Scaling of the turbulence transition threshold in a pipe

B. Hof, A. Juel, and T. Mullin
Manchester Center for Nonlinear Dynamics,
University of Manchester, Oxford Road,
Manchester, M13 9PL, UK
(Dated: November 8, 2021)

We report the results of an experimental investigation of the transition to turbulence in a pipe over approximately an order of magnitude range in Re. A novel scaling law is uncovered using a systematic experimental procedure which permits contact to be made with modern theoretical thinking. The principal result we uncover is a scaling law which indicates that the amplitude of perturbation required to cause transition scales as O (Re 1).

PACS numbers: 47.20.k,47.27.-i,47.60.+ i Keywords: Pipe ow, stability, transition, turbulence.

The puzzle of why the ow of a uid along a pipe is typically observed to change from lam inar to turbulent as the ow rate is increased has been the outstanding challenge of hydrodynam ic stability for more than a century. The issue is both of deep scienti c and engineering interest since most pipe ows are turbulent in practice even at modest ow rates. All theoretical work indicates that the ow is linearly stable [], i.e in nitessimal disturbances added to the eld will decay and disappear as they travel along the pipe and the ow will remain laminar. It is natural to assum e that nite am plitude perturbations are therefore responsible for triggering turbulence and these become more important as the non-dimensionalized rate, the Reynolds number, Re, increases [2]. A question which may be asked is, if = (Re) denotes the minimalamplitude of all nite perturbations that can trigger transition, and if scales with Re according to

$$= O(Re)$$
 (1)

asRe! 1, then what is the exponent [11]? A negative value of is anticipated and one substantially less than zero would indicate that the sensitivity of the laminar ow increases rapidly with Re, i.e. the basin of attraction of the lam inar xed point dim in ishes rapidly as Re increases. Current estimates for suggest that for shear ows it lies within the range 1 7=4 from various model studies [17, 19] and numerical simulations [21] where and exponent strictly less than 1 is required for transient growth [12]. A signi cant challenge is to relate this theoretical concept to observation in a quantitative m anner although some limited data is available [6]. In this Letter we provide evidence from a novel experim ent which suggests a way forward and provides striking evidence for an exponent of 1 which points to a generic transition [12].

The stability of Hagen {Poiseuille ow in a long circular pipe has intrigued scientists for more than a century since Reynolds' $[\beta]$ experimental investigations. Reynolds showed that when the parameter we now call the Reynolds number Rewas greater than approximately

2,000 then turbulent ow became the norm in practice. Re is usually de ned as Re = U d = w here U is the mean speed of the ow, d is the diameter of the pipe and is the kinematic viscosity of the uid. In portantly, he also showed that if the inlet disturbances to the pipe are minimized then laminar ow can be maintained to higher ow rates than if they are not. This inding has been extended in modern times to Reynolds numbers of 100;000 [4] in transient ow s by taking extraordinary care.

The process whereby turbulence arises is not understood either in outline or in detail and this problem is an unresolved scienti c challenge. Any advance towards an understanding of the fundamentals involved will have widespread in pact on ows of practical interest. For example, the ows in oil and gas pipelines are often run ine ciently turbulent to avoid the large pressure uctuations of the transitional regime. Moreover, the control of turbulence is a dream of many practitioners, just as an understanding of turbulence is the desire of many scientists.

In general term s, pipe ow m ay be considered as a nonlinear dynamical system du=dt = f(u;Re) which represent the Navier Stokes equations subject to appropriate forcing and boundary conditions. The single parameter Re, determ ines the dynamical state of the system such that there is one linearly stable xed point for all Re and another possible attractor, turbulence, when $Re > Re_c$. Hence when Re < Re_c all initial conditions are attracted to the lam inar state which is the global attractor for the system. When Re >> Rec nearly all initial conditions give rise to turbulence so that the lam inar state is now a local attractor. In practice, Rec 2000 so that all disturbances will decay as t! 1 for values of Re smaller than this. These arguments are consistent both with Reynolds' original observations and modern experimental results [5, 6, 7, 8]. A lm ost all experim ental studies of the problem have been concerned with pressure gradient ows so that large uctuations in ow rate and hence Re can, in principle, occur upon transition. In one exception to this [8] a constant mass ux system is used




FIG. 1: Schem atic of the 'long pipe' experim ental system .

where the ow is pulled by a piston and this accurately xes Re. Impulsive perturbations are used to produce a nite amplitude stability curve such that disturbances with amplitudes greater than a threshold produce turbulence while smaller ones decay downstream. The results are consistent with those obtained with pressure driven systems [5, 6, 7] so that localized bu s' and slugs' are found at low Re and fully developed turbulence at larger ow rates.

M odem theoretical research m ay be broadly split into two approaches. In one, initially small disturbances on the lam inar state grow in a transient phase [11, 18] until they reach a su ciently large am plitude that nonlinear e ects becom e im portant. These ideas have been explored for various low {dim ensional models [9] and applied to plane Poiseuille ow [0] and scaling laws for the amplitude of the perturbation as a function of Re have been provided. An alternative point of view [13] is that the turbulent state originates from instabilities of nite amplitude solution which is disconnected from the base state. The basin of attraction of the turbulent state grows with Reso that any small perturbation will kick the lam inar solution towards it. Such solutions of the Navier Stokes equations are known to exist in other ows [4, 15, 16] but their existence has not yet been shown in pipe ows.

In drawing a connection between experimental observations and predictions from models the concept of a perturbation needs to be dened. In models, the temporal and spatial form of the perturbation can be accurately specified. On the other hand, experimentalists rely on injecting and/or subtracting uid through slits or holes in an attempt to mimic the mathematical process. The perturbation can be either periodic [6, 7] or impulsive [8] but specifying an essential measure such as the scale of the amplitude is diffused, resolving the pertinent part of the physical perturbation which gives rise to transition is in itself a diffused in [7]. In an attempt to address this issue we have devised a novel type of perturbation

which perm its a scaling analysis and thereby allows a closer connection to be made with theory.

The experimental system can be regarded as a large com puter controlled syringe which has the capability of pulling water at a xed mass ux along a precision bore tube. We have two such experimental facilities in our laboratory and we will only outline details of the new rig as the rst system has been described previously []. The pipe consisted of a 20 mm diameter Perspex tube which was 15.7 m in length and constructed from 105 machined sections each of which was 150mm long. The sections were tted together and aligned with a laser on a steel base. Still water was drawn from a tank through a trum pet shaped in let into the tube and a sim ilar expansion connected the 260 mm diameter piston to the tube. The ow state was monitored using ow visualization and recorded at various spatial locations using video cam eras whose images were stored for further processing. Laminar ow was achieved for Re 24;000 verifying the quality of the construction. We will only report re-18;000 and the ow was fully developed sults for Re at the disturbance in jection point up to Re = 16;000. A schematic diagram showing the experimental arrangement for the long pipe' is given in Fig.1 and the shorter pipe was constructed in a very sim ilar way but was 150 diam eters long.

The stability of the ow was probed using a perturbation which was applied a su cient number of diam eters from the inlet to ensure fully developed ow over the Re ranges investigated in the two systems. This distance was 75 and 530 pipe diam eters for the short and long pipes respectively. A single boxcar pulse of uid was injected tangentially into the ow via a ring of six equally spaced 0.5 mm holes to provide the disturbance. The injection system contained two high speed solenoid valves with switching times of 1ms and the rise and fall times of the perturbation were 1m ited by the inertia of the piston. The quantities of uid injected were in the range 0.01 to 0.1% of the total volume ux where the larger values were required to cause transition at smaller Re.

This novel in jection system enabled us to vary the duration and am plitude of the perturbation independently. We show in Fig.2 a typical pressure trace of a perturbation of magnitude p and width t. It can be seen that a reasonable approximation to a box-car function is achieved with relatively small amplitude ringing at the switching times. The pressure was measured at a single location to illustrate the form of the perturbation and m easurem ent of pressure gradient in this form at rem ains a technical challenge. Hence we use the displaced volum e ux in i from the injector in our de nition of the am plitude of the perturbation since this sets the spatial extent of the disturbed ow and de ning the amplitude in term sof relative volum e uxes enables a direct connection with theory. In principle, the perturbation will have a globale ect on the ow eld but checks using in jection and suction [8] show that it is localized in practice.




FIG. 2: A typical pressure time series for a perturbation of amplitude $p=37\,$ 1 mm H $_2$ O and width $t=1.2\,$ 0.01s.

As discussed above, this means of injecting a disturbance perm its the amplitude and duration of the perturbation to be varied independently. In Fig.3 we show stability curves for two dierent values of Rewhere the amplitude of perturbation required to cause transition is plotted as a function of the length of the perturbed ow in pipe diameters. This scale was set by injecting for a prescribed time and, since the disturbed ow was advected at the mean speed of the pipe ow, an estimate of the spatial extent of the perturbation im mediately downstream of the injection point was made. This is denoted by length in Fig.3 and use of this scaling collapses the two sets of data onto a single curve.

Perturbations with am plitudes such that they were below the curve did not cause transition and hence decayed as they propagated downstream. On the other hand, disturbances which had am plitudes above the curve gave rise to sustained disordered ow downstream which had the form of a localized pu ' [3] at Re = 2170 or a patch of turbulence at Re = 4000. As discussed elsewhere [8],

the threshold is probabilistic so that a mean value can be estimated with a narrow well-de ned width. These are denoted by the error bars in Fig.3 which indicate the width of the experimentally determined probability distribution of the transition obtained from forty rehearsals of the experiment.




FIG. 3: Stability curves measured for Re=2170 and Re=4000. Each data point was obtained from forty runs of the experiment and the error bars correspond to the widths of the determined probability distributions [8]. The abscissa is the 'length' of the initially disturbed ow in pipe diameters.

The data in Fig.3 also shows that the amplitude of perturbation required for transition is independent of its length when more than six pipe diameters are initially disturbed. Shorter length perturbations result in a nonlinear response and these results are in accord with previous work [8] where a short triangular form perturbation was used.

We reinforce the above scaling argument with the results shown in Fig.4 which contain data in the range 2;000 Re 5;500 where 95% fully developed ow was achieved with the shorter pipe. Three stability curves are presented and these will now be discussed in turn. In the rst, a short, 0.2s., duration pulse was used and this corresponds to a disturbance length of one pipe diam eter at Re = 2;000. This exhibits nonlinear behavior such that a rapidly increasing perturbation amplitude is required to cause transition as Re = 2;000 is approached. The data are in agreement with those obtained in previous experim ents [8]. In the next, a long duration (1.8s.) pulse was used and this corresponds to perturbing nine pipe diam eters at Re = 2;000. Here, the independence of the amplitude of perturbation required to cause transition discussed in connection with Fig. 3 for lengths is con med. In this case, independence has been uncovered over a range of Re. The naldata set was obtained by varying duration of the pulse in proportion to the ow such that the length of the ow was initially disturbed was kept constant at 2:25 pipe




FIG. 4: Stability curves measured in the Short' pipe in the range 2;000 Re 5;500. Fixed duration perturbations were used to obtain the loci labelled 0.2 s. and 1.8 s respectively. The data set labelled 2.25D were measured using a variable duration pulse such that 2.25 diam eters of the pipe ow were perturbed.

diam eters. This corresponds to a perturbation of width 0.45s. at Re = 2;000. The data reinforces that scaling of the perturbation by the mean ow is valid. An alternative way of viewing this data set is to consider it as a sampled set from a horizontal cut taken through a family of parallel stability curves, one for each value of the Re set in the experiment.




FIG. 5: A log-log plot of the stability curve obtained using the long pipe. The range of Re covered is 2000 to 18,000 and the amplitude of the perturbation has been non-dimensionalized by the respective mass ux in the pipe. The least squares tted line has a slope of -1 as indicated.

It is clear that the two level thresholds cannot continue much below Re=2;000 since experimental evidence suggests that turbulent ow cannot be maintained below this value [5,8]. It is equally unlikely that the locus will sim –

ply come to an end in parameter space. In this region, we observe the transient growth of pus which can persist form any tens of pipe diameters. This interesting behavior will take considerable experimental esort to resolve and is the subject of an ongoing investigation.

An appropriate scaling of the am plitude of the perturbation is the relative mass—ux of the perturbation to that in the pipe. Clearly, doing this for the two horizontal loci in Fig. 4 will produce a proportionality of the form O (Re 1). We next present results from the long pipe in Fig. 5 where we were able to test this inding over an order of magnitude range of Re. Here we used a perturbation of 1.8s duration and—ind the same O (Re 1) scaling.

Our investigation has shown that the amplitude required for transition in a pipe is constant for a su-ciently long perturbation. Shorter perturbations show nonlinear dependence but, these m ay be scaled with Reso that the amplitude of perturbation required for transition has a Re $^{\rm 1}$ dependence. This is agreement with recent theoretical estimates for asymptotically large Re[22] which indicates that the theory may apply over a surprisingly large range of Re. Hence we provide an advance towards forming a closer connection between modern theoretical approaches and experiment.

- [1] P.G. Drazin & W. H. Reid An Introduction to Hydrodynam ic Stability Theory (1980) Cambridge University Press.
- [2] Lord Kelvin (1887) Phil. Mag. 5,459.
- [3] O.Reynolds (1883) Proc.Roy.Soc.A 35,84.
- [4] W .P fenniger (1961) InBoundary Layer and Flow Control, Pergamon (ed.G.V.Lachman), 970.
- [5] I. J. Wygnanski and F.H. Champagne (1973) J. Fluid Mech. 59,281.
- [6] A.A.D raad, G.Kuiken and F.T.M.N ieuw stadt (1998) J.Fluid Mech. 377,267.
- [7] G. Han, A. Tum in and I. Wygnanski (2000) J. Fluid Mech. 419,1.
- [8] A.G.Darbyshire and T.Mullin (1995) J.Fluid Mech. 289,83.
- [9] J. S. Baggett and L. N. Trefethen (1997) Phys. Fluids 9,1043.
- [10] S.J. Chapman (2002) J. Fluid Mech. 451,35.
- [11] L.N. Trefethen, A.E. Trefethen, S.C. Reddy, and T.A. Driscoll (1993) Science 261,578.
- [12] F.W ale e (1995) Phys. Fluids 7,3060.
- [13] F.W ale e (1997) Phys. Fluids 9,883.
- [14] D.K.Anson, T.Mullin and K.A.Cli e (1989) J.Fluid Mech. 207,475.
- [15] D.R.Barnes and R.R.Kerswell (2000) J.Fluid Mech. 417,103.
- [16] O.D auchot and F.D aviaud (1995) Phys. Fluids 7,335.
- [17] A.M esquer (2003) Phys.Fluids 15,1203.
- [18] S.G rossmann. (2000) Rev.M od.Phys.72,603.
- [19] B. Eckhardt and A. Mersmann. (1999) Phys. Rev. E 60,509.

[20] R J. Leite (1959) J. Fluid M ech. 5,81.

[22] J. Chapm an (Private communication).

[21] H. Shan, Z. Zhang and F. T. M. Nieuw stadt (1998) Int. J. Heat and Fluid Flow 19,320.