## M ultidim ensional solitons in periodic potentials

Bakhtiyor B. Baizakov<sup>1</sup>, Boris A. M alom ed<sup>2</sup>, and M ario Salemo<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup> D ipartim ento di Fisica "E R. Caianiello" and Istituto N azionale di Fisica della M ateria (IN FM), Universita di Salemo, I-84081 B aronissi (SA), Italy, <sup>2</sup> D epartm ent of Interdisciplinary Studies, Faculty of Engineering, TelAviv University, TelAviv 69978, Israel

(April 14, 2024)

## Abstract

The existence of stable solitons in two- and three-dimensional (2D and 3D) media governed by the self-focusing cubic nonlinear Schrodinger equation with a periodic potential is demonstrated by means of the variational approximation (VA) and in direct simulations. The potential stabilizes the solitons against collapse. Direct physical realizations are a Bose-E instein condensate (BEC) trapped in an optical lattice, and a light beam in a bulk Kerrm edium of a photonic-crystal type. In the 2D case, the creation of the soliton in a weak lattice potential is possible if the norm of the eld (number of atoms in BEC, or optical power in the Kerrm edium) exceeds a threshold value (which is smaller than the critical norm leading to collapse). Both \single-cell" and \multi-cell" solitons are found, which occupy, respectively, one or several cells of the periodic potential, depending on the soliton's norm. Solitons of the form er type and their stability are well predicted by VA. Stable 2D vortex solitons are found too.

Typeset using REVT<sub>E</sub>X

Recent successful observation [1,2] and theoretical consideration [3,4] of one-dimensional (1D) solitons in self-attractive Bose-E instein condensates (BECs) with a negative scattering length raises a question whether higher-dimensional solitons can be created in BECs [5]. One possibility, recently proposed in Refs. [6,7], is to induce self-trapping of a 2D condensate by means of ac external magnetic eld via the Feshbach resonance, which makes the scattering length a periodically sign-changing one (a similar mechanism was earlier shown to stabilize light beam s in a bulk optical medium consisting of periodically alternating selffocusing and defocusing layers [8]). On the other hand, an e cient technique to control BEC dynamics is based on optical lattices (OLs), i.e., interference patterns generated by laser beam s illum inating the condensate (see earlier works [9] and recent ones [10]). A possibility to create higher-dimensional gap solitons in self-repulsive BECs loaded into 2D or 3D OL was proposed in Ref. [11], where it was shown that soliton-like structures emerge due to m odulational instability of BEC in the periodic potential (a similar mechanism for the 1D case was investigated in Refs. [12,13]). Nonlinear localization of atom ic B loch waves in the form of 2D m atter-wave gap solitons was shown by num erical solution of the corresponding eigenvalue problem [14].

The objective of the present work is to show that stable 2D and 3D solitons can be created in a more straightforward (and more relevant to the experiment) way, namely, in self-attractive BECs trapped in OLs. In the absence of the external potential, stationary soliton solutions to the corresponding self-focusing nonlinear Schrodinger (NLS) equation can be easily found, but they are unstable due to the, respectively, weak and strong collapse in the 2D and 3D NLS equation [15]. We demonstrate that periodic potentials of the OL type can stabilize 2D and 3D solitons of various types (in particular, both fundam ental and vortex solitons are found to be stable in the 2D case).

The sam em odel nds another physical realization in the 2D case, in term sofa light beam propagating in a bulk self-focusing K errm edium with transverse m odulation of the refractive index, i.e., a medium of a photonic-crystal (PhC) type (see, e.g., Ref. [16]). However, in contrast to recently considered nonlinear PhC m odels, which assume transverse m odulation

2

of the K err coe cient, we consider the case when only the refractive index is modulated, while the nonlinearity is uniform. Soliton dynamics in a 1D version of this optical system, i.e., as a matter of fact, a multichannel nonlinear planar waveguide, was studied earlier in Ref. [17] (after the submission of the original version of this paper, a preprint has appeared which considers a very similar PhC model for the 2D case [18]).

The present m odel is based on the rescaled G ross-P itaevskii (GP) equation [19] for the wave function u(r;t),

$$iu_{t} + r^{2} + V(r) + j_{1}j^{2}u = 0$$
 (1)

(in optical models, it is the NLS equation, t being the propagation distance). Here, V (r) is the external potential, which contains the parabolic-trap and OL term s,

i.e., the OL period is norm alized to be (in the optical model, the parabolic trap is absent). The only dynamical invariant of Eq. (1) is the norm (number of atom s in BEC, or the beam 's power in the optical model),  $N = {}^{R} j_{L}(r) j_{d}r$ .

A nalytical predictions for solitons can be obtained by m eans of the variational approximation (VA), which was developed in nonlinear optics (see a review [20]), and successfully applied to BECs too [3,6,21], including BECs trapped in OL [22] (VA in the 1D version of this model was earlier elaborated for the above-m entioned multichannel nonlinear optical waveguide [17]). Following these works, we adopt the G aussian ansatz for fundam ental solitons,

$$u(r;t) = A \exp it ar^2 = 2;$$
 (3)

with an arbitrary negative constant and positive ones a and A (we set "> 0 in Eq. (2), hence the central point of the ansatz must be chosen at the potential minimum, r = 0). In the case of BEC, is the chemical potential, and in optics is the propagation constant. Substituting the ansatz into the Lagrangian L corresponding to Eq. (1), we perform spatial integration, and derive equations for a and A in the form @L=@a = @L=@A = 0 ( is not a variational degree of freedom, but rather an intrinsic parameter of the soliton family).

Below, we display variational results for ! = 0 in the GP/NLS equation (1), which implies that the soliton has a size much smaller than that imposed by the parabolic trap. In the 2D case, the variational procedure amounts to an equation which determines a in terms of the norm,  $N = A^2 = a$  [this expression corresponds to the ansatz (3)], and another equation that yields the chemical potential/propagation constant:

$$N = 4 \ 1 \ 2"a^{2}e^{1=a}$$
;  $= 2" \ 2a^{1} \ 1 e^{1=a}$  a: (4)

Note that, if " = 0, Eqs. (4) yield N = 4 . In the present notation, it is nothing else but a known variational prediction for the critical norm in the 2D NLS equation, which simultaneously is a universal value of the norm corresponding to the unstable 2D soliton. W ith "  $\in$  0, Eq.(4) shows that the number of particles attains a minimum (threshold) value,

$$N_{thr} = 4 \ 1 \ 8e^{2}$$
"; (5)

at a = 1=2. This means that there is a threshold value of the norm which is necessary to create the 2D soliton; however, the threshold really exists only if it is positive. The expression (5) shows that the threshold exists for a relatively weak lattice, and it disappears if "exceeds the value " $_0 = e^2 = 8$  0:92.

It follows from Eq. (4) that the norm N cannot exceed the above-mentioned critical value,  $N_{cr} = 4$ , which means that VA predicts a family of fundamental 2D solitons in the interval  $N_{thr} < N < N_{cr}$  (recall  $N_{thr} = 0$  if " > "\_0). VA makes it also possible to predict stability of the solitons, on the basis of the Vakhitov-Kobkolov (VK) criterion [15], which applies if the solution to Eqs. (4) is obtained in a form = (N): the necessary stability condition is d =dN < 0.

A num erical solution of Eqs. (4), produces two di erent branches of the solution, see an example in Fig. 1a. An analytical consideration of the near-critical case, 1 N = 4 ! + 0, also yields two di erent solutions:

Both the num erical and analytical solutions dem onstrate that one branch  $[_1 (N)$  in Eq. (6)] satisfies the VK criterion, and the other one  $[_2 (N)$  in Eq. (6)] does not. Direct simulations (see inset to Fig. 1a and more results below) con rm that the variational ansatz satisfying the VK criterion gives rise to a stable soliton, whose form is quite close to the predicted one.

Q ualitatively, the appearance of the fam ily of stable solitons in the interval N<sub>thr</sub> < N < N<sub>cr</sub> in the presence of the lattice can be explained by the fact that it actually creates a nontrivial band (in term softhe corresponding values of ), where the solitons may be stable (in the lim it "! 0 the band degenerates into a single point). Note that appearance of a sim ilar band explains the existence of stable 2D solitons in the 0 L-supported m odel with the positive scattering length [11].





FIG.1. (a) Numerical solution to Eqs. (4) for " = 0.4 and " = " $_0$  = 0.92 (the latter value is the one at which N <sub>thr</sub> vanishes). Inset displays evolution of the amplitude of a directly simulated solution initiated by the variational ansatz (3) with A and a taken as solutions of Eqs. (4), in the case of " = 0.92, N = 2, a = 1.3 (the VK -stable branch). (b) D ependence of the amplitude A of established 2D solitons vs. the initial norm N, as obtained from direct simulations of Eq. (1) with " = 0.4 and ! = 0, starting with the con guration predicted by VA. The undulations in the dependence is a result of radiation loss in the course of the soliton form ation. The dashed curve is the dependence A (N) as given by VA.

The simple ansatz (3) does not take into regard distortion of the soliton's shape by the periodic potential; for this reason, VA is expected to be accurate if the soliton's size is not essentially larger than the lattice period, which is con rm ed by comparison with num erical ndings, see below.

D irect simulations of Eq. (1) were performed by means of the multidimensional fast Fourier transform on grids of the size 256 256 or 128 128 128 in the 2D and 3D cases, respectively, with a time step t = 0.001. The domain size was  $j_x; yj = 4$  (in the gures we show only its central part). To emulate in nite boundary conditions (if the parabolic trap is switched o ), absorbers were installed at borders of the integration domain, which eliminate linear waves emitted in the course of formation of solitons.

A basic conclusion following from the simulations is that the initial waveforms, taken

as predicted by VA, always evolve into stable solitons (in both 2D and 3D cases). On the other hand, any input with the norm below the threshold predicted by VA (if " < "\_0), and some other input forms, taken very far from the variational prediction, decay into linear waves. This general result is illustrated by Fig. 1 (b) from which we see that a delocalizing transition, manifested by the rapid drop of the amplitude, occurs at  $N_{cr}=4$  0.62 for the case " = 0.4. By comparing this value with the minimum value attained by N =4 along the VA existence curve of Fig. 1 (a) at " = 0.4 (i.e.  $N_{min}=4$  0.58), we see that, although not perfect, the agreement is reasonable. Sim ilar results are found also for other values of the amplitude of the optical lattice. W e remark, however, that non-sharpness of the transition (see Fig. 1 (b)) makes it di cult to determ ine the threshold with higher accuracy since, close to the threshold, long simulations are necessary to check whether a broad small-amplitude soliton is form ed or not. Accurate study of the threshold norm versus " is presently under investigation and will be reported elsewhere.

The presence of a lower threshold for the existence of the multi-dimensional solitons in the OL strongly resemble a similar phenom enon occurring in nonlinear lattices for intrinsic localized modes [23]. This analogy correlates with the fact that the above solitons can be strongly localized around one site of the lattice (see below).

A ctually, the lattice stabilizes the soliton not only against decay, but also partly against collapse. Indeed, in Fig. 1(b) the norm extends to slightly overcritical values (the exact value in 2D is  $N_{cr}=4 = 0.93$  [15]) without provoking the collapse. An explanation for this comes from the fact that the chemical potential of the soliton lies in the gap of the excitation spectrum created by the lattice, thus enhancing their stability against the collapse or decay into linear waves. The mechanism for the form ation of gap solitons in BECs with the OL and positive scattering length was identied as modulational instability of the B loch states at the edges of the B rillouin zone [11,12].

Depending on the strength of the lattice potential and norm of the initial pulse, the emerging soliton occupies a single lattice cell (then we call it a \single-cell soliton"), or spreads itself over a few cells (a \multi-cell soliton"). Single-cell solitons always have a

7

larger norm than the multi-cell ones, and a border value of N, which separates the singleand multi-cell states, can be approximately identiced. The relaxation of the 2D and 3D pulses into the stable shape proceeds with oscillations [see inset to Fig. 1 (a)], with a higher frequency in stronger lattices. In fact, the input which is predicted by VA to be a stable soliton (according to the VK criterion) quickly evolves into a single-cell soliton whose shape is quite close to the predicted one, which is illustrated by inset to Fig. 1a. In contrast to this, the initial pulse taken as what is expected to be a VK -unstable soliton, according to VA, undergoes violent evolution, with large emission loss, which ends up by the form ation of a multi-cell soliton. Full evolution pictures are not displayed here, as it is di cult to generate them in the 2D and 3D geometry without radial symmetry.

Generic examples of the established single-cell and multi-cell 2D solitons, which were generated, respectively, by the VA-predicted VK-stable Gaussian ansatz in a moderately weak lattice potential, and the VK-unstable ansatz in a strong potential, are displayed in Fig. 2. A central peak of the soliton and satellites are clearly seen in the latter case.



FIG.2. (a) An established single-cell 2D soliton in a moderately strong lattice potential, found from direct simulations of Eq. (1) with " = 0:92. The initial conguration was taken as per the VA-predicted stable soliton, i.e., Eqs. (3) and (4) were used, with a = 1:3, the corresponding norm being N = 2 . (b) An established multi-cell 2D soliton in a strong lattice potential, with " = 10. The initial conguration was taken as per the VA-predicted unstable soliton with a = 0:15 and N = 2 [the same initial norm as in the case shown in (a)]. In the case (b), the norm drops to N = 3:18, i.e., 50% of the initial norm is lost in the course of the form ation of the stable soliton.

The form ation of solitons in the 3D lattice potential is, generally, similar to the 2D case,

although the relaxation time is shorter, due to the stronger interaction involving a larger number of adjacent cells. A typical example of a stable multi-cell 3D soliton, which form s itself in a strong lattice potential, is given in Fig. 3 (a).

A longside the fundam ental 2D soliton, in the absence of the lattice one can construct vortex solitons, of the form  $u = v(r) \exp(it + iS)$ , where r and are the polar coordinates, S is an integer vorticity (\spin"), and v(r) is a real function, which exponentially decays as r! 1 and vanishes  $r^{S} as r! 0.A swell as the fundam ental (S = 0)$  soliton, the vortices are strongly unstable in the usual 2D NLS equation. Recently, stable vortices have been found in 2D (see reviews [24]) and 3D [25] m odels with non-K err optical nonlinearities. O n the other hand, it was demonstrated [27] that stable vortices, solely with S = 1, exist in the discrete version of the usual cubic 2D NLS equation (which describes a bundle of nonlinear optical waveguides [26], or BEC trapped in a strong OL eld [22]. Note that, unlike the isotropic NLS m odel, in ones with the axial sym m etry broken by the lattice the vorticity is not a topological invariant, hence the very existence of such solutions is a nontrivial issue [27].

To generate vortex solitons, we simulated the 2D version of Eq. (1) with the initial condition  $u_0(r; ) = Ar^S \exp(ar^2) \exp(iS)$ , trying various values of A and a. Stable vortices with S 2 could never be created (they quickly spread out); however, initial con gurations with S = 1 readily self-trap into a stable vortex, which features a complex multi-cell organization, see Fig. 3(b).



FIG.3. (a) An established 3D soliton form ed in a strong lattice potential. The z = 0 cross-section is shown for " = 10 and N = 10. (b) A typical example of a stable vortex soliton with S = 1 and N = 2, in the 2D lattice potential with " = 10. Inset shows the diagonal cross-section of the soliton; note that (as it should be) the eld vanishes rasr! 0.

All the above examples were obtained for ! = 0 in Eq. (1), i.e., without the parabolic trap. Simulations with  $! \neq 0$  have demonstrated that the external trap does not a ect the 2D and 3D solitons in any conspicuous way, provided that the corresponding harm onic-oscillator length is essentially larger than the lattice period.

The solitons found in this work are pinned by the lattice. In fact, a sim ilar mechanism may stabilize solitons that partially keep the mobility of their free counterparts. To this end, one can use quasi-1D and quasi-2D lattices, in the 2D and 3D cases, respectively. This modi cation of the model will be reported elsewhere.

Finally, we address experimental perspectives. First, creation of the initial waveform occupying a single lattice cell can be done using the recently developed technique for patterned loading of BEC into optical lattices [28], which provides exible control over placement of atoms in lattice sites. An initial waveform spread over multiple cells can be prepared by imposing an OL upon a condensate of a suitable size in the magnetic trap, with subsequent switching o the magnetic eld. Changing the norm of the wave function, as supposed in the theory and numerical simulations, could be modeled by variation of the nonlinear coupling parameter (s-wave scattering length) via the Feshbach resonance.

W enote that the strengths of the OLs considered above are in the experimentally relevant range [10], " = 0 20 in units of the recoil energy  $E_{rec} = h^2 k_L^2 = (2m)$ , where  $k_L = 2 =$ , and m and denote the atom ic mass and laser wavelength, respectively. We used the size of a unit cell d = 2 = 0.425 m, and the time  $2m = (hk_L^2) = 50$  s (for <sup>85</sup>Rb atom s and far detuned laser with = 850 nm) as units of the length and time, respectively. Relevant experiments with can be performed with <sup>7</sup>Lior<sup>85</sup>Rb atom s featuring the negative scattering length in the ground state, which is amenable to large variations through the Feshbach

resonance.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated that the GP/NLS equation with the attractive cubic nonlinearity and periodic potential, which describes BECs trapped in a 2D or 3D optical lattice, and (in the 2D case) an optical beam in the Kerrm edium with a transverse periodic modulation of the refractive index, gives rise to stable solitons. In moderately weak and strong lattices, single-cell and multi-cell solitons were found, respectively, the former ones being accurately predicted by the variational approximation. A necessary condition for the formation of 2D solitons in a relatively weak lattice is that the initial norm of the eld must exceed a threshold value. Stable 2D vortex solitons with S = 1 were found too.

## ACKNOW LEDGM ENTS

B B B. thanks the Physics D epartment of the University of Salemo, Italy, for a twoyears research grant. B A M. appreciates hospitality of the same D epartment and thanks P G. K evrekidis for valuable discussions. M. S. acknow ledges partial nancial support from the M IUR, through the inter-university project PR IN -2000, and from the European grant LOCNET no. HPRN-CT-1999-00163.

## REFERENCES

- [1] L.K haykovich et al. Science 296, 1290 (2002).
- [2] K.E. Strecker et al, Nature 417, 150 (2002).
- [3] L.D.Carrand Y.Castin, Phys. Rev. A 66, 363602 (2002).
- [4] U.AlKhawaja et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 200404 (2002).
- [5] L. Salasnich, A. Parola, and L. Reatto, Phys. Rev. A 66, 043603 (2002).
- [6] F.Kh.Abdullaev et al. Phys. Rev. A 67, 013605 (2003).
- [7] H. Saito and M. Ueda, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 040403 (2003).
- [8] I. Towers and B.A. Malom ed, J. Opt. Soc. Am. 19, 537 (2002).
- [9] C. Jurczak et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 1727 (1996); H. Stecher et al, Phys. Rev. A 55, 545 (1997); D. Jaksch et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 3108 (1998); D. I. Choi and Q. Niu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 2022 (1999); K. P. Marzlin and W. P. Zhang, Phys. Rev. A 59, 2982 (1999).
- [10] M. Cardenas and M. L. Chiofab, Physica B 322, 116 (2002); M. Greiner et al., Nature 419, 51 (2002); J. H. Denschlag et al., J. Phys. B 35, 3095 (2002); Y. B. Band and M. Trippenbach, Phys. Rev. 65, 053602 (2002); I. Carusotto, D. Embriaco, and G. C. La Rocca, Phys. Rev. A 65, 053611 (2002); S. Burger et al., Europhys. Lett. 57, 1 (2002).
- [11] B.B.Baizakov, V.V.Konotop, and M.Salemo, J.Phys. B 35, 5105 (2002).
- [12] V.V.Konotop and M.Salemo, Phys. Rev. A 65, 021602 (2002).
- [13] K.M. Hilligsoe, M.K. Oberthaler, and K.P.Marzlin, Phys. Rev. A 66, 063605 (2002);
  P.J.Y. Louis, E.A. Ostrovskaya, C.M. Savage, and Y.S.Kivshar, Phys. Rev. A 67, 013602 (2003).
- [14] E.A.O strovskaya and Y.S.K ivshar, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 160407 (2003).

- [15] L.Berge, Phys. Rep. 303, 260 (1998).
- [16] P.Xie, Z.-Q. Zhang, and X. Zhang, Phys. Rev. E 67, 026607 (2003).
- [17] B.A.Malom ed et al, J.Opt. Soc. Am. B 16, 1197 (1999).
- [18] J. Yang and Z. Musslim ani, preprint cond-m at/0304047.
- [19] F.Dalfovo et al. Rev. M od. Phys. 71, 463 (1999).
- [20] B.A.Malomed, Progr. Optics 43, 69 (2002).
- [21] V.M. Perez-Garcia, V.V.Konotop, and J.J.Garcia-Ripoll, Phys. Rev. E 62, 4300 (2000); T.Tsurum i and M.Wadati, J.Phys. Soc. Jpn. 70, 1512 (2001); L.Salasnich, A.Parola and L.Reatto, Phys. Rev. A 65, 043614 (2002);
- [22] A. Trom bettoni and A. Smerzi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 2353 (2001); F.Kh. Abdullaev et al, Phys. Rev. A 64, 043606 (2001).
- [23] S.Flach, K.Kladko, and R.S.MacKay, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 1207 (1997); G.Kalosakas,
  K.O.Rasmussen, and A.R.Bishop, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 030402 (2002).
- [24] L.-C. Crasovan, B.A. M alom ed, and D. M ihalache, Pram and 57, 1041 (2001); B.A.
  M alom ed et al., ibid. 57, 1061 (2001).
- [25] D.M ihalache et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 073902 (2002); D.M ihalache et al., Phys. Rev.
  E 66, 016613 (2002); D.M ihalache et al., J.Optics A 4, 615 (2002).
- [26] J.W. Fleischer et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 023902 (2003).
- [27] B.A.M alom ed and P.G.Kevrekidis, Phys. Rev. E 64, 026601 (2001).
- [28] S. Peilet al, Phys. Rev. 67, 051603 (2003).