Spin states and phase separation in La_{1 x}Sr_xCoO₃ (x = 0:15;0:25;0:35) lm s: optical, m agneto-optical and m agneto-transport studies

N.N.Loshkareva, ¹ E.A.Gan'shina, ² B.I.Belevtsev, ³ Yu.P.Sukhorukov, ¹ E.V. Mostovshchikova, ¹ A.N.Vinogradov, ² V.B.Krasovitsky, ³ and I.N.Chukanova ⁴

¹ Institute of Metal Physics of Ural Division of RAS, Ekaterinburg, 620219, Russia ²Moscow State University, Moscow, 119899, Russia

³B.Verkin Institute for Low Temperature Physics and Engineering, National Academy of Sciences, Kharkov 61103, Ukraine

 4 Institute for Single Crystals, National Academ y of Sciences, Kharkov 61001, Ukraine

Abstract

Optical absorption and transverse Kerr e ect spectra, resistivity and magnetoresistance of $\text{La}_{1\ x}\ \text{Sr}_x\text{CoO}_3$ (x = 0:15;0:25;0:35) Im shave been studied. The temperature dependencies of the optical and magneto-optical properties of the Im s exhibit features, which can be attributed to the transition of the Co^{3+} ions from the low-spin state (S = 0) to the intermediate-spin state (S = 1) and to orbital ordering of the Co^{3+} ions in the latter state. The evolution of the properties in uenced by doping with Sr is interpreted on the basis of the phase separation model.

PACS numbers: 72.80 Ga; 78.66.-w; 78.20 Ls

I. INTRODUCTION

D iscovery of the so-called colossal magnetoresistance in manganite lms [1] has renewed an interest in other ferrom agnetic perovskite-like compounds. These include LaCoO₃-based cobaltites which are in many ways similar to manganites and yet they have some fundamental distinctions. At low temperatures, LaCoO₃ is a non-magnetic insulator. In this state Co^{3+} ions are mainly in the low-spin (LS) state $(t_{2g}^6;S=0)$ [2] because the crystal-eld energy dominates slightly over the intraatom in Hund's energy [2, 3]. However, as the temperature rises, Co^{3+} ion state changes gradually from the LS state to the high-spin (HS) state $(t_{2g}^4e_g^2;S=2)$ or to the intermediate-spin (IS) state $(t_{2g}^5e_g^1;S=1)$. The latter scenario is supported by the theoretical calculations [3, 4] and results of some experimental studies [5, 6, 7, 8]. The problem of spin states of Co^{3+} ions in cobaltites is not settled to date and remains topical.

When LaCoO₃ is doped with Sr^{2+} ions, hole-rich regions appear in the hole-poormatrix [9]. Evolution of the magnetic properties as a function of Sr^{2+} concentration x and temperature is governed by the transition from the spin-glass-like to cluster-glass state or to the ferromagnetic (FM) metallic state [10,11]. The certain scatter in the estimates obtained by different authors [9,10,11] for the critical Sr^{2+} concentration at which long-range FM order sets in is accounted for by such factors as instability against the formation of clusters rich in Sr^{2+} and containing ions in the IS state, the dependence of the chemical heterogeneity upon the thermal history of the samples and the degree of oxidation. The question is still discussed considerably whether clusters are generated by compositional inhom ogeneities when, for example, the phases with x = 0.5 and x = 0.2 are present in $La_{0.85}Sr_{0.15}CoO_3$ [12] or they appear due to electron phase separation [13].

In this article, a study of the K err e ect, optical absorption and transport phenomena in $\text{La}_{1 \times} \text{Sr}_{x} \text{CoO}_{3}$ (x = 0:15;0:25;0:35) Im s is presented. The phase separation in Ims can be expected to be somewhat more complicated as compared with bulk materials since it could depend on strain induced by the Imsubstrate lattice mismatch. At the same time, Ims are suitable objects which can be investigated by traditional electric and magnetic techniques giving averaged characteristics of the (inhomogeneous) material and by optical methods permitting to separate responses from conducting regions and the insulating matrix and to study the magnetic processes inside the conducting clusters. This combined approach was applied earlier to manganites [14, 15]: the charge inhomogeneous state was investigated using magneto-optical (MO) method.

Transport and optical properties of FM oxides are known to depend signi cantly on their magnetic properties. As for the MO properties, they are determined directly by the magnetization of a material studied. In this work, the MO properties were measured using the transverse Kerre ect (TKE). Generally, the MO Kerre ect consists in an in uence of the magnetization of the medium on the rejected light. The TKE consists in the intensity variation of a light rejected by a magnetized sample in conditions where magnetic eld is applied parallel to the sample surface and perpendicular to the light incidence plane. Measurements of MO spectra in the visible and near ultra-violet spectral range, i.e. in the range of the fundamental absorption, could provide an information concerning electronic structure of the cobaltites and its dependence on them ical composition, conditions of the synthesis and other factors. In particular, the appearance of the new type of magnetic ions, Co⁴⁺, due to doping with Sr, and/or change in the spin state of Co ions are expected to

in uence the MO spectra. The magnitude of the MO response is known to be the product of spin-orbit coupling strength and net electron spin polarization (magnetization). This makes the magneto-optical electron spin polarization to the magnetic state of unled d-shells in the transition-metal ions. MO spectroscopy provides not only the information about the total density of states (similarly to normal optical measurements) but also about the electron spin polarization of states participating in the magneto-optical transition [16, 17].

The tem perature dependence for di erent M O e ects measured at a de nite wavelength indicates the variation of the magnetic order in the samples. Tem perature and magnetic eld dependences of M O e ects reveal the phase transition tem peratures and peculiarities of the originated magnetically ordered states. M O response is sensitive not only to the long-range magnetic order but even to the short-range magnetic order. Thus, form ation of the ferromagnetic clusters would manifest itself in the M O properties.

The phenom enological description of MO e ects is based on consideration of the in uence of a magnetic eld on the dielectric permittivity tensor, \mathbf{u}_{ij} , of the medium [17]. If the tensor is symmetric ($\mathbf{u}_{ij} = \mathbf{u}_{ji}$) in zero magnetic eld H = 0, it becomes non-symmetric [\mathbf{u}_{ij} (H) = \mathbf{u}_{ji} (H) in a nonzero magnetic eld. In the linear approximation, the dielectric permittivity tensor of the gyroelectric medium is

where the diagonal elements, $\mathbf{u}_0 = \mathbf{u}_0^0$ i \mathbf{u}_0^0 , describe normal optical properties (which do not depend on magnetization) and o -diagonal elements, $\mathbf{u}_{xy} = \mathbf{u}_{xy}^0$ i \mathbf{u}_{xy}^0 , proportional to magnetization, are related to M O properties. A llM O e ects, which are linear in magnetization, can be expressed in terms of o -diagonal elements of the dielectric permittivity tensor.

For the TKE, variation of the rejected light intensity for p-polarized wave due to the magnetization of a ferrom agnetic sample can be written as [17]

$$_{p} = 2 \sin (2') \frac{A_{1}}{A_{1}^{2} + B_{1}^{2}} \mathbf{"}_{xy}^{0} + 2 \sin (2') \frac{B_{1}}{A_{1}^{2} + B_{1}^{2}} \mathbf{"}_{xy}^{\infty};$$
 (2)

where $A_1 = \mathbf{u}_0^{\infty} [2\mathbf{u}_0^{0} \cos^2(\mathbf{u})]$ 1], $B_1 = [\mathbf{u}_0^{\infty}] \cos^2(\mathbf{u}) + \mathbf{u}_0^{0}$ sinf ('), ' is incidence angle of light.

The results presented in this article can be taken as supporting the transition of the $C\,o^{3+}$ spins from the LS to the IS state with increasing temperature. Beside this, the results agree with the presence of the orbital ordering of the $C\,o^{3+}$ ions in the IS state. At consideration of changes in the properties of the $Im\ s\ w$ ith $Sr\ doping\ the\ phase-separation\ e$ ect is taken into account.

II. SAM PLES AND EXPERIMENTAL TECHNIQUES

The lms (about 200 nm thick) were grown by pulsed-laser deposition onto a (001)-oriented LaA lO $_3$ substrate with a 1.06 m Nd - YAG laser (pulse length 10 ns, pulse energy 0.33 J, pulse frequency 12 Hz). The ceram ic targets with nominal compositions La $_1$ x Sr $_x$ C oO $_3$ (x = 0.15;0.25;0.35) were prepared by solid-state reaction method. We were aware that available methods of them ical control can determ in actual compositions in targets and lms with an accuracy not better than 2 atom ic percent. Besides, the composition

of pulsed-laser deposited $\,$ Im s can di er slightly from that of the target. For all these reasons, the nominal compositions were chosen in such way that one of them (x=0.15) is sure below the percolation threshold $(x_c=0.20\{0.25)\ [9,10,11]$, at which the in nite FM cluster is formed below the Curie temperature in this system, the second (x=0.25) should be near the percolation threshold, and the third (x=0.35) is sure above this threshold. The results outlined in the following sections show that we have achieved this aim to a great extent.

At the ablation of target m aterial, the substrate was shielded to avoid its direct exposure to the plasm a plume. In this case the deposition occurs from the ux that is rejected from a side screen. This has ensured a high surface smoothness, which is essential for obtaining reliable results at an optical study. The deposition was performed in oxygen atmosphere at the pressure 8 Pa and the substrate temperature 880 $\,$ 50 C. The deposited $\,$ lms were cooled to room temperature in oxygen at $\,$ 10 $\,$ Pa (1 atm). These preparation conditions make possible coherent epitaxy. The investigations of other cobaltite $\,$ lms, prepared in the same pulsed—laser deposition chamber and in the same conditions, provide reason enough to suggest that the $\,$ lm studied are polycrystalline, even if rather highly oriented.

The optical absorption spectra of the $\mbox{lm s were measured at } 80-295\mbox{ K with a prism infrared spectrom eter (in the range <math>0.1\{1.4\mbox{ eV})$ and a grating spectrom eter (in the range $1.0\{4.0\mbox{ eV})$. The measurements of TKE were made using an automatic MO spectrom eter [18]. A dynamic method to record TKE was used. The relative change in the intensity of the rejected light $= \mbox{[I(H)]} \mbox{[I(H)]} = \mbox{[I(H)]} \mbox{[I(H)]}$ and $\mbox{I(O)}$ are the intensities of the rejected light in the presence and in absence of a magnetic eld respectively, was directly measured in the experiment. The magnitude of the alternating magnetic eld in the gap of an electromagnet was up to $3.5\mbox{ kO}$ e. The relative precision of the apparatus is $10^5\mbox{.}$ MO spectra were recorded in the photon energy range $1.3\{3.8\mbox{ eV}$ at a xed light incidence angle $\mbox{'}=67$. For MO measurements, a continuous—ow helium cryostat is used, which makes possible investigations in the temperature range $10-300\mbox{ K}$.

The resistivity of the lmswasmeasured as a function of temperature and magnetic eld (up to 20 kO e) using the standard four-point probe technique. The eldwas applied parallel or perpendicular to the lm plane. In both cases it was perpendicular to the transport current.

III. EXPERIM ENTAL RESULTS

A. Kerre ect

The tem perature dependences of TKE measured on La_{1 x} Sr_xCoO_3 lm s (in the magnetic eld H = 3.5 kO e and at photon energy E = 2.8 eV) are shown in Fig. 1. The (T) curves reveal characteristic features at the transition to the magnetically ordered state. The position of the abrupt decrease in TKE on the tem perature scale (when going from lower to high tem perature) corresponds to the Curie tem perature T_c . As follows from given curves, Curie tem peratures for Im s w ith x = 0.35 and Im S w ith x = 0.25 coincide and are equal to 230 K. Below 230 K the Im s w ith x = 0.25 exhibit a considerable magneto-optical e ect with a peak at Im S w ith x = 0.25 exhibit a considerable magneto-optical e ect with a peak at Im S w ith x = 0.25. For the Im w ith x = 0.15, TKE drops sharply: its value becomes more than three times smaller in the range 110{160 K for Im S w ith x = 0.25. For the Im w ith x = 0.15, TKE has a peak at Im S w ith x = 0.25. For the Im w ith x = 0.25, TKE has a peak at Im S w ith x = 0.25. For the Im w ith x = 0.25, TKE has a peak at Im S w ith x = 0.25. For the Im w ith x = 0.25, TKE has a peak at Im S w ith x = 0.25 is larger than for Im S w ith higher Sr concentrations. The <math>Im S w ith peak at x = 0.25 is larger than for Im S w ith higher Sr concentrations. The <math>Im S w ith x = 0.25 is larger than for Im S w ith higher Sr concentrations. The <math>Im S w ith x = 0.25 is larger than for Im S w ith higher Sr concentrations. The <math>Im S w ith x = 0.25 is larger than for Im S w ith higher Sr concentrations. The <math>Im S w ith x = 0.25 is larger than for Im S w ith higher Sr concentrations. The <math>Im S w ith x = 0.25 is larger than for Im S w ith x = 0.25 in the same and Im S w ith x = 0.25 is larger than for Im S w ith x = 0.25 in the same and Im S w ith x = 0.25 is larger than for Im S w ith x = 0.25 in the same and Im S w ith x = 0.25 is larger than for Im S w ith x = 0.25 in the same and Im S w ith x = 0.25 in the same and Im S w ith x = 0.25 in

considerably on measurement conditions. As seen in Fig. 1, the TKE curves for x=0.15 and x=0.35 measured on cooling and heating in a high eld (H = 3.5 kOe) are shifted relative to each other. Hysteresis phenomena were much smaller for the lm with x=0.25 and were observed only near the maximum.

The position of the maximum in the TKE temperature curve is dependent on the applied magnetic eld and shifted to the high-temperature region when the eld decreased. On heating the lm with x=0.35 in the eld H = 0.9 kOe, the (T) curve shifts drastically (over 70 K) towards higher temperatures [Fig. 1(c)] when compared with the (T) curve taken in the higher eld H = 3.5 kOe. Both the curves nearly coincide at T > 200 K.On a fast cooling (with a rate about 20 K/min) of the lm with x=0.25, the (T) curve shifts to the high-temperature region enormously and the maximum magnitude of TKE decreases greatly [Fig. 1(b)]. At T < 110 K, TKE for lms with x=0.25 decreases linearly with temperature (Fig. 1).

The spectral dependences of TKE measured at temperatures of the maximum in their temperature curves are shown in Fig. 2. It is seen that the spectra are similar for all Sr concentrations studied. The Kerre ect has a positive maximum at E 1:5 eV and a broad negative maximum in the range of E 2:7 eV. The amplitudes of the peaks increase with the growing Sr concentration. Above 2.0 eV the spectra exhibit an estructure which is most distinct for the lim with x = 0:15 (peculiar features at 2.1, 2.6 and 3.1 eV stand out against the background of the broad maximum).

The eld dependences of TKE, (H), for low-tem perature range are linear for all lm s studied (Fig. 3). They are, however, quite di erent near the tem peratures corresponding to lm aximum in (T) dependences. For the lm with lm = 0.15 the (H) is linear up to 3.5 kOe, whereas for lm s with lm = 0.25 and lm = 0.35 it looks like a eld-m agnetization curve for FM compounds.

B. Resistivity and magnetoresistance

The temperature dependences of resistivity, (T), for the lms studied are presented in Fig. 4 in semilogarithmic coordinates. Since resistivity of the lm with x=0.35 shows only small visible temperature variation in this scale, it is presented more clearly in Fig. 5 in linear coordinates. Dependences (T) for lms with x=0.15 and x=0.25 have non-metallic character (d=dT < 0) (Fig. 4). They are found to be rather unusual: (T) follows closely the dependence (T) = (0) exp(aT) for x=0.15 and is practically linear in temperature for x=0.25. The dependence (T) for x=0.35 has a maximum at T and a shallow minimum at T and K (Fig. 5). The minimum is most probably connected with a polycrystalline structure of the lm [19]. The behavior of (T) is metallic between these extrem um points.

The temperature dependences of magnetoresistance (MR) R (H)=R (O) = \mathbb{R} (H) R (O)=R (O) measured in the magnetic eld H = 20 kOe are shown in Fig. 6. It is seen that for this eld the MR is negative for all lms studied. The dependence taken on the sample with x = 0:35 is quite typical of optimally doped FM cobaltites and manganites [Fig. 6 (a)]: there is a quite pronounced peak (in the MR modulus) near the Curie temperature T_c 230 K; it goes down for temperature deviating to either side from T_c . This type of MR behavior is characteristics of so called intrinsic mechanism of MR, which depends on the magnetic order (an external eld enhances the magnetic order that, in its turn, leads to a decrease in the resistivity and, therefore, to negative MR). This mechanism works in the

FM state only. For this reason the MR decreases to zero for tem peratures above $T_{\rm c}$. Far below $T_{\rm c}$, the MR of this type goes to zero as well since an applied magnetic eld can not strengthen the magnetic order appreciably in low temperature range (T $T_{\rm c}$) where the magnetization is close to saturation.

In a magnetically inhom ogeneous sample, consisting of weakly connected FM regions in dielectric or non-magnetic matrix, the so called extrinsic mechanisms of MR can give an additional contribution to the total MR. These mechanisms are determined by the charge transfer between the poorly connected or isolated FM regions. This type of magnetic inhom ogeneity in FM oxides can be connected with grain boundaries in polycrystalline sam ples or with the phase separation e ects. A contribution of the extrinsic MR increases with tem perature decreasing and is maximum at zero temperature. A concurrence of the intrinsic and extrinsic MRs can result in non-monotonic temperature dependence of the total measured MR in cobaltite lms [19, 20]. Discussion of possible mechanisms for the extrinsic type of MR in inhomogeneous polycrystalline samples can be found in Refs. [21]. It is clear that these mechanisms are applicable (at least generally) also in the case of the phase separation when this results in a system of hole-rich FM clusters embedded in hole-poor dielectric matrix. In this way, the temperature behavior of MR in FM oxides can reject their magnetic hom ogeneity. Taking it all into account, it can be said from Fig. 6(a) that lm with x = 0.35is the most homogeneous from the lms studied although even it has appreciable MR at low tem perature range that indicate the presence of som e m agnetic inhom ogeneity induced by one or both of the above-mentioned reasons. The MR of the lm with x = 0.25 increases continuously with tem perature decreasing [Fig. 6(b)] what corresponds to behavior of highly inhom open enous system. The same is true in relation to the lm s w ith x = 0.15 w here additionally a non-monotonic temperature behavior of MR can be seen. It should be noted that the largest MR is observed in the least doped sample (x = 0.15) Fig. 6(c)]. For the In swith x = 0.15 and 0.25, the temperature interval, where MR increases with lowering tem perature, is fairly close to the tem perature range of increasing TKE.

The MR of the $\ln sw$ ith x = 0.35 and x = 0.15 is an isotropic (Fig. 6). The absolute values of negative MR are much higher in elds parallel to the lm plane than in perpendicular ones. The anisotropic MR is not surprising for pulsed-laser deposited Ims of FM perovskite oxides. For cobaltites, this e ect is seen earlier in $La_{0.5}Sr_{0.5}CoO_3$ lm [19]. Since the conductivity in mixed-valence cobaltites increases with an enhancement of the FM order, this behavior just rejects the point that the magnetization increases more easily in a magnetic eld parallel to the lm plane. It is connected mainly with the shape anisotropy of the m agnetization. The strain-induced anisotropy due to the lm-substrate lattice interaction can have an in uence as well. The in uence of this type of MR anisotropy has been found in $La_{0.5}Sr_{0.5}CoO_3$ lm [20]. All these types of the MR anisotropy are associated with FM state and, for this reason, disappear above T_c. In the lms studied, MR anisotropy is found 250 K for x = 0.35. The highest MR only below T 200 K for x = 0.15 and below T anisotropy is observed in the lm with x = 0.15 [(Fig. 6(c))]. No appreciable MR anisotropy is found in the lm with x = 0.25.

Generally, the MR curves of the lms studied have been hysteretic with specic structures in low elds (see Fig. 7 for x=0.35 at T=78 K). It is well established that the behavior of the MR curves for FM oxides correlates with that of magnetization curves [21]. In particular, the eld $H=H_p$, where the resistance reaches its maximum (Fig. 7), corresponds to the coercive force H_c . It is found that eld H_p is maximal at lowest temperature in this study (T 42 K) but it drops to zero above T 77 K for x=0.15 and above T 90 K

for x=0.35. Above certain eld values, hysteresis no longer occurs, which implies that magnetization reversal processes start in these elds. Such elds are much lower for the parallel orientation (Fig. 7). We will discuss the hysteresis phenomena more elaborately below.

C. Optical absorption

The optical density spectra $D=\ln{(I_0=I)}$ $\ln{(I=t)}$ (I₀ is the incident-light intensity, I is the intensity of light transm itted through the lm s, t is the transm ittance) in the visible and infrared (IR) ranges are shown in Figs. 8 and 9, respectively. The optical density spectrum (corresponds to absorption spectrum where the rejection and lm thickness was not taken into account) for the lm with x=0.15 has a wide band at E=3.0 eV and quite narrow bands at about 1.15, 1.9, 2.4 eV in the fundamental absorption region (Fig. 8). When the Sr concentration is increased, the low-energy band (1.15 0.03) eV shifts slightly towards higher energies. For x=0.35 it is centered at 1.3 eV. The 1.9 eV band broadens and shifts towards lower energies.

For the lm with x=0:15, absorption in the IR range goes up for energy increasing above 0.9 eV (Fig. 9), which indicates the onset of fundamental absorption. At E < 0:9 eV the light interaction with charge carriers contributes to the absorption of the lm s with x=0:25 and x=0:35. As follows from the relection spectra for LaCoO $_3$ [22], phonon absorption begins below 0.08 eV.

The absorption in the lm with x = 0.15 is considerably lower at 80 K than at 295 K in the IR range (Fig. 9). For the lm with x = 0.25 the absorption in the region E < 0.9 eV is slightly higher at 80 K than at 295 K. For the lm with x = 0.35 the absorption at E < 0.9 eV is considerably higher at 80 K than at room temperature, which implies that the contribution of charge carriers to the spectrum is larger for x = 0.35 than for x = 0.25.

As shown form anganites [14, 15, 23], in the energy range of light interaction with charge carriers, the behavior of t(T) [or transmitted light intensity I (T)] follows the temperature behavior of resistivity in the case that metallic FM region percolates through the whole material. For the manganites, which for some reasons consist of separated metallic regions embedded in semiconducting matrix, the I (T) dependence rejects the temperature behavior of the resistivity in these isolated metallic regions (or clusters) and this behavior can be quite different from the direct-current (T) dependences. The I (T) behavior in Sr-doped cobaltite lms at E = 0.20 eV is shown in Fig. 10(a). On cooling the transmitted light intensity (transmittance) of the lm with x = 0.15 increases, i.e. the transmittance behavior is semiconductive at 80(300 K. In the lm with x = 0.25 the transmittance exhibits metallic temperature behavior at T < 180 K, although no this type of behavior can be seen in the (T) dependence (Fig. 4). In the lm with x = 0.35 transmittance drops signicantly below T ' 250 K (metallic behavior), which is in agreement with the (T) behavior for this lm (Fig. 5).

The I(T) dependences of cobaltite Im s studied have an extra anomaly (most pronounced for x=0.35) in the same temperature range $160\{220 \text{ K} \text{ (Fig. 10)}. \text{ This type of anomaly was never seen in manganites.}$ The anomaly is observed not only at the photon energy range where light interaction with charge carriers occurs [Fig. 10(a)], but at the range of the fundamental absorption edge at $E=1.0\{1.4 \text{ eV} \text{ Fig. 10(b)}\}$ as well, where only small (x = 0.25, x = 0.35) or no (x = 0.15) contribution of charge carrier to absorption is expected. The anomaly is more complicated at $E=1.0\{1.4 \text{ eV} \text{ Fig. 10(b)}\}$ than at

E = 0.20 eV Fig. 10 (a)]. This may be connected with the intricate character of the spectrum near the fundamental absorption edge, where the closely spaced and spectrally unresolved absorption bands overlap. Note that in our experiments the spectral bandpass of slits in the two spectrometers used is dierent in the region of overlapping working ranges. The spectra in Fig. 8 measured with a smaller spectral bandpass are therefore resolved better than the spectra in Fig. 9.

The magneto-transmittance e ect (analogous to magnetoresistance) detected in manganite lm s [24] is not found in cobaltite lm s studied up to H = 10 kO e apparently because cobaltites have much lower magnetoresistance. The in uence of magnetic elds on transmittance of the lm s shows up itself, however, in rather dierent behavior of the lm s transmittance at E = 0.20 eV on cooling in zero and nite (lm s kO e) elds. The stronge ect of the sample them omagnetic prehistory is illustrated in Fig. 10 (c). Curves 1-4 describe the lm s dependences under successive changes in measurement conditions. The lm s and measurement in lm s dependences under successive changes in lm s as a cooling (lm s curve 2) or on zero-eld cooling (lm s and measurement in lm s dependences completely when the lm s heated above room temperature and then cooled quickly (curve 4). Additional explanatory comments to the measurement conditions can be found in caption to lm s fig. 10.

IV. DISCUSSION

A. Absorption spectra and Kerre ect

There are only scanty optical studies on $La_{1 x} Sr_{x}CoO_{3}$ [6, 22, 25, 26, 27]. It can be found am ong them optical conductivity spectra obtained by the K ram ers-K ronig analysis of re ectivity spectra of poly-and single crystals [26]. The polar K err e ect for $La_{1 x}$ $Sr_{x}CoO_{3}$ single crystals at room temperature was studied in Ref. 27. The optical density spectra D (E) of the lms studied have more features in the visible range (Fig. 8) than the light conductivity spectra (E) of $La_{1 x} Sr_x CoO_3$ single crystals with similar composition [26]. The (E) spectra of single crystals have three bands at energies about 1, 3, and 6 eV, respectively [26]. They are attributed [28] to excitations into the e_q band from the t_{2q} band and broad 0 (2p) band split by the hybridization e ects into the bonding and antibonding parts. The spectra of the cobaltite Ims studied have four bands in energy range 1.0{3.5 eV (Fig. 8). This can be presum ably attributed to superposition of geom etric resonances (surface plasm ons) induced by structural inhom ogeneities on charge transfer transitions (as shown form anganites in Ref. 29). Inhom ogeneous structures m ay appear due to the phase separation, polycrystallinity of the lms and strains at the lm-substrate boundary. The evolution of absorption spectra with doping for the Ims studied is similar to that of single crystals [26]: as the Sr concentration increases, the spectral weight transfers from the visible range to the IR region, where light interaction with free charge carriers occurs.

The TKE m agnitude is comparable in cobaltites (Fig. 2) and manganites [27]. The high value of the Kerre ect may be connected with the strong spin-orbit interaction expected for Co^{3+} (IS) ions. As for $La_{1 \times} Sr_x CoO_3$ single crystal [27], TKE in the lms studied was maximum in the broad band near 3.0 eV. In Ref. 27 this behavior was attributed to the d-d transition t_{2g} ! e_g allowed for the majority-spin (or spin-up) states because of the hybridization with O (2p) orbitals. Large MO e ect found in the lms studied may also be related with this transition, which must take place only for Co^{3+} ions in the IS or HS states, but not in the LS state. Qualitatively, the TKE behavior can also be explained on the basis

of band calculation for LaCoO $_3$ with Co $^{3+}$ (IS) ions [3]. A coording to the electron-energy scheme for Co ions in the IS state [3], the magneto-optical e ect should rst appear in the spin-up subband and reach its maximum at E 2.0 eV. Then, at E > 3.5 eV the transitions in the spin-down subband can be expected. The competition of these transitions can also account for the change in sign and spectral behavior of the e ect.

B. Tem perature dependences of transm ittance, Kerre ect, resistivity and magnetoresistance

It is found for lanthanum manganites of varying compositions [14, 15] that the temperature at which the FM contribution appears (estimated from the temperature dependences of TKE) agrees well with the temperature of the insulator-metal transition in the hole-rich separated regions or clusters (found from IR absorption data). A direct correlation of this type is not seen in cobaltite Ims studied [compare Figs. 1 and 10(a)]. We will try in the following to clear up a possible reason for this discrepancy. In doing so we can assume that the holes appearing with Sr doping of cobaltites cause phase separation into the hole-poor matrix and hole-rich regions (e.g., see [9, 10, 13]).

1. $La_{0:85}Sr_{0:15}CoO_3$ m

Our magneto-optical, optical, MR and MR anisotropy results for the lm with x = 0.15support the outlined general picture for magnetic processes in cobaltites with low doping level below the percolating threshold ($x_c = 0.20\{0.25\}$) [9, 10, 11]. The monotonic (except for the range 160 (220 K) temperature dependence of intensity of the transmitted light at E = 0.20 eV in the lm w ith x=0.15 [F ig. 10 (a)] suggests that the clusters w ith $C o^{4+}$ ions are rather small in size and their volume fraction is much less than that of the sem iconducting m atrix. For this reason, apparently, the transition of these isolated clusters to m ore conductive FM state with temperature decreasing does not show itself either in I(T) Fig. 10(a)] or in (T) (Fig. 4) dependences. The TKE value characterizing the FM contribution is, however, quite high { only three times less than that for x = 0.35. TKE has a maximum at 118 K.A fter cooling in zero eld, another feature (a shoulder) appears at T ' 60 K Fig. 1 (a)]. A maximum at nearly the same temperature $T_q = 60 \{70 \text{ K was found earlier in temperature}\}$ dependences of the AC and DC susceptibility for bulk La_{0.85}Sr_{0.15}CoO₃ [9, 10, 12, 13] and attributed to spin-glass freezing. Magnetization temperature curves for La_{0.85}Sr_{0.15}CoO₃ show a cusp at the sam e freezing tem perature T_{α} [11, 30]. It can be thought that the abovem entioned feature in the TKE tem perature curve near the tem perature T 60 K [Fig. 1 (a)] is determined by the same e ect.

It should be noted that the highest M R and its anisotropy for this $\,$ Im are observed in the range 60 {80 K $\,$ Fig. 6 (c)}. The ratio of M R s m easured in elds parallel and perpendicular to the $\,$ Implane is close to 2. At T = 42 K and 20.4 K the values of eld H $_{\rm p}$ are found to be rather high (H $_{\rm pk}$ = 7.0 kO e and H $_{\rm p?}$ = 4.5 kO e for eld orientation parallel and perpendicular to the $\,$ Implane, respectively). At T = 78 K and above the M R hysteresis is practically unobservable. Taking into account that eld H $_{\rm p}$ is equal to the coercive force H $_{\rm c}$ (see Sec. IIIB above) this behavior is quite consistent with the assumed cluster-glass state at this doping level. A cluster glass is actually a system of small FM (single domain) particles. An isolated particle should have enough them all energy to sum ount the energy

barrier E = K V (K is the anisotropy constant, V is the particle volume) to reverse its magnetization in magnetic eld [31, 32]. For a system of such particles there is the so called blocking tem perature, T_B , below which the particle moment is blocked. The mean blocking tem perature, hT_Bi/K_mV_m , is determined by mean values (K_m and V_m) of the anisotropy constant and particle volume [31]. The above-mentioned tem perature T_g is directly related to hT_Bi by relation $T_g = hT_Bi$ [31], where is a numerical factor of the order of unity depending on the form of the particle size distribution. The higher is tem perature, the smaller is H_c . A coording to Ref. [32], $H_c/(1-T_B)^{1-2}$, so that at $T > hT_Bi$ the system becomes superparamagnetic. It is therefore most probable that the linear magnetic-eld dependence of TKE in this lm at T = 91 K (near Kerrelect maximum) (Fig. 3) is determined by the superparamagnetic behavior of the clusters. The high H_p eld for the lm with x = 0.15 agrees with the H_c data obtained on a bulk polycrystal of the same composition [30].

The FM interaction in clusters is mainly attributable to the Co³⁺ (IS)-O-Co⁴⁺ (LS) superexchange or the double-exchange of localized t_{2g} electrons via itinerant e_g electrons [9]. As another source of FM state, the magnetic polarons formed near the Co⁴⁺ ions can be mentioned [22]. The hole-rich regions stabilize IS state of Co³⁺ ions at the interface to the hole-poor regions. The clusters are thought to be coupled antiferrom agnetically (AFM) through the superexchange interaction between the Co³⁺ (IS) ions [9]. Since the clusters are distributed random ly, the intercluster exchange is frustrated and the cluster moments have non-collinear orientation. This leads to a large anisotropy below the temperature T_g . The in uence of all these factors on magnetic properties can show itself as a typical spin-glass-like behavior.

Among the lms studied, this lm has the highest MR [Fig. 6(c)]. It is discult to imagine that strengthening of the magnetic order in small isolated clusters embedded in a sem iconducting matrix, can cause this rather strong e ect [19]. It should be suggested, therefore, that an applied magnetic eldenhances the intercluster tunneling and/or increases the conductivity of intercluster sem iconducting regions. It is quite probable that magnetic eld a ects somehow the interface regions between hole-rich clusters and hole-poor matrix (which are enriched with Co³+ ions in IS state [9]). It was argued in Ref. 10 that the volume of the superparamagnetic clusters should increase in a magnetic eld, that can cause a considerable MR e ect. These suggestions need, however, an elaboration and veri cation in a further study.

2. $La_{0:75}Sr_{0:25}CoO_3$ m

When the Sr concentration is raised to x=0.25, the content of holes in clusters increases. The volume of the FM clusters increases too and the exchange in them is enhanced by charge carriers (double exchange). A decrease in transmittance with temperature decreasing on cooling below the temperature of the metal-insulator transition $T_{\rm M\ I}$ ' 180 K [Fig. 10(a)] together with no signs of the transition to metallic state at this temperature in (T) dependence (Fig. 4) indicates that in the lm with x=0.25 the transition to FM metallic state near 180 K occurs only in the isolated hole-rich clusters (embedded in a semi-conducting matrix) rather than in the whole volume of the lm. For this reason there are no continuous metallic conducting paths penetrating the whole lm and, therefore, the transition of the clusters to the FM state has no visible election recorded (T) behavior (Fig. 4). But this transition is rejected in the MR temperature behavior which is shown in Fig. 6(b).

It can be seen that the MR reaches its maximum below T $^{\prime}$ 200 K which agrees well with the magneto-optical and optical data obtained [see Figs. 1(b) and 10(a)]. Generally, the temperature behavior of MR for this lm corresponds to that of highly inhom ogeneous magnetic system, as it was mentioned already in Sec. IIIB.

No signi cant MR anisotropy or MR hysteresis has been found in this lm. It is caused, perhaps, by proximity of composition to the percolation threshold (x_c = 0.20{0.25}), near which cluster sizes and orientations are most chaotic. TKE appears at T ' 230 K, i.e. above the temperature $T_{\text{M I}}$ ' 180 K obtained from the transmittance data. The relation $T_{\text{M I}} < T_{\text{C}}$ is expected for this range of Sr doping [10]. The eld dependence of the TKE (Fig. 3) exhibits a typical FM behavior at the temperature (T 180 K), where the TKE is maximum, but it is linear at T = 70 K, which is typical of superparam agnetic system, but can also be determined by a change in the relationship between the FM and AFM contributions responsible for magnetism of this lm with lowering temperature.

3. $La_{0:65}Sr_{0:35}CoO_3$ m

For $x > x_c$, the volume fraction of hole-rich regions should be high enough to form an in nite percolating FM cluster below T_c [9]. The results for the Im with Im = 0:35 support well this view Im Both, the Im and Im (T) dependences Im igs. 5 and 10(a)] manifest that the metal-insulator transition starts at Im = 250 K Im The temperature behavior of the MR is typical of optimally doped cobaltites, with a sharp maximum at Im = 230 K which corresponds to the Curie temperature for this Im . At that, the metallic behavior of Im (T) is more pronounced than for Im = 0.25, but a strong anomaly can be seen in the range 160 (220 K Im The maximum Kerre ect is found to be larger for Im = 0.35 than that of for Im = 0.25, and the temperature range of maximal Kerre ect magnitude is wider Im is Im 160. At the same time the magnitude of Kerre ect drops sharply below 160 K Im The same as for Im = 0.25, the eld dependence of TKE (Fig. 3) exhibits a typical FM behavior for the temperature range, where (T) is maximum, but it is linear at Im = 96 K.

A lithough at x = 0.35 the in nite FM cluster, percolating through the whole sample, is formed, at the same time, however, a pervasive hole-poor matrix with some isolated clusters in it persists up to x = 0.5 [9]. The large cluster interfaces and/or the interlayer between the FM grains contain Co3+ (IS) ions which change into the LS state with lowering tem perature and no longer assist the charge transport and Kerre ect. As the number of Co3+ (IS) ions reduces and the related FM interaction grows weaker, the carriers can gradually localize in the FM clusters them selves. This mechanism is maybe responsible for the rather high resistivity of the lm with x = 0.35 below T = 50 K and for the hysteretic and anisotropic behavior of MR. The low-temperature resistance minimum is typical of polycrystalline sam ples with weak enough interconnections between FM grains. In this case the intergrain tunneling can become activated at low enough temperature that leads to nonm etallic behavior of (I) [19]. It is clear that magnetical inhom ogeneity due to the phase separation can contribute to this e ect in the same way as polycrystalline structure. The MR hysteresis is found to be absent above 90 K, but elds Hp are signicant already at T = 78 K (H_{pk} = 2.5 kOe and H_{p?} = 2.0 kOe). With temperature decreasing down to T = 42 K the H_p values have increased up to H_{pk} = 4.5 kO e and H_{p?} = 5.3 kO e. The strong decrease in TKE and change in character of eld dependence of it for decreasing tem perature, together with rather high MR anisotropy and the considerable growth of H $_{\rm D}$ in low tem perature range, indicate that in spite of metallic behavior of the lm conductivity,

FM state in it is not hom ogeneous and maybe close to cluster-glass state.

C. Transm ittance anomaly and orbital ordering of C o³⁺ (IS) ions.

The features of the temperature and spectral behavior of optical and magneto-optical properties, considered above, can be determined by the transition of Co3+ ions from LS state to either or both HS and IS states. In the transmittance spectra, however, the special anomaly is found, which can be attributed only to the LS{IS transition. This anomaly in the I(T) dependence (kinked behavior of it) is observed for all Ims studied and appears practically in the same temperature range 160 (220 K Fig. 10 (a)). It can be seen quite clearly for the lm s w ith x = 0.15 and x = 0.35 on the background of the general sem iconductive or m etallic run of their I (T) curves. For the lm with x = 0.25 the tem perature range of the anomaly nearly coincides with the temperature $T_{M,T}$ and, therefore, the anomaly is not so distinct. The anomaly of this type is never mentioned for manganites. It is reasonable, therefore, to assume that its nature is determined by some specic feature of cobaltites. This is the transition of Co3+ ions from LS state to a higher-spin state with increasing tem perature. According to the Ising model molecular-eld calculations, an energy gap, = 230 K, between the S = 0 and S = 1 spin states provides a good description for the temperature dependence of magnetic susceptibility [26]. The spin transition proceeds gradually. No information can be found in literature about special temperature points of this transition, except for the Mossbauer e ect in LaCoO₃ [33]. The latter data perm it the conclusion that the ratio between the Co^{3+} (HS) concentration and the total Co^{3+} content reaches its maximum at T ' 200 K. It follows, however, from neutron scattering data for LaCoO₃ [10] that the 50:50 ratio of low-spin and higher-spin Co³⁺ ions is stable in a wide interval from 110 K to room temperature, which is supported by the results for magnetic susceptibility [34].

A coording to electron structure calculation for LaC oO $_3$ [3], with rising temperature the LS (S = 0) state of Co ions transfer to the IS (S = 1) state rather than to HS (S = 2) one. Energetically, the latter state appears to be higher than the IS state even above T $^\prime$ 600 K . The Co $^{3+}$ (IS) ion with the (t $_{2g}^5e_g^1$) con guration is a Jahn-Teller (JT) ion. In the case of equal spins, the orbitally ordered (non-metallic) state is more preferable than the state without orbital order [3]. In this case the non-metallic behavior of LaC oO $_3$ at 90 K < T < 500 K can be described properly assuming that Co $^{3+}$ ions are in the orbital-ordered state. The gradual transition to the metallic behavior observed experimentally at T > 550 K is associated with the destroying the orbital order [3]. The presence of JT Co $^{3+}$ (IS) ions in cobaltites is supported by experimental results, such as anomalous splitting of phonon modes in LaC oO $_3$ [6] and giant anisotropic magnetostriction in La1 $_{\rm X}$ Srx CoO $_3$ [5]. Measurement of magnetic circular dichroism spectra has revealed the presence of Co $^{3+}$ (IS) ions with a nite orbital moment [36].

It is possible that the I (T) anomaly appears because the orbital-ordered Co $^{3+}$ (IS) ions reach their maximum concentration at T $^\prime$ 180 K .At T > 180 K the ions transfer gradually into the orbital-disordered state. The anomalous splitting of phonon modes in the spectrum of LaCoO $_3$ [6] strengthens this hypothesis. The mode corresponding to the orbital-ordered state becomes saturated at T $^\prime$ 200 K whereas the intensity of the mode ascribed to the orbital-disordered state increases sharply above T $^\prime$ 160 K .

As the LS-IS transition occurs in some fraction of the Co ions, two features are expected in the optical spectra of mixed-valence cobaltites: (i) appearance of new absorption lines

corresponding to the optical transitions including energy states of Co (IS) ions and (ii) charge-carrier localization caused by JT lattice distortions near Co $^{3+}$ (IS) ions, which may entail the form ation of JT polarons. The intricate I (T) dependence near the absorption edge (1.0{1.4 eV) [Fig. 10 (b)] is determined, probably, by the appearance of additional absorption bands, which, however, are not resolved in this spectral range. These bands can have dierent temperature dependence, including the anom alous ones, and their superposition would result in tangled appearance of I (T) dependence.

A possible reason for the I (T) anomaly in the region of light interaction with the charge carriers at E = 0.20 eV [Fig. 10(a)] can be charge localization at the maximum concentration of the orbital-ordered JT Co3+ (IS) ions. It is natural to assume that the orbital-ordered Co^{3+} (IS) ions reside in the hole-poorm atrix (for x = 0.15 and 0.25) or in the regions near the boundaries of hole-rich clusters (for x = 0.35). The hole-rich clusters are expected to contain orbital-disordered Co^{3+} (IS) ions. The I(T) anomaly can appear only when contributions of these two mechanisms { enhancing of metallic behavior of charge carriers in the clusters and localization of charge carriers in the matrix { are comparable. It should be noted that this mechanism of charge-carrier localization is possible only for JT ions in semiconducting matrix, that is for Co (IS) ions. There is no such mechanism for LS-HS transition of Co3+ ions. Cooling in a nite applied eld, or cooling at H = 0 and subsequent measurement at H > 0, suppresses the anomaly in I(T), i.e. enhances the metallic contribution of the charge carriers [see Fig. 10 (c) for x = 0:35]. The anomaly is a ected most signicantly by fast cooling of the lm preheated to $T = 320 \, \text{K}$ [Fig. 10 (c)]. At this temperature the signicant fraction of Co3+ (IS) ions in the sem iconducting matrix becomes orbital-disordered. On fast cooling this "disordered" (and more metallic) state is frozen. A fter such thermal treatment the I(T) anomaly vanishes completely both at E = 0.20 eV (Fig. 10) and at E = 1.0 eV (not shown). The m etallic contribution becomes more pronounced as well. Appearance and change in number of orbital-ordered Co3+ (IS) ions in dielectric matrix can be responsible for unusual behavior of TKE temperature dependence for lmswith x

At T = 80 K, Kerre ect is equally small in the lms with x = 0.25 and x = 0.35, and it is smaller than in the lm with x = 0.15 (Fig. 1). This is because only a small fraction of Co^{3+} (IS) ions are involved in the FM exchange at $70\{90 \text{ K}$. In lms with x = 0.25, Co^{3+} (IS) ions are fewer than in the lm with x = 0.15 if we assume that the highest Co^{3+} (IS) concentration is 50% of all Co^{3+} ions both in non-doped LaCoO₃ and doped La_{1 x} Sr_xCoO_3 . Besides, it is likely that in the matrix and in the boundary regions of metallic clusters the Co^{3+} (IS) ions are in the orbital-ordered state, so they interact antiferrom agnetically. As a result, the number of the ions involved in the FM interaction is much less than 50% of the total Co^{3+} content corresponding to the nominal lm composition. The linear behavior of TKE as a function of magnetic eld which is observed in all the lms below the TKE peak (Fig. 3) shows that the FM interaction (double exchange) weakens, and concurrence the FM interaction (in clusters) and AFM interaction (in the matrix or boundary regions) comes into play.

An unusual fact is observed for the lm with x = 0.35: on heating in a weak eld (H = 0.9 kOe), the TKE dependence shifts signi cantly towards higher tem perature when compared with that of at H = 3.5 kOe [Fig. 1 (c)]. This inding implies that when Kerre ect is measured in the magnetic eld 3.5 kOe, the Co³⁺ (LS)-Co³⁺ (IS) transition is driven not only by temperature but by the magnetic eld as well. The spin transition should actually occur at higher temperatures, and the magnetic eld stimulates its onset at lower temperatures. This conclusion was arrived in Ref. 35 at explaining the giant anisotropic

m agnetostriction of La_{1 x} Sr_xCoO₃ (x = 0.3). The curves obtained for the lm with x = 0.35 are shifted after heating-cooling in a strong eld Fig. 3 (c)] because heating stimulates an earlier onset of the LS-IS transition and cooling "freezes" the state which existed at the high tem perature. The tem perature hysteresis of TKE is small at x = 0.25 presum ably because the onset of FM and metal-insulator transition in clusters takes place within the interval T = 180 {200 K, where the content of orbital-ordered JT ions and, hence, the related AFM contribution are the highest. The state without orbital order has a larger magnetic moment [3], which a ords a strong TKE even above the temperature of metal-insulator transition. The considerable TKE shift towards higher temperatures caused by fast cooling of the lm with x = 0.25 Fig. 1 (b)] agrees well with the elect produced by fast cooling on the I(T) curves Fig. 10 (c)]. The fast cooling of the lm which is partially in the orbital-disordered state (at T 300 K) is favorable for "freezing" this state. As a result, the carrier localization weakens, the AFM component decreases and the FM contribution increases.

The evolution of optical, magneto-optical and transport properties of the $\mbox{lm} s$ studied with the doping level correlates well with the concept of electron phase separation in cobaltites. At a low doping level (x = 0:15), the Co³+ (LS)-Co³+ (LS) transition in the magnetic eld begins at helium temperatures. The transition enhances the FM contribution in the clusters, which is mainly connected with the Co³+ (LS) = 0 -Co⁴+ (LS) superexchange. As a result, the Kerr e ect grows up to T = 118 K.On the farther rise of temperature the number of Co³+ (LS) ions increases. The content of orbital-ordered ions in the matrix increases too and so does the related AFM contribution. In the temperature region, where the content of the orbital-ordered Co³+ (LS) ions is the highest (T ' 180 K), the AFM contribution exceeds the FM one from the clusters and the Kerr e ect turns to zero. The high coercive forces are indicative of small sizes of the FM clusters in the non-magnetic matrix. The magnetic state of the $\mbox{lm} m$ with x = 0:15 has doubtless features of the spin-glass state.

On doping level (x=0.25) near the percolation threshold, the hole-rich FM clusters are quite num erous, but segregated. No in nite cluster is formed yet. In the clusters them etal-insulator transition occurs near 180 K. This suggest that below 180 K the FM interaction is mainly connected with the charge carrier exchange (double exchange). Because of doping, the total content of Co^{3+} ions is smaller than at x=0.15 and the Kerre ect is also smaller at low temperatures. It is likely that the fraction of the orbital-ordered Co^{3+} (IS) ions grows with temperature only in thematrix and becomes the highest at T'=180 K. Since the degree of orbital ordering decreases above this temperature, TKE remains appreciably strong up to T=230 K because the state without orbital ordering has a large moment.

At the doping level (x = 0.35) above the percolation threshold, the FM regions percolate magnetically as well as conductively through the the whole $\,$ lm . For this reason the tem – perature ofmetal-insulator transition is close to T_c = 230 K . Although the number of Co $^{3+}$ ions involved in the LS-IS transition decreased, the TKE maximum is much higher than at x = 0.25 because the double exchange assisted by Co $^{4+}$ ions enhances the FM contribution. The $\,$ lm is, however, magnetically inhom ogeneous, which is evident from the TKE hysteresis under the heating-cooling condition. The considerable hysteresis and the strong dependence of TKE on the sample prehistory and thermal treatment may be connected with orbital ordering in the cluster interfaces, which are the sources of the AFM contribution. As in the x = 0.25 case, the FM state of the $\,$ lm with x = 0.35 below the temperature of TKE maximum becomes much weaker due to the enhanced AFM interaction. This is evident from the sharp growth of H $_{\rm C}$ with decreasing temperature typical of the cluster glass state.

V. CONCLUSION

Optical, magneto-optical and transport properties of polycrystalline La_{1 x} Sr_xCoO_3 (x = 0:15;0:25;0:35) Im s have been studied. Unlike manganites, no direct correlation is found between the temperature of metal-insulator transition in clusters and the temperature at which the FM contribution appears. It is found that the temperature dependences of the optical and magneto-optical properties of the Imsexhibit features, which can be determined by the transition of Co^{3+} ions from the low-spin state (S = 0) to the intermediate spin state S = 1. This transition is driven not only by temperature increase but by an applied magnetic eld as well. It is shown as well that the above-indicated properties are dependent on the number of orbital-ordered Co^{3+} ions in semiconducting matrix. Irrespective of the Sr concentration, the content of the orbital-ordered Co^{3+} ions is the highest at T 180 K. The results obtained support the phase separation scenario for mixed-valence cobaltites.

A cknow ledgm ents

The authors thank M.A.K orotin for helpful discussions. The study is partially supported by RFBR Grants No. 02-02-16429 and No. 00-02-17797.

- [1] R. von Helm olt, J. Wecker, B. Holzapfel, L. Schultz, and K. Samwer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 71, 2331 (1993); S. Jin, T. H. Tie, M. McCorm ack, R. A. Fastnacht, R. Ramesh, and L. H. Chen, Science 264, 413 (1994).
- [2] P.M.Raccah and J.B.Goodenough, Phys. Rev. B 155, 932 (1967).
- [3] M.A.Korotin, S.Yu. Ezhov, I.V. Solovyev, V.I. Anisimov, D.I. Khomskii, and G.A. Sawatzky, Phys. Rev. B 54, 5309 (1996).
- [4] P.Ravindran, H.Fjelkag, A.Kjekshus, P.Blaha, K.Schwarz, and J.Luitz, J.Appl.Phys. 91, 291 (2002).
- [5] T. Saitoh, T. Mizokawa, A. Fujimori, M. Abbate, Y. Takeda, and M. Takano, Phys. Rev. B 56, 1290 (1997).
- [6] S.Yam aguchi, Y.Okim oto, and Y.Tokura, Phys. Rev. B 55, R 8666 (1997).
- [7] Y.Kobayashi, N.Fujiwara, S.Murata, K.Asai, and H.Yasuoka, Phys.Rev.B 62, 410 (2000).
- [8] C. Zobel, M. Kriener, D. Bruns, J. Baier, M. Gruninger, T. Lorenz, P. Reutler, and A. Revcolevschi, Phys. Rev. B 66, 020402 (R) (2002).
- [9] M.A. Senaris-Rodriguez and J.B. Goodenough, J. Sol. State Chem. 118, 323 (1995).
- [10] R.Caciu o, D.Rinaldi, G.Barucca, J.Mira, J.Rivas, M.A.Senaris-Rodriguez, P.G.Radaelli, D.Fiorani, and J.B.Goodenough, Phys. Rev. B 59, 1068 (1999).
- [11] M. Itoh, I. Natori, S. Kubota, and K. Motoya, J. Phys. Soc. Jap. 63, 1486 (1994).
- [12] P.S.AnilKumar, P.A. Joy, and S.K.Date, J.Appl.Phys. 83, 7375 (1998).
- [13] J.M ira, J.R ivas, G.Baio, G.Barucca, R.Caciu o, D.Rinaldi, D.Fiorani, and M.A.Senaris-Rodriguez, J.Appl.Phys.89, 5606 (2001).
- [14] N.N. Loshkareva, Yu.P. Sukhorukov, E.A. Gan'shina, E.V. Mostovshchikova, R.Yu.Kumaritova, A.S. Moskvin, Yu.D. Panov, O. Yu. Gorbenko, and A.R. Kaul, JETP 92, 462 (2001).

- [15] N.N. Loshkareva, Yu.P. Sukhorukov, E.V. Mostovshchikova, E.V. Nomerovannaya, A.A. Makhnev, S.V. Naumov, E.A. Gan'shina, I.K. Rodin, A.S. Moskvin, and A.M. Balbashev, JETP 94, 350 (2002).
- [16] J. Schoenes "M agneto-optical Properties of M etals, A lloys and Compounds", in M aterials Sciense and Technology, edited by R W . Cahn, P H aasen and E J. K ram er, Vol. 3, E lectronic and M agnetic Properties of M etals and Ceram ics, Vol. Editor K H J. Buschow (1990).
- [17] A.K. Zvezdin and V.A.Kotov, \Modern Magnetooptics and Magnetooptical Materials" (IOP Publishing LTD, Bristol, 1997).
- [18] E.A.Balykina, E.A.Gan'shina, and G.S.Krinchik, Zh.Exp.Teor.Fiz. 93, 1879 (1987).
- [19] B. I. Belevtsev, N. T. Cherpak, I. N. Chukanova, A. I. Gubin, V. B. Krasovitsky, and A. A. Lavrinovich, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 14, 2591 (2002).
- [20] B. I. Belevtsev, V. B. Krasovitsky, A. S. Pan lov, and I. N. Chukanova, Preprint cond-m at/0207346.
- [21] M. Ziese, Rep. Prog. Phys. 65, 143 (2002).
- [22] S. Yam aguchi, Y. Okim oto, H. Taniguchi, and Y. Tokura, Phys. Rev. B 53, R2926 (1996).
- [23] N.N. Loshkareva, N. I. Solin, Yu. P. Sukhorukov, N. I. Lobachevskaya, and E. V. Pan lova, Physica B 293, 390 (2001).
- [24] Yu.P. Sukhorukov, N.N. Loshkareva, E.A. Gan'shina, A.R. Kaul, O.Yu. Gorbenko, and K.A. Fatieva, Techn. Phys. Lett. 25, 551 (1999); Yu.P. Sukhorukov, E.A. Gan'shina, B.I. Belevtsev, N.N. Loshkareva, A.N. Vinogradov, K.D.D. Rathnayaka, A. Parasiris, and D. G. Naugle, J. Appl. Phys. 91, 4403 (2002).
- [25] T.Arima, Y.Tokura, and J.B.Torrance, Phys. Rev. B 48, 17006 (1993).
- [26] Y. Tokura, Y. Okimoto, S. Yamaguchi, H. Taniguchi, T. Kimura, and H. Takagi, Phys. Rev. B 58, R1699 (1998).
- [27] S. Yam aquchi, Y. Okim oto, K. Ishibashi, and Y. Tokura, Phys. Rev. B 58, 6862 (1998).
- [28] I. Solovyev, N. Ham ada, and K. Terakura, Phys. Rev. B 53, 7158 (1996).
- [29] A.S.Moskvin, E.V.Zenkov, Yu.D.Panov, N.N.Loshkareva, Yu.P.Sukhorukov, and E.V. Mostovshchikova, Fiz. Tverd. Tela 44, 1452 (2002).
- [30] V.Golovanov, L.M ihaly, and A.R.Moodenbaugh, Phys.Rev.B 53, 8207 (1996).
- [31] R.W. Chantrell, M.E.H. ib, and K.O. Grady, IEEE Trans. Magn. 27, 3570 (1991).
- [32] P.Katiyar, D.Kumar, T.K.Nath, A.V.Kvit, J.Narayan, S.Chattopadhyay, W.M.Gilmore, S.Coleman, C.B.Lee, J.Sankar, and Rajiv K.Singh, Appl. Phys. Lett. 79, 1327 (2001).
- [33] V.G.Bhide, D.S.Raipria, G.R.Rao, and C.N.R.Rao, Phys. Rev. B 6, 1021 (1972).
- [34] M.A. Senaris-Rodriguez and J.B. Goodenough, J. Sol. State Chem. 116, 224 (1995).
- [35] M.R. Ibarra, R.M. ahendiran, C.M. arquina, B.G. arcia-Landa, and J.B. lasco, Phys. Rev. B. 57, R3217 (1998).
- [36] K. Yoshi, M. M. izum aki, Y. Saitoh, and A. Nakamura, J. Sol. State Chem. 152, 577 (2000).

Figure captions

- Figure 1. Tem perature dependences of TKE of La_{1 x} Sr_xCoO_3 lm s with x = 0:15 (a), x = 0:25 (b) and x = 0:35 (c). Heating and cooling regimes are indicated by arrows. All curves were recorded in magnetic eld H = 3:5 kOe at photon energy E = 2:8 eV, except dashed-line curve for x = 0:35, which was recorded on heating in eld H = 0:9 kOe. Solid line in the picture for x = 0:25 (b) corresponds to fast cooling. Rates of heating and cooling were usually in the range 1{3 K/m in, the \fast cooling" was done with a rate about 20 K/m in.
- Figure 2. Spectral dependences of TKE of the La $_{1 \text{ x}}$ Sr $_{x}$ CoO $_{3}$ Im s at tem peratures of maximum in the tem perature dependence of TKE.
- Figure 3. Magnetic-eld dependences of TKE of the La_{1 x} Sr_xCoO_3 lms studied at temperatures, corresponding to the maximalTKE e ect (190 K for x=0.35 and 180 K for x=0.25), and at much lower temperatures.
- Figure 4. Tem perature dependences of the resistivity of the $\,$ lm s La $_{1\,\,x}$ Sr $_{x}$ CoO $_{3}$ (in sem iloqarithm ic coordinates).
 - Figure 5. Tem perature dependence of the resistivity of lm La_{0:65}Sr_{0:35}CoO₃.
- Figure 6. Tem perature dependences of magnetoresitance [R(H)] [R(0)] [R(0)]
- Figure 7. M agnetoresistive hysteresis for La $_{1\ x}$ Sr $_{x}$ CoO $_{3}$ lm with x=0.35 at T=78 K. Applied elds were parallel (H $_{k}$) and perpendicular (H $_{?}$) to the lm plane. In both cases the elds were perpendicular to the transport current.
- Figure 8.0 ptical density spectra D = $\ln (I_0=I)$ (I_0 is the incident-light intensity, I is the intensity of light transmitted through the \ln) for $La_{1 \times} Sr_x CoO_3$ \ln s studied in the visible light range at T = 295 K. The curves are spaced apart for facilitation of visual perception.
- Figure 9.0 ptical density spectra D = $\ln (I_0=I)$ (I_0 is the incident-light intensity, I is the intensity of light transmitted through the \ln) for $La_{1 \times} Sr_x CoO_3$ \ln s studied in the IR range at dierent temperatures.
- Figure 10. Tem perature dependences of the intensity of light transm itted through the La_{1 x} Sr_xCoO_3 lm s at E = 0.20 eV (a), in the energy range 1.0{1.4 eV (b) and for di erent m easurem ent conditions at E = 0.20 eV for lm w ith x = 0.35 (c). The num erals in panel (c) of the gure indicate the following: 1 { ZFC, H = 0; 2 { FC, H = 0; 3 { ZFC, H = 8 kOe; 4 { fast cooling after a heating up to T = 320 K, H = 0 (heating with the rate about 1{1.5 K/m in; the following "fast cooling" from 320 K to T 80 K have taken about 10 m in). The curves in panels (a) and (b) are spaced apart for facilitation of visual perception.




Figure 1 to paper Loshkareva et al. (Phys. Rev. B)




Figure 2 to paper Loshkareva et al. (Phys. Rev. B)




Figure 3 to paper Loshkareva et al. (Phys. Rev. B)




Figure 4 to paper Loshkareva et al. (Phys. Rev. B)




Figure 5 to paper Loshkareva et al. (Phys. Rev. B)




Figure 6 to paper Loshkareva et al. (Phys. Rev. B)




Figure 7 to paper Loshkareva et al. (Phys. Rev. B)




Figure 8 to paper Loshkareva et al. (Phys. Rev. B)




Figure 9 to paper Loshkareva et al.




Figure 10 to paper Loshkareva et al.