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#### Abstract

W e consider the phenom enon of $B$ ose $E$ instein condensation in a random grow ing directed network. The netw ork grow s by the addition of vertices and edges. At each tim e step the netw ork gains a vertex w th probabilly $p$ and an edge w ith probability $1 \quad p$. The new vertex has a tness ( $a ; b$ ) with probability $f(a ; b)$. A vertex $w$ ith thess $(a ; b)$, in-degree $i$ and out-degree $j$ gains $a$ new incom ing edge with rate $a(i+1)$ and an outgoing edge $w$ ith rate $b(j+1)$. The Bose E instein condensation occurs as a function of tness distribution $f(a ; b)$.


PACS num bers: 02.50 .cw , $05.40 . \mathrm{a}, 89.75 \mathrm{H}$ c.
K eyw ords: Random netw orks

## I. IN TRODUCTION

R ecently there has been much interest in random grow ing netw orks [1, 2, 3], both from the point of view of theoretical m odelling, as well as the em pirical study of real netw orks. There is considerable evidence that while traditional ErdøsR enyi random graphs have a P oisson degree distribution [4], real graphs and random grow ing graphs have a power-law degree distribution.

O fparticular interest are directed netw orks, which can be used to modelsystem s in which directed ow takes place. Such netw orks include the w orld w ide web [5], the phone-callgraph [6] and the citation graph [7].

As a com plem ent to com puter sim ulations and exact solutions of simpli ed system s, them odynam ic form ulations have been used to study a num ber of com plex co-operative system $s$ including granular $m$ edia, econophysics, breaking phenom ena and $m$ any others. In a recent paper [8], B ianconi and B arabasi (BB) m apped the di erent behaviour of random grow ing netw orks w ith thess onto the them odynam ically distinct phases of a free B ose gas. The tness model predicts that, in the large netw ork lim it, the ttest node w ill have the most links. This is called the t-get-rich (FGR) phase. Unlike the scale-free m odel (SFM), in which the degree distribution of the netw ork is power-law, the FGR behaviour (phase in the them odynam ic language) has nodes w ith a very large degree which dom inate the netw ork. A nother phase revealed in [8] is the B ose E instein condensation (BEC), when the ttest node acquires a nite fraction of the total degree. In contrast to BEC, in the FG R phase the ridhest node is not an absolute $w$ inner, since its share of the links (i.e. the ratio of its degree and the total degree of the netw ork) decays to zero for large system sizes, whereas in BEC the $w$ inner $m$ aintains its share irrespective of system size.

In this case, the fact that this ratio is constant corresponds to the extensivity property of a B ose gas, when the gas keeps a nite fraction of its particles in the ground state. In this paper we exam ine the phenom enon ofB ose $\mp$ instein condensation, which w as considered for an undirected graph in [8, 9$]$ on the random directed grow ing netw ork introduced in [10].

To do this we work w ith the m odel introduced in [10], introducing a dependence betw een the vertex thess and an energy. As each vertex has two tnesses (for in-degree and outdegree) then it is necessary to assign two di erent energy levels to represent each vertex. $T$ his is detailed in the rst sub-section, together $w$ ith the description of edges in term $s$ of
particles assigned to tw o energy levels.
The necessary coexistence of two sub-system sform ing the netw ork and the form ulation of an equilibrium condition in a form alway is discussed in detail in sections 3 and 4 .
$T$ he occurrence ofB ose $\Psi$ instein condensation, and its interpretation in a physical fram ework $m$ akes it possible to describe the directed netw ork in term s of canonical concepts of statistical physics, such as a phase diagram and rst and second order phase transitions. These are introduced in the follow ing sections.

In the conclusions we em phasize the usefillness of this form ulation in providing a clear and concise interpretation of di erent phenom ena in netw orks. So, the possibility of coexistence of phases in which the in-degree distribution exhibits a clear winner whereas the out-degree show s scale free behaviour, or the sim ultaneous existence oftw o di erent w inners (bipolarity) and the conditions for its existence are predicted in them odynam ic language.

## II. THEMODEL

In BB [8], a correspondence between thess and energy given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
=e^{"} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

was introduced. In our model the vertioes have, in general, di erent thesses a and b for the addition of an in-or out-degree. A $s$ in [10], here the $m$ odel consists of the addition of bare vertioes (i.e. w ithout edges, but with tness a for in-degree and b for out-degree) to the netw ork w ith probability p, and the creation of directed edges between vertioes w ith probability $q=1 \quad p$.

A kinetic equation describing the process of directed netw orks $m$ ust inchude the kinetics ofboth in-degree and out-degree sim ultaneously since they coexist and in uence each other. $T$ hen, the kinetic equation for the $m$ ean num ber of vertices $w$ ith in-degree $i$ and out-degree $j$ is, as in [10],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@ N_{i j}(a ; b)}{@ t}=\frac{q a}{M_{1}}\left[i N_{i 1 ; j} \quad(i+1) N_{i j}\right]+\frac{q b}{M_{2}}\left[j N_{i ; j 1} \quad(j+1) N_{i j}\right]+p_{i 0}{ }_{j 0} f(a ; b): \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the tnesses $(a ; b)$ are chosen from the tness distribution $f(a ; b)$. T he nom alisation factors $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{1}={\left.\underset{i j a b}{x}(i+1) a N_{i j}(a ; b)\right), ~(i)} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{2}={\underset{i j a b}{X}(j+1) \mathrm{bN}_{i j}(\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{b}): ~}_{\text {i }} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The rst term in the rst square brackets of Eq.(2) represents the increase in $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ when vertiges w ith in-degree i 1 and out-degree j gain an incom ing edge and the second term represents the corresponding loss. T he second square brackets contain the analogous term s for outgoing edges and the last term ensures the continuous addition of new vertiges w ith tnesses a;b.

The translation of this problem into an energetic form ulation is straightforward. We associate the addition of vertex $w$ ith thesses ( $a ; b$ ) to the netw ork $w$ th the creation of two energy levels; one representing the tness of the incom ing edge and the other for the outgoing edge. Thism eans that the edge can bem apped into tw o separate isolated sub-system s. T hen the creation of a directed edge corresponds to the creation of tw o particles, one in each subsystem, sim ultaneously. T he particles are created in the energy levels corresponding to incom ing thess of the receiving vertex and the outgoing thess of the em llter vertex, as Fig. 1 illustrates.

Then, using the energy variables, rather that the tness, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& a=e^{1_{1} 1}  \tag{5}\\
& b=e^{2^{2} 2} \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\frac{@ N_{i j}\left("_{1} ; "_{2}\right)}{@ t}=\frac{q e^{1 " 1}}{M_{1}}\left[i N_{i 1 ; j} \quad(i+1) N_{i j}\right]+\frac{q e^{2 "_{2}}}{M_{2}}\left[j N_{i ; j} 1 \quad(j+1) N_{i j}\right]+p_{i 0}{ }_{j 0} f\left("_{1} ; "_{2}\right):(7)
$$

and the norm alisations are rew rilten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{1}={\left.\underset{i j a b}{X}(i+1) e^{{ }^{1} "_{1}} N_{i j}\left("_{1} ; "_{2}\right)\right)}^{(i)} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{2}={\underset{i j a b}{x}(j+1) e^{2 " 2} N_{i j}\left("_{1} ; "_{2}\right): ~}_{\text {i }} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

A s we distinguish betw een incom ing and outgoing edges, and their respective tnesses, when going to the energy representation the edges and their tnesses $m$ ust also be distinguished as belonging to di erent isolated sub-system s of the sam e system. Let us denote the sub-system s as 1 and 2 So , the creation of a vertex in the netw ork im plies the sim ultaneous creation of an energy level in each sub-system, i.e. " ${ }_{1}$ and $"_{2}$.

The creation of an edge joining two vertioes im plies the sim ultaneous creation of one particle in each sub-system in the energy levels corresponding to the tnesses of the vertioes gaining an in-and out-degree, as F ig. 1 ilhustrates.

It should be noted that we are doing a sim ultaneous description of two isolated subsystem swhich com pose the whole system (the netw ork). T hese sub-system s do not exchange energy or particles, but are correlated in the sense that the creation of an energy level (a particle) in one of the sub-system $s$ im plies the sim ultaneous phenom enon in the other.

By de nition of the $m$ odel, the total num ber of particles increases linearly $w$ ith tim e, so

$$
{ }_{i ; j ; "_{1} ; "_{2}}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{ij}}\left(\text { " }_{1} ; \mathrm{m}_{2}\right)=\mathrm{pt}:
$$

Let us de ne the reduced $m$ om ents $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{1}(t)=m_{1} t ;  \tag{11}\\
& M_{2}(t)=m_{2} t \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

and introduce $n_{i j}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{ij}}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{ij}} \mathrm{t}: \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

A s shall be seen these $m$ agnitudes $w i l l$ be usefill to calculate the characteristics of the netw ork. Then, follow ing the procedure in [10], we can show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{1}=\frac{q}{m_{1}}{ }_{i ; j ; "_{1} ; "_{2}}^{x} e^{2_{1} "_{1}}(i+1) n_{i j}+e^{Z} e^{1_{1}{ }_{1}} f\left("_{1} ; "_{2}\right) d "_{1} d "_{2} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{2}=\frac{q}{m_{2}}{ }_{i ; j ; "_{1 ; " 2}}^{x} e^{22_{2} 2}(j+1) n_{i j}+p^{Z} e^{2 "_{2}} f\left("_{1} ; "_{2}\right) d "_{1} d "_{2} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w h i d h$ determ ines $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$ as functions of $p, 1$ and 2 .
A. The coex isten ce of tw o system s

In this representation, as we already pointed out, the addition of a vertex $m$ eans the creation of two energy levels, each corresponding to its thess. W hen an edge joining two vertiges is created, we add one particle in each corresponding level.

T he distribution of in- and out-degree then corresponds to the distribution of particles in system s 1 and 2

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.g_{i}={ }_{j}^{x} n_{i j}=p \frac{(i+1)\left(1+e^{\left({ }^{(1} l^{1}\right)}\right)}{\left(i+2+e^{\left("_{1}\right.} 1^{1}\right)}\right) e^{\left({ }^{(1} \quad 1\right)} f\left({ }^{1} ;_{1} ;_{2}\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the num ber of vertioes $w$ ith in-degree $i$, and
is the num ber of vertioes w ith out-degree j. For large degree their asym ptotic expressions are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.g_{i} \quad i^{\left(1+e^{(" 1}\right.} 1^{1}\right) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.h_{j} \quad j^{\left(1+e^{(" 2}\right.} 2^{2}\right)\right): \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have introduced

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{k}=\frac{\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{k}}}{\mathrm{q}} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $k=1$ and $k=2$ as chem icalpotentials. Unlike BB, the chem ical potential is introduced here as an exact expression, not as an asym ptotic one.
B. \Equilibrium " condition

The equations for $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$ can be transform ed by introducing the generating function

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(x ; y ; "_{1} ; "_{2}\right)={\underset{i ; j}{x} x^{i} y^{j} n_{i j}\left("_{1} ; "_{2}\right), ~(1)}^{x} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(1 ; 1 ; "_{1} ; "_{2}\right)=\operatorname{pf}\left("_{1} ; "_{2}\right) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith
and
$T$ hen the equations for $m_{k}(k=1 ; 2)$ becom e

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{z}}}{\mathrm{q}} \frac{\mathrm{~d} "_{1} \mathrm{~d} "_{2} \mathrm{f}\left({ }_{1} ;{ }^{\prime} "_{2}\right)}{\left.\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{k}\left(\mathrm{lk}_{\mathrm{k}}\right.} \mathrm{k}\right)} 1 \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

This m eans that

Unlike BB, this is a new condition generated by the model. Let us denote it as a generalized equilibrium condition. A strong equilibrium condition im plies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
"_{1}="_{2} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
1=2: \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

This condition w ill.be discussed later. In this form alism the occupation probability is, then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{k}\left("_{k} ; k_{k}\right)=\frac{p}{q} \frac{1}{\left.e^{k\left("_{k}\right.} k\right)} \text {; } \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $k=1 ; 2$, representing the probability for a given edge to belong to a given vertex (probability of a particle to belong to an energy level). If, now, the thess distribution is separable then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{f}\left("_{1} ; "_{2}\right)=f_{1}\left("_{1}\right) f_{2}\left("_{2}\right) \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{p^{z}}{q} \frac{d "_{k} f\left("_{k}\right)}{\left.e^{k\left("_{k}\right.} k\right)} 1 \quad=1 \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

separately for each system $k=1 ; 2$. In each case, $k$ is the solution of this equation, which depends on the density of states $f_{k}(")$.

## C . B ose E in stein condensation

To illustrate, let us start w ith a particular case, when $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{"})=\mathrm{C}$ " with $0<$ " < "max . The norm alisation $C=\frac{+1}{\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{max}}+1}$ as in BB .

Then the integral in Eq. (25),

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(;)=\frac{p(+1)^{z}}{q_{m}^{\prime+1}} \frac{d}{e^{(" ~)} 1}=1 \text { : } \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

But this integral reaches its $m$ axim um value when $=0$. Then if for a given value of we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Z } \frac{d " f(")}{e^{"} 1}<1 ; \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

then we have a B ose E instein C ondensation ( BEC ). Ifw e calculate the tem perature for BEC in this particular case, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{BE}}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{max}}} \frac{\mathrm{p}}{\mathrm{q}}(+2)(+1)^{\frac{1}{+1}}: \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Unlike B B , the factor $\frac{p}{q}$ appears here. If $p=q$ we recover B B result. Even in this sim ple exam ple, $T_{\text {Be }} m$ ay be di erent for the sub-system $s 1$ and 2 , if the value of is di erent. In a m ore general form, we can state the condition for B ose E instein condensation. T hen, as

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(k ; k)=\frac{p^{Z}}{q} \frac{d_{1} d^{2}{ }_{2} f\left("_{1} ; "_{2}\right)}{\left.e^{k\left({ }_{k}\right.} k\right)}=1 \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $k=1 ; 2$. if $I(k ; k)<1$ then BEC occurs. The conditions for BEC depend on $f\left("_{1} ; "_{2}\right)$ :
D. Phase diagram

Below $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{BE}}$ there is BEC. Above it the phase is t-get-ridher as in [8], but here both phases m ust be considered for both system $s$. Then, a phase diagram can be draw n w th the tem peratures $\mathrm{T}_{1}=\frac{1}{1}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{2}=\frac{1}{2}$ characterizing each sub-system ( F ig. 2).

Im posing a given dependence of tness $w$ ith energy so that
m eans that the equilibrium is broken, (ie. in a com puter sim ulation we would im pose tem peratures that do not satisfy Eq. 26 ). Then there can be a w inner in one of the phases. O ne of the vertiges accum ulates, say, $m$ ost of the in-degrees, com ing from the other vertioes. If both phases are in BEC there is a vertex w ith most of the incom ing and another w ith m ost of the outgoing edges. Then both vertioes will be highly correlated.

```
E. O ut of equilibrium rst order phase transition
```

$T$ hough a $m$ ore com plicated situations are possible, let us illustrate the case $T_{1 B E}=T_{2 B E}$. The point A represents that both sub-system s are in FGR phase. If, for exam ple, we $m$ ake the sim ulations w ith the param eters given by A 1 then $w i l l$ be an FGR and a BEC phase coexisting, but not in equilibrium since one of them satis es Eq. 35 but not the other. There is a coexistence of phases out of equilibrium . W em ight call this an out of equilibrium rst order phase transition. The m otion along A A 1A 2 ...leads to the region in which BEC occurs forboth phases. H ere is hard to speak about equilibrium unless A belongs to the line $\mathrm{T}_{1}=\mathrm{T}_{2}$. All we know in BEC region is that none of the sub-system satis es Eq. 35

The concept ofequilibrium in this $m$ odel is purely form alsince the sub-system sare not in contact, but it is a com fortable tool to $m$ ake a $m$ ap of directed netw orks into Bose E instein statistics.

## F. The scale free m odel

If one of the system $s$ is such that $f(")=(")$, then Eq. B1) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{p}{q} \frac{1}{e}=1 \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.g_{i} \quad i^{(1+e}\right) \quad i^{\left(2+\frac{p}{q}\right)} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ ith a corresponding expression for $h_{j}$. If $p=q$ the scale free $m$ odel is recovered $w$ ith a degree distribution $P_{k} \quad k{ }^{3}$. Thus the $m$ odelw ith directed edges gives the possibility of a scale free phase forboth in the in-degree and the out-degree.

G . Second order phase transition

If in $F$ ig. 3 we $m$ ove in such a way as to cross into the BEC region through the point B then BEC occurs sim ultaneously for both phases. No coexistence of phases will occur, the system goes from FGR to BEC or viee-versa instantly. This is a second order phase transition since the system as a whole changes its phase. Then the point B is the critical point for the transition.

BEC is itself a second order phase transition since it e ects the system as a whole, so that when sub-system 1 or 2 experiences BEC, the sub-system itself su ers a second order phase transition, but our system contains both sub-system s 1 and 2 so that when they are in di erent phases we consider our whole system as com posed of two phases.
III. D ISC U SS IO N

W e have studied B ose $E$ instein condensation in a random grow ing netw ork model. In this model, w ith a form ulation considering incom ing and outgoing edges, a form al analogy can be adopted to describe the change in the behaviour of the directed edges in term s of B ose statistics.

In this form ulation, $a s$ in that ofB $B$, the tem perature plays the role of a dum $m y$ variable. $R$ eal system $s$ are characterised by the functional form of the distribution function of tness and there is no to consider. It only em erges when we translate from the language of tness to that of energies. But the form ulation of netw orks in term $s$ of quantum statistics and the introduction of tem perature is an elegant and sim ple description of the behaviour of netw orks under di erent conditions. In this sense, the tem perature plays its role in the sim ulations of the netw orks, determ ining the strength of the dependence betw een the tness and its associated energy, and this dependence is re ected in the behaviour of the netw ork by determ ining its position in the phase diagram.

Though phase diagram s and phase transitions are not exchusive to therm odynam ic formulations (see, e.g. D orogovtsev and $M$ endes [11]), such a form ulation is a very powerful tool to describe di erent phases of random directed netw orks, w here the presence ofdirected edges $m$ ake the description in term $s$ of tness di cult. C onsidering this netw ork as a therm odynam ic system, m akes it sim ple to understand, provided we appropriately interpret the therm odynam ic param eters.
IV. ACKNOW LEDGEMENTS

W e w ould like to thank T he R oyalSociety, London and the London M athem aticalSociety fortheir nancialsupport. O ne ofus (O.S) is greatly indebted to the sta of the D epartm ent of M athem atical Sciences at B runelU niversity for their warm hospitality.
V. FIGURECAPTIONS
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