leads to $N \quad 1$ relations which can be seen as an expression of the fact that the drift velocity $v$ across all the $N \quad 1$ links of the chain $m$ ust be the sam $e$ on the average. A $n$ even $m$ ore useful set of relations is obtained by $m$ ultiplying the $M$ aster Equation by $y_{j}^{2}$ and sum $m$ ing over all $y$. T he resulting N 1 relations are an expression of the fact that the curvilinear velocity $J$ is the sam e across all links. T hese
relations obtain the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
J=n_{j 1}^{0} \quad n_{j}^{0} \quad 1<j<N \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be view ed as the fam iliar law that the current $J$ equals $m$ inus the gradient of the density of the stored length. In addition to Eq. ( $\overline{6}$ ) one has two relations [1] $]$ conceming the tra c in and out both ends of the chain. They read

$$
\begin{align*}
& J=13 n_{1}^{0} ; \\
& J=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
n_{N}^{0} & 1 & n_{N} & 1
\end{array}\right) B+\left(\begin{array}{lllll}
n_{N}^{0} & 1 & n_{N}^{+} & 1
\end{array}\right) B^{1} \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

The expression for the drift velocity involves correlations betw een neighboring links

$$
\mathrm{v}=\mathrm{h}\left(1 \quad \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{j}}^{2} \quad 1\right) \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{j}} \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \mathrm{y}_{j}^{2} \tag{8}
\end{array}\right) \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{j} 1} \mathrm{i}
$$

and it is therefore not as in form ative as Eq. (G). A s one sees Eq. $\overline{\underline{I}}_{1}$ ) involves only averages over the rst (last) link. This holds also for the expressions for the drift velocity in term s of the averages of the rst and last link.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{v}=\mathrm{m}_{1} ; \\
& \mathrm{v}=\left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N}}^{0} \quad 1+\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N}} \quad 1\right) \mathrm{B} \quad\left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N}}^{0} \quad 1+\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N}}^{+} \quad{ }_{1}\right) \mathrm{B} \quad 1 \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

These equations have been derived by Barkem a and Schutz [3] [3] using balance argum ents.

Equation ( $(\bar{\sigma})$ is a pow erful relation since it allow s to express the density ofstored length in term sof the curvilinear velocity

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{j}^{0}=n_{1}^{0} \quad(j \quad 1) J \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

show ing that the density pro le is linear in the position of the œell. In particular (1d) im plies a relation betw een the densities of the rst and last cell

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N}}^{0} \quad 1 & =\mathrm{n}_{1}^{0} \quad(\mathbb{N} & 2) \mathrm{J} \tag{11}
\end{array}
$$

W e have used the linearity of the density $n_{j}^{0}$ as a check of the num erical calculations.

Counting the num ber of unknowns ( $\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{J}, \mathrm{n}_{1}^{0}, \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N}}^{0} \quad{ }_{1}$, $m_{1}, m_{N} 1$ ) and the num ber of equations $\left(\bar{I}_{1}\right),\left(\overline{q_{1}}\right)$ and (111) we see that we have one $m$ ore unknown than equations. This situation is sim ilar to the EP problem. There the expression for the curvilinear velocity does not obtain the simple form ( $\bar{G})$, due to the bias on the intemal reptons. So one $m$ isses relation $\left(1 \underline{I}_{1}^{1}\right)$. On the other hand $J=0$ for EP, due to the sym $m$ etry of the polym er on exchanging head and tail. Thus in both cases the $m$ om ent equations are not su cient to determ ine the velocities. $H$ igher $m$ om ents do not lead to additional inform ation since again higher order correlations appear.

## III. GLOBALQUANTITIES

W e discuss rst the behavior ofglobalquantities as the drift and curvilinearvelocities and the di usion constant.


F IG . 2: P lot of log v vs. log " for various N .
A. The W eak F ield Lim it

In the weak eld lim it the polym er assum es mostly a random con guration and all the densities $n_{j}^{k}$ are close to $1 / 3$. The overalbehavior of the drift velocity v as function of " and $N$ is given in $F$ ig. $\overline{12}$. N ote that the drift velocity becom es proportional to " for sm all", as expected on the basis of the $N$ emst \{ E instein relation discussed above. For stronger elds, the velocity saturates to a nite values, as discussed in the next paragraph. A sim ilar dependence of $v$ on "_and $N$ was observed in the $M$ onte C arlo study of Ref. [1-3]. The lim iting behavior for sm all " and large $N$ is thus:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}(\mathbb{N}) \quad \text { "D }(\mathbb{N}) \quad \frac{"}{\mathrm{~N}^{2}} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

with D $(\mathbb{N})$ the zero eld di usion coe cient. The scaling behavior of $D(\mathbb{N})$ as function of the length $N$ has been
 ory predicts that $D(\mathbb{N}) \quad \overline{1}=\mathrm{N}^{2}$, while con icting results appeared on experim entalm easurem ents, forwhich both $1=\mathrm{N}^{2}$ and, m ore recently, $1=\mathrm{N}^{2: 3}$ [ $\left.11^{\prime} 1\right]$ have been reported.

A detailed study of the scaling of $D(\mathbb{N})$, w ithin the Rubinstein \{ $D$ uke $m$ odelby $m$ eans ofD M RG m ethod was
 rates. In that case the di usion coe cient w as calculated from the lim iting value of the drift velocity for "! 0 , with the eld acting on all reptons (the EP problem). H ere we repeat the sam e analysis only for a single case (using a stretching rate $d=1$ follow ing the de nition of d of Refs. [4, w ith a sm all eld acting only on an end repton is that the DMRG procedure is $m$ uch $m$ ore stable in this case and one can com pute longer chains. This is due to the fact that in the M P problem non-herm iticity is restricted only to the repton where the eld is applied. A smentioned in the introduction non-herm iticity ham pers the e ciency


FIG.3: P lot of the e ective exponent (N) calculated for " = $10^{3}$ up to $N=51$ from the decay of the di usion coe cient $D(\mathbb{N})$ and plotted as a function of $1=\bar{N}$. The fact that $(\mathbb{N})$ approaches linearly the lim iting value 2 supports the scaling form for the di usion coe cient given in Eq.(13).
of the DMRG m ethod.
In order to calculate the di usion coe cient from the $N$ emst E instein relation $\mathrm{D}=\mathrm{lim}$ "! o $\mathrm{v}=$ " in practioe, we used a sm all eld ( $"=10{ }^{3}$ ) and checked explicitely that results do not change for sm aller elds. T he scaling behavior of the di usion coe cient is expected to be:

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(\mathbb{N}) N^{2}=A+\frac{A^{0}}{P^{N}}+::: \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $A$ and $A^{0}$ som e constants. The form of the subleading correction to $D(\mathbb{N})$ has been debated for a while [13 $]_{1}^{11}$ [ $]$ and recent D M RG results suggest that it is of the type 1= $\bar{N}$ [4], supporting Eq. (13). T he coe cient was determ ined exactly $[14,15,1]$ ]: $A=1=3$.

To analyze the scaling behaviorofd (N) it ism ost convenient to use the logarithm ic derivative of the DMRG data:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathbb{N})=\frac{\ln \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{N})] \quad \ln \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{N}+2)]}{\ln N \quad \ln (\mathbb{N}+2)} ; \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is show for $N=9,11, \ldots, 51$ in F ig. ${ }_{1}^{13} . \mathrm{P}$. P hagging Eq. (13) in Eq. (1) one nds for the e ective exponent $(\mathbb{N})=2+A^{0}=\left(2 A \bar{N}^{-}\right)$, a behavior which is accurately reproduced by our num ericaldata offig. $\overline{N_{1}}$. T he present results corroborate previous claim s [4] about the scaling form of ( N ).
B. The Strong Field Lim it

In the strong eld lim it the polym er assum es an oriented con guration, w ith the ' + ' links dom inating at the


FIG. 4: D i erence betw een the drift $v$ and curvilinear $J$ velocities as function of the applied eld and for various chain lengths.
pulled end. At the other end we still have a substantial am ount of links ' 0 ', since the polym er can only $m$ ove by the di usion of stored length from the tail to the head. Elim inating $n_{1}^{0}$ from Eq. (1-1 $)$ w the use ofEq. (Tl) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N}}^{0} \quad 1=\frac{1}{3}(1 \quad \mathrm{~K} J) ; \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $K=3 N \quad 5$. In order that $n_{N}^{0} \quad 1$ stays nite for N ! 1 , the curvilinear velocity m ust vanish as

$$
\begin{array}{llllll}
\mathrm{J} & \mathrm{~K} & 1 & \mathrm{~N} & ! & 1 \tag{16}
\end{array}
$$



FIG. 5: C om parison betw een the DMRG data (sym bols) and the crossover form ula (solid lines) of Eq. (19) for the curvilinear velocity J.

A s one sees from (19) this liming value is not su cient to determ ine the lim iting value of density $n_{N}^{0}{ }_{1}$, which is sensitive to the corrections to (1]). For the strong eld lim it it is useful to relate the drift velocity to the curvilinear velocity. W ith $(\underline{\underline{T}})$ and $(\bar{q})$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{v}=\mathrm{J}+2\left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N}} \quad{ }_{1} \mathrm{~B} \quad \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N}}^{0} \quad 1_{1} \mathrm{~B}^{1}\right) ; \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

N ow, if the polym er is fully stretched, $v$ and $J$ becom $e$ the sam e. In Fig. $J$ as calculated by DMRG for various elds and chain lengths. W e note that it is sm all for all values of " and N , in particular for strong elds and that this tendency is enforced for long polym ers. T hat it also is sm all in the sm all eld lim it is a consequence of the fact that both quantities vanish in that lim it. In order for the di erence to vanish we must have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N}}^{0} \quad 1, \mathrm{~B}^{2} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N}} \quad 1 \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

N ow we m ay use this relation as the 6th relation, which enables us to $m$ ake all the desired quantities explicit functions of " and N. W e nd for instance

$$
\begin{align*}
J(B)=v(B) & =\frac{B^{4} 2 B^{2}+1}{K\left(B^{4}+B^{2}+1\right)+3 B^{3}} ;  \tag{19}\\
n_{N}^{0} \quad{ }_{1}(B) & =\frac{1+B=K}{B^{2}+1+3 B=K+1=B^{2}}: \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

This explicit eld dependence is com pared to the data in

 and that it provides the proportionality coe cient.
 scribe the subtile dependencies in the lim it ofsm all elds $B=\exp ("=2)!$ 1. In this lim it the drift velocity vanishes as $v$ ", while one observes from (1]), that the curvilinear velocity vanishes as J $\mathbf{~ " ~}^{2}$. For this reason, in the lim it " ! 0, the crossover form ula ( $\left.1 \underline{1} \underline{1}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ predicts v $\quad{ }^{2}=(3 \mathrm{~N} \quad 5)$, in disagreem ent w ith the correct scaling behavior ofEq. (12 su er from this problem. W e note, for instance, that in the $\lim$ it $N$ ! 1 the saturation value of the velocity for B ! 1 is in agreem ent w ith the exact expression given in $R$ ef. $[\underline{3}]: v=1=(3 \mathrm{~N} \quad 5)$.

## IV. PROFILES

N ext w e discuss som epro les, i.e. the localorientation $m_{i} \quad h_{i} i$ as function of the segm ent position along the chain. W e consider $N \quad 1$ segm ents, thus $N$-reptons $w$ ith the charged one at head position N . Fig. ${ }_{1} 7$ ofm ${ }_{i}=$ as function of the scaled variable ( $\left.i \quad 1\right)=(\mathbb{N} \quad 2)$ for chains of various lengths and at xed eld " $=0: 001$. This pro le corresponds to the linear regim e where the drift velocity scales as $v$ ". The notable feature is a sym $m$ etry betw een head and tail $w$ ith respect to the center of the chain, although the $m$ agnetophoresis problem is clearly asym $m$ etric. This sym $m$ etry can be show $n$


FIG. 6: C om parison betw een the DMRG data (sym bols) and the crossover form ula (solid lines) of Eq. (201) for $n_{N}^{0} \quad$.


FIG . 7: A verage pro les $h y_{i} i="$ for various lengths and for $"=10^{3}$.
[1] ${ }^{\prime}$ ] to be strict in the weak eld lim it. It disappears for stronger values of the eld as F ig. ${ }^{\overline{1}} \mathrm{l}$, show S , w here pro les are plotted for $=1$ and various lengths N .

In order to analyze the data further we also plot the individual probabilities $n_{i}^{k}$ for having $a+; 0$ or at the site i of the chain. For sm all values of (not show $n$ here) the curves are all near $1=3$, $w$ th a slight excess of + links at the head and a depletion of links. The densities of + and links are m onotonically increasing and decreasing functions of the position i. For interm ediate elds $=1$, the densities are m ore interesting and in Fig. . $\overline{1}$, we plot the values of $n_{i}^{0}, n_{i}^{+}$and $n_{i}$ for $N=51$. The linear behavior for $n_{i}^{0}$ is consistent $w$ ith Eq. ( $1 \overline{1}_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ ). The curve for $n_{i}^{+}$is $m$ onotonically increasing, but that for $n_{i}$ is not m onotonically decreasing.

The qualitative behavior of the orientation pro le can be understood by considering the lorigin" of the nonzero links $\left(y_{i}=\ldots 1\right)$ as has been introduced by B arkem a and $N$ ew m an [80, In the MP problem $m$ ore links are created at the pulled head than at the tail. They stream gradually dow $n$ to the tail. W e can keep track for every link $y_{i}=1$, whether it is form ed at the head or at the tail. A fter su cient tim e the chain is divided into two zones: a head zone and a tailzone. T hey are separated by a sm allinterm ediate region $w$ th zeroes (w e do not follow the origin of the zeroes). The zones rem ain separated because the $y_{i}=1$, created at the head cannot cross the $y_{i}=1$ created at the tail. The division betw een the two zones uctuates in tim e and occasionally the tail zone disappears, while very rarely (particularly at large elds) the head zone vanishes. The larger the force on the head, the larger the asym $m$ etry betw een the head and tail zones. $W$ e supplem ent these speculations by $m$ aking an assum ption on the ratios

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{i}(j)=p_{i}^{+}(j)=p_{i} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $p_{i}(j)$ is the probability of nding a at iwhen the division is at j. W e put

$$
\begin{align*}
r_{i}(j)=r_{h} & \text { for } j<i  \tag{22}\\
r_{i}(j)=1 & \text { for } j \quad i \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

Since in the tail zone there is no distinction betw een + and we have set the ratio equal to 1 . The idea, underlying this assum ption, is that the + and links are interlocked. So while $m$ oving in their zone their ratio can not change.

At position i the average num ber of nonzero links (+ and ) is equal to $1 n_{i}^{0} . W$ e introduce $f_{i}$ as the fraction of such nonzero links which are in the head zone. O ne can express the densities $n_{i}$ in term $s$ of $f_{i}$ as


$$
\text { F IG . 8: A s in F ig. } \overline{7}_{1}^{1} \text { for } "=1 \text {. }
$$



F IG . 9: P lot of the average densities $\mathrm{hn}_{\mathrm{i}}^{+} \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{hn}_{\mathrm{i}}^{0} \mathrm{i}$ and $\mathrm{hn}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{i}$ for " = 1 and $N=51$. Inset: $B$ low up of the density $n_{i}$, show ing a non -m onotonic behavior w ith a linear increase as function of iby approaching the pulled edge.

$$
\begin{align*}
& 8 \\
& n_{i}^{+}=f_{i} \frac{r_{h}}{r_{h}+1}+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & f_{i}
\end{array}\right) \frac{1}{2} \quad\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & n_{i}^{0}
\end{array}\right] \\
& n_{i}=f_{i} \frac{1}{r_{h}+1}+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & f_{i}
\end{array}\right) \frac{1}{2} \quad\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & n_{i}^{0}
\end{array}\right] \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

In both equations the term s proportional to $f_{i}$ are the contributions that $i$ is in the head zone while the term $s$ proportionalto $1 f_{i}$ are the contributions from the case in which $i$ is in the tail zone. $W$ e see that in the $m$ agnetophoresis problem the situation simpli es, since we do not have to w orry about the tail zone. It drops out w hen we consider the pro le

$$
\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}}^{+} \quad \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}}=\frac{r_{\mathrm{h}}}{r_{\mathrm{h}}+1} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{i}}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}}^{0} \tag{25}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Thus the pro lem is, apart from the known factor 1 $n_{i}^{0}$, directly related to the fraction $f_{i}$. $T$ he latter has a simpler intenpretation. It starts out at $i=1 \mathrm{w}$ th a value nearly zero, since the head zone will only seldom ly extend over the whole chain. It ends at $i=N \quad 1$ at a value very close to 1 , since the tail zone $w$ ill hardly ever extend over the whole zone. $W$ e can use this fact to tie the ratio $r_{h}$ to the end point values, discussed earlier, by considering (25) for $i=N \quad 1$

$$
h y_{N} \quad 1 i=\frac{r_{h} \quad 1}{r_{h}+1}\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & n_{N}^{0} & 1 \tag{26}
\end{array}\right]
$$

and solving for $r_{h}$. It leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
h y_{i} i=h y_{N} \quad i \quad i f_{j} \frac{1 n_{i}^{0}}{1 \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N}}^{0} \quad 1} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

This form contains only $f_{i}$ as unknown. We can draw som e conclusions from Eqs. (22 $\mathbf{1}_{1}^{\prime}$ ) and (271) forweak elds, as well as for long chains at stronger elds.

## A. W eak Fields

For ! 0 wemay put

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{h}=1+a_{h} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function $1 \quad n_{i}^{0} w$ ill approach the $\lim$ it $2=3$, so ( 2 (25) becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
h y_{i} i=\frac{a_{h}}{3} f_{i} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the zero eld lim 迆 of the pro le we can take the zero eld $\lim$ it of $f_{i}$. It has the property that head and tail becom e equivalent or

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{i}=1 \quad f_{N+1} i \quad \text { or } \quad f_{i}+f_{N+1} i=1 \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

The zero eld lim it of $f_{i}$ has been determ ined in [ig $]$ by $M$ onte $C$ arlo sim ulations. $W$ e note that (3G) is consistent $w$ th the $m$ entioned [1" $\bar{q}]$ sym $m$ etry in hy ${ }_{i} \bar{i}$. O ne should have $a_{h}=2$ in order that the pro le becom es $2=3$ at the head, as is observed (see Fig. ${ }_{1}^{17}$ ). This is perfectly in agreem ent $w$ ith the value $r_{h}=B^{\frac{1}{4}} \quad 1+2$ "for sm all ". Combining ( $\mathbf{2}_{2}^{2}$ ) and the rst Eq. $(\overline{9})$ we nd that $f_{1}$ is a m easure for the drift velocity $v$. $\bar{A}$ ccording to (12) $f_{1}$ should vanish as $1=3 \mathrm{~N}^{2}$. This result has been derived in [ $\overline{-1} 1$ ].

A nother feature of $F$ ig. $\bar{i}_{1}$ seem $s$ to be the collapse of the data on a single curve. Further data on longer chains show that the attening\{o at the ends of the chain shrinks w ith the size of the system and that the slope in the $m$ iddle slow ly decreases. This is another $m$ anifestation of the slow approach tow ards the asym ptotic behavior [18] for large $N$.

N ote that if the division betw een the head and tail region were located w th equal probability on all sites of the chain then one would have simply $f_{i}=i=N$, which from Eq. (2d) im plies a linear pro le. The pro le off ig. $\overbrace{1}$ is linear only at the center of the chain, while it strongly deviates from linearity close to the edges. This im plies that the probability of nding the division betw een the head and tail regions is at in the center of the chain and drops o at the chain edges.

## B. Long C hains and stronger Fields

In this case the head zone w ill be dom inant beyond a certain point (i.e. $f_{i}=1$ for $i>i_{0}$ ) in the chain, thus
the division betw een the head and tail zones is expected to becom e localized close to the end of the chain which is not pulled. The curves in F ig. ${ }^{\text {I }}$, convincingly show this behavior. It is interesting to note that when $f_{i}!1, E q$. (2421) becom es:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \gtrless \\
& \gtrless n_{i}^{+}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & n_{i}^{0}
\end{array}\right] \frac{r_{h}}{r_{h}+1} \\
& \geqslant n_{i}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & n_{i}^{0}
\end{array}\right] \frac{1}{r_{h}+1} \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

It im $m$ ediately im plies that both $n_{i}^{+}$and $n_{i}$ are linearly increasing functions of $i$ in the head zone, being $n_{i}^{0}$ a linearly decreasing function of $i$ (see Eq. (10이) ). This explains the $m$ onotonic increase ofn $n_{i}$ close to the pulled end shown in the inset of Fig. ${ }_{1}, \mathbf{1}$. . N ote that using Eq. (31') one can estim ate $r_{h}$ from the ratio of the slopes of $n_{i}^{+-}$and $n_{i}$ in the head region. We nd a ratio $r_{h}{ }^{\prime} B^{4}$ in agreem ent with our crossover form ulae.

## V. D ISC U SSIO N

W e have presented a series of num erical and analytical results for the M P problem in the Rubinstein -D uke m odel, where a single reptating polym er is pulled by a constant driving eld applied to one polym er end. W e have shown that the num erical data for the drift- and curvilinearvelocities can be quite w ell rep roduced by sim ple interpolating form ulas follow ing from the assum ption that both velocities are equal in the lim it of long chains. Indeed the $m$ easured di erences are $s m$ all which show $s$ that the polym er is fairly stretched by the pulling force.

W e studied also localquantities, as the pro les, which provide in form ation on the shape of the reptating chain. $T$ hese are quite w ell understood using a representation in which the polym er is divided into a head and tail region, w th di erent ratios of + and links. At sm all elds the division between the two regions $m$ eanders trough the whole chain, and the probability of nding it close to the edgesdropso . A t strong elds the division gets localized close to the free end of the chain. M oreover som e pro les show an unexpected non-m onotonic behaviorw hich has a sim ple interpretation in the interface picture. T he precise shape of the pro les at weak elds close to the polym er edges, both at nite N and in the asym ptotic $\lim$ it N ! 1 , w ill be discussed in details elsew here [1] [1].
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