## Tunneling exponents in realistic quantum wires using the mean field approximation

Wolfgang Häusler<sup>1</sup> and A.H. MacDonald<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Physikalisches Institut, Universität Freiburg, Hermann-Herder-Str. 3, D-79104 Freiburg, Germany

<sup>2</sup> Department of Physics, University of Texas at Austin, Austin TX, 78712, U.S.A.

Article appeared in J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 72, 195 (2003).

One-dimensional electrons, Quantum wires, Luttinger liquids.

Interacting carriers in one dimension (1D) are non-Fermi liquids with power laws for many correlations functions such as the tunneling density of states. Evidence for this behavior has been found in carbon nanotubes [1] and, somewhat less convincingly, in semi conducting quantum wires [2] in the form of non-trivial temperature and transport voltage dependences. Non-universal exponents are expressed through one parameter  $K_{\rho}$  within the Tomonaga-Luttinger (TL) liquid theory.

In Fermi-liquids  $K_{\rho}$  equals unity. This quantity is commonly expected to decrease with increasing repulsion  $V_0$ between the carriers, according to

$$K_{\rho} = [1 + 2V_0/\pi v_{\rm F}]^{-1/2} . \tag{1}$$

Eq. (1) results also from the RPA-approximation for the 1D-plasmon velocity [3] which has shown to be exact for spinless carriers and for strictly linear kinetic energy dispersion [4]. Eq. (1) implies that  $K_{\rho} \rightarrow 0$  with decreasing carrier density  $n = 2k_{\rm F}/\pi = 2mv_{\rm F}/\pi$  for quadratic kinetic energy dispersion  $\sim k^2/2m$  when  $v_{\rm F} = k_{\rm F}/m$  [5].

For given microscopic interaction potential V(x), where the Coulomb form in any realistic sample layout will be screened by the nearest metals at a distance R, does  $K_{\rho}$  depend on the carrier density in a nonmonotonous fashion, passing through a minimum before reaching the asymptotic value which was conjectured to be  $K_{\rho}(n \to 0) \to 1/2$  [6]. Observing this minimum could give direct experimental access to the range of the microscopic interaction. We shall argue that the value of  $K_{\rho}$ can be obtained quite accurately by the Hartree-Fock approximation when augmenting self consistency (SCHF).

As a realistic form modeling the microscopic interaction in a quantum wire of width d at particle separation |x - x'| we use

$$V(|x|) = \frac{e^2}{\epsilon} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{x^2 + d^2}} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{x^2 + d^2 + 4R^2}}\right)$$
(2)

with  $V(|x - x'| \gg R) \sim |x - x'|^{-3}$ , describing dipolar screening. We have solved the Hartree-Fock equations for a system of length L, accounting for the quadratic kinetic energy dispersion and for spin  $s = \pm$ 

$$0 = \left[\frac{1}{2}(2j - \frac{k}{k_{\rm F}})^2 - \frac{\varepsilon_{ks}}{k_{\rm F}v_{\rm F}}\right]u_{j,k,s} \tag{3}$$

$$+ \frac{L}{2k_{\rm F}\pi} \sum_{j'j''} u_{j'',k,s} \int_{-k_{\rm F}}^{k_{\rm F}} \mathrm{d}k' \left\{ \hat{V} \left( 2(j-j'') \right) \right\}$$
$$\times \sum_{s'} u_{-j+j'+j'',k',s'}^* u_{j',k',s'}$$
$$- \hat{V} \left( 2(j-j') - \frac{k}{k_{\rm F}} + \frac{k'}{k_{\rm F}} \right) u_{-j+j'+j'',k',s}^* u_{j',k',s} \right\}$$

self consistently in k-space for the coefficients  $u_{j,k,s}$  $(-k_{\rm F} \leq k \leq k_{\rm F})$  that expand the HF-orbitals (index j) as Bloch waves

$$\psi_{ks}(x) = e^{ikx} \sum_{j} u_{j,k,s} e^{ij2k_F x} .$$

$$(4)$$

Resulting total ground state energy densities  $E_0^{\rm HF}/L$ are differentiated twice w.r.t. *n* to obtain the HF-estimate to the compressibility  $\kappa = [\partial^2 (E_0/L)/\partial n^2]^{-1}$ . Using the exact thermodynamic relationship

$$K_{\rho} = \sqrt{\pi v_{\rm F} \kappa / 2} \tag{5}$$

from TL-theory [7] yields  $1/K_{\rho}^{\rm HF}$ , shown in Fig. 1. Also included in Fig. 1 are quantum Monte Carlo data taken from Ref. [8] that, within symbol size, can be regarded as exact. It is seen that  $K_{\rho}^{\rm HF}$  does reproduce all of the available QMC-data points amazingly well. In view of the pronounced correlations of interacting one-dimensional Fermions, which prohibit for example to express the ground state wave function analytically, such a quite satisfying mean-field approach might seem unexpected.



FIG. 1.  $K_{\rho}^{-1}$  versus carrier density. The units can be translated into the  $r_{\rm s}$ -Fermi liquid parameter using  $r_{\rm s} = \pi/8k_{\rm F}d$ . The range of the microscopic interaction (2) is R/d = 14.1. Solid: self consistent HF approximation, dashed: Eq. (1). QMC-data are taken from Ref. [8].

The following general trends are seen in Fig. 1:

(i) The high density region  $k_{\rm F}d \gtrsim 0.25$ , corresponding to  $r_{\rm s} \lesssim 1.6$ , may be regarded as the perturbational or RPA regime. Here Eq. (1) may be improved slightly by accounting for the exchange contribution  $\sim -\hat{V}(2k_{\rm F})$ . Despite of the quite small values of  $K_{\rho}$  estimated [9] and observed [1] in carbon they nanotubes belong typically to this regime, since mean carrier separations exceed by far the interaction range (which can reach the order of the tube length).

(ii) Between  $0.1 \lesssim k_{\rm F}d \lesssim 0.25$  the perturbational expression still allows to guess  $K_{\rho}$ . Here, particularly the SCHF but also the QMC-data indicate slightly enhanced  $K_{\rho}^{-1}$ -values, relative to Eq. (1). By virtue of (5) this suggests a *reduced* compressibility which can be interpreted as precursor to a Wigner crystal phase transition (that cannot be completed in 1D). There,  $K_{\rho}$  has been estimated first in [10].

(*iii*) Finally, for the interaction range R/d = 14.1 shown in Fig. 1, a maximum is seen below  $k_{\rm F}d \lesssim 0.1$  ( $r_{\rm s} \gtrsim 4$ ) in both, the SCHF and the QMC results, in qualitative difference to the monotonous increase of the perturbative expression (1). This maximum occurs roughly when  $k_{\rm F}R \approx \pi/8$  (*R* has to be significantly smaller than the mean carrier spacing due to quantum fluctuations). In semi conducting quantum wires this regime (*iii*) should be feasible.

It has been conjectured that  $1/K_{\rho}$  would approach 2 when  $k_{\rm F} \rightarrow 0$  [6]. This limit is not confirmed by the SCHF which, when carried out carefully to account for the pronounced  $4k_{\rm F}$ -periodic oscillations of the SCHF-density (resembling a Wigner crystal), yields  $1/K_{\rho}^{\rm HF} \rightarrow 3.3$  with a weak dependence on R/d, ranging from  $1/K_{\rho}^{\rm HF} \rightarrow 3.0$  at R/d = 5.7 to  $1/K_{\rho}^{\rm HF} \rightarrow 3.5$ at R/d = 35. Unfortunately, the QMC-data cannot discriminate between  $1/K_{\rho} \rightarrow 2$  and  $1/K_{\rho} \rightarrow 3.5$ . This discrepancy might indicate the failure of the mean field approximation to estimate  $K_{\rho}$  in the strongly correlated regime of very small carrier densities.

We would like to acknowledge useful discussions with Charles Creffield and with Hermann Grabert.

- M. Bockrath, et al., Nature 397 (1999) 598.
- [2] S. Tarucha, T. Honda, and T. Saku, Solid State Comm.
  94 (1995) 413; A. Yacoby, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 77 (1996) 4612; O.M. Auslaender, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett.
  84 (2000) 1764; M. Rother, et al., Physica E 6 (2000) 551.
- [3] Q.P. Li, S. Das Sarma, and R. Joynt, Phys. Rev. B 45 (1992) 13713.
- [4] I.E. Dzyaloshinskiĭ and A.I. Larkin, Sov. Phys. JETP, 38 (1974) 202.
- [5] In systems with strict linear dispersion, such as carbon nanotubes, the value of the  $K_{\rho}$ -parameter cannot be regulated by varying the carrier density. This case is not investigated here.
- [6] W. Häusler, L. Kecke, and A.H. MacDonald, Phys. Rev. B 65 (2002) 085104.
- [7] F.D.M. Haldane, J. Phys. C 14 (1981) 2585.
- [8] C.E. Creffield, W. Häusler, and A.H. MacDonald, Europhys. Lett. 53 (2001) 221.
- [9] R. Egger and A.O. Gogolin, Eur. Phys. J. B 3 (1998) 281.
- [10] L. I. Glazman, I. M. Ruzin, and B. I. Shklovskiĭ, Phys. Rev. B 45 (1992) 8454.