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#### Abstract

A cellular autom aton $m$ odeloftra $c$ ow taking into account velocity anticipation is introduced. $T$ he strength of anticipation can be varied which allow sto describe di erent driving schem es. $W$ e nd phase separation into a free- ow regim e and a so-called v-platoon in an interm ediate density regim e. In a v-platoon all cars $m$ ove $w$ ith velocity $v$ and have vanishing headway. The velocity v of a platoon only depends on the strength of anticipation. At high densities, a congested state characterized by the coex istence ofa 0 -platoon $w$ th severalv-platoons is reached. The results are not only relevant for autom ated highw ay system $s$, but also help to elucidate the e ects of anticipation that play an essential role in realistic tra c models. From a physics point of view the $m$ odel is interesting because it exhibits phase separation with a condensed phase in which particles m ove coherently w ith nite velocity coexisting with either a non-condensed (firee- ow ) phase or another condensed phase that is non-m oving.


PACS num bers: $45.70 . \mathrm{Vn}, 02.50 \mathrm{Ey}, 05.40 .-\mathrm{a}$

## I. IN TRODUCTION

In the last years, the continuous increase of tra c dem and hasprom pted authorities around the w orld to place $m$ ore em phasis on im proving the e ciency and capacity on the roadw ay system s. E cologicalconsiderations, space and budgetary constraints have lim ited solving tra c congestion by upgrading and constructing new roadw ay system s. A dvanced technologies for vehicular tra chave been developed as a $m$ ean to im prove the $m$ anagem ent of existing system and thus to solve tra c congestion, environm ental issues and im prove tra c safety. How ever, to achieve these aim $s$, an accurate forecast of the im pact of these technologies is critical before their nal deploym ent.

Testing these advanced technologies on real tra c is not alw ays feasible. In contrast, com puter sim ulations as m eans for evaluating control and $m$ anagem ent strategies in tra c system s have gained considerable im portance because of the possibility of taking into account the dynam ical aspects oftra c (see for exam ple, [fl assessing the perform ance of given advanced technology in a short time.

C ellular A utom ata (CA) models for tra $c$ ow [4-1 5-1 have shown the ability to capture the basic phenom ena in tra $c$ ow [ $[\underline{W}]$. C elhular autom ata are dynam ic mod od els in which späce, tim e and state variables are discrete.

[^0]D iscrete space consists of a regular grid of cells, each one of which can be in one of a nite number $k$ of possible states. A 11 cells are updated in discrete tim e-steps. The new state of a cll is determ ined by the actual state of the cell itself and its neighb or cells. This local interaction allow $s$ us to capture $m$ icro-leveldynam ics and propagates them to m acro-level behavior. The discrete nature of CA makes it possible to sim ulate large realistic tra c netw orks using a m icroscopic m odel faster than realtim e
 of the rst CA m odels, several theoretical studies and practical applications have im proved the understanding of em pirical tra c phenom ena (see e.g. [ M oreover, CA models have proved to be a realistic description of vehicular tra c in dense netw orks [in \$ \$

In this paper, we propose a single-lane probabilistic model based on the rst CA m odel of N agel and Schreckenberg $\left.{ }^{[4]}\right]$ (hereafter cited as the N aSch model) to describe the e ects of several anticipation schem es in tra $c$ ow. Anticipation in tra $c m e a n s$ that drivers estim ate their leader's velocities for future tim e-steps
 crease of the vehicular capacity and a decrease of the speed variance. H ow ever, inconporating di erent driving strategies requires a safety distance w ith respect to the preceding vehicles. For that purpose we introduce a new param eter in the deceleration process, called anticipatory driving param eter, to estim ate the velocity of the precedent vehicle. This estim ation, plus the real spatial distance to the leading vehicle, determ ine a safe braking distance. By appropriately tuning this new param eter di erent tra c situations of non-autom ated, autom ated and mixed tra c can be considered. Furtherm ore, the
anticipatory driving param eter is relevant for A utom ated H ighw ay System $S$ (A H S) [192

A ccording to simulation results from our proposed m odel, the relations derived from the density vs. velocity and density vs. ow curves are in agreem ent with the fiundam ental diagram s that describe these relations in realnon-autom ated tra $c$. In addition, sim ulation results from ourm odel in the case ofhigh anticipation (like autom ation) describe one ofthe interesting phenom ena in tra $c$ ow, form ation of platoons. W e w ill show that, in contrast to m odels w ithout anticipation, dense platoons can be form ed where all cars $m$ ove coherently w ith som e nite velocity $v>0$. Themechanism for platoon form ation is not only of great im portance for A H S to increase highw ay capacity in a much safer w ay [2].], but also helps to understand the essential role of anticipation e ects in realistic tra cm odels. By varying the anticipatory driving param eter three di erent regin es, characterized by di erent slopes of the fundam entaldiagram $s$, can be observed. A part from a free- ow and a congested phase, an additional regim $e$ where platoons of cars $m$ oving $w$ ith the sam e velocity $\mathrm{v}<\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{m}}$ ax 1 exist.

The paper is organized as follow s. In Section II we dene a m odi ed $N$ aSch $m$ odelto consider di erent driving strategies. In this way, we introduce a new param eter to determ ine a velocity-dependent braking distance. In Section III, we present the results of our investigations. $W$ e show results for the fundam entaldiagram and di erent values of the anticipation param eter. A com parison w ith those for otherm odels and realnon-autom ated traf-
c is presented. P hase separation into a free- ow regim e and so-called v-platoon is observed in a certain interm ediate density regim e. For large densities, in the congested state phase separation into a dense jam ( 0 -platoon) and $\mathrm{v}-\mathrm{platoons}$ is observed. The ow structure determ ined by the existence of dense platoons $w$ ith velocity $v$ is calculated. D ependence of an optim al anticipation level on the density is found. A nalytical results are in excellent agreem ent $w$ ith results from com puter sim ulations. In the concluding Section 'IIV', we sum $m$ arize our results and discuss the relevance ofour results for tra cm odels and realtra c.

## II. DEFINITION OFTHEMODEL

In this section we introduce the proposed $m$ odel. It is de ned on a one-dim ensional lattice of $L$ cells w ith periodic boundary conditions, which corresponds to a ring topology w ith the num ber of vehicles preserved. E ach cell is either em pty, or it is occupied by just one vehicle traveling w ith a discrete velocity $v$ at a given instant of tim $e$. A llvehicles have a velocity that ranges from $0 ;::: ; \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{m}}$ ax. In addition, and for sim plicity, only one type of vehicles is considered. The tim e-step ( $t$ ) is taken to be one second, therefore transitions are from $t$ ! $t+1$. It can also be easily $m$ odi ed.

Let $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}$ and $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}$ denote the current velocity and position,
respectively, of the vehicle $i$, and $v_{p}$ and $x_{p}$ be the velocity and position, respectively, of the vehicle ahead (preceding vehicle) at a xed tim $e_{i} d_{i}: x_{p} \quad x_{i} \quad 1$ denotes the distance (num ber of em pty cells) in front of the vehicle in position $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}$ that som etim es is called headw ay.

Thedynam ics of the m odelare de ned by the follow ing set of rules, that are applied to all N vehicles on the lattice each tim e-step:

## R 1: A cceleration

If $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}<\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{m} a \mathrm{x}}$, the velocity of the car $i$ is increased by one, i.e.,

$$
v_{i}!m \text { in }\left(v_{i}+1 ; v_{m a x}\right):
$$

## R 2: R andom ization

If $v_{i}>0$, the velocity ofcari is decreased random ly by one unit w ith probability R , i.e.,

$$
v_{i}!m a x\left(v_{i} \quad 1 ; 0\right) \quad w \text { ith probability } R:
$$

R 3: D eceleration
If $d_{i}^{s}<\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}}$, where

$$
d_{i}^{s}=d_{i}+\quad(1 \quad) \quad y+\frac{1}{2}
$$

w ith a param eter $0 \quad 1$, the velocity of car i is reduced to $d_{i}^{s}$. $[x]$ denotes the integer part of $x$, i.e. $\left[x+\frac{1}{2}\right]$ corresponds to rounding $x$ to the next integer value.
The new velocity of the vehicle $i$ is therefore

$$
\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}!\mathrm{m} \text { in }\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}} ; \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{s}}\right):
$$

R 4: Vehicle movem ent
Each car is moving forw ard according to its new velocity determ ined in steps 1-3, i.e.,

$$
\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}!\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}+\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}:
$$

Rules R 1, R 2 and R 3 are designed to update velocity of vehicles; rule R 4 updates position. A ccording to this, state updating is divided into two stages, rst velocty, second position. N ote that this division follow s the schem e in di erential equation integration that rst updates the tim e derivative and then the value of the state. It is im portant to $m$ ention that $w e$ are changing the order of the rules in com parison $w$ th N aSch m odel since R 2 is applied before R 3 .

Rule R 1 indicates that all the drivers would like to reach the maxim um velocity when possible. Rule R 2 takes into account the di erent behavioral pattems of the individual drivers in which $w$ ith no apparent reason a driver decreases its speed. These situations include, for exam ple, cases of overreaction in braking or incidents along the highw ay that distract drivers, and random uctuations.

Rule R 3 is the $m$ ain $m$ odi cation to the originalN aSch m odel $\left[\frac{4}{4}\right]$. In this rule the distances betw een the ith and (i+1)th vehicles, and their corresponding velocities are considered. K now ledge of the preceding vehicle's velocity is incorporated through the anticipatory driving param eter $w$ ith range $0 \quad 1 . N$ otice that, by only varying the param eter in the term $d_{i}^{s}=d_{i}+\left[(1 \quad) v_{p}+1=2\right]$, di erent anticipatory driving schem es that require di erent safe braking distance $w$ ith respect to the preceding vehicle can be $m$ odelled. If takes its $m$ axim um value ( = 1) the speed of the vehicle ahead is not considered in the deceleration process, i.e. anticipation is not considered. On the contrary, when $=0$ the speed of the vehicle ahead is considered w ithout restrictions, i.e., w ithout establishing a braking distance $w$ th respect to the precedent vehicle [2d]. This last case occurs w ith either a very aggressive driver or when vehicles can obtain in form ation about the velocity of vehicles ahead [2d] to allow sm all distances between vehicles (e.g. of the order 1 m ). Interm ediate values for thus represent di erent braking spacing policies or degrees of autom ation in the vehicles or anticipatory driving schem es. $P$ latooning schem es [ $\left[\overline{2} \overline{1}_{1}^{1}\right]$ im ply values of closer to zero and dem and additionà requirem ents to preserve safety, like coordinated braking [19ㄴ인. Independent vehicle driving w ith low levelofanticipation im plies values of closer to 1 in order to preserve safety levels: the larger is the larger braking distance is. $N$ ote that in order to determ ine $v_{n}$ consistently for all vehicles in the case of periodic boundary conditions, rule R 3 m ust be iterated at most ( $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{m}}$ ax 1) tim es. In realsituations, the drivers alw ays estim ate the velocity of preceding vehicle and according to this and their w ay to drive (relaxed or aggressive behavior) they choose a safe headw ay distance to drive. Variation of allow s also to m odel these aspects.
$T$ hus, the proposed m odel is able to represent di erent anticipatory driving schem es, and $m$ odel the $m$ inim um braking distance required w th only one param eter, here referred to as anticipatory driving param eter.
$W$ eem phasize that the CA $m$ odelaspresented here is a m inim alm odel in the sense that all four steps R 1R 4 are necessary to reproduce the basic features of real tra $c_{r}$ how ever, additionalnulesm ay be needed to capture m ore com plex situations [13].

## III. SIM ULATION RESULTS

To sim ulate the CA m odel proposed in the previous section, the typical length of a cell is around $7: 5 \mathrm{~m}$. It is interpreted as the length of a vehicle plus the distance betw een cars in a dense jam, but it can be suitably adjusted according to the problem under consideration. W ith this value of the cell size and a tim e-step of $1 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{v}=1$ corresponds to m oving from one cell to the dow nstream neighbor œell in one tim e-step, and translates to $27 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ in real units. The $m$ axim um velocity is set to $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{m}}$ ax $=5$, equivalent to $135 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$. The total num ber of cells is as-
sum ed to be $L=10^{4}$, and the density is de ned as
$=\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{L}, \mathrm{w}$ here N is the num ber of cars on the highw ay. In itially, N vehicles are distributed random ly on the lane around the loop with an initial speed taking a discrete random value betw een 0 and $v_{m}$ ax. Since the system is closed, the density rem ains constant w ith tim e.

Velocities are updated according to the velocity updating rules R1 R 2 R 3 and then all of cars are m oved forward in step R 4. Each run is simulated for $T=6 \mathrm{~L}$ tim e-steps. In order to analyze results, the rst half of the sim ulation is discarded to let transients die out and the system reach its steady state. For each sim ulation a value for param eter is established by taking into account the desired anticipation degree and thus, controlling the safe braking distance am ong vehicles. In the follow ing, the value of is the sam e for all vehicles (hom ogeneous drivers).

## A. C om parison w ith real non-autom ated tra c

The fundam entaldiagram is one of the $m$ ost im portant criteria to show that the $m$ odel reproduces tra $c$ ow behavior. This diagram characterizes the dependence of the vehicles ow on density. W e obtain a fundam ental diagram for the proposed modelw ith $\mathrm{R}=0.2$ and $=$ $0: 75$, see Fig. estim ation of the preceding car's velocity.

As we can see from Fig. 'I 1 ', the curve of the proposed m odel (dashed line) is consistent w th the characteristic curve of the $m$ easured fundam ental diagram (solid line) taken from [23]. The critical density and the maxim um ux of our model are $\left(c ; q_{n}\right.$ ax $)=(16 \% ; 2417$ cars $/ \mathrm{h})$, closer to the em piricalcurve values of ( $c ; q_{n}$ ax $)=(17 \%$; 2340 cars $/ \mathrm{h}$ ), in com parison $w$ th other existing $m$ odels, see [15']. N ote the quantitative agreem ent betw een sim ulated and em piricalcurve of the fiundam entaldiagram in its decreasing part.

M oreover, as we can see from Fig. '111, a decrease in the experim ental curve slope is observed at ( $10 \%$; 1692 cars $/ h$ ), corresponding to a reduced $m$ ean velocty of vehicles near the critical density. Thus, we m ake a sm allm_odi cation to the proposed $m$ odel based on an idea of $\left[\bar{n}_{1}^{1}\right]$. W em odi ed the deceleration rule such that a vehicle can only reach them axim um velocity ifitsbraking distance to the precedent vehicle is greater than nine cells ( $67: 5 \mathrm{~m}$, i.e, the density is lower than $0: 1$ ). T he m odi ed rule R 3 of the m odel is then as follow s :

R $3^{\prime}$ : If $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}=5$ (i.e. $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{m}}$ ax ) and $d_{i}^{s} 9$ cells then

$$
v_{i}!m \text { in }\left(v_{i} \quad 1 ; d_{i}^{s}\right)
$$

else (like rule R 3 of our originalm odel)

$$
\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}}!\mathrm{m} \text { in }\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}} ; \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{s}}\right):
$$

In F ig. are show $\bar{n}$ and com pared w th the experim ental curve
B. M odeling di erent anticipation schem es


FIG. 1: Typical form of an em pirical fundam ental diagram taken from [231] (solid line) in com parison $w$ ith sim ulations of the proposed $\overline{\mathrm{m}}$ odel for $\mathrm{R}=0: 2$ and $=0: 75$ (dashed line).


F IG. 2: Fundam ental diagram for the $m$ odi ed $m$ odel ( $R 3^{\prime}$ ). $T$ his diagram is in agreem ent $w$ ith the experim ental curve in a quantitative way $(\mathrm{R}=0: 2$ and $=0: 75)$.
from realtra c data [2].3. The curve resulting from the m odi ed m odel ts the real curve in both its increasing part and its decreasing part quite well. T hus, the results from the $m$ odi ed $m$ odel agree quantitatively $w$ ith the experim ental shape of the fundam ental diagram. T his is an indication that in real tra $c$ the value of $m$ ay depend on velocity.

In th is subsection, we have show $n$ that the given $m$ odel can reproduce som ecom $m$ on characteristics of the real $m$ anual (non-autom ated) tra $c$ ow. However, w the the new param eter it is possible to consider several anticipation schem es for tra c ow : non-autom ated, m ixed and autom ated tra $c$ ow. This will be shown in the follow ing for the originalm odel (R3) de ned in Sec. II.

D eterm ination of the im pact ofdi erent driving strategies is im portant in order to propose autom ated tra c altematives. Follow ing that proposal, we decided to investigate tra $c$ ow behavior using our $m$ odel. A smentioned above, the param eter represents the way in which di erent driving strategies adopt a braking distance with respect to the preceding vehicles. Varying the param eter, these strategies can be tuned. In F ig. $\underline{N r}_{1}$ we show the fiundam entaldiagram of the proposed $m$ odel w th a xed value of $R=0.2$ and di erent values of . From this diagram, the im pact of the driving strategies coded in can be observed. Sm aller values of imply larger ow s, that is, higher levels of anticipation. Here vehicles keep a less safe braking distance, leading to an increase in the vehicular capacity. This behavior is in agreem ent $w$ th, for exam ple, platooning strategies that exploit the know ledge of the velocity of precedent vehicles and require a sm aller distance am ong vehicles (near 1 m ), so increasing the ow.


FIG. 3: Fundam ental diagram for di erent values of the anticipation param eter and $R=0.2$.

It is im portant to notige that for values of from 0.13 to 0.50 a second positive slope corresponding to a m ixed branch is observed in the fundam entaldiagram. It is interesting that the initial positive slope, corresponding to a free- ow region where there are no slow vehicles, is sim ilar for all vahes of . H ere the vehicles travel at near $m$ axim um speed. For the second branch, on the other hand, the ow is increased w th non-m axim um velocity, indicating a $m$ ixed region due to anticipation e ects (F ig. ${ }^{\prime} I_{-1}^{\prime}$ ') . In order to analyze the role of the anticipation, we show the average velocity as function of the density for the sam e param eter values as in $F$ ig.

A s we can see from Fig. $\overline{1}$ 4, higher levels of anticipation (sm aller values of ) im ply a larger density interval for the free- ow region. For values 0:13 \ll 0:50, after


FIG.4: Relationship between $m$ ean velocity and density for $R=0: 2$ and di erent values of .
the free- ow region, tra c ow organizes in a so-called $m$ ixed region $w$ ith a low er $m$ ean velocity. In this $m$ ixed region, in addition to free ow ing vehicles, and vehicles m oving in platoons w here all cars have the sam e velocity and vanishing headw ay exist. T he existence of thism ixed region indicates that a suitable estim ation of the velocity of precedent vehicle, coded in , allow s that m ore cars to $t$ on the road and the ow increases ever for values of large-density.


FIG.5: Space-tim e diagram show ing the tim e evolution of a system sim ulated in itially with $=0: 51$. A fter sw itching to
$=0: 5$, the behavior changes dram atically.
Fig. ${ }^{5} 5$ that exem pli es the dram atic changes in the $m$ icroscopic structure when changing the value of ulation is started w th $=0: 51$ where the jam m ing regions travel backw ards. H ow ever, after som e tim e we sw itch to $=0: 5$ and im m ediately observe a dram atic
change in the slope of the congested regions. They are now traveling forw ard. This behavior has been observed before in anticipatory modeling [ [18]. Such structured ow observed in space-tim e diagram s increases the highw ay capacity due to the space reduction am ong vehicles. In these sim ulations we have found that the branches of congested or jam m_ed ow collapse to a single region as in the V D R m odel [2].]. These results are analogous to those for slow -to-start $m$ odels, because e ectively the out ow from a jam is reduced com pared to the $m$ axim al ow.


FIG.6: Standard deviation of the speed.

On the other hand, it is also im portant to analyze the e ciency oftra c. In non-equilibrium situations, this is usually evaluated by analyzing the entropy of the system . H ow ever, for non-physical system $s$ the analysis of the standard deviation of the $m$ ain variable can give equivalent inform ation $\left[\begin{array}{ll}{[2]}\end{array}\right]$. In the context of tra $c$ ow, high standard deviation of speed $m$ eans that, on average, a vehicle would experience frequent speed changes per trip through the system. In tum, the high speed variance could also increase the probability of tra c accidents. Therefore, the standard deviation of speed can be seen as an indicator of the e ciency in tra $c$ ow.

In order to analyze the e ciency of tra c with different autom ation levels, we calculate the standard deviation of speed ( F ig. ${ }^{\prime}$ 'G) . For each value of a maxi$m$ um that occurs shortly after the free- ow region can be clearly seen. In the free- ow region, the speed variance is negligible since there are no slow vehicles and uctuations are extrem ely rare. Since the free- ow region increases as decreases, it is seem $s$ reasonable to attem pt tra c w th the higher level of anticipation in the range of density from 0 to 0.5 . This selection not only produces a state w ith higher ow, but also the low est standard deviation, so the e ciency is the highest. It can be clearly seen from Fig. ', 'G, that beyond the e cient density range, the level of anticipation coded in should be sw itched based on the density regim e: for $2(0: 5 ; 0: 54]$, an e -
cient perform ance is found $w$ ith $=0: 13$; how ever, for
$2(0: 54 ; 0: 63$ ] the highest e ciency is attained with $=0: 20$. Sum $m$ arizing, the behavior observed in $F$ ig. $\bar{\sigma}_{1}^{\bar{G}}$ indicates that the autom ation levelshould be determ ined depending on the density: higher densities require a low er autom ation level, that is, a safer braking distance.

B esides, w e can also see from F igure $\overline{1} \bar{G}$, the standard deviation of speed resulting from assigning a random value of between 0 and 1 to each vehicle. W e stress that for each car, this value of is not changed during the tim e evolution. T herefore, we sim ulate, by $m$ eans of random values of, tra c with non-hom ogeneous drivers: aggressive, non-aggressive and relaxed drivers. W e can see from Fig. 'G the region where the standard deviation is negligible is close to that resulting from hom ogeneous drivers $w$ ith $=0.2$ (high-level anticipation). H ow ever, the variance of vehicles speeds is 60\% larger than that corresponding to hom ogeneous drivers.

In order to elucidate the e ects of anticipation with non-hom ogeneous drivers, the fundam ental diagram obtained for this random case is com pared with that for $=0.2$ in a hom ogeneous system ( $F$ ig. $\overline{1}$ ). N ote that the second slope corresponding to a m ixed region is $m$ issing in the inhom ogeneous system. In this case, the variance in the level of anticipation considered by the drivers produces higher uctuations of speeds, and the ow decreases rapidly. Therefore som e anticipation driving schem es have a strong im pact on the e ciency of the system. All of these ndings require physical explanations [2] ${ }_{2} \overline{7}_{1}$.


FIG.7: C om parison of the fiundam entaldiagram s of hom ogeneous system swith the random case.

## C. Structure of the m ixed states

$T$ he behavior in the $m$ ixed states is determ ined by the existence of dense platoons in which vehicles $m$ ove co-
herently $w$ ith the sam e velocity $v$. In the follow ing these w illbe denoted as v-platoons. T he stationary state then show s phase separation into a free- ow region and a v platoon. This is sim ilar to the behavior observed in m odels w ith slow -to-start rules where the system separates into free ow and a dense jam, i.e. a 0 -platoon [251].

Fig.' branches. It can be clearly seen that only cars w th velocity $v$ and free ow ing cars ( $w$ th velocity $v_{m}$ ax or $v_{m}$ ax 1 due to the random ization) exist.


F IG . 8: Velocity distributions for density $=0: 4$ and di erent values of .

Since the headw ay $d_{i}$ of a car $i$ inside a $v$-platoon is $d_{i}=0$, its new velocity is determ ined by $v_{i}^{0}=m$ in $\left(v_{i} ; d_{i}^{s}\right)$ $w$ ith $d_{i}^{s}=(1 \quad) \quad p+\frac{1}{2}$. For a stable $v-p l a t o o n, v_{i}^{0}$ must be equal to $v$. This gives the follow ing stability condition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{v}(1,) \mathrm{v}+\frac{1}{2}: \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation [1] $\overline{11}$ ) can be regarded as a condition for the anticipation param eter. It im plies that a v-platoon can only be stable for

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{v}+1<\quad \mathrm{v} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where v is de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{v}:=\frac{1}{2 \mathrm{v}}: \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ow ever, this condition is only necessary, not su cient. $T$ he $v-p l a t o o n s$ that can be realized for a given also depend on the random ization $R$. E.g. for $R=0: 2, p l a-$ toons $w$ th $v=0 ; 1 ; 2 ; 3$ occur, whereas for $R=0: 4$ platoons w th $v=3$ can not be observed in the innite system although they $m$ ight exist in small system s . Sim ulations indicate that the slope of the $m$ ixed branch in the fundam ental diagram has to be sm aller than $\left(\begin{array}{lll}1 & R\end{array}\right)\left(v_{f} \quad 1\right)$ where $v_{f}$ is the average velocity
in free _ow: $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{f}}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{m}}$ ax R . This w ill be discussed in Sec. TIIE: in $m$ ore detail.

A nother criterion for the stability of platoons can be derived from the condition that the in ow and out ow of the platoon have to be identical in the steady state. In the follow ing we will derive estim ates for these ow s and in this way obtain the fundam ental diagram in the m ixed region.

The out ow from a $v$-platoon is determ ined by the average tim e $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{w}}$ needed by the leading vehicle of the platoon to accelerate to velocity $\mathrm{v}+1$. A ssum ing that this car has a large headw ay, this tim e is determ ined by the random ization constant $R$ through $T_{w}=\frac{1}{1 R}$. Therefore, in the free- ow region of the system, the average headway $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{f}}$ is given by $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{f}}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{w}}\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{f}} \quad \mathrm{V}\right)+1$. This consideration is very sim ilar to the reasoning used in [25].

A ssum ing that the platoon consists of $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{v}}$ and the freeow region of $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{f}}$ vehicles, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=N_{v}+N_{f} ; \quad \text { and } \quad L=N_{v}+N_{f} x_{f}: \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$H$ ere $N$ is the total num ber of cars. Furtherm ore it has been assum ed that the transition region betw een the platoon, where all cars have headw ay $d_{i}=0$, and the freeow region, where the average headw ay is given by $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{f}}$, can be neglected. Elim inating $N_{f}$ we nd

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{N_{v}}{L}=\frac{x_{f} 1}{x_{f}} 1 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e now can calculated the ow $J=v$ of the corresponding phase-separated state. The average velocity $v$ in the presence of a v-platoon is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{v}=\frac{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{v}} \mathrm{v}+\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{f}}}{\mathrm{~N}}: \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

A straightforw ard calculation using the results given above yields for the ow

$$
\left.J_{v}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & R
\end{array}\right)+\left(\begin{array}{lll}
v & (1 & R \tag{7}
\end{array}\right)\right):
$$

These results are in excellent agreem ent with the results from computer simulations. Since $1 \quad R<1$ it im plies that all slopes corresponding to $m$ ixed states in the fundam ental diagram are positive, except those for $0-\mathrm{platoons}$ ( $>0: 5$ see F ig. $\overline{3}$ ) which are responsible for the jam $m$ ed branch w th low ow and negative slope.
D. Structure of the congested states

For large densities allanticipatory curves ( $0: 5$ ) collapse on one congested branch where the ow decreases with increasing density. Sim ulations indicate that the structure of the corresponding states depends on the param eter regim $e$. In the range $(\underline{\bar{z}})$, where a v-platoon can exist, the congested branch is characterized by the coexistenœe of a com pact jam ( 0 -platoon) and various v platoons. The v-platoons are form ed when a bunch ofvehicles escapes from the jam. A s argued in Sec. 'IMC', the
rst car escapes after an average waiting tim e $T_{w}=\frac{1}{1 \mathrm{R}}$. $D$ ue to anticipation, w ith probability $1 R$ the second car can $m$ ove in the sam $e$ tim e-step, and so on. Therefore the average num ber of cars escaping in the sam e tim e-step is given by

$$
\left.l=\frac{P_{l=1}^{1} l(1}{} \quad R\right)^{1}, \underset{l=1}{1}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & )^{1} \tag{8}
\end{array}=\frac{1}{R}:\right.
$$

These cars form a v-platoon of length $1 w$ here the value of v depends on the param eter region as discussed above. Since the average waiting time for the escape of a car is $T_{w}$, the average distance betw een two v-platoons is $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{c}}=\mathrm{vT} \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{w}}=\frac{\mathrm{v}}{1 \mathrm{R}}$.
To calculate the ow in the congested branch, we again neglect the transition regions and assum e that only one jam with $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ vehicles and $\mathrm{n} v$-platoons w ith a totalnum ber of $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{v}}$ cars are present. Then we have $\mathrm{N}_{0}+\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{v}}=\mathrm{N}$ w ith $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{v}}=\mathrm{n}$ l. Furthem ore $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{o}}+\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{v}}+\mathrm{n} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{c}}=\mathrm{L}$ where $\mathrm{N}_{0}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{v}}$ are the total lengths of the platoons and $\mathrm{n} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{c}}$ is the total space betw een the platoons. These relations yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
1=\frac{1}{L}\left(\mathbb{N}+n x_{c}\right)=+\frac{N_{v}}{L} \frac{x_{c}}{l}: \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The average velocity of the vehicles in the congested branch is $v=\frac{\mathrm{N}_{v} v}{\mathrm{~N}} . \mathrm{U} \operatorname{sing}\left(\underline{(\overline{9})} \mathbf{I}_{1}\right.$, this im plies for the ow

$$
\begin{align*}
J_{\text {cong }} & =\mathrm{v}=\frac{\mathrm{N}}{\mathrm{~L}} \frac{\mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{v}}}{\mathrm{~N}} \mathrm{v}=\left(1 \quad \frac{\mathrm{l}}{\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{c}}} \mathrm{v}\right. \\
& =\frac{1 \mathrm{R}}{\mathrm{R}}(1 \quad): \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

$N$ ote that this result is independent of the velocity $v$ of the platoons! It is in excellent agreem ent $w$ ith the sim ulation data, justifying e.g. the assum ption $m$ ade about the transition regions.

> E. Stability regions

For xed we now can estim ate the stability region 12 for the $m$ ixed states. At the low er boundary density 1 the number of cars $N_{v}$ in the $v$-platoon vanishes. From (51) one has $1 \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{f}} \quad 1=0$ which yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.1_{1}=\frac{1 R}{v_{f} \quad v+(1} R\right): \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The upper bound 2 is not determ ined by the condition $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{v}}=\mathrm{N}$, i.e. all cars belong to the $\mathrm{v}-\mathrm{platoon}$. T his w ould correspond to the density $=1$. In fact, the instability of the $m$ ixed state occurs earlier. At the density

$$
\begin{equation*}
2=\frac{(1 \quad R)^{2}}{R(v+R \quad 2)+1} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

the ow (T긴) of them ixed branch becom es larger than that of the congested branch, see $(\underline{1} \underline{1}$ ) and therefore (at least
for random initial conditions) the ow of the congested branch is observed. H ow ever, our sim ulations have given indications for hysteresis e ects and $m$ etastability in the large density regim $e$. $W$ e w ill discuss these in $m$ ore detail in a future publication $\left.{ }_{[2]}^{-1} 1\right]$. For $v=0$ the upper transition density becom es $2=1$, independent of $R$,
 region for $v=0$ extends up to the $m$ axim aldensity.

Since 2 has to be larger than ${ }_{1}$, this yields an additional condition for the stability of the branches. It is easy to check that $1<2$ if

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & R \tag{13}
\end{array}\right) v_{f}>v:
$$

This is just the condition obtained in Sec. '-iIIC' from com puter sim ulations.

Sum $m$ arizing, a $m$ ixed region $w$ ith $v-p l a t o o n s ~ c a n ~ o n l y ~$ exist for $1=(2(\mathrm{v}+1))<\quad 1=(2 \mathrm{v})$ and R satisfying (1-3). If these conditions are ful lled, v-platoons occur in the density interval $1 \quad 2$ where 1 and 2 are given by (111) and (12), respectively.

## IV. SUMMARYAND CONCLUSIONS

Forecasting the impact of dierent anticipation schem es plays a essential role in real tra $c$ ow in order to propose autom ated tra c altematives. In this paper we have introduced and investigated a modi cation of the N aSch m odel to better capture reactions of the drivers intended to keep safety on the highw ay. A s a result, an anticipation param eter $2[0 ; 1]$, that allow s to determ ine a velocity-dependent safe braking distance of the precedent vehicle, is included. T he addition of th is param eter proves to be useful to describe di erent trafc situations of non-autom ated, autom ated, and mixed tra c.

Sim ulation results presented here for hom ogeneous drivers, corresponding to a cautious estim ation of the preceding car velocity (large ), are in excellent agree$m$ ent $w$ th the shape of the em pirical fundam ental diagram.

On the other hand, sim ulation results for driving schem es associated to interm ediate-levels of anticipation w th from 0:13 to 0:5 (hom ogeneous drivers), exhibit phase separation in a certain density regim e into a freeow region and so-called v-platoons. In these dense platoons vehicles $m$ ove $w$ ith the sam e velocity $v$ and have vanishing headway. The velocity $v$ of the platoon is determ ined by the level of autom ation.
$T$ his platoon form ation observed in a m ixed regim e plays an im portant role in A utom ated H ighw ay System s
(A H S) to increase the vehicular capacity. Therefore, results obtained help to elucidate the e ects of anticipation coded in. Themaxim um ow and the density interval for free- ow regim e go with the inverse of : smaller values of , (greater estim ation of the precedent car velocity) im ply larger ow s and larger density interval for free- ow regim e. This is also in accordance with, for exam ple, the use ofcertain anticipation strategies to exploit the know ledge of the velocity of the precedent veh icle and so, reducing the distance am ong vehicles, increasing the capaciy, and the density interval for free- ow regim e.

M oreover, the analysis of the speed variance of individualvehicles also indicates the im portance to anticipation e ects. R esults indicate that level of anticipation should be determ ined based on the density. The highest-level of anticipation should be considered before the corresponding $m$ axim um density for free- ow regin $e$ is reached. A $f-$ ter that $m$ axim um density, larger density requires low erlevel of anticipation. Therefore, this selection not only produces the highest ow, but also the low est standard deviation, and so, e ciency is the highest.

The considerations in this paper show the exibility of the CA approach to m ore complex tra c ow problem s. A simple and naturalm odi cation of the rules of the N aSch m odel to consider di erent driving schem es allows us to describe the form ation of coherently m oving platoons observed in som e anticipation schem es. We think that the results presented here are relevant to establish suitable levels of safety and autom ation not only for AHS, but also in realtra c. W e stress that although in this paper the $m$ odel is sim ulated in a single-lane on a ring, it is possible to apply .it to com plex highw ay topologies in a satisfactory way [2]-1].

A part from its practical relevance for tra c problem s, our work also show s interesting physical aspects. The m odelsuggested here exhibits various kinds of phase separation phenom ena. At interm ediate densities, phase separation into a condensed ( $v$-platoon) and a noncondensed (free-ow) phase can be observed. In contrast to $m$ ost other $m$ odels of driven di usion, the condensed phase is $m$ oving coherently for $v>0$. At high densities an even $m$ ore surprising state is found that exhibits phase separation betw een di erent condensates, a non-m oving one ( $\mathrm{v}=0$ ) and several coherently moving platoons ( $\mathrm{v}>0$ ). To our know ledge such a behavior has not been observed before. It would be interesting to study these phases in $m$ ore detail, especially since recently som e progress in the understanding of phase separation in driven di usive $m$ odels has been made [28ㄴㄴ‥
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