## Fluctuation spectrum of uid membranes coupled to an elastic meshwork: jump of the elective surface tension at the mesh size

Jean-Baptiste Fournier,<sup>1,2</sup> David Lacoste,<sup>1</sup> and Elie Raphael<sup>3,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Laboratoire de Physico-Chimie Theorique, ESPCI,

10 rue Vauquelin, F-75231 Paris œdex 05, France

<sup>2</sup>Federation de Recherche CNRS 2438 \M atiene et System es Com plexes", F-75231 Paris œdex 05, France

<sup>3</sup>Laboratoire de Physique de la Matiere Condensee, UMR CNRS 7125,

College de France, 11 Place Marcelin Berthelot, F-75231 Paris cedex 05, France

(Dated: April 14, 2024)

We identify a class of composite membranes: uid bilayers coupled to an elastic meshwork, that are such that the meshwork's energy is a function  $F_{el}$  ] not of the real microscopic membrane area A, but of a smoothed membrane's area A, which corresponds to the area of the membrane coarse-grained at the mesh size . We show that the meshwork modiles the membrane tension both below and above the scale , inducing a tension-jump = dF<sub>el</sub>=dA. The predictions of our model account for the uctuation spectrum of red blood cells membranes coupled to their cytoskeleton. Our results indicate that the cytoskeleton might be under extensional stress, which would provide a means to regulate available membrane area. We also predict an observable tension jump for membranes decorated with polymer \brushes".

PACS num bers: 87.16Dg, 68.03.Cd, 87.16G j, 68.47 Pe

Random surfaces [1] play an important role in many areas of physics, spanning from biophysics [2] and chem ical physics [3] to high-energy physics [4]. In the last decades, there has been considerable interest in the properties of uid bilayer m em branes com posed of am phiphilic molecules in water. It is well-known [5] that their uctuations are dom inated by the membrane bending rigidity, , which leads to a spectrum proportional to  $(q^4 + q^2)^{-1}$  [6, 7, 8]. Here, is an e ective surface tension, i.e., an adjustable therm odynam ic param eter arising from the constraint of constant surface area, which is usually several orders of magnitude sm aller than the surface tensions of ordinary liquid interfaces [9, 10]. Additional complexity arises if the membrane interacts with other system s (e.g., a rigid substrate, anotherm em brane, a network of polymers or laments) [11, 12, 13], or contains inclusions (passive or active) [14, 15].

In this paper, we identify a class of composite mem branes, i.e., of membranes coupled to an external system (like the cytoskeleton of red blood cells [16]), for which the coupling energy can be described, in a rst approximation, by a function of the membrane area coarsegrained at a characteristic length scale (the mesh size in the cytoskeleton case). For such systems, we show that the e ective membrane tension should exhibit a jump of am plitude at the scale . In other words, the uctuation spectrum is proportional to  $(q^4 + \langle q^2 \rangle^{-1})$  for q < <sup>1</sup>, and to  $(q^4 + q^2)^{-1}$  for  $q^{>}$  <sup>1</sup>. A swe shall < = is directly related to the coupling see, between the membrane and the external system. Understanding the scale dependence of the e ective surface tension, and in particular its value at short length-scales, is in portant in a num ber of phenom ena, e.g., m em brane adhesion [17, 18], cell fusion [19, 20] and other microscopic biological mechanisms, such as endocytosis [21]. It should also help interpret experiments on composite membranes [22, 23, 24], which attempt to determ ine the value of by thing the uctuation spectrum.

W e shall consider two di erent system s for which our m odel predicts a jum p in surface tension: (i) m em branes decorated with polymer \brushes" [25], and (ii) red blood cellm em branes interacting with their attached cytoskeleton [16]. Polymer decorated membranes are useful in providing a sterical stabilization for liposom e drug carriers [26, 27]; we expect that better understanding their membrane tension should yield new insight in their stability and mechanical properties. Here, we shall mainly focus, however, on the composite membrane of the red blood cell (or erythrocyte). The cytoskeleton of red blood cells is a roughly triangular exible protein meshwork, composed of spectrins attached to the bilayer by association with integralm embrane proteins [16]. The resulting mechanical strength enables red blood cells to undergo large extensional deform ations as they are forced through narrow capillaries. In the last two decades, the red blood cell m em brane has been thoroughly studied and a rich description of its attached cytoskeleton has been obtained [28, 29, 30]. Very recently, the spectrum of the short wavelength membrane uctuations of red blood cells [22] has been successfully tted by including the e ect of con nem ent from the cytoskeleton [24]; however, this approach leads to an unexplained, rather abrupt change in the value of the mem brane tension. We shall show that our model explains quantitatively this change, provided that the cytoskeleton is under (extensional) stress, which is an important issue currently debated in cell biology [31, 32]. Speci c in plications concerning red blood cells as well as possible experiments on

polym er decorated m em branes will be discussed at the end of the paper.

Let us introduce ourm odelby considering a mem brane (em bedded in the Euclidian three-dimensional space) connected to an elastic meshwork. The meshwork BSfrag replacements composed of N Hookean springs (spring constant , free length '\_0) and is attached to the mem brane through its nodes. The shape of the mem brane is specified by giving the position R (s<sup>1</sup>;s<sup>2</sup>) of a mem brane element as a function of two intrinsic coordinates. The total free energy of the system may be written as F R ]=  $F_{mem b}$  R ]+  $F_{el}$  R ], where  $F_{mem b}$  R ] is the mem brane free energy and FIG.1: Section

$$F_{el}[R] = N \frac{1}{2} ([R] 0)^{2}$$
(1)

is the m eshwork elastic energy. Since the m eshwork cannot follow the wiggles of the m em branes of wavelength shorter than the m esh size (see Fig. 1), the spring elongation  $\mathbb{R}$ ] actually depends on the m em brane conform ation. To simplify, we have assumed that  $\mathbb{R}$ ] is identical for all the springs, which is reasonable since the m em - brane is uid. The m esh size, i.e., the spring length, can thus be expressed as a

<sup>2</sup> [R] = 
$$g \frac{A [R]}{N}$$
; (2)

where A [R] is the area of the m embrane coarse-grained at the m esh size, and g is a constant depending on the m eshwork topology (e.g.,  $g = 2^{\circ} \overline{3}$  for triangular m eshwork). Determining [R] is the two-dimensional anabgous of m easuring the length of a fractal coast (e.g., the coast of Brittany [33]) with a straight ruler, and determining the ruler length that allows to go through the whole coast in N steps.

Solving Eq. (2) for in the general case of an arbitrary membrane shape is a rather di cult task. In order to simplify the problem, let us consider the case of a quasi-spherical membrane of xed volume V and xed area A. If V is expressed as  $V = \frac{4}{3} R_0^3$ , the area can then be written in the form A = A + A where  $A = 4 R_0^2$  A is called the projected area. We now consider a very sm all, alm ost planar portion a = A of the projected area, that still contains a rather large number n = N of springs. We represent the local membrane shape by its uctuation modes  $u_q$  above the local projected area. Locally, Eq. (2) can be expressed as

$$\frac{n}{g} {}^{2} [u] = a [u] = a + \frac{X}{q^{<-1} [u]} \frac{1}{2} q^{2} j u_{q} j^{2} + O u_{q}^{4} ; \quad (3)$$

where a A is the local coarse-grained area. Consistently with the second-order expansion, the upper limit

<sup>1</sup>[u] in the above sum can be replaced by its zeroth order approximation:

$$_{0}^{1} = \frac{r}{\frac{n}{ga}} = \frac{s}{\frac{N}{gA}} : \qquad (4)$$



FIG.1: Section of a uctuating vesicle (thick line) to which is attached a m eshwork of springs (dashed lines), with common length . The actualm embrane area is A and the m esh area is A , which corresponds to the m embrane area coarse-grained at .

The elastic energy  $f_{el} = \frac{1}{2}n$  ([u] \_0)<sup>2</sup>  $E_l$  of the small portion under consideration is then given by

$$f_{el}[u] = f_{el}^{(0)} + \sum_{q^{<}_{0}^{1}}^{X} \frac{1}{2}q^{2}ju_{q}j^{2} + O u_{q}^{4}; \quad (5)$$

where

$$= \frac{1}{2}g \quad 1 \quad \frac{{}^{\prime}_{0}}{_{0}} : \qquad (6)$$

To proceed further, we introduce the standard form of the local membrane elastic energy ( $f_{m em b} = F_{m em b}$ ), which is given, to quadratic order, by [3]

$$f_{m em b}[u] = \begin{cases} X & \frac{1}{2} \\ q & \frac{1}{2} \end{cases} + q^{2} + q^{4} j_{uq} j^{2}; \qquad (7)$$

Here is a con ning potential, an elective tension yet to be determined (a Legendre transform multiplier constraining the total membrane area), and is the bending rigidity [5]. From the total energy  $f_{el}[u] + f_{m emb}[u]$ , we deduce, using equipartition, the uctuation spectrum :

The adjustable parameter is determined from the requirement  $\frac{1}{2}q^2hj_{1}q^2j_{1} = A$ . It follows that the decomposition of the low wavevector tension, <, and of the high wavevector tension, >, in terms of and (< = + and > =) is quite arbitrary: only the values of < and > really matter. Note that the position  $_0^{-1}$  of the jump only enters as a rough characteristic scale in our model; a more re ned calculation would be required to determ ine the wavevector width of the jump. We have also om itted the corrections to the bending rigidity originating from the meshwork, which may also be scale-dependent.

The results obtained above are based on the fact that the meshwork energy is actually a function of A  $[\![R]\!]$  through Eqs. (1) and (2). The same conclusions concerning the elective tension therefore hold for any composite membrane for which the coupling may be approximated by a function  $F_{\rm el}(A)$  of the membrane area coarse-grained at a characteristic scale . The general expression giving the tension jump occurring at  $_0$  is simply

$$= \frac{@F_{el}}{@A}_{A = A} :$$
 (9)

Before making predictions concerning other systems, such as polymer decorated membranes, let us discuss in more details the cytoskeleton case.

Inspired by the recent work of G ov et al. [24], we have re-analyzed the data of Z ilker et al. [22] on the uctuation spectrum of norm alred blood cells in the wavelength range 300 nm < < 6000 nm . By tting these data, the authors of R ef. [24] have dem onstrated the necessity to introduce a con ning potential, arising from the presence of the cytoskeleton. T hey acknow ledge, how ever, that the data at very short scales are better tted by a value of the m em brane tension m uch sm aller than the one obtained at large scales. Since the cytoskeleton is well described by a m eshwork of elastic springs [16, 32], we believe that the theory put forward in this paper provides an explanation to this jump in surface tension. F luctuation spectra are usually tted via an elective wavevector-dependent bending rigidity  $_{\rm q}$  de ned by

$$hju_q f i = \frac{k_B T}{qq^4}$$
 (10)

According to Eq. (8), this quantity should roughly exhibit a discontinuity at the mesh wavevector  $q_0 = 0^{-1}$ . This is indeed the case, as evidenced by the solid line t in Fig. 2. The position of the discontinuity being fairly marked at  $_0$  <sup>1</sup> ' 125 nm <sup>1</sup>, and the value 10<sup>20</sup> Nm being already well docum ented [6, 23, 24], 2 we have tted the data to Eq. (8) for the parameters ,  $^{\prime}$  and  $^{\prime}$  only, obtaining = (5:2 0:6) 10 Jm  $^{4}$ < = (1:5  $10^{6}$  Jm  $^{2}$  and  $^{>}$  = ( 0:8) 0:2) 10  $^7$  Jm  $^2$ . According to our model, 12)  $^{>}$  ' 1:6 10  $^{6}$  Jm  $^{2}$  should be given by Eq. (6). Indeed, assuming  $_0 > '_0$  (and yet ) we deduce 10  $^{6}$  Jm  $^{2}$ , which agrees well with the measured cytoskeleton elastic constants [34]. From the positive sign of , our analysis predicts that the cytoskeleton is under extensional stress: this important issue would require m ore experim ents to test. Finding > essentially zero, or even negative, is also quite rem arkable. It suggests that one important role of the cytoskeleton m ight be to regulate, via its elastic stress, the membrane tension at length-scales shorter than the cytoskeleton mesh,



FIG.2: Fit (solid line) of the measured uctuation spectrum of a norm alred blood cell (squares) from the data of Zilker et al. [22]. The dashed line extrapolates the large length-scale t. The discontinuity and the corresponding tension jump occurs at a length-scale ' 125 nm comparable to the cytoskeleton mesh.

in order to produce either large uctuations, or even a short-scale buckling. This new type of area regulation (see R ef. [35]) m ight prove useful to allow for large deform ations.

A nother system to which our model can be applied is a membrane bearing an anchored polymer brush. For a xed number p of polymers in good solvent conditions, we have [25]

$$\frac{F_{el}}{pk_BT} ' N b^{5=3} (1 2)^{1=3} {}^{5=6}; \qquad (11)$$

where N denotes now the index of polym erization, b the m onom er length, the F bry parameter, and the grafting density. As illustrated in Fig. 3, the relevant area de ning the grafting density is not the total area A, but the area A coarse-grained at the blob scale. Hence,

$$' \frac{p}{A} ' \frac{1}{2}$$
: (12)

Expressing as in Eq. (5) the brush energy in term s of the local coarse-grained area, or equivalently, using Eq. (9), we obtain

$$V = N k_B T b^{5=3} (1 - 2)^{1=3} \frac{p}{A}^{-11=6}$$
: (13)

This actually corresponds to the brush osm otic pressure integrated over the brush height:  $_{osm}$  H '

 $k_{B} T (p=A)^{3=2}$  bN  $(b^{2}p=A)^{1=3}$ . Note that < 0, i.e., the brush reduces the large-scale tension with respect to the short-scale one. It actually draws some of the mem – brane area stored at length-scales shorter than the blob size in order to lower its grafting density. Let us estimate in typical experiments [12]: with N = 100, b = 1 nm, and a (projected) area per chain of 100 nm<sup>2</sup>, we obtain j j 10<sup>4</sup> Jm<sup>2</sup>, which com pares with ordinary mem brane tensions. At length-scales larger than the



FIG. 3: Sketch of a polymer \brush" anchored on a uctuating mem brane. The actual grafting density is the number of polymers divided by the mem brane area A (dashed line) coarse-grained at the blob size  $\cdot$ .

brush height H, the brush elasticity also yields a renorm alization of [36]. Note that for distortions wavevectors in the interval H  $^{1}$  < q < <sup>1</sup>, the brush will actually heal over  $q^1$ , in plying that the tension jum p will probably be spread over this interval [37]. Our result agrees with a recent direct calculation of Bickel et al. [38], show ing that m em branes bearing polym ers in the \mushroom s" regime should possess an excess tension '  $2k_B T$  at scales shorter than the gyration radius R  $_q$ . Indeed, at the grafting density  $' 1=R_{\alpha}^{2}$  which crossesover to the brush regim e, this gives <sub>osm</sub> H ,with  $_{osm}$  '  $k_{B}T = R_{g}^{3}$  and H '  $R_{g}$ . A possible way to test our prediction Eq. (13) would be to measure, on a given vesicle decorated with a polymer brush, the variation of the large-scale m em brane tension induced either by a m odication of the solvent quality or by a chem ical alteration of the index of polym erization.

To conclude, the jump of the e ective surface tension evidenced in this paper arises from a competition between the free energy of the membrane, which requires a certain amount of excess area to be stored in the short wavelength modes, and the free energy of the coupled elastic system, which is lowered if either less or more excess area (depending on the system) is transferred to the large-scale modes. Very generally, a wavevector-dependent membrane tension should therefore occur whenever an external system coupled to the membrane produces a scale-dependent area transfer.

W e acknow ledge fruitful discussions with A. A jdari, F.G allet, and P.G. de Gennes.

- [1] Statistical Mechanics of Membranes and Interfaces, edited by D.R.Nelson, T.Piran, S.Weinberg (World Scientic, Singapore, 1989). Fluctuating geometries in statistical mechanics and eld theory, edited by F.David, P.Ginsparg, and J.Zinn-Justin (Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1996).
- [2] Structure and Dynamics of Membranes, edited by R. Lipowsky, E. Sackman (Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1995).

- [3] S. A. Safran, Statistical Thermodynamics of Surfaces, Interfaces, and Membranes (Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, 1994).
- [4] J.F.W heater, J.Phys. A 27, 3323 (1994).
- [5] W .Helfrich, Z.Naturforsch. 28C, 693 (1973).
- [6] F. Brochard and J.F. Lennon, J. Physique 36, 1035 (1975).
- [7] H.Engelhardt, H.P.D uwe and E.Sackmann, J.Physique Lett. 46, 395 (1985).
- [8] P.M eleard, J.F.Faucon, M.D.M itov, and P.Bothorel, Europhys. Lett. 19, 267 (1992).
- [9] W. Helfrich and R.-M. Servuss, Nuovo cimento D 3, 137 (1984).
- [10] E. Evans and W. Rawicz, Phys. Rev. Lett. 64, 2094 (1990).
- [11] E. Evans and W. Rawicz, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79, 2379 (1997).
- [12] R. Joannic, L. Auvray, and D. D. Lasic, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 3402 (1997).
- [13] W . Hackl, M . Barm ann, and E. Sackm ann, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 1786 (1998).
- [14] M.Goulian, R.Bruinsma, and P.Pincus, Europhys. Lett. 22, 145 (1993).
- [15] J.B. M anneville, P. Bassereau, D. Levy, and J. Prost, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 4356 (1999).
- [16] B. A lberts, A. Johnson, J. Lew is, M. Ra, K. Roberts, P.W alter, M olecular Biology of the Cell 4th edition (G arland, New York, 2002).
- [17] R.M. Servuss and W. Helfrich, J. Phys. France 50, 809 (1989).
- [18] J.O.Radler, T.J.Feder, H.H.Strey, and E.Sackmann, Phys. Rev. E 51, 4526 (1995).
- [19] R. Jahn and H. G rubm uller, Cur. Opin. in CellBiol. 14, 488 (2002).
- [20] L.Yang and H.W. Huang, Science 297, 5588 (2002).
- [21] M .M arsh and H .T .M cM ahon, Science 285, 215 (1999).
- [22] A. Zilker, H. Engelhardt and E. Sackmann, J. Physique 48, 2139 (1987).
- [23] H. Strey, M. Peterson, and E. Sackmann, Biophys. J. 69, 478 (1995).
- [24] N.Gov, A.G.Zihn an and S.Safran, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 228101 (2003).
- [25] P.-G. de Gennes, Adv. Coll. Int. Sci. 27, 189 (1987).
- [26] T.M.Allen and A.Chonn, FEBS Lett. 223, 42 (1987).
- [27] D.D.Lasic and D.Papahad populos, Science 267, 1275 (1995).
- [28] T.J.Byers and D.Branton, Proc. Natl Acad. Sci.USA 82, 6153 (1985).
- [29] V.Bennett, Biochim. Biophys. Acta 988, 107 (1989).
- [30] N.M ohandas and E.Evans, Annu. Rev. B iophys. B iom ol. Struct. 23, 787 (1994).
- [31] S.K. Boey, D.H. Boal, and D.E.D ischer, Biophys. J. 75, 1573 (1998), Biophys. J. 75, 1584 (1998).
- [32] D.H.Boal, Biol.Bull. 194, 331 (1998).
- [33] B.B.M andelbrot, The fractalgeometry of nature (W .H. Freeman, San Francisco, 1982).
- [34] G. Lenorm and, S. Henon, A. Richert, J. Simeon, and F. G allet, Biophys. J. 81, 43 (2001).
- [35] C.E.Morris and U.Homann, J.Membrane Biol. 179, 79 (2001).
- [36] C.Hiergeist and R.Lipowsky, J.Phys. II 6, 1465 (1996).
- [37] A. A jdari, private com m unication.
- [38] T.Bickeland C.M.M arques, to appear in Eur.Phys.J. E (2002).