Yang-Lee zeroes for an urn model for the separation of sand

I. Bena,  $^1$  F. Coppex,  $^1$  M. Droz,  $^1$  and A. Lipow ski $^1$ ,  $^2$ 

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physics, University of Geneva, CH 1211 Geneva 4, Switzerland <sup>2</sup>Faculty of Physics, A. Mickiewicz University, 61-614 Poznan, Poland

We apply the Yang-Lee theory of phase transitions to an um model of separation of sand. The elective partition function of this nonequilibrium system can be expressed as a polynomial of the size-dependent elective fugacity z. Numerical calculations show that in the thermodynamic limit, the zeros of the elective partition function are located on the unit circle in the complex z-plane. In the complex plane of the actual control parameter certain roots converge to the transition point of the model. Thus the Yang-Lee theory can be applied to a wider class of nonequilibrium systems than those considered previously.

PACS numbers: 05.40.-a, 45.70.-n, 02.50 Ey, 05.70 Fh

I. Introduction. Nonequilibrium phase transitions have recently attracted increasing theoretical interests. One of the motivations is the idea that some of the concepts of equilibrium phase transitions might apply to nonequilibrium situations as well. In particular, there have been recent investigations [1] in the applicability of the Yang-Lee theory to nonequilibrium system s. U sually, those system s are modeled in term s of a master equation. Most of the physics is contained in the prescribed transition rates. W hen varying the control param eters, the system may exhibit a nonequilibrium phase transition in its stationary state. There are very few models for which such transitions can be described analytically. M oreover, it is not obvious to nd a nonequilibrium function playing a similar role to the equilibrium partition function and for which the Yang-Lee strategy might be extended. Two families of dynamic models have been discussed so far in the literature [1].

(i) Most of the works concern driven di usive system s [2, 3, 4]. These models have a unique steady state, for which one can compute exactly the probability distribution of microstates. The normalization factor, dened as the sum over these probabilities, is shown to play the same role as the partition function in equilibrium systems. It is a polynomial in the control param eter, the latter being a constant transition rate, i.e., a size-independent quantity. The roots of this polynom ial in the complex plane of the control parameter accumulate, in the therm odynam ic lim it, at the transition point. As in the case of equilibrium transitions, the way these zeroes accum ulate nearby the real axis in form s about the type of transition (rst order if the line of zeroes is perpendicular to the real axis, and second order if it form s an angle of =4 with this axis).

(ii) O ther studies have been performed for directed percolation models on a square lattice in (1+1) dimensions [5]. It was suggested that survival probability P (t) for a nite propagation time to might play the role of a partition function in this case, with the occupation probability p as the complex control parameter. However, the survival probability, that is actually the order parameter in this problem, does not have the \standard" properties

of an equilibrium partition function [1]. Therefore it is not surprising that the distribution of its complex zeroes has a more complicated structure. It was also shown that the minimal distance d(t) between the complex zeroes of the survival probability and the critical point  $p_{\rm c}$  scales for large tast  $^{1=}$   $_{\rm k}$ , where  $_{\rm k}$  is the critical exponent for the temporal correlation length.

The above examples suggest that it is rather straightforward to apply Yang-Lee theory to nonequilibrium phase transitions, at least as long as one can construct the appropriate e ective partition function (EPF). However, an implicit assumption of the above approaches is that the EPF can be expressed as a polynomial of a sizeindependent control param eter. The purpose of our letter is to show, on a simple model, that this should not be necessarily the case. Indeed, our model has an EPF that has a polynom ial structure in term sofa size-dependent e ective fugacity, and not in term s of som e size-independent param eter. The applicability of the Yang-Lee approach to such a case is thus highly questionable. We show that the Yang-Lee strategy for this model still works and thus can be generalized to a wider class of nonequilibrium phase transitions.

This Letter is organized as follows. In Sect. II the model is de ned and the EPF is introduced as a polynomial of an e ective size-dependent fugacity. In Sect. III, the zeroes of the EPF are numerically studied. They are shown to form a much more complicated structure in the plane of the complex fugacity than in the above discussed examples (i) and (ii). Nevertheless, it is still possible to extract som e inform ation about the nature of the phase transition in our model by investigating the zeroes in the complex plane of the control param eter. In particular, a subset of zeroes converges to the transition point. Moreover, the convergence seems to obey standard nite-size dependence argum ents. In the Conclusions (Sect. IV), we argue that the behavior of zeroes in our model is an indication of diculties that might be typical to more general nonequilibrium systems, in particular those where transition rates between microscopic con gurations are not constants (i.e., size-independent quantities), but state dependent.

II. The model. The model we consider was introduced to describe spatial separation of vibrated sand [6] and is a generalisation of Ehrenfest's um model [7]. In this model N particles are distributed between two ums, rst um containing M particles and the second one M. The dynamics is de ned as follows. At each time step, one particle is chosen at random in one of the ums. Then, with a probability that depends on the number of particles present in the chosen um, i.e., with a state-dependent transition rate, this particle moves to the other um. Correspondingly, the ux F (n) of particles leaving a given um at a certain time depends on the fraction n of the total number of particles in the given um at that m om ent. This model is thus by construction m ean- eld like. The master equation for the probability distribution p (M;t) that there are M particles in a given um at time twrites [6]:

$$p(M;t+1) = F = \frac{N + 1}{N} p(M + 1;t) + F = \frac{M+1}{N} p(M+1;t) + 1 F = \frac{M}{N} = F = \frac{N + M}{N} p(M;t): (1)$$

Its stationary solution is found to be [8]:

$$p_s(M) = \frac{1}{Z_N} Y^M \frac{F \frac{N + i + 1}{N}}{F \frac{i}{N}};$$
 (2)

where the normalization factor is:

$$Z_N = 1 + X^N Y^N \frac{Y^N}{F \frac{\frac{N-i+1}{N}}{F \frac{i}{N}}}$$
: (3)

In the spirit of models of type (i), we shall assume that  $\mathbf{Z}_{\,N}\,$  plays the role of an EPF.

This model describes the transition between a symmetric distribution of the particles in the two ums, associated with a single peak of the probability distribution at M = N = 2 (for N even), to a symmetry breaking state described by a bimodal distribution with peaks at M = N (1=2 "). The order parameter "measures the dierence in the occupancy of the two ums. To produce this symmetry breaking it su ces that the ux function F (n) has a single hump [6, 9]. The simplest possible choice for F (n) having this property is

$$F(n) = n \exp(An);$$
 (4)

which corresponds to a state-dependent transition rate  $\exp(An)$ . Thus the problem is characterized by a single control parameter A. In the them odynamic  $\lim \pm N$ ! 1, this symmetry breaking corresponds to a second-order nonequilibrium phase transition. In this  $\lim \pm$ , the probability distribution becomes -peaked around the macroscopic stable state, that is determined by the condition that the ux of particles directed from the rst um to




FIG. 1: Zeroes of the EPF (5) in the complex z-plane for three values of N . The continuous line is the unit circle. The inset illustrates the behavior in the vicinity of z=1.

the second one equals the  $\,$  ux of particles from the second um towards the  $\,$  rst one, F (1=2  $\,$  ") = F (1=2+ "). It follows that in the therm odynam ic lim it for A < A  $_{\rm C}$  = 2 the stationary state is the symmetric one, while for A > A  $_{\rm C}$  = 2 the equipartition of particles is broken, i.e., a second order nonequilibrium phase transition takes place at A = A  $_{\rm C}$  = 2.

III. A nalysis of the zeroes of the EPF.  $\mid$  W ith such a choice of the ux F (n), one may rewrite the normalization factor (3) as:

$$Z_{N} = \begin{pmatrix} X^{N} & N & \\ & N & \\ & M & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} X^{M} & (N & M) \end{pmatrix};$$
 (5)

Here  $_{\text{M}}^{\text{N}}=\text{N}\models\text{M}$  !(N M)!] is the binom ial coexcient and z = exp (A=N) is the excitive flugacity. One can see that Z<sub>N</sub> is a polynom ial in z, that is related to the control parameter A of the model, but z is not a size-independent quantity, and depends on the number of particles N .

Nevertheless we embark on studying zeroes of the EPF  $Z_N$ . As a rst step we nd zeroes of Eq.(5), considering z as a complex N-independent variable. The results of our numerical calculations, using M athematica, for three values of N are represented in Fig. 1. Note that the order of the polynomial of Eq.(5) increases rapidly like N  $^2$ =4, and therefore we were notable to perform precise calculations of the roots beyond N = 71.

W ith increasing N these roots approach the unit circle. One can argue that this should indeed be the case. First, let us associate with the EPF (5) the nonequilibrium complex free energy density  $f_{\rm N}$  (z) = (1=N) ln(Z $_{\rm N}$ ).




FIG. 2: Zeroes of Eq. (5) in the complex A-plane, nearby the critical value  $A_c=2$ , for three values of N . The inset shows more roots for N = 71. The continuous line is the analytical perturbative estimation (12) of the line of zeroes in the therm odynamic limit, see main text.

For large N and jzj> 1 the EPF is dom inated by the central term M = N = 2 and hence  $f_{\rm N}$  (jzj> 1) (N = 4) ln z. Thus we de ne  $f^{(1)}(z)$  = (N = 4) ln z as a free energy in the jzj> 1 region. On the other hand, for jzj< 1 the dom inant contribution are coming only from the M = 0 and M = N terms, and thus  $f_{\rm N}$  (jzj< 1) 1=N which in the therm odynam is limit de nes  $f^{(2)}(z)$  = 0 for jzj< 1. The above analysis indicates that the model for which Eq. (5) is the EPF with z as a control parameter undergoes an abrupt transition at jzj= 1. In the therm odynam is limit N ! 1 ,  $f_{\rm N}$  exhibits an in nite jump at the transition. To obtain the location of the zeros of  $Z_{\rm N}$  in this limit we have to equate real parts of the nonequilibrium complex free energies on both sides of the transition [10]. Namely, we require that

$$Ref^{(1)}(z) = Ref^{(2)}(z)$$
: (6)

U sing a polar representation  $z=re^i$  we immediately obtain that the only way to satisfy Eq. (6) is to have r=1. Hence, asymptotically, the zeroes should be located on the unit circle, as con med by our numerical calculations.

However, the model with z as a control parameter (which has a transition with a jump of the elective free energy density) is quite dilerent from the original umm odel with A as a control parameter (which has a continuous phase transition). Therefore, in order to infersome information about the phase transition in the umm odel we have to analyze the behavior of zeros of Eq. (5) in the complex A-plane, that can be obtained from the zeroes in the z-plane using the relation  $A = N \ln(z)$ . Transformation of zeros into the complex A-plane is shown in Fig. 2.

With increasing N the zeroes approach the critical

point  $A_c=2$  with a slope that is close to =4, and with a vanishing density of zeroes. These numerical observations seem to conom the second-order nature of the phase transition [1].

In the following we establish analytically the form of the line of zeroes close to the critical point, and the nal result is presented as a continuous line in Fig. 2. As already mentioned, in the therm odynamic limit the EPF is dominated by the stationary state:

$$(1=2 \text{ "}) \exp [A (1=2 \text{ "})]$$
  
=  $(1=2+\text{ "}) \exp [A (1=2+\text{ "})]$ : (7)

Below the critical point the leading term of  $Z_N$  for N! is given by the central peak M = N = 2:

$$Z_{N}$$
  $N = 2$  exp  $A \frac{N}{4}$ ;  $A < A_{c} = 2$ : (8)

On the other hand, for A > A  $_{\rm c}$  there are two leading contributions to Z  $_{\rm N}$  coming, respectively, from M = N (1=2 ") and M = N (1=2+"), where " is the solution of the macroscopic stationarity condition (7). Therefore:

$$Z_N$$
  $2 \frac{N}{N (1=2 \text{ "})} \exp AN \frac{1}{4} \text{ "}^2$ ;  $A > A_c = 2$ : (9)

Correspondingly, the excrive free energy density  $f = \lim_{N \to 1} (1=N) \ln(Z_N)$  [10] associated with this EPF is

$$f^{(1)} = A=4 + \ln 2; A < A_c = 2;$$
 (10a)  
 $f^{(2)} = A (1=4 \quad "^2) \quad (1=2 \quad ") \ln (1=2 \quad ")$   
 $(1=2+ ") \ln (1=2+ "); A > A_c = 2;$  (10b)

Let us now consider the behavior of the EPF and of the e ective free energy density as a function of the complex parameter A. Then the condition (6),  $Ref^{(1)} = Ref^{(2)}$ , together with Eq. (7) determine the line of zeroes in the complex A-plane. Note that now " is a complex variable obtained from the steady-state Eq. (7).

However, Eq. (6) is now too complicated to allow for a complete analysis of the zeroes line in the entire A-plane. But we are mainly interested in the behavior of this line in the vicinity of the critical point  $A_{\rm c}=2$ . Therefore, we shall look for a perturbative solution of Eqs. (6) and (7) in the small real parameter = ReA = 2 around A  $_{\rm c}$ . An inspection of these equations shows that Im A scales like , while both Re" and Im " scale like = 1-2. We are thus led to consider the following developments:

A = 
$$(2+)$$
 + i  $(a_0 + a_1 + {}^2a_2 + :::)$ ; (11a)  
" =  ${}^{1=2}$   $(x_0 + x_1 + {}^2x_2 + :::)$   
+ i  ${}^{1=2}$   $(y_0 + y_1 + {}^2y_2 + :::)$ : (11b)

We substitute these expressions in Eqs. (6) and (7), then solve them order by order in . This leads to the




FIG. 3: The minimum distance between the zeroes of  $Z_{\rm N}$  and  $A_{\rm c}=2$  in the complex A-plane as a function of N  $^{1=2}$ . The continuous line is a least-square tofthe form a+bN  $^{1=2}$  (1+cN  $^{1}$ ), where a; b, and c are tting parameters. Note that the correction term N  $^{3=2}$  is used in view of the small values of N that are accessible to calculations. Extrapolating to the N ! 1 lim it we obtain a=A  $_{\rm C}$  '1%, i.e., very close to zero, that con rm s the theoretical value A  $_{\rm C}=2$ .

following parametric expression for the line of zeroes in the A-plane, in the vicinity of  $A_c = 2$ :

$$A = (2 + ) + i + 0.6 + 0 (^{2}) : (12)$$

The result of this perturbative calculation up to 0 ( <sup>5</sup>) is represented by the continuous line in Fig. 2. Note that, indeed, the slope of this curve at the critical point is =4, sign of a second-order phase transition. Moreover, one can compute the density of zeroes on this curve in the vicinity of the critical point using the relationship [10]:

2 (s) = 
$$\frac{\theta}{\theta s}$$
 Im  $f^{(1)}$   $f^{(2)}$ ; (13)

where (s) is the density of zeroes at a distance s from the transition point, distance m easured along the line of zeroes. This gives in the vicinity of the transition point (s) = as + 0 ( $s^2$ ), with  $s = \frac{1}{2}$  and a = 0.0045(1). The density of zeroes vanishes as a power law towards the transition point on the real axis { i.e., we recovered yet another characteristic of the equilibrium theory for second-order phase transitions.

Up to now, we have shown that the zeroes of the EPF indeed provide inform ation about the location and the type of the phase transition. But, as pointed out [5] certain critical exponents are also encoded in the behavior of these zeroes. A similar conclusion can be drawn in our model. As shown in Fig. 3, the distance  $^{2}\!\!\!\!/ \Lambda$  Acj between the closest root A to Ac = 2 in the complex A-plane decreases like N  $^{1=2}$ . A simple scaling argument shows [5] that  $^{2}\!\!\!\!\!/ \Lambda$  Acj should scale with the system

size as N  $^{1-}$ , where is the correlation length critical exponent. However, our um model is structureless and the correlation length does not seem to be a well-de ned quantity. Nevertheless, we can implement a denition of the critical exponent that is based on the nite-size scaling of moments of the order parameter [11]. Since the probability distribution at the critical point for um models is known [8], using the standard prescription [11] we obtain = 2. Such a value agrees with the nite-size scaling observed in Fig. 3.

IV. Conclusions. We considered a simple stochastic m odelwith state-dependent transition rates for which the EPF can be computed analytically and that exhibits a nonequilibrium second order phase transition. Our aim was to show that, although it is not a straightforward task to apply the concepts of the Yang-Lee theory, it is a rem arkable and non-trivial fact that they still apply when the microscopic transition rates of the model are statedependent. Indeed, all the other models that have been studied so far allowed for a rather simple transposition of the equilibrium theory. This was essentially due to the existence of som e state-independent transition rates, and the possibility to express the EPF as a polynomial of these param eters. Our work therefore opens new perspectives for the study of other nonequilibrium models with phase transitions, indicating that Yang-Lee's ideas apply to a much wider range of phenomena than those considered up to now.

- [1] For a review of the recent advances on this subject, see R.A.B Lythe and M.R.Evans, cond-mat/0304120.
- [2] P.F.Amdt, Phys.Rev.Lett.84,814 (2000).
- [3] R.A.Blythe and M.R.Evans, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 080601 (2002).
- [4] F.H. Jafarpour, cond-m at/0209558; cond-m at/0301407.
- [5] P.F.A mdt, S.R.D ahm en, and H.H inrichsen, Physica A 295, 128 (2001); S.M.D ammer, S.R.D ahmen, and H.Hinrichsen, J.Phys.A:M ath.Gen. 35, 4527 (2002).
- [6] A. Lipowski and M. Droz, Phys. Rev. E 65, 031307 (2002).
- [7] P. Ehrenfest and T. Ehrenfest, The Conceptual Foundations of the Statistical Approach in Mechanics (Dover, New York, 1990); M. Kac and J. Logan, in Fluctuation Phenomena, ed. by E. W. Montroll and J. L. Lebowitz (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1987).
- [8] G. M. Shim, B. Y. Park, and H. Lee, Phys. Rev. E 67, 011301 (2003).
- [9] J. Eggers, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 5322 (1999). K. van der Weele, D. van der Meer, M. Versluis, and D. Lohse, Europhys. Lett. 53, 328 (2001).
- [10] S. Grossm ann and W. Rosenhauer, Z. Phys. 218, 437 (1969); S. Grossm ann and V. Lehmann, Z. Phys. 218, 449 (1969).
- [11] K. Binder and D. W. Heemmann, Monte Carlo Simulations in Statistical Physics (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988).